Quantum simulation and spectroscopy of entanglement Hamiltonians

ICTP, 12/09/2017

Marcello Dalmonte

ICTP, Trieste



Joint work with B. Vermersch and P. Zoller (Innsbruck)

arxiv.1707.04455

Main question



Main result

Shift the paradigm: not probing the density matrix but directly the modular (entanglement) Hamiltonian

Instead of building a cake (ρ_A) and try to extract ingredients (λ_{α}), just look at the shopping bag (\tilde{H})



realize a cake and then look inside



realize the shopbag - much easier to inspect

Main result

Shift the paradigm: not probing the density matrix but directly the modular (entanglement) Hamiltonian

Applicable to

- most field theories, including topological phases, CFTs, symmetrybroken, gauge theories, ...
- 1D, 2D, 3D equally difficult
- lattice and continuum
- no copies, no *in situ* needed
- all universal information

:t Concrete implementation iltc schemes only require **light-**/ **s** induced interactions:

- Rydberg-dressing
- light-assisted tunneling

Outline

Entanglement spectrum:

• what it is, why it is interesting

Entanglement Hamiltonian:

- naïve reasoning
- exploiting axiomatic field theory / Bisognano-Wichmann theorem(s)

Quantum engineering of Entanglement Hamiltonians

- quantum field theory and lattice systems- some examples: Haldane chain, CFTs, free theories, 2D Topological insulators
- implementations

Another view at the entanglement spectrum

$$H = H_A + H_B + H_{AB}$$



What is this useful for?

1) 2) 3) you get most of entanglement measures paramount importance for topological phases contains much more information than entropies it is creaty hard to get via numerical experiments

4) it is crazy hard to get via numerical experiments

Why Entanglement spectra?

Obvious reason: you get a lot of entanglement measures:

Example: entanglement entropies



Why Entanglement spectra?

topological phases: the entanglement spectrum reveals edge and excitations properties just from the wavefunctions! Li and Haldane, PRL 2008.

Example: Coulomb gas, sphere

$$n_f = 12, N_\Phi = 33$$

1)Finite entanglement gap

2)edge state counting



Why Entanglement spectra?

very hard to get via numerics / much, much harder than entropies

No universal method to calculate it.

H. A. Carteret, PRL **94**, 040502 (2005), H. Song, et al. PRB **85**, 035409 (2012), C.-M. Chung, et al. PRB **89**, 195147 (2014) - illustrates challenges with MC methods

Instead, entropies can be calculated (conventional replica trick, nowadays routinely implemented)

Melko, Roscilde, Isakov,

Accessible only with full knowledge of the wave function - via ED, DMRG, ...

Entanglement spectrum

$$P_{A} = e^{-\tilde{H}} = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \varphi_{\alpha} |$$

 $H = H_A + H_B + H_{AB}$

What is this useful for?

Paradigmatic quantity in many-body theory

Is this measurable at all?

How to measure it? Real experiments

General protocols exist - see Pichler et al., PRX 2016



However, due to generality, very resource expensive - many-copies needed, Rydberg gates, accurate spectroscopy, hard to scale up, only on lattice (?).

e.g., to resolve the ES degeneracy of the Haldane chain, some 150 copies are required.

Shifting the paradigm: from density matrices to modular Hamiltonian

Our strategy here: focus directly on **entanglement** Hamiltonians!

1) immediate **experimental protocols** to measure entanglement spectra

2) novel theoretical route which might be more amenable to numerics, and also useful for analytics / entanglement field theories

Key element from axiomatic field theory

<u>Problem</u>: entanglement Hamiltonians? $\rho = e^{-r}$

"they might be **highly** non-local"

<u>"in principle, many-body</u> interactions"



The funkiest Hamiltonian - this is scary

The Bisognano-Wichmann theorem

Well-established result in axiomatic field theory - series of papers in 1975/76.

For our purposes:



Bisognano and Wichmann, J. Math. Phys. 17, 303 (1976); review: Guido, Cont. Math 534, 97 (2011)

Experimental strategy

1) find the entanglement Hamiltonian

2) devise a protocol to realize it

3) use spectroscopy, and get the entanglement spectrum

 $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\} = \{\exp[-\epsilon_{\alpha}]\}$

<u>**Real issue</u>** - does BW theorem really hold for lattice model, finite size, etc...?</u>

BW: Does it work?



Numerical results

- Ising Hamiltonians (including 'long-ranged')
- Haldane chain
- Conformal field theories on lattices (free fermions, XXZ chain)
- Two-dimensions: free theories, topological insulators

Analytical intuition

Fractional Quantum Hall and Chern-Simons theories

Ising check



Luttinger liquids



Haldane chain (Delta = 0.6)

Question: can we resolve topological degeneracies?

lpha	$\begin{array}{l} \text{OBC} \\ \chi_{\alpha 1} = \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha} - \epsilon_{0}}{\epsilon_{1} - \epsilon_{0}} \end{array}$	$\frac{\log[\lambda_{\alpha}/\lambda_{0}]}{\log[\lambda_{1}/\lambda_{0}]} = \kappa_{\alpha 1}$	$\begin{array}{l} {}^{PBC} \\ \chi_{\alpha 1} = \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha} - \epsilon_{0}}{\epsilon_{1} - \epsilon_{0}} \end{array}$
0	0.00759986651826	0.000591291392465	0.00320061840297
1	0.0077067246213	0.000591291392465	0.00320061840297
2	0.00781075678774	0.000591393359787	0.00320113816346
3	1.0	1.0	1.0
4	1.00005039179	1.00000139174	1.0
5	1.00010232951	1.00000192193	1.00000111145
6	1.0001556862	1.00000271721	1.00000111145

All degeneracies are resolved with 10^-3 accuracy.

DMRG up to L=108 sites (PBC); multitargeting up to 170 excited states (10 per sector). Accuracy around 10^-6

2D: Free fermions

In 2D, we use the conformal mapping to get the distance function - it preserves angles



Good agreement up to ~1000 eigenvalues



2D Dirac model





6 0 0000 4 } 2 → exact × ϵ_{lpha} 0 bisugnano 0 0 -2000 -4 0 0 -6└ 0.0 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 0.5 $|k_{\alpha}|$ 'Single particle' entanglement spectrum

Qi et al., PRB 2008

Massive dirac model (m=-1), subsystem 10x10



NB: we know that BW <u>will fail</u> for certain models, e.g., ferromagnets, and free fermions at very low filling:



NB: finite size effects are not easily predictable, but in all the cases of interest, they seem well under control. **Scaling entanglement theory** will soon be needed

Experimental strategy

1) find the entanglement Hamiltonian

Bottom line is: using the BW theorem, it is possible to access the entanglement Hamiltonian of a very broad class of physical phenomena

2) devise a protocol to realize it

3) use spectroscopy, and get the entanglement spectrum

How to realize entanglement Hamiltonians?

Every system where interaction is light-induced is good (atoms, superconducting circuits, ions, ...)

Example: Rydberg-dressed atoms



A. W. Glätzle et al., PRL 2015; Van Bijnen and Pohl, PRL 2015; Zeiher et al., NatPhys. 2016; Jau et al., NatPhys. 2016

How to extract the gaps? Spectroscopy

Full spectroscopic simulations, including noise in state preparation and during measurement

L=6



Scheme resilient to imperfections (no surprise)

Conclusions

Entanglement Hamiltonians are local, few-body, and can be written in a closed form for a broad class of models

[see recent PEPS works by Schuch et al., PRL2013, PRB 2015] for an interesting relation between BW and Wegner gauge theory

Use synthetic quantum systems for the direct realization of entanglement Hamiltonians!

One just requires: locally tailored interactions + spectroscopy.

Very robust to imperfections, including finite-size, etc...

Adaptable to many platforms - Rydbergs, ions, more?

and outlook

- Entanglement field theories
- Useful also for diagnosing topological order in 1D (no true topology)? Quantum Frustration [Illuminati et al., PRL2012, PRL2013] and BW
- Entanglement Hamiltonians for real time dynamics
- 2D interacting systems / connections to lattice gauge theories (see Schuch's talk)
- Beyond bipartite entanglement?

Entanglement field theories offer a brand new look to understand (bipartite) entanglement in many-body systems using standard statistical mechanics tools

IQOQI / ITP Univ Innsbruck



Benoit



Peter

Thank you

arxiv.1707.04455