Motion by curvature of networks in the plane/4

CARLO MANTEGAZZA

ICTP – Trieste 11/15 June 2017

As a first remark, regions cannot collapse in this situation, otherwise the curvature goes to $+\infty$. Moreover, only "isolated" curves can vanish, by the *Multiplicity–One Conjecture*, that is, it is not possible that more than two triple junctions collide together at a single point. Indeed, in such case there must be a region collapsing.

As a first remark, regions cannot collapse in this situation, otherwise the curvature goes to $+\infty$. Moreover, only "isolated" curves can vanish, by the *Multiplicity–One Conjecture*, that is, it is not possible that more than two triple junctions collide together at a single point. Indeed, in such case there must be a region collapsing.

Then, the analysis consists in understanding the possible networks \mathcal{S}_T that we get as limits of the flow \mathbb{S}_t , when $t \to \mathcal{T}$.

As a first remark, regions cannot collapse in this situation, otherwise the curvature goes to $+\infty$. Moreover, only "isolated" curves can vanish, by the *Multiplicity–One Conjecture*, that is, it is not possible that more than two triple junctions collide together at a single point. Indeed, in such case there must be a region collapsing.

Then, the analysis consists in understanding the possible networks \mathcal{S}_T that we get as limits of the flow \mathbb{S}_t , when $t \to T$.

After reparametrizing every curve proportional to arclenght, the bound on the curvature implies the convergence in $C^1 \cap W^{2,\infty}$ of \mathbb{S}_t to a limit network \mathbb{S}_T , as $t \rightarrow T$. Moreover, such limit network is unique and all its curves are of class $W^{2,\infty}$, that is, with bounded curvature. Anyway, \mathbb{S}_t is *non–regular* since a 4–point appears for every vanishing curve, but the sum of the unit tangent vectors of the four curves must be zero, by the $C^1/W^{2,\infty}$ –convergence.

As a first remark, regions cannot collapse in this situation, otherwise the curvature goes to $+\infty$. Moreover, only "isolated" curves can vanish, by the *Multiplicity–One Conjecture*, that is, it is not possible that more than two triple junctions collide together at a single point. Indeed, in such case there must be a region collapsing.

Then, the analysis consists in understanding the possible networks \mathbb{S}_T that we get as limits of the flow \mathbb{S}_t , when $t \to \mathcal{T}$.

After reparametrizing every curve proportional to arclenght, the bound on the curvature implies the convergence in $C^1 \cap W^{2,\infty}$ of \mathbb{S}_t to a limit network \mathbb{S}_T , as $t \rightarrow T$. Moreover, such limit network is unique and all its curves are of class $W^{2,\infty}$, that is, with bounded curvature. Anyway, \mathcal{S}_t is *non–regular* since a 4–point appears for every vanishing curve, but the sum of the unit tangent vectors of the four curves must be zero, by the $C^1/W^{2,\infty}$ –convergence.

Theorem

If **M1** *is true, every* interior *vertex of such limit network either is a regular triple junction or it is a* 4*–point where the four concurring curves have opposite unit tangents in pairs and form angles of* 120*/*60 *degrees among them.*

This is exactly what we saw in the simulation when a single curve vanishes.

This analysis can be extended to the curves containing the fixed boundary points (*boundary curves*). If any of them vanishes, we get two curves forming an angle of 120 degrees (remember the example of the *spoon* network). In such case, the flow stops (we really "have to decide" whether/how to continue the flow).

This analysis can be extended to the curves containing the fixed boundary points (*boundary curves*). If any of them vanishes, we get two curves forming an angle of 120 degrees (remember the example of the *spoon* network). In such case, the flow stops (we really "have to decide" whether/how to continue the flow).

As the limit network \mathbb{S}_7 contains at least one 4–junction, in order to restart the flow we need the short time existence theorem of Ilmanen, Neves and Schulze.

Theorem

If **M1** *holds and the network is a* tree *(no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only singularities are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

Theorem

If **M1** *holds and the network is a* tree *(no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only singularities are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

Remarks:

! *Type 0* – singularities actually exist

Theorem

If **M1** *holds and the network is a* tree *(no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only singularities are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

Remarks:

- ! *Type 0* singularities actually exist
- \triangleright This result can be localized (if the network is locally a tree around a point, the curvature is locally bounded)

Theorem

If **M1** *holds and the network is a* tree *(no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only singularities are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

Remarks:

- ! *Type 0* singularities actually exist
- \triangleright This result can be localized (if the network is locally a tree around a point, the curvature is locally bounded)
- \blacktriangleright If locally no region is collapsing, then the network is locally a tree, hence, locally the curvature is bounded

Theorem

If **M1** *holds and the network is a* tree *(no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only singularities are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

Remarks:

- ! *Type 0* singularities actually exist
- \triangleright This result can be localized (if the network is locally a tree around a point, the curvature is locally bounded)
- If locally no region is collapsing, then the network is locally a tree, hence, locally the curvature is bounded

Corollary

If **M1** *holds, the curvature is unbounded if and only if a region is collapsing. Hence, the singularities with bounded curvature coincide with the vanishing of isolated curves with formation of* 4*–points, in the way we have seen before.*

Theorem

If **M1** *holds and the network is a* tree *(no loops), the curvature is uniformly bounded during the flow, hence the only singularities are given by the collapse of a curve with only two triple junctions going to collide.*

Remarks:

- ! *Type 0* singularities actually exist
- \triangleright This result can be localized (if the network is locally a tree around a point, the curvature is locally bounded)
- \blacktriangleright If locally no region is collapsing, then the network is locally a tree, hence, locally the curvature is bounded

Corollary

If **M1** *holds, the curvature is unbounded if and only if a region is collapsing. Hence, the singularities with bounded curvature coincide with the vanishing of isolated curves with formation of* 4*–points, in the way we have seen before.*

Also this corollary can be localized.

In the situation when the curvature is unbounded, that is, at least one region is collapsing, even if **M1** is true, using again the blow–up procedure we can obtain a very *general* blow–up limit shrinker, like the ones below, for instance:

In the situation when the curvature is unbounded, that is, at least one region is collapsing, even if **M1** is true, using again the blow–up procedure we can obtain a very *general* blow–up limit shrinker, like the ones below, for instance:

Then, the (local) structure (topology) of the evolving network plays an important role in the analysis/classification of the possible limit shrinkers (as the topology "simplifies" taking a limit).

In the situation when the curvature is unbounded, that is, at least one region is collapsing, even if **M1** is true, using again the blow–up procedure we can obtain a very *general* blow–up limit shrinker, like the ones below, for instance:

Then, the (local) structure (topology) of the evolving network plays an important role in the analysis/classification of the possible limit shrinkers (as the topology "simplifies" taking a limit).

In this case the blow–up limit is a shrinker *with regions*. These regions are the "memory" of the collapsing regions in the flow \mathbb{S}_t , as $t \to T$, and the unbounded halflines of the shrinker give the *limit tangents* of the *non–vanishing* curves of S*^t* arriving at the group of collapsing regions.

If, as in the case of bounded curvature, the networks S*^t* converge to some limit \mathbb{S}_T , as $t \to T$, then the point of collapse will be a multi–point of \mathbb{S}_T and the unbounded halflines of the blow–up limit shrinker give the tangents of the curves of S_T concurring at such point.

If, as in the case of bounded curvature, the networks S*^t* converge to some limit \mathbb{S}_T , as $t \to T$, then the point of collapse will be a multi–point of \mathbb{S}_T and the unbounded halflines of the blow–up limit shrinker give the tangents of the curves of S_T concurring at such point.

Unfortunately, in this case with unbounded curvature, we are not able to show that we have a *unique* limit network \mathbb{S}_T (also not a *unique* blow–up limit shrinker).

If, as in the case of bounded curvature, the networks S*^t* converge to some limit \mathcal{S}_τ , as $t \to \tau$, then the point of collapse will be a multi–point of \mathcal{S}_τ and the unbounded halflines of the blow–up limit shrinker give the tangents of the curves of S_T concurring at such point.

Unfortunately, in this case with unbounded curvature, we are not able to show that we have a *unique* limit network \mathbb{S}_T (also not a *unique* blow–up limit shrinker).

Conjecture

As t \rightarrow *T*, there exists a unique limit network \mathcal{S}_T , possibly non–regular with *multiple points and/or with triple junctions not satisfying* 120 *degrees condition.*

If, as in the case of bounded curvature, the networks S*^t* converge to some limit \mathbb{S}_{τ} , as $t \to \tau$, then the point of collapse will be a multi–point of \mathbb{S}_{τ} and the unbounded halflines of the blow–up limit shrinker give the tangents of the curves of S_T concurring at such point.

Unfortunately, in this case with unbounded curvature, we are not able to show that we have a *unique* limit network \mathbb{S}_T (also not a *unique* blow–up limit shrinker).

Conjecture

As t \rightarrow *T*, there exists a unique limit network \mathcal{S}_T , possibly non–regular with *multiple points and/or with triple junctions not satisfying* 120 *degrees condition.*

Assuming such uniqueness, one can prove that (possibly after reparametrization) the *vanishing* part of S*^t* collapse to a point and the *non–vanishing* part converge in C^1 to a limit network \mathcal{S}_T . The point of collapse is then a multi–point of \mathbb{S}_T and the curves of \mathbb{S}_T concurring at such point are of class C^{∞} far from the multi–point. Moreover, the curvature of each of such curves goes like $k = o(1/d)$, where *d* is the arclenght distance to the multi–point along the curve.

Example of a (homothetic) collapse of a (symmetric) pentagonal region of S*^t* (*five–ray star*).