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## Motivation

$\checkmark$ Supersymmetric localization [ Duistermatt-Heckman, Witten, Schwarz-Zaboronsky]

- a very powerful tool for exact computation
- many applications for field theories in various backgrounds
[ Nekrasov, Pestun....]
$\checkmark$ Application to supergravities ?

It could also be applied to the SUGRA because the supersymmetric localization principle is very general.

Need an off-shell formulation of supergravity
We have off-shell formulations for SUGRA up to $\mathrm{N}=2$ (4d), called "Superconformal formulation".
[ de Wit, van Proeyen, van Holten...]
[ de Wit, V. Reys '17] for Euclidean SUGRA

Will provide exact computation of supergravity. We can see quantum/exact holography
$\checkmark$ Our interest is in BPS black hole entropy for AdS2/CFT1

## Black hole entropy formula

- For large charge limit (thermodynamic limit) in BPS black hole

$$
\frac{A_{H}(p, q)}{4 G_{N}}=\ln d_{\text {micro }}(p, q)
$$

$\checkmark$ Our interest is in BPS black hole entropy for AdS2/CFT1

## Black hole entropy formula

- For finite charge

$$
\frac{A_{H}(p, q)}{4 G_{N}}+O\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right)=\ln d_{\text {micro }}(p, q)
$$

[ Strominger, Vafa '96]
$\checkmark$ Our interest is in BPS black hole entropy for AdS2/CFT1

Black hole entropy formula

- For finite charge

$$
\frac{A_{H}(p, q)}{4 G_{N}}+O\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right)=\ln d_{m i c r o}(p, q)
$$

[ Strominger, Vafa '96]
$\rightarrow$ Quantum entropy function
$\checkmark$ For extremal black hole, the entropy formula has been generalized to Quantum entropy function [Sen '08]

$$
\exp \left(S_{\mathrm{BH}}^{\mathrm{qu}}(q, p)\right) \equiv W(q, p)=\int_{\mathrm{AdS}_{2}}\left[D \phi_{\text {sugra }}\right] \exp \left(-i q_{I} \oint_{\tau} A^{I}-S_{\text {sugra }}\left(\phi_{\text {sugra }}\right)\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ It is a partition function in supergravity with Wilson loop and AdS2 boundary condition.
$\checkmark$ Many tests for perturbative quantum correction


```
[ Sen, Banerjee, Gupta, Mandal, Lal, Thakur, '10-'14,
Larsen, Keeler, Lisbão '14,'15]
```

$\checkmark$ We want to apply the supersymmetric localization.

## Supersymmetric Localization for QEF

## Modify the action

$$
\begin{gathered}
S \longrightarrow S+\lambda Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \mathcal{V} \\
\mathcal{V}=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{g} \sum_{\psi} \bar{\psi} Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \psi
\end{gathered}
$$

- We choose a canonical choice for $\mathcal{V}$ :

The summation is over all the physical fermions of the theory.

- The algebra of our fermionic symmetry closes to compact bosonic symmetry:

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}=H\left(=L_{0}-J_{0}\right)
$$

- At $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the saddle point approximation is exact, and new saddle point appears which satisfies $Q_{\text {eq }} \mathcal{V}=0 \quad$ i.e.
"Localization saddle point"

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \psi=0, \quad \text { for all physical fermions } \psi
$$

## Supersymmetric Localization for QEF

## Localization saddle point solutions

- The Weyl multiplet is localized to $A d S_{2} \times S^{2}$ configuration.

$$
d s^{2}=\ell^{2}\left(d \eta^{2}+\sinh ^{2} \eta d \tau^{2}\right)+\ell^{2}\left(d \psi^{2}+\sin ^{2} \psi d \phi^{2}\right)
$$

where $\ell$ is scale parameter fixed to arbitrary constant by the Weyl scaling symmetry.

- The off-shell contribution of gravity comes in the physical metric $G_{\mu \nu}$ from the scalar in vector multiplet through the Kahler potential, for its relation to metric in Weyl multiplet

$$
G_{\mu \nu}=e^{\mathcal{K}(X, \bar{X})} g_{\mu \nu}
$$

- In vector multiplets sector, the solution is labeled by one parameter for each multiplets.

$$
X^{I}=X_{*}^{I}+\frac{C^{I}}{\ell \cosh \eta}, \quad Y_{12}^{I}=\frac{2 C^{I}}{\ell^{2} \cosh ^{2} \eta}
$$

## Supersymmetric Localization for QEF

- [ Dabholkar, Gomes, Murthy '11]

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\mathrm{pert}}(q, p) & =\int_{\mathcal{M}_{Q}} \prod_{I=0}^{n_{\mathrm{v}}} d \phi^{I} \exp \left(-\pi q_{I} \phi^{I}+4 \pi \operatorname{Im} F\left(\left(\phi^{I}+\mathrm{i} p^{I}\right) / 2\right)\right) Z_{1-\text { loop }}^{Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \mathcal{V}}\left(\phi^{I}\right) \\
& \text { where } \phi^{I}=e_{*}^{I}+2 C^{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Considered some $\mathrm{N}=2$ truncation of $\mathrm{N}=8$ SUGRA with an assumption of $Z_{1-\text { loop }}$ and considered the microstate counting of $\frac{1}{8} B P S$ black hole in type II on $T^{\wedge} 6$, and showed that the integration over the saddle point would give precise agreement.
-The measure should be given through the 1-loop determinant.


## Supersymmetric Localization for QEF

- [ IJ, R. Gupta, Y. Ito; S. Murthy, V. Rey '15]

1-loop determinate has the following universal form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1 \text {-loop }}\left(\phi^{I}\right)=\exp \left(-a_{0} \mathcal{K}\left(\phi^{I}+\mathrm{i} p^{I}\right)\right) \\
& a_{0}^{\mathrm{vec}}=-a_{0}^{\mathrm{hyp}}=-1 / 12
\end{aligned}
$$

agree with the on-shell perturbative computation by [Sen]
-1-loop for gravity multiplets ? $\quad a_{0}^{\text {Weyl }}=$ ?

## Supersymmetric Localization for QEF

- [ IJ, R. Gupta, Y. Ito; S. Murthy, V. Rey '15]

1-loop determinate has the following universal form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1 \text {-loop }}\left(\phi^{I}\right)=\exp \left(-a_{0} \mathcal{K}\left(\phi^{I}+\mathrm{i} p^{I}\right)\right) . \\
& a_{0}^{\mathrm{vec}}=-a_{0}^{\mathrm{hyp}}=-1 / 12
\end{aligned}
$$

agree with the on-shell perturbative computation by [Sen]
-1-loop for gravity multiplets ? $\quad a_{0}^{\mathrm{Weyl}}=\frac{23}{12}$

How and whether it reproduces the consistent result ?

We address two questions.

## 1. What is the global supercharge $Q_{\text {eq }}$ in supergravity?

-"There is no global SUSY in a theory of gravity." In SUGRA, the supersymmetry is gauged. Not a symmetry of functional integral.

- Fix a background through boundary condition, $A d S_{2} \times S^{2}$ then, the global supercharge $Q_{\text {eq }}$ is inherited from the symmetry of the background.
- Split the gravitational fields into background and quantum part.
- Need to define action of the global supercharge on the quantum fluctuation.


## 1. What is the global supercharge $Q_{\text {eq }}$ in supergravity?

- For BRST quantization of SUSY gauge theory, we use equivariant charge

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}}=Q+Q_{\mathrm{brst}}
$$

such that

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}=H
$$

- Example: $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{2} A_{\mu}=\mathcal{L}_{v} & A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu}\left(v^{\nu} A_{\nu}\right), \quad Q c=v^{\nu} A_{\nu} \\
\left(Q+Q_{\mathrm{brst}}\right)^{2} A_{\mu} & =\left(Q^{2}+Q Q_{\mathrm{brst}}+Q_{\mathrm{brst}} Q+Q_{\mathrm{brst}}^{2}\right) A_{\mu} \\
& =Q^{2} A_{\mu}+Q \partial_{\mu} c \\
& =\mathcal{L}_{v} A_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Finding Q transformation for all the ghost in SUGRA can be demanding problem. The difficulty comes from that the algebra in SUGRA is Not Lie algebra but "soft algebra" : field dependent structure constant.


## 2. What are the twisted variables in supergravity and $Q_{\text {eq }}$ - cohomology?

- For 1-loop determinant we will use index theory.

- Once we organize all the fields in this representation, then 1-loop determinant reduces to

$$
Z_{\text {1-loop }}=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{det}_{\Psi} H}{\operatorname{det}_{\Phi} H}}
$$

and this can be reproduce by computing the equivariant index.

## 2. What are the twisted variables in supergravity and $Q_{\text {eq }}$ - cohomology?

- and this can be reproduce by computing the equivariant index.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{10}\right)(t):=\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {Ker } D_{10}} e^{-i H t}-\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {Coker } D_{10}} e^{-i H t} \\
=\operatorname{Tr}_{\Phi} e^{-i H t}-\operatorname{Tr}_{\Psi} e^{-i H t} \\
\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{10}\right)(t)=\sum_{n} a(n) e^{-i \lambda_{n} t} \longrightarrow Z_{\text {l-loop }}=\prod_{n} \lambda_{n}^{-a(n)}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Thus the information of the cohomological variable is essential in this computation.
$\checkmark$ cf. [Bae, Imbimbo, Rey '15] [Imbimbo, Rosa '18] for use of twisting for supersymmetric solutions. Here all fluctuations.


1. What is $Q_{\mathrm{eq}}$ for SUGRA ?
2. What are the elementary variables $\Phi, \Psi$ ?

3. What is $Q_{\text {eq }}$ for SUGRA ? Background field method of BRST and its modification
[ de Wit, S. Murthy, V. Reys '18]
4. What are the elementary variables $\Phi, \Psi$ ?

Find a twisting of spinor variables

## Modified BRST

## Background field method of BRST

Split fields into background + quantum

$$
\phi^{i}=\dot{\phi}^{i}+\tilde{\phi}^{i}, \quad c^{\alpha} \rightarrow \dot{c}^{\alpha}+c^{\alpha}
$$

Then the usual BRST transformation for full fields are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \phi^{i} & =\Lambda(\stackrel{\circ}{c}+c)^{\alpha} R(\phi)_{\alpha}{ }^{i}, \\
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}}(\stackrel{i}{c}+c)^{\alpha} & =-\frac{1}{2}(\stackrel{\delta}{c}+c)^{\gamma} \Lambda(\stackrel{c}{c}+c)^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}}^{2}=0
$$

## Modified BRST

## Background field method of BRST

Split fields into background + quantum

$$
\phi^{i}=\dot{\phi}^{i}+\tilde{\phi}^{i}, \quad c^{\alpha} \rightarrow \dot{c}^{\alpha}+c^{\alpha}
$$

It is natural to to read off the transformation of quantum fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \dot{\phi}^{i}= & \Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \widetilde{\phi}^{i}= & \Lambda(c+\dot{c})^{\alpha} R(\phi)_{\alpha}^{i}-\Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\AA \dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \dot{c}^{\alpha}= & -\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\circ}{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\dot{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{brst}} c^{\alpha}= & -\frac{1}{2}(c+\stackrel{\circ}{c})^{\gamma} \Lambda(c+\stackrel{\circ}{c})^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\circ}{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\dot{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{brst}}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Modified BRST

## Background field method of BRST

Split fields into background + quantum

$$
\phi^{i}=\dot{\phi}^{i}+\tilde{\phi}^{i}, \quad c^{\alpha} \rightarrow \dot{c}^{\alpha}+c^{\alpha}
$$

It is natural to to read off the transformation of quantum fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\text {brst }} \dot{\phi}^{i}=\Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
& \delta_{\text {brst }} \widetilde{\phi}^{i}=\Lambda(c+\dot{c})^{\alpha} R(\phi)_{\alpha}{ }^{i}-\Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
& \delta_{\text {brst }} \dot{c}^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2} \dot{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\grave{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \\
& \delta_{\text {brst }} c^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2}(c+\dot{c})^{\gamma} \Lambda(c+\dot{c})^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\grave{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Simple! If the algebra is Lie algebra, then the transformation rule will reproduce the one of usual background field method in field theory.

## Modified BRST

## Fix the background.

- There is no background value of ghost, except the isometry

Choose $\stackrel{\circ}{c}^{\alpha}$ to be an isometry parameter (Killing spinor)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \dot{\phi}^{i}= \Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \widetilde{\phi}^{i}= \Lambda(c+\stackrel{\circ}{c})^{\alpha} R(\phi)_{\alpha}{ }^{i}-\Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{brst}} \dot{c}^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2} \dot{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\dot{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{brst}} c^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2}(c+\dot{c})^{\gamma} \Lambda(c+\stackrel{\circ}{c})^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\dot{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{brst}}{ }^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Modified BRST

## Fix the background.

- There is no background value of ghost, except the isometry

Choose $\stackrel{\circ}{c}^{\alpha}$ to be an isometry parameter (Killing spinor)

- For non-compact space, this isometry parameter is not normalizable, and is no longer gauge symmetry.
-We do not need to introduce additional ghost of ghost.


## Modified BRST

## Fix the background.

- There is no background value of ghost, except the isometry Choose $\stackrel{\circ}{c}^{\alpha}$ to be an isometry parameter (Killing spinor)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{eq}} \dot{\phi}^{i}=\Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} R(\phi)_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \quad \text { Isometry } \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{eq}} \widetilde{\phi}^{i}=\Lambda(\dot{c}+c)^{\alpha} R(\phi)_{\alpha}{ }^{i}-\Lambda \dot{c}^{\alpha} \alpha(\dot{\phi})_{\alpha}{ }^{i} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{eq}} \dot{c}^{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { Deformation } \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{eq}} c^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2}(\dot{c}+c)^{\gamma} \Lambda(\dot{c}+c)^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Then the algebra equivariantly closes to bosonic symmetry with rigid parameter.

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}=\delta_{\dot{\xi}}, \quad \dot{\xi}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{2} \dot{c}^{\gamma} \Lambda_{1} \dot{c}^{\beta} f(\dot{\phi})_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha}
$$

## Modified BRST

## Anti-ghost and auxiliary field

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathrm{eq}} b_{\alpha} & =\Lambda B_{\alpha} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{eq}} B_{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{2} \dot{c}^{\sigma} \Lambda \dot{c}^{\delta} f(\stackrel{\circ}{\phi})_{\delta \sigma}^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \alpha}{ }^{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2} b_{\alpha} & =\dot{\xi}^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \alpha}{ }^{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2} B_{\alpha} & =\dot{\xi}^{\beta} f(\phi)_{\beta \alpha}{ }^{\gamma} B_{\gamma}+\dot{\xi}^{\beta}(\stackrel{\circ}{c}+c)^{\kappa} R(\phi)_{\kappa}^{i} \partial_{i} f(\phi)_{\beta \alpha}{ }^{\gamma} b_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $f(\phi)_{\beta \alpha}{ }^{\gamma}$ is constant, then the algebra is closed.
- It is possible by the observation that the index $\beta$ is for bosonic symmetry.

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}=\stackrel{\circ}{\delta}_{\stackrel{\circ}{\xi}}
$$

## Application to supergravity

- Generically, for supergravity softness of $f(\phi)_{\beta \alpha}{ }^{\gamma}$ appears only from anti commutator of supersymmetries.
- For the case of supergravity, ( $\mathrm{D}=4 \mathrm{~N}=2$ superconformal gavity)
the "modified BRST" gives the equivariant symmetry

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}=\mathcal{L}_{\hat{v}}+\sum_{I, \text { bos }} \delta_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{3}^{I}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{v}{ }^{\mu} & :=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{c}{ }^{J} \stackrel{c}{c}^{I} f_{I J}{ }^{\mu}(\dot{\phi}), \\
\varepsilon_{3}^{I} & :=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{c^{K}}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} f_{J K} f^{I}(\dot{\phi}),
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matter coupled to supergravity

- General formulation can be applied in the same manner when matter coupled to supergravity.
-This formalism systemize the construction the equivariant charge that was constructed in SUSY gauge theories. :

For rigid limit of SUGRA coupled to YM theory recovers the field theory cf. Pestun '07, Hama-Hosomichi '12, David-Gava-Gupta-Narain '16

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \widetilde{\phi}_{m}^{i}=\stackrel{i}{c}^{A} R_{A}{ }^{i}\left(\dot{\phi}+\widetilde{\phi}_{m}\right)+c^{I} R_{I}{ }^{i}\left(\dot{\phi}+\widetilde{\phi}_{m}\right) \\
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} c^{I}=-\frac{1}{2}{ }_{c}{ }^{C}{ }_{c}{ }^{B}\left(f_{B C}{ }^{I}\left(\dot{\phi}+\widetilde{\phi}_{m}\right)-f_{B C}{ }^{I}(\dot{\phi})\right)+\frac{1}{2} c^{K} c^{J} f_{J K}{ }^{I} \\
& Q_{\text {eq }} b_{I}=B_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}=\mathcal{L}_{\hat{v}}+\sum_{A \in \text { bos }} \delta_{A}\left(\varepsilon_{3}^{A}\right)+\delta_{G}(\grave{a}), \\
& \stackrel{\circ}{a}^{I}=\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\circ}{c} \stackrel{\circ}{c}^{A} f_{A B}{ }^{I}(\dot{\phi}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Twisting and cohomological classification

- Reorganize the fields into the representation of cohomology complex.

- This reorganization is a change of variable: local and invertible
- Find an appropriate choice of twisting of spinors such that we can find the cohomological variables and the change of variables is non-singular.


## Twisted field and algebra

-1. Choose a way of twisting and make sure that it is invertible.
-2. Start with a given component $\phi_{R}$ of boson (or fermion) in some representation $R$ of gauge group. Lorentz , R-symmetry etc..
-3. Consider its variation $Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \phi_{R}$ which may be a composite combination of bosons and fermions with some coefficient made of Killing spinor and background value. Find a fermion $\psi_{R}$ of the same representation with $\phi_{R}$ which linearly appears.
-4. $\psi_{R}$ should not involve derivative, also the coefficient of this term should be regular. Otherwise the invertibility will not be guaranteed.

- 5. If we can find such $\psi_{R}$ then we classify the $\phi_{R}$ as the elementary bosonic variable in $\Phi$ and may exclude $\psi_{R}$ from the elementary fermionic variable $\Psi$.
-6. Keep the process until the end. If we fail, reconsider the twisting.


## Twisted field and algebra

-1. Choose a way of twisting and make sure that it is invertible.
-2. Start with a given component $\phi_{R}$ of boson (or fermion) in some representation R of gauge group. Lorentz , R-symmetry etc..
-3. Consider its variation $Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \phi_{R}$ which may be a composite combination of bosons and fermions with some coefficient made of Killing spinor and background value. Find a fermion $\psi_{R}$ of the same representation with $\phi_{R}$ which linearly appears.
-4. $\psi_{R}$ should not involve derivative, also the coefficient of this term should be regular. Otherwise the invertibility will not be guaranteed.

- 5. If we can find such $\psi_{R}$ then we classify the $\phi_{R}$ as the elementary bosonic variable in $\Phi$ and may exclude $\psi_{R}$ from the elementary fermionic variable $\Psi$.
-6. Keep the process until the end. If we fail, reconsider the twisting.
This procedure guarantees the invertibility if considering small fluctuation.
We assume it holds even for large fluctuation.


## Exercise: $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge multiple

- vector multiplet $\quad\left(A_{\mu}, X, \lambda^{i}, Y_{i j}\right)$ and $\mathrm{U}(1)$ ghost multiplet $\quad(b, c, B) \quad 1 \mathrm{~b}+2 \mathrm{~F}$ d.o.f.
- Choose a twisted variable using the production by $\left(\gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}, \gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon^{i}, \varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon^{j}\right)$

$$
\lambda=\bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \gamma_{5} \lambda^{i}, \quad \lambda_{\mu}=\bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \gamma_{\mu} \lambda^{i}, \quad \lambda^{i j}=-2 \varepsilon^{(i} C \lambda^{j)}
$$

inverse relation is

$$
\lambda^{i}=\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{j} \varepsilon^{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i} \lambda+\gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon^{i} \lambda_{\mu}+\epsilon_{j k} \varepsilon^{k} \lambda^{i j}\right)
$$

- cf. We could have used another twisting using $\left(\varepsilon^{i}, \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}, \varepsilon_{i j} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{j}\right)$


## Exercise: N=2 U(1) gauge multiple

- Investigate the variation and follow the procedure.
$10 \mathrm{~B}+10 \mathrm{~F}$ d.o.f. fall into a rep of this equivariant algebra

| $\Phi$ | $\Psi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{A}_{\mu}, \widetilde{X}_{2}$ | $\lambda^{i j}, b, c$ |

elementary bosons
elementary fermions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \widetilde{A}_{\mu}=\lambda_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} c \\
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \widetilde{X}_{2}=\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}} c=v^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{\mu}+\mathrm{i} \widetilde{X}_{1}\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \varepsilon^{i}\right)+\widetilde{X}_{2}\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}\right)
$$

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}} b=B
$$

$$
Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \lambda^{i j}=\bar{\varepsilon}_{k} \varepsilon^{k} Y^{i j}+2 \varepsilon^{(i} C \gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon^{j)} \partial_{\mu} X_{2}
$$

$$
+\varepsilon_{+}^{(i} C \gamma^{a b} \varepsilon_{+}^{j)}\left[F_{a b}^{-}-\frac{1}{8}\left(X_{1}-\mathrm{i} X_{2}\right) T_{a b}^{-}\right]
$$

$$
+\varepsilon_{-}^{(i} C \gamma^{a b} \varepsilon_{-}^{j)}\left[F_{a b}^{+}-\frac{1}{8}\left(X_{1}+\mathrm{i} X_{2}\right) T_{a b}^{+}\right]
$$

## Weyl multiplet

- Weyl multiplet $\quad\left(e_{\mu}^{a}, \psi_{\mu}^{i}, A_{\mu}^{D}, A_{\mu}^{R}, \mathcal{V}_{\mu j}^{i} ; T_{a b}^{i j}, \chi^{i}, D\right)$
- $24 \mathrm{~B}+24 \mathrm{~F}$ d.o.f. after removing gauge redundancies.
- $43 \mathrm{~B}+40 \mathrm{~F}$ d.o.f. if we keep all degree of freedom.
- Add $51 \mathrm{~B}+54 \mathrm{~F}$ ghost degree of freedom.
- Similar classification of 94B +94F fields as a representation of the equivariant algebra


## Weyl multiplet

| Local symmetry | Gauge fields | Degrees of freedom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g.c.t | $e_{\mu}^{a}$ | 16 B |
| Dilatation $D$ | $A_{\mu}^{D}$ | 4 B |
| Sp. conf. $K^{a}$ | $f_{\mu}^{a}$ | composite |
| Lorentz $M_{a b}$ | $\omega_{\mu}^{a b}$ | composite |
| $S O(1,1)_{R}$ | $A_{\mu}^{R}$ | 4 B |
| $S U(2)_{R}$ | $\mathcal{V}_{\mu j}^{i}$ | 12 B |
| $Q$-susy | $\psi_{\mu}^{i}$ | 32 F |
| $S$-susy | $\phi_{\mu}^{i}$ | composite |
|  | Auxiliary fields | Degrees of freedom |
|  | $T_{a b}^{ \pm}$ | 6 B |
|  | $\chi^{i}$ | 1 B |

## Ghost multiplets

| Local symmetry | Ghosts | Degrees of freedom |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g.c.t | $\left(c_{\mu}, b_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right)$ | 8 F | 4 B |
| Dilatation $D$ | $\left(c_{D}, b_{D}, B_{D}\right)$ | 2 F | B |
| Sp. conf. $K^{a}$ | $\left(c_{K}^{a}, b_{K}^{a}, B_{K}^{a}\right)$ | 8 F | 4 B |
| Lorentz $M_{a b}$ | $\left(c_{M}^{a b}, b_{M}^{a b}, B_{M}^{a b}\right)$ | 12 F | 6 B |
| $U(1)_{R}$ | $\left(c_{R}, b_{R}, B_{R}\right)$ | 2 F | 1 B |
| $S U(2)_{R}$ | $\left(c_{R j}^{i}, b_{R j}^{i}, B_{R j}^{i}\right)$ | 6 F | 3 B |
| $Q$-susy | $\left(c_{Q}^{i}, b_{Q}^{i}, B_{Q}^{i}\right)$ | 16 B | 8 F |
| $S$-susy | $\left(c_{S}^{i}, b_{S}^{i}, B_{S}^{i}\right)$ | 16 B | 8 F |

## Twisted variables

- By projection of Killing spinors, $\left(\gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}, \gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon^{i}, \varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon^{j}\right)$ or $\left(\varepsilon^{i}, \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}, \varepsilon_{i j} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{j}\right)$ we found a choice

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma_{5} \psi_{\mu}^{i}, \quad \psi_{\mu}^{a}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma^{a} \psi_{\mu}^{i}, \quad \psi_{\mu}^{i j}=-2 \varepsilon^{(i} C \psi_{\mu}^{j)}, \\
\chi=\varepsilon_{i} \chi^{i}, \quad \chi^{a}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma_{5} \gamma^{a} \chi^{i}, \quad \chi^{i j}=-2 \varepsilon^{(i} C \gamma_{5} \chi^{j)}, \\
c_{S}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma_{5} c_{S}^{i}, \quad c_{S}^{a}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma^{a} c_{S}^{i}, \quad c_{S}^{i j}=-2 \varepsilon^{(i} C c_{S}^{j)} \\
c_{Q}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma_{5} c_{Q}^{i}, \quad c_{Q}^{a}=\varepsilon_{i} \gamma^{a} c_{Q}^{i}, \quad c_{Q}^{i j}=-2 \varepsilon^{(i} C c_{Q}^{j)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Inverse relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\mu}^{i} & =\left(\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon^{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\psi_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}+\psi_{\mu}^{a} \gamma_{a} \varepsilon^{i}+\psi_{\mu}^{i j} \varepsilon_{j k} \varepsilon^{k}\right) \\
\chi^{i} & =\left(\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon^{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\chi \varepsilon^{i}+\chi^{a} \gamma_{a} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}+\chi^{i j} \varepsilon_{j k} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{k}\right) \\
c_{S}^{i} & =\left(\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon^{i}\right)^{-1}\left(c_{S} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}+c_{S}^{a} \gamma_{a} \varepsilon^{i}+c_{S}^{i j} \varepsilon_{j k} \varepsilon^{k}\right) \\
c_{Q}^{i} & =\left(\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon^{i}\right)^{-1}\left(c_{Q} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon^{i}+c_{Q}^{a} \gamma_{a} \varepsilon^{i}+c_{Q}^{i j} \varepsilon_{j k} \varepsilon^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variation of fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \widetilde{e}_{\mu}{ }^{a}= & \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \gamma^{a} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}+c^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} e_{\mu}^{a}+\partial_{\mu} c^{\nu} e_{\nu}^{a}+c^{a b} e_{\mu b}-c_{D} e_{\mu}^{a}+\overline{Q_{i}} \gamma^{a} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}, \\
Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}= & 2 \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left(\varepsilon+c_{Q}\right)^{i}+c^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}+\partial_{\mu} c^{\nu} \psi_{\nu}{ }^{i}+\frac{1}{4} c^{a b} \gamma_{a b} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}-\frac{1}{2} c_{D} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}-\frac{1}{2} c_{R} \gamma_{5} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i} \\
& +c^{i}{ }_{j} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}+\mathrm{i} \frac{1}{16} T^{a b} \gamma_{a b} \gamma_{\mu}\left(\varepsilon+c_{Q}\right)^{i}+\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}\left(\eta+c_{S}\right)^{i}, \\
= & 2 \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \varepsilon^{i}+\gamma_{\mu}{ }_{\mu} \gamma_{5} c_{S}^{i}+\mathrm{i} \frac{1}{16} \gamma_{a b}\left(T^{a b} \gamma_{\mu}-T^{\circ}{ }^{a b} \gamma_{\mu}\right) \varepsilon^{i}+2 \mathcal{D}_{\mu} c_{Q}^{i}+c^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}+\partial_{\mu} c^{\nu} \psi_{\nu}{ }^{i} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} c^{a b} \gamma_{a b} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}-\frac{1}{2} c_{D} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}-\frac{1}{2} c_{R} \gamma_{5} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}+c^{i}{ }_{j} \psi_{\mu}{ }^{i}+\mathrm{i} \frac{1}{16} \gamma_{a b} T^{a b} \gamma_{\mu} c_{Q}^{i}+\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mu} \gamma_{5} c_{S}^{i}+\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \eta^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

etc...
-Write them in terms of twisted variables and try to the cohomological classicfication.

## Cohomological classification

| $\Phi$ | $\Psi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{e}_{\mu}^{a}, \widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{R}, \widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{D}, \widetilde{T}_{a b}^{+/-}$ | $\psi_{\mu}, \psi_{\mu}^{i j}, \chi$, |
| $c_{Q}, c_{Q}^{i j}$, | $c^{\mu}, c_{M}^{a b}, c_{D}$, |
| $b_{Q}, b_{Q a}, b_{Q}^{i j}$, | $b_{\mu}, b_{M}^{a b}, b_{D}$, |
| $b_{S}, b_{S a}, b_{S}^{i j}$ | $b_{K}^{a}, b_{R}, b_{R j}^{i}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \tilde{e}_{\mu}{ }^{a}=\psi_{\mu}{ }^{a}+\cdots \\
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \psi_{\mu}=-c_{S \mu}+\widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{R} \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \varepsilon^{i}+\cdots, \\
& Q_{\mathrm{eq}} \psi_{\mu}^{i j}=\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu}{ }^{(i}{ }_{k} \epsilon^{j) k}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cohomological classification

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \Phi & \Psi \\
\hline \widetilde{e}_{\mu}^{a}, \widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{R}, \widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{D}, \widetilde{T}_{a b}^{+/-} & \psi_{\mu}, \psi_{\mu}^{i j}, \chi, \\
c_{Q}, c_{Q}^{i j}, & c^{\mu}, c_{M}^{a b}, c_{D}, \\
b_{Q}, b_{Q a}, b_{Q}^{i j}, & b_{\mu}, b_{M}^{a b}, b_{D}, \\
b_{S}, b_{S a}, b_{S}^{i j} & b_{K}^{a}, b_{R}, b_{R j}^{i} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- In terms of $S U(2)_{+} \times S U(2)_{-} \times S U(2)_{R}$

$$
\tilde{T}_{a b}^{+} \text {and } \tilde{T}_{a b}^{-} \quad(1,3,1) \text { and }(3,1,1) \text { but } \chi^{i j}(1,1,3)
$$

- Twisting procedure maps $\chi^{i j}$ to $\tilde{T}_{a b}^{-}$or $\tilde{T}_{a b}^{+}$depending on a point of manifold.

Index and 1-loop

## Atiyah-Bott fixed point formula

- We apply Atiyah-Bott fixed point formula to compute the index

$$
\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{10}\right)=\sum_{\{x \mid \widetilde{x}=x\}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{\Phi} e^{-i t H}-\operatorname{Tr}_{\Psi} e^{-i t H}}{\operatorname{det}(1-\partial \widetilde{x} / \partial x)}
$$

- There are two fixed point under H= L-J: One is the center of AdS2 with the north pole of S2, the other is the center of AdS2 with the south pole of S2



## Topological twisting

- At the fixed point, the twisting between $S U(2)_{R} \quad$ symmetry and one of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ in Lorentz group $S U(2)_{+} \times S U(2)_{-}$happen.
- At the fixed points, the chiral and anti chiral part of Killing spinor is reduced.
- At north pole : at $\eta=0$ and $\psi=0$

$$
\varepsilon_{+\alpha}^{i}=0, \quad \varepsilon_{-\dot{\alpha}}^{i} \propto\left(\sigma_{3}\right)^{i}{ }_{\dot{\alpha}}
$$

- At south pole: at $\eta=0$ and $\psi=\pi$

$$
\varepsilon_{+\alpha}^{i} \propto\left(\sigma_{3}\right)^{i}{ }_{\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon_{-\dot{\alpha}}^{i}=0
$$

- Therefore, $S U(2)_{R}$ symmetry is identified with the inverse of $S U(2)_{-}$ at north pole, the inverse of $S U(2)_{+}$at south pole.


## Twisted representation

- At the fixed point, the twisting between $\operatorname{SU}(2) \mathrm{R}$ symmetry and one of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ in Lorentz group $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ happen.

| $\Phi$ | $\mathrm{NP}: S U(2)_{+} \times S U(2)_{-R}$ rep <br> SP: $S U(2)_{+R} \times S U(2)_{-}$rep | $\Psi$ | $\mathrm{NP}: S U(2)_{+} \times S U(2)_{-R}$ rep <br> SP: $S U(2)_{+R} \times S U(2)_{-R}$ rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{e}_{\mu}^{a}$ | $(3,3)+(1,3)+(3,1)+(1,1)$ | $\psi_{\mu}$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $\widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{R}$ | $(2,2)$ | $\psi_{\mu}^{i j}$ | $(2,4)$ at $\mathrm{NP} /(4,2)$ at $\mathrm{SP}+(2,2)$ |
| $\widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{D}$ | $(2,2)$ | $\chi$ | $(1,1)$ |
| $T_{a b}^{+/-}$at $\mathrm{NP} / \mathrm{SP}$ | $(1,3)$ at $\mathrm{NP} /(3,1)$ at SP | $c_{\mu}$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $c_{Q}$ | $(1,1)$ | $c_{M}^{a b}$ | $(1,3)+(3,1)$ |
| $c_{Q}^{i j}$ | $(1,3)$ at $\mathrm{NP} /(3,1)$ at SP | $c_{D}$ | $(1,1)$ |
| $b_{Q}$ | $(1,1)$ | $b_{R}$ | $(1,1)$ |
| $b_{Q \mu}$ | $(2,2)$ | $b_{R}^{i}$ | $(1,3)$ at $\mathrm{NP} /(3,1)$ at SP |
| $b_{Q}{ }^{i j}$ | $(1,3)$ at $\mathrm{NP} /(3,1)$ at SP | $b_{\mu}$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $b_{S}$ | $(1,1)$ | $b_{D}$ | $(1,1)$ |
| $b_{S \mu}$ | $(2,2)$ | $b_{K}^{a}$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $b_{S}{ }^{i j}$ | $(1,3)$ at $\mathrm{NP} /(3,1)$ at SP | $b_{M}^{a b}$ | $(1,3)+(3,1)$ |

## 1-loop determinant

- Using the Atiyah-Bott fixed point formula,

$$
\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{10}\right)=\frac{2\left(q^{2}+q^{-2}\right)-6\left(q+q^{-1}\right)+8}{\left(1-q^{-1}\right)^{2}(1-q)^{2}} \times 2
$$

- From the index we read off

$$
Z_{1 \text {-loop }}\left(\phi^{I}\right)=\exp \left(-a_{0} \mathcal{K}\left(\phi^{I}\right)\right) \quad a_{0}^{\text {Weyl, bulk }}=\frac{11}{12}
$$

-Recall that the zero mode contribution of Weyl multiplet is [Sen]

$$
\left.a_{0}^{\text {Weyl, bdry }}=1 \text { ( }-3 \text { from bosons and }+4 \text { from fermions }\right)
$$

Adding this, we obtain

$$
a_{0}^{\mathrm{Weyl}}=23 / 12
$$

Which is consistent with on-shell computation.

## Summary and outlook

$\checkmark$ We have constructed the equivariant supercharge for $N=2$ SUGRA, and classified the cohomological variables with appropriate twisting of variables.
$\checkmark$ The index computation gives the 1-loop for Weyl multiplets, which agrees with onshell perturbative computation.
$\checkmark$ We hope that this work brings some clarity to the idea of twisting and localization in supergravity.
$\checkmark$ It may be useful in other directions.
$\checkmark$ Other systems can be interesting $A d S_{d+1} / C F T_{d}$
$\checkmark$ Relation of Twisting of supergravity to topological gravity?
[Witten '88] [Baulieu, Bellon, Reys '12] [Bae, Imbimbo, Rey, Rosa]

Thank you!

- The eigen value of H is $\frac{n}{\ell}$. How do we get 1 -loop which depends on localization saddle through the Kahler potential $e^{\mathcal{K}(C)}$ ?


## Integration measure

- Definition of the functional integration means

$$
\mathcal{D} X^{I}=\prod_{x, I} \mathrm{~d} X^{I}(x) \mathcal{J}(X)
$$

- The Jacobian can be determined by using "ultra locality argument",

> [Fujikawa, Yasuda ’84 ; Bern, Blau, Mottola ‘91 Moore, Nelson '86]

## Integration measure

- Consider the kinetic terms for graviton and scalars in the action

$$
\int \mathrm{d} x^{4} \sqrt{g} e^{-K}\left[R_{g}+N_{I J} \partial_{\mu} X^{I} \partial_{\nu} \bar{X}^{J} g^{\mu \nu}\right]
$$

- The metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ is not physical metric, which is related to the physical metric in Einstein frame.

$$
G_{\mu \nu}=g_{\mu \nu} e^{-K}, \quad e^{-K}=\frac{\ell_{P}^{2}}{\ell^{2}}
$$

- Then we get standard E-H action, and the kinetic terms of the scalars are

$$
\int \mathrm{d} x^{4} \sqrt{G} e^{K} N_{I J} \partial_{\mu} X^{I} \partial_{\nu} \bar{X}^{J} G^{\mu \nu}
$$

- Looking at the factors in front of each kinetic term, the definition of norm is dictated as

$$
\|\delta X\|^{2}:=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \sqrt{G} e^{K} N_{I J} \delta X^{I} \delta \bar{X}^{J}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \sqrt{g_{0}} \ell^{2} \ell_{P}^{2} N_{I J} \delta X^{I} \delta \bar{X}^{J}
$$

## Integration measure

- By the following normalization condition

$$
1=\int \mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \bar{X} e^{-\|\delta X\|^{2}}
$$

the integration measure is defined as

$$
\mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \bar{X}=\prod_{x, I} \mathrm{~d} X^{I}(x) \mathrm{d} \bar{X}^{I}(x) \operatorname{det}\left(\ell_{P}^{2} \ell^{2} N_{I J}\right)
$$

- Similarly, for all the fields we can define the measure.
- Note that the physical radius factor becomes, by localization, $\ell_{P}(X, \bar{X})=\ell_{P}(\vec{C})$
- The problem essentially becomes computation of the regularized power $\ell_{P}(\vec{C})$


## Integration measure

- In order to compute the regularized number, we first use the field redefinition

$$
\tilde{X}^{I}:=X^{I} \ell_{P}(\vec{C}) \ell, \quad \tilde{W}_{\mu}^{I}:=W_{\mu}^{I} \ell_{P}(\vec{C}), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

- and compute 1-loop partition function with these variables.
$\checkmark$ To relate the redefined bosonic and fermionic field, it also becomes natural to consider redefinition of the equivariant operator $\quad \tilde{Q}_{\text {eq }}:=\ell^{1 / 2} \ell_{P}^{-1 / 2} Q_{\mathrm{eq}}$ so that the eigenvalue of the square of the operator is in terms of physical length and so the result of the 1-loop is in terms of the physical length $\tilde{Q}_{\text {eq }}^{2} \rightarrow 1 / \ell_{P}$.


## Remark 2 : Boundary modes (Example for 1-form)

- Boundary modes(Discrete zero mode):
[Camporesi, Higuchi]
Since AdS2 is non-compact geometry, it forces us to consider

$$
W^{l}=d \Phi^{l}, \quad \Phi^{l}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi|l|}}\left[\frac{\sinh \eta}{1+\cosh \eta}\right]^{|l|} e^{i l \theta}, \quad l= \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots
$$

which do not vanish at the boundary of AdS2, but still normalizable.

- These modes not only make QV=0, but also the original action vanishing since the field strength is zero.
- Nevertheless, these are not pure gauge, because $\Phi^{l}$ are not normalizable.

Thus this mode cannot be gauged away, and we have to separately take into account it in the path integral. The regularized result is well understood.
[Sen, Gupta]

