

Equilibrium stability for non-uniformly hyperbolic maps

José F. Alves

TMU-ICTP School and Conference in
Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory

Tarbiat Modares University

May 6, 2018



Equilibrium states

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be continuous and X a compact metric space. Consider

- $\mathbb{P}(X)$ the space of probability measures on the Borel sets of X endowed with the *weak* topology*: $(\mu_n)_n$ converges to μ if

$$\int \phi d\mu_n \longrightarrow \int \phi d\mu, \quad \text{for all } \phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous;}$$

- $\mathbb{P}_T(X) \subset \mathbb{P}(X)$ the set of T -invariant probability measures;
- $h_\eta(T)$ the entropy of $\eta \in \mathbb{P}_T(X)$.

Given a continuous *potential* $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_T(X)$ is an *equilibrium state* for (T, ϕ) if

$$h_\mu(T) + \int \phi d\mu = \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{P}_T(X)} \left\{ h_\eta(T) + \int \phi d\eta \right\}.$$

Remark

- Measures of maximal entropy are equilibrium states for $\phi \equiv 0$.
- SRB measures are equilibrium states for $\phi = \log |\det DT|$.

The classical theory of equilibrium states for *discrete-time* dynamical systems goes back to the 1970's, with many contributions on their existence and uniqueness/finiteness:

- Shifts with finitely many symbols / uniformly hyperbolic systems:
 - ▶ *Bowen, Ruelle, Sinai, Walters*

And not so classical...

- Shifts with infinitely many symbols:
 - ▶ *Buzzi, Ledrappier, Lima, Sarig...*
- One-dimensional systems:
 - ▶ *Bruin, Demers, Hofbauer, Iommi, Keller, Pesin, Senti, Todd...*
- Non-uniformly hyperbolic systems:
 - ▶ *Arbieto, Climenhaga, Fisher, Leplaideur, Matheus, Oliveira, Ramos, Rios, Siqueira, Thompson, Varandas, Viana,...*

Once the existence is established, a natural question arises:

Under which conditions do these equilibrium states depend continuously on the dynamics/potential?

Equilibrium stability

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. Consider

- \mathcal{F} a set of C^1 local diffeomorphisms $f : M \rightarrow M$ endowed with the C^1 topology;
- $C^\alpha(M)$ the space of Hölder continuous potentials $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the C^α norm;
- $\mathcal{F} \times C^\alpha(M)$ endowed with the product topology;
- $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F} \times C^\alpha(M)$ such that each $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}$ has a unique equilibrium state $\mu_{f, \phi}$.

We say that \mathcal{H} is **equilibrium stable** if the function

$$\mathcal{H} \ni (f, \phi) \longmapsto \mu_{f, \phi} \in \mathbb{P}_f(M)$$

is continuous.

Uniformly expanding maps

A C^1 local diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is *uniformly expanding* if for some choice of a Riemannian metric in M there is $\sigma < 1$ such that for all $x \in M$

$$\|Df(x)^{-1}\| \leq \sigma.$$

Example

Consider $T^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $f : T^d \rightarrow T^d$ given by the quotient of a linear map having a diagonal matrix with integer eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \geq 2$.

Let \mathcal{E} be the set of C^1 uniformly expanding maps.

Theorem (Bowen)

Each $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{E} \times C^\alpha(M)$ has a unique equilibrium state $\mu_{f, \phi}$.

$\mathcal{E} \times C^\alpha(M)$ is equilibrium stable.

Hyperbolic potentials

Given $c > 0$, define $\Sigma_c(f)$ as the set of points $x \in M$ where

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log \|Df(f^i(x))^{-1}\| \leq -c.$$

We say that a continuous $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *c-hyperbolic* if the *topological pressure* of ϕ is equal to the *relative pressure* of ϕ on the set $\Sigma_c(f)$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \{(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{F} \times C^\alpha(M) : \phi \text{ is } c\text{-hyperbolic for } f\}$$

Theorem (Ramos-Viana)

Each $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c$ has finitely many equilibrium states. If $\{f^{-n}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in M for all $x \in M$, then $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c$ has a unique equilibrium state.

$$\mathcal{H}_c^* = \{(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c : \{f^{-n}(x)\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ is dense in } M \text{ for all } x \in M\}.$$

Main Theorem (A.-Ramos-Siqueira)

\mathcal{H}_c^* is equilibrium stable.

Example: nonuniformly expanding maps

Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a C^1 local diffeomorphism for which there are $\delta > 0$ small, $\sigma < 1$ and $A \subset M$ a (bad) domain of injectivity of f such that:

- (1) $\|Df^{-1}(x)\| < 1 + \delta$, for every $x \in A$;
- (2) $\|Df^{-1}(x)\| < \sigma$, for every $x \in M \setminus A$.

There is $c > 0$ such that if a Hölder continuous $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *small variation*, i.e.

$$\sup \phi - \inf \phi < \log \deg(f),$$

then ϕ is c -hyperbolic. Consider \mathcal{F} the class of C^1 local diffeomorphisms satisfying (1)-(2) and

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(f, \phi) : f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Hölder with small variation}\}.$$

It follows from our Main Theorem that \mathcal{H} is equilibrium stable.

Metric entropy

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable transformation preserving some probability measure μ . We define the **entropy of a partition**¹ \mathcal{P} as

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mu(P) \log \mu(P),$$

(with the convention that $0 \log 0 = 0$). Consider for each $n \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{P}^n = \bigvee_{k=0}^n T^{-k} \mathcal{P} = \left\{ P_0 \cap T^{-1}(P_1) \cap \cdots \cap T^{-n}(P_n) : P_0, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P} \right\},$$

define the **entropy of (T, μ) with respect to \mathcal{P}**

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}^n) = \inf_n \frac{1}{n} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}^n),$$

and the **entropy of (T, μ)**

$$h_\mu(T) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} h_\mu(\mathcal{P}, T).$$

¹A countable family of pairwise disjoint sets whose union has full μ -measure.

Topological pressure

Let X be a compact space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Given $\delta > 0$, $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the *dynamic ball*

$$B_n(x, \delta) = \{y \in X : \text{dist}(T^j(x), T^j(y)) < \delta, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Define

$$S_n\phi(x) = \phi(x) + \phi(T(x)) + \cdots + \phi(T^{n-1}(x))$$

and

$$S_{n,\delta}\phi(x) = \sup_{y \in B_n(x, \delta)} S_n\phi(y).$$

Consider for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{F}_N = \{B_n(x, \delta); x \in X \text{ and } n \geq N\}.$$

Given $\Lambda \subset X$, let $\mathcal{F}_N(\Lambda)$ be the set of at most countably many elements in \mathcal{F}_N which cover Λ . Define for a T -invariant set $\Lambda \subset X$, $\gamma > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$m_T(\phi, \Lambda, \delta, \gamma, N) = \inf_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{F}_N(\Lambda)} \left\{ \sum_{B_n(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{U}} e^{-\gamma n + S_{n,\delta}\phi(x)} \right\}.$$

Define

$$m_T(\phi, \Lambda, \delta, \gamma) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} m_T(\phi, \Lambda, \delta, \gamma, N),$$

and

$$P_T(\phi, \Lambda, \delta) = \inf \{ \gamma > 0 \mid m_T(\phi, \Lambda, \delta, \gamma) = 0 \}.$$

The *relative pressure* of ϕ on Λ is

$$P_T(\phi, \Lambda) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_T(\phi, \Lambda, \delta).$$

We call $P_T(\phi) := P_T(\phi, X)$ the *topological pressure of ϕ* . It satisfies

$$P_T(\phi) = \sup \{ P_T(\phi, \Lambda), P_f(\phi, X \setminus \Lambda) \}.$$

Theorem (Walters)

$$P_T(\phi) = \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{P}_T(X)} \left\{ h_\eta(T) + \int \phi d\eta \right\}.$$

Cones

Let E be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

A closed convex set $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{C} \subset E$ is called a **cone** if both

- $\forall \lambda \geq 0 : \lambda \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$; and
- $\mathcal{C} \cap (-\mathcal{C}) = \{0\}$.

A cone \mathcal{C} defines a partial order in E through the relation

$$x \leq y \iff y - x \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The cone is called **normal** if

$$\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x \leq y \implies \|x\| \leq \gamma \|y\|.$$

Example

Consider $E = C^0(M)$ with the usual sup norm $\| \cdot \|_0$ and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\varphi \in C^0(M) : \varphi \geq 0\}.$$

\mathcal{C} is a normal cone in E (with $\gamma = 1$).

A bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ is *positive* if

$$T(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

Note that if T is positive, then $T(x) \leq T(y)$ whenever $x \leq y$.

The *dual space* of E is

$$E^* = \{x^* : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid x^* \text{ is linear and bounded}\},$$

and the *dual operator* $T^* : E^* \rightarrow E^*$ is defined for each $x^* \in E^*$ by

$$T^*(x^*) = x^* \circ T.$$

Lemma (Mazur)

Let E be a Banach space partially ordered by a normal cone \mathcal{C} with non-empty interior and $T : E \rightarrow E$ a positive bounded operator. Then the spectral radius of T is an eigenvalue of T^ .*

The *spectral radius* of T is

$$\lambda_T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|T^n\|}.$$

Transfer operator

Define for $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c$ the *transfer operator*

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi} : C^0(M) \longrightarrow C^0(M)$$

which associates to each $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the continuous function

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}(\varphi) : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}\varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} e^{\phi(y)} \varphi(y).$$

Considering $C^0(M)$ ordered by the cone of non-negative functions, we have that $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}$ is a positive bounded linear operator.

By Riesz-Markov Theorem, we may think of its *dual operator*

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^* : \mathbb{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(M).$$

For every $\varphi \in C^0(M)$ and every $\eta \in \mathbb{P}(M)$ we have

$$\int \varphi \, d\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^* \eta = \int \mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}(\varphi) \, d\eta.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^n \varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x)} e^{S_n \phi(y)} \varphi(y).$$

Moreover

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^n\| = \|\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^n 1\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Using this, we can easily see that the spectral radius $\lambda_{f,\phi}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}$ satisfies

$$\deg(f)e^{\inf \phi} \leq \lambda_{f,\phi} \leq \deg(f)e^{\sup \phi}.$$

Reference measure

Consider $C^0(M)$ ordered by the cone \mathcal{C} of non-negative functions.
Consider $\lambda_{f,\phi}$ the spectral radius of $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}$. By Mazur Lemma we have

Lemma

There exists a probability measure $\nu_{f,\phi}$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^ \nu_{f,\phi} = \lambda_{f,\phi} \nu_{f,\phi}$.*

Lemma (Ramos-Viana)

If $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c$, then $\lambda_{f,\phi} = e^{P_f(\phi)}$.

Next goal: $\lambda_{f,\phi}$ is the only real eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^*$ for $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c^*$.

Hyperbolic times

We say that n is a *hyperbolic time* for x if

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=n-k}^{n-1} \log \|Df(f^j(x))^{-1}\| \leq -\frac{c}{2}, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k < n.$$

Lemma (Pliss)

Each $x \in \Sigma_c(f)$ has infinitely many hyperbolic times.

Let H_n be the set of points for which n is a hyperbolic time.

Lemma (A.-Bonatti-Viana)

There is $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $x \in H_n$ and $\varepsilon \leq \delta_1$, then the dynamic ball $B_n(x, \varepsilon)$ is mapped diffeomorphically onto $B(f^n(x), \varepsilon)$. Moreover, for all $y, z \in B_n(x, \varepsilon)$ and all $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have

$$d(f^{n-k}(y), f^{n-k}(z)) \leq e^{-ck/4} d(f^n(y), f^n(z)).$$

Eigenmeasures

Assume that $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^* \nu = \lambda \nu$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{P}(M)$.

Lemma (Existence of Jacobian)

If $f^k|_A$ is injective, then

$$\nu(f^k(A)) = \int_A \lambda^k e^{-S_k \phi} d\nu.$$

Lemma (Gibbs property)

For each $\varepsilon \leq \delta_1$ there exists $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that *if n is a hyperbolic time for $x \in \text{supp}(\nu)$* , then for all $y \in B_n(x, \varepsilon)$

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu(B_n(x, \varepsilon))}{\exp(S_n \phi(y) - n \log \lambda)} \leq C.$$

Assume now that $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c^*$.

Proposition

$\lambda_{f,\phi} = e^{P_f(\phi)}$ is the only real eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^*$.

Lemma

$\text{supp}(\nu) = M$.

Given any open set $U \subset M$, we have $M \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f^k(U)$. Decompose U into subsets $V_i(k) \subset U$ such that $f^k|_{V_i(k)}$ is injective. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \nu(M) &\leq \sum_k \nu(f^k(U)) \leq \sum_k \sum_i \int_{V_i(k)} \lambda^k e^{-S_k \phi(x)} d\nu \\ &\leq \sum_k \lambda^k \sum_i \sup_{x \in V_i(k)} (e^{S_k \phi(x)}) \nu(V_i(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists some $V_i(k) \subset U$ such that $\nu(U) \geq \nu(V_i(k)) > 0$.

Proof of Proposition

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\Sigma_c(f) \subset \bigcup_{n \geq N} \bigcup_{x \in H_n} B_n(x, \varepsilon).$$

Besicovitch Lemma gives a subcovering \mathcal{U} with bounded overlaps.

For any $\gamma > \log \lambda$, by Gibbs property there is $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(\varepsilon) > 0$ s.t.

$$\sum_{B_n(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{U}} e^{-\gamma n + S_{n, \varepsilon} \phi(x)} \leq \tilde{C} \sum_{n \geq N} e^{-(\gamma - \log \lambda)n} \leq \tilde{C} e^{-(\gamma - \log \lambda)N}$$

Taking limit in N we obtain

$$m_f(\phi, \Sigma_c(f), \varepsilon, \gamma) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} m_f(\phi, \Sigma_c(f), \varepsilon, N, \gamma) = 0,$$

which then gives $P_f(\phi, \Sigma_c(f)) \leq \log \lambda$. Since ϕ is c -hyperbolic

$$\log \lambda \leq \log \lambda_{f, \phi} = P_f(\phi) = P_f(\phi, \Sigma_c(f)) \leq \log \lambda.$$

Remark

\mathcal{H}_c^* can be replaced by \mathcal{H}_c if $\Sigma_c(f) \subset \text{supp}(\nu)$ for any eigenmeasure ν .

Continuity of transfer operators

Consider in $C^\alpha(M)$ the seminorm

$$|\varphi|_\alpha = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{\text{dist}(x, y)^\alpha}$$

and the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_\alpha = \|\varphi\|_0 + |\varphi|_\alpha.$$

As we are considering f and ϕ Hölder, we can easily see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}(C^\alpha(M)) \subset C^\alpha(M).$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(C^\alpha(M))$ be the space of bounded linear maps from $C^\alpha(M)$ to $C^\alpha(M)$, with $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ in the first space and $\|\cdot\|_0$ in the second one. Define

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{H}_c^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(C^\alpha(M))$$

assigning to each $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c^*$ the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}$ to $C^\alpha(M)$.

Lemma

Γ is continuous.

Let $(f_n, \phi_n)_n$ be any sequence in \mathcal{H}_c^* converging to $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c^*$.
 For each $x \in M$ and $i = 1, \dots, \deg(f)$ consider y_i such $f(y_i) = x$.
 Since $\deg(f_n) = \deg(f)$ for large n , for each $i = 1, \dots, \deg(f)$ there is a
 unique $y_{i,n}$ close to y_i such that $f(y_{i,n}) = x$. Moreover,

$$y_{i,n} \rightarrow y_i, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi} - \mathcal{L}_{f_n,\phi_n}\| &= \sup_{\|\psi\|_\alpha \leq 1} \|\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}(\psi) - \mathcal{L}_{f_n,\phi_n}(\psi)\|_0 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\psi\|_\alpha \leq 1} \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{\deg(f)} |\psi(y_i)| |e^{\phi(y_i)} - e^{\phi_n(y_{i,n})}| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|\psi\|_\alpha \leq 1} \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{\deg(f)} |e^{\phi_n(y_{i,n})}| |\psi(y_i) - \psi(y_{i,n})|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(y_{i,n})_n$ converges to y_i and $(\phi_n)_n$ converges to ϕ , each term in the last inequality converges to zero.

Continuity of the pressure

Theorem

The function $\mathcal{H}_c^ \ni (f, \phi) \mapsto P_f(\phi) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.*

Given any sequence $(f_n, \phi_n)_n$ in \mathcal{H}_c^* converging to $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c^*$, let

- $\lambda_n = e^{P_{f_n}(\phi_n)}$ the spectral radius of $\mathcal{L}_{f_n, \phi_n}$,
- $\lambda = e^{P_f(\phi)}$ be the spectral radius of $\mathcal{L}_{f, \phi}$.

For all n we have

$$\deg(f_n)e^{\inf \phi_n} \leq \lambda_n \leq \deg(f_n)e^{\sup \phi_n}.$$

The convergence of (f_n, ϕ_n) to (f, ϕ) gives that $(\lambda_n)_n$ is bounded, thus having some accumulation point $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$. As $\lambda_{f, \phi} = e^{P_f(\phi)}$ is the only real eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{f, \phi}^*$, to prove the Theorem above we are left to

Next goal: $\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue for $\mathcal{L}_{f, \phi}^*$.

Taking subsequences, we may assume that there is $\nu \in \mathbb{P}(M)$ such that

$$\nu_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n \longrightarrow \bar{\lambda}.$$

We need to see that $\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^*(\nu) = \bar{\lambda}\nu$. Since $C^\alpha(M)$ is dense in $C^0(M)$, it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^*(\nu)(\psi) = \bar{\lambda}\nu(\psi), \quad \forall \psi \in C^\alpha(M).$$

For all $\psi \in C^\alpha(M)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}^*(\nu)(\psi) &= \nu(\mathcal{L}_{f,\phi}(\psi)) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &= \nu\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_{f_n,\phi_n}(\psi)\right) && (\Gamma \text{ is continuous}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(\mathcal{L}_{f_n,\phi_n}(\psi)) && (\nu \text{ is continuous}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(\mathcal{L}_{f_n,\phi_n}(\psi)) && (\nu_n \rightarrow \nu) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_{f_n,\phi_n}^*(\nu_n)(\psi) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n \nu_n(\psi) && (\nu_n \text{ eigenmeasure}) \\ &= \bar{\lambda}\nu(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Convergence to equilibrium

Considering again a sequence $(f_n, \phi_n)_n$ in \mathcal{H}_c converging to $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_c$, let now

- μ_n be the equilibrium state for (f_n, ϕ_n) ;
- μ_0 be a weak* accumulation point of $(\mu_n)_n$.

To prove our Main Theorem...

Next goal: μ_0 is an equilibrium state for (f, ϕ) .

Lemma

μ_0 is an f -invariant measure.

Since each μ_n is f_n -invariant we have for any $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous

$$\int \varphi \circ f_n d\mu_n = \int \varphi d\mu_n \longrightarrow \int \varphi d\mu_0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, to verify the f -invariance of μ_0 it suffices to prove that

$$\int \varphi \circ f_n d\mu_n \longrightarrow \int \varphi \circ f d\mu_0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varphi \circ f_n d\mu_n - \int \varphi \circ f d\mu_0 \right| &\leq \left| \int \varphi \circ f_n d\mu_n - \int \varphi \circ f d\mu_n \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int \varphi \circ f d\mu_n - \int \varphi \circ f d\mu_0 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Variational Principle

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_f(\phi) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_{f_n}(\phi_n) && \text{(previous Theorem)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(h_{\mu_n}(f_n) + \int \phi_n d\mu_n \right) && \text{(Walters Thm. + } \mu_n \text{ eq. state)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_{\mu_n}(f_n) + \int \phi d\mu_0 && (\phi_n \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \mu_n \rightarrow \mu) \end{aligned}$$

Final goal: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_{\mu_n}(f_n) \leq h_{\mu_0}(f).$

Generating partitions

Given a partition \mathcal{P} and $x \in M$, consider $P^n(x)$ the element in $\bigvee_{k=0}^n f^{-k}\mathcal{P}$ containing the point x . Notice that

$$P^{n+1}(x) \subset P^n(x), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (*)$$

A partition \mathcal{P} with finite entropy such that for μ almost every $x \in M$ we have $\text{diam } P^n(x) \rightarrow 0$ is called a **generating partition** for (f, μ) .

Lemma (Araújo)

Let $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w^} \mu_0$ and \mathcal{P} be a generating partition for all (f_n, μ_n) such that $\mu_0(\partial\mathcal{P}) = 0$. Then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_n}(f_n) \leq h_{\mu_0}(f)$.*

By $(*)$, if we find a subsequence of times $(n_k)_k$ such that $\text{diam } P^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow 0$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, then \mathcal{P} is a generating partition.

Final step

- Consider $\delta_1 > 0$ given by A.-Bonatti-Viana Lemma.
- Let \mathcal{P} be a finite partition of M with $\text{diam}(\partial P) < \delta_1$ and $\mu_0(\partial \mathcal{P}) = 0$.
- As each $x \in \Sigma_c(f)$ has infinitely many hyperbolic times we have (almost) finished the proof.

Proposition (Ramos-Viana)

If μ is an equilibrium state for $f \in \mathcal{H}_c$, then $\mu(\Sigma_c(f)) = 1$.

References



J. F. A., V. Ramos, J. Siqueira,
Equilibrium stability for non-uniformly hyperbolic systems,
Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, to appear.



V. Ramos, M. Viana,
Equilibrium states for hyperbolic potentials,
Nonlinearity 30 (2017) n.2, 825–847.

Thank you!