# The role of upper tropospheric cloud systems in climate: building observational metrics for Process Evaluation Studies (PROES)

**Geven** UTCC PROES: on Upper Tropospheric Clouds & Convection • advance understanding on feedback of UT clouds

### Claudia Stubenrauch Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique / IPSL, France



**& UTCC PROES Participants** 

3 Jul 2018, 2<sup>nd</sup> WCRP meeting on Monsoons & Tropical Rain Belts, Trieste, Italy

## **Motivation**

UT clouds cover 30% of the Earth



Snapshot AIRS-CIRS UT clouds: dark -> light blue, according to decreasing  $\varepsilon_{cld}$ 

UT clouds play a vital role in climate system by modulating Earth's energy budget & UT heat transport

convective tropical regions: > 50% radiative heating by cirrus (Sohn 1999)

They often form mesoscale systems extending over several hundred kilometres, as outflow of convective / frontal systems or in situ by large-scale forcing

large-scale modelling necessary to identify most influential feedback mechanisms
=> models should be in agreement with observations

**Goals**: - understand relation between convection, cirrus anvils & radiative heating - provide obs. based metrics to evaluate detrainment processes in models



# **UTCC PROES Strategy**

working group links communities from observations, radiative transfer, transport, process & climate modelling

meetings: Nov 2015, Apr 2016, Mar 2017

focus on tropical convective systems & cirrus originating from large-scale forcing

- cloud system approach, anchored on IR sounder data horizontal extent & convective cores/cirrus anvil/thin cirrus **based on**  $p_{cld}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{cld}$
- explore relationships between 'proxies' of convective strength & anvils
- **build synergetic data** (vert. dimension, atmosph. environment, temporal res.)
- **determine heating rates** of different parts of UT cloud systems
- follow snapshots by Lagrangian transfer -> evolution & feedbacks  $\succ$
- investigate how cloud systems behave in CRM studies

**& in GCM simulations** (under different parameterizations of convection/detrainment/microphysics) 2

# Why using IR Sounders to derive cirrus properties ?

TOVS, ATOVS >1979 / ≥ 1995: 7:30/ 1:30 AM/PM AIRS, CrIS ≥2002 / ≥ 2012 : 1:30 AM/PM IASI (1,2,3), IASI-NG ≥2006 / ≥ 2012 / ≥ 2020 : 9:30 AM/PM



Iong time series & good areal coverage

➢ good IR spectral resolution -> sensitive to cirrus

day & night,  $COD_{vis} > 0.2$ , also above low clouds

# **Stubenrauch et al.,** J. Clim. 1999, 2006; ACP 2010, ACP 2017

AIRS / IASI cloud climatologies -> French data centre AERIS HIRS cloud climatology -> EUMETSAT CM-SAF (DWD)

Stubenrauch et al., ACP 2017



Changes in occurrence of Cb & thin Ci clouds relative to all clouds per °C warming show different geographical patterns slight tropical increase in Ci, thCi rel to all clouds

-> change in heating gradients

# From cloud retrieval to cloud systems

clouds are extended objects, driven by dynamics -> organized systems

Method: 1) group adjacent grid boxes with high clouds of similar height (p<sub>cld</sub>)



Protopapadaki et al. ACP 2017

**2)** use  $\varepsilon_{cld}$  to distinguish convective core, thick cirrus, thin cirrus (only IR sounder)



**30N-30S: UT cloud systems cover 25%, those without convective core 5% 50% of these originate from convection** (Luo & Rossow 2004, Riihimaki et al. 2012)



# **Goal: relate anvil properties to convective strength**

### Strategy: need proxies

to identify convective cores

 $\mathcal{E}_{cld} > 0.98$  (compared to AMSR-E rain rate)

- to identify mature convective systems system core fraction : 0.1 – 0.3 (reaching max core size)
- to describe convective strength : T<sub>min</sub><sup>Cb</sup> (Protopapadaki et al. 2017) core temp. T<sub>R</sub><sup>IR</sup> (Machado & Rossow 1993) vertical updraft : CloudSat Echo Top Height / TRMM / conv mass transport (Takahashi & Luo 2014 /Liu & Zipser 2007, Mullendore et al. 2008) LNB : soundings / max mass flux outflow (Takahashi & Luo 2012) heavy rain area: CloudSat-AMSR-E-MODIS (Yuan & Houze 2010) core width : CloudSat (Igel et al. 2014) mass flux : ERA-Interim + Lagrangian approch (Tissier et al. 2016) A-Train + 1D cld model (Masunaga & Luo 2016)

Opaque:

 $0.5 < \epsilon_{cld} < 0.98 \epsilon_{cld} > 0.98$ 

Thin Cirrus! Cirrus:

distinguished by IR sounder

E\_\_\_<0.5

## convective strength -> cloud system properties



**cloud system size / max rain rate** increase with convective depth (colder cloud tops), but **land – ocean** differences :

at same height continental cloud systems stronger convective rain rate & smaller size

colder cores -> stronger max RR => T<sup>cb</sup><sub>min</sub> proxy for convective strength

TRMM study (Liu et al. 2007): larger updraft & convective cores, but smaller cloud systems smaller updraft & convective cores, but larger cloud systems

CloudSat study (Takahashi et al. 2017):

less entrainment - stronger entrainment

## convective strength -> anvil properties



*Mature convective systems:* increase of thin Ci with increasing convective strength ! similar land / ocean *relation robust* using different proxies :  $T_{min}^{Cb}$  / LNB(max mass)

Why ? H1: UT environmental predisposition (at higher altitude larger RH, T stratification) H2: UT humidification from cirrus outflow -> CRM studies

### **Characteristics of deep convection from CRM simulations**

*S. van den Heever*, UTCC PROES meeting 2017

advance our understanding of environmental impacts on horizontal & vertical scales of tropical deep convection; convective anvil dynamic & radiative feedbacks



decrease in IR cooling -> slowing radiatively driven circulation

# UT cloud system approach to assess the LMDZ model

#### analyze GCM clouds as seen from AIRS/IASI, via simulator M. Bonazzola, LMD & construct UT cloud systems

-> evaluation of GCM convection schemes / detrainment / microphysics

#### Goal: build coherent v<sub>m</sub>- De parameterization



nominal fall speed & precipitation efficiency  $v_m = c x f(IWC),$  De = f(T),  $\varepsilon = f(De, IWC)$ 

scaled v<sub>m</sub> too small compared to observations

 $v_m = c x f(IWC, T)$ 

#### Heymsfield et al. 2007 v<sub>m</sub> increase with IWC stronger towards warm T

#### Deng & Mace 2008

v<sub>m</sub> increase with IWC *weaker* towards warm T





D<sub>m</sub> from PSD moment parameterization of *Field 2007*, v<sub>m</sub>=f(D<sub>m</sub>); De=f(v<sub>m</sub>) *Heymsfield 2013, 2003* Rad. balance via precip. efficiency, UT hum variability



Ecid

# process-oriented UT cloud system behaviour



implementing T dependency of  $v_m$  -> larger spread in  $T^{cb}_{min}$ , in better agreement to observations integrating  $v_m$  –De very promising: leads to more realistic core size development !

Next steps: DM08 without scaling factor & De(v<sub>m</sub>) more sensitivity studies on parameters used for radiation balance integrating single scattering properties developed by Baran 2016 from PSD's of F07

#### convective – anvil heating latent (LH) – radiative (RH)

#### Schumacher et al. 2004



# latent heating from TRMM : column precipitation & cloud profile

*C. Schumacher* UTCC PROES meeting 2017

tropical stratiform rain leads to high peak in heating & cooling below deep convective rain leads to broad atmospheric warming

#### Sensitivities of TRMM & CloudSat radar

Li & Schumacher 2010



TRMM radar misses 5 km to cloud top & factor of 5 in horizontal extent

#### TRMM LH – ISCCP RH synergy

Li et al. 2013

total radiative heating enhances gradient of latent heating at upper levels (e.g., 250 mb), esp. over Africa, Maritime Continent & South America & enhances overall LH by ~20%

# heating rates of UT cloud systems

UT heating due to cirrus -> impact on large-scale tropical atmospheric circulation



#### Heating will be affected by:

- areal coverage
   emissivity distribution
- vertical structure of cirrus anvils (layering & microphysics)

propagate nadir track info on vertical structure across UT cloud systems

#### AIRS –CloudSat-CALIPSO synergy

categorize NASA CloudSat FLXHR-LIDAR heating rates wrt to  $\epsilon_{cld}$ ,  $p_{cld}$ , vert. layering, thermodyn.



clear distinction of heating associated with each category

thin Ci heating increases with convective strength

# **Summary & Outlook**

GEWEX UTCC PROES: cooperations being formed, focusing on tropical convective systems coord. C. Stubenrauch & G. Stephens https://gewex-utcc-proes.aeris-data.fr

next meeting : 22-23 Oct 2018 in Paris

- AIRS & IASI cloud climatologies will be distributed by AERIS
   & be part of an updated GEWEX Cloud Assessment database (end 2018)
- synergetic UT cloud system approach based on IR sounder data powerful tool
   1) to study relation between convection & anvil properties:
   emissivity structure of mature systems changes with convective strength:
   more surrounding thin cirrus

2) for process based metrics to evaluate GCM parameterizations linked to convection/detrainment/microphysics (*fallspeed – De*)

- categorization of heating rates (A-Train synergy) wrt to ε<sub>cld</sub>, p<sub>cld</sub> shows clear distinction thin Ci heating larger for colder systems
- **propogate heating rates across UT cloud systems & integrate into feedback studies** using Lagrangian transport & advanced analysis methods

□ investigate mechanisms leading to emissivity structure in CRM RCE studies (large domain)