Revisit to Globally Coupled Maps after 30 year ; Hierarchical Clustering, Chaotic Griffith Phase, and High-dimensional-Torus-Chaos Transition Kunihiko Kaneko, U Tokyo

Somplex Systems

1989-90

Chaos

Beyond

Brief review: GCM,

- Clustering ...? Chimera?
- Chaotic Itinerancy
 1989-90
- CI as Milnor Attractor Networks 1997-98

Dominance of Milnor Attractors for N>5 2002

Chaotic Griffiths Phase in Coupled Map Network

Chaos on/near High-dim Torus in Globally Coupled Circle Maps 2019 <u>Beyo</u> My current study: Universal Biology Low-dimensional structure formed from highdimensional phenotypic space \leftarrow robustness (Furusawa, KK, Phys Rev E, 2018, KK, Furusawa, Ann Rev Biophys 2018)



Micro-Macro Consistency Between different levels (molecule-cell-organism--) (slow genetic change – fast phenotypic dynamics \rightarrow Universal law

(KK FurusawaYomo PRX2015) **Evolutionary LeChatelier Principle** (Furusawa KK Interface 2015) **Evolutionary-Fluctuation-Response** +Vg-Vip Law (Sato et al2003,KK2006) $(\rightarrow$ direction in phenotypic evolution)

δX_i^{Gen}(10)

-2



mu=1e-2 -3 Universal law for adaptation

mu=1e-4

mu=5e-4 mu=5e-3



Complex System--Dynamics: a prototypic model Multi-level

mean-field model for coupled map lattice

KK PRL1989 PhysicaD 1990

$$x_{n+1}(i) = (1 - \epsilon)f(x_n(i)) + \frac{\epsilon}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_n(j)),$$

Globally coupled map (no spatial structure)⁽¹⁾ (1989,KK)
logistic map $f(x) = 1 - ax^2$
Equivalent with $f(z) = rz(1-z)$
Cf Coupled map lattice \Rightarrow space-time chaos (1984,KK)
 $x_{n+1}(i) = (1 - \epsilon)f(x_n(i))$
 $+ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon[f(x_n(i+1)) + f(x_n(i-1))],$
(2)

Cf. synchronized state is stable if

 $\lambda_0 + \log(1-\epsilon) < 0.$

Synchronization of all elements with chaos is possible



Clustering

3-clusters, with each synchronized oscillation

Differentiation of behavior of identical elements and identical interaction

Cluster of synchronized elements + non-synchronized elements

 $x_n(t)$

Desynchronized





ig. 1. Schematic figure for clusterings: (a) Coherent attractor.) Few clusters (k = 3). (c) Many-cluster attractor with unjual partition. (d) Many-cluster attractor with k = N.





Partition Complexity in Hierarchically Clustered States

Similarity with spinglass



Fluctuation in partition remains even in N -> ∞ (KK, J. Phys 1992)

```
Remark 1:
 clustering by
(i) 'phase of oscillation',
(ii) 'amplitude',
(iii) 'frequency'
IN GCM mainly (i) (+ (ii),(iii))
  (discussion with Walter Freeman around 1990, on the
application to neuroscience) cf. clustering \rightarrow (cell)
differentiation (Furusawa,KK, Science 2012)
Remark 2
                                              (kk,1989,90,.)
 often large cluster + other desynchronized
      e.g. (N-k, 1,1,1,...1) or (N-k', 2,..2,1...,1)
Chimera? .... no spatial structure
 (but global+ local can retain some spatial structure)
(Ouchi, KK 2000 Chaos)
Additional Remark: valid for continuous time (GC-Roessler, GCGL)
```

Chaotic Itinerancy:

effective degrees of freedom go down \rightarrow stay at low-dimensional states ('attractor-ruin') \rightarrow move back to high-dim chaotic state \rightarrow come to another low-dim attractor-ruins *(in general)* In GCM, formation/collapse of (almost) synchronized cluster









図 14: カオス的遍歴の模式

Commonly observed in high-dimensional dynamical system with (global or long-ranged interaction) GCM (89)

Neural network dynamics (Tsuda 1990) Optical turbulence (Ikeda 1989) KK Tsuda (Chaos 2003) - special issue with a variety of examples currently actively studied in neuroscience One possible interpretation of CI:

Network of 'Milnor-attractors ~ attractor ruins'

Milnor attractor -- without asymptotic stability

(attractor and its basin boundary touches)

- i.e., any small perturbation from it can kick the orbit out of the attractor, while it has a finite measure of basin
- Observed; Milnor attractors large portion of basin

for the partially ordered phase in GCM (kk,PRL97,PhysicaD98)

CI --- attraction to / leave from Milnor attractors



Due to the symmetry there are

$$M(N_1, \ldots, N_k) = (N! / \prod_{i=1}^k N_i!) \prod_{\text{oversets of } N_i = N_i} (1/m_\ell!)$$

attractors of the same clusterings -combinatorially many increase with the order of (N-1)! or so (KK,PRL89) Attractors that collide with their basin boundary ($\sigma_c=0$), yet have large basin volume



("Milnor Attractor")





Fig. 7. Dependence of σ_c on the parameter *a*, for N = 100. By measuring σ_c for attractors fallen from 10^4 random initial conditions, a histogram of $\log_{10} \sigma_c$ is constructed with a bin size 0.1. The number of initial conditions leading to $\log_{10} \sigma_c$ within

1.62

1.68

1.58

-3.5

1.5

1.54

1.56

1.52

-4

٥.



FIG. 3. The number of attractors (+) estimated from simulations over 10^5 initial conditions. The estimated number of attractors is plotted as a function of *a*. N=10. All attractors that are concluded to exist by the symmetry argument are also counted. The basin fraction of Milnor attractors obtained in the same way as in

The fraction of basin (i.e. initial values) for Milnor attractors, Plotted as a function of Logistic map parameter

Note! Fraction is almost 1 for some region

Result for N=10,50,100



Fig. 9. The basin volume ratio of Milnor attractors with the change of *a*. For each *a*, we take 1000 initial conditions, and iterate the dynamics over 100000 steps to get an attractor. We check if the orbit returns to the original attractor, by perturbing each attractor by $\sigma = 10^{-7}$ over 100 trails. If the orbit does not return at least for one of the trails, the attractor is counted as a Milnor one. For N = 10, the ratio is measured for 1.5 < a < 1.7 with the increment 0.001, while for larger sizes it is measured only for 1.62 < a < 1.7 with the increment 0.01.

One possible interpretation of CI:

Network of 'Milnor-attractors ~ attractor ruins'

Milnor attractor -- without asymptotic stability

(attractor and its basin boundary touches)

- i.e., any small perturbation from it can kick the orbit out of the attractor, while it has a finite measure of basin
- Observed; Milnor attractors large portion of basin

for the partially ordered phase in GCM (kk,PRL97,PhysicaD98)

CI --- attraction to / leave from Milnor attractors

The Milnor attractors become dominant around N > (5-8)



FIG. 1. The basin fraction of Milnor attractors plotted as a funcion of the parameter *a*, for N=3, 5, 7, and 9. For the present simulations, we take 1000 randomly chosen initial conditions, and terate 10⁵ steps. Then the orbit is perturbed as $x_n(i) + 10^{-10}\sigma_i$,

The Milnor attractors become dominant around N $> \sim (5-8)$



FIG. 2. The average fraction of the basin ratio of Milnor attractors. After the basin fraction of Milnor attractor is computed as in Fig. 1, the average of the ratios for parameter values $a = 1.550, 1.552, 1.554, \dots, 1.72$ is taken. This average fraction is

Magic No. 7 ± 2 (cf Ishihara, KK, PRL 2005)

• Why?

Conjecture by combinatorial explosion of basin boundaries

Simple separation x(i)>x* or x(i)<x*; one can separate 2 ^N attractors by N planes. In this case the distance between attractor and the basin boundary does not change with N

but The boundary makes combinatorial explosion ----Order of (N-1)! ← many ways of partition

On the other hand, consider a boundary given by some condition for $[x(1), \ldots, x(N)]$. In the present system with global (all-to-all) couplings, many of permutational change of x(i) in the condition give also basin boundaries. Here the condition for the basin can also have clustering (N_1, \ldots, N_k) , since the attractors are clustered as such. Then there are $M(N_1, \ldots, N_k)$ partitions by boundaries equivalent by permutations. The number of regions parti-

$$M(N_1, \ldots, N_k) = (N! / \prod_{i=1}^k N_i!) \prod_{\text{oversets of } N_i = N_j} (1/m_\ell!)$$

- The number of basin boundary planes has combinatorial explosion, as factorial wins over exponential ((N-1)! > 2^N at N=6).
- Then, the basin boundary is 'crowded' in the phase space. Thus often attractors touch with basin boundaries
 - \rightarrow dominance of Milnor attractors

(complete symmetry is unnecessary)

When combinatorial variety wins over exponential increase of the phase space, 'complex dynamics'

(also in neural net model, Ishihara,kk 2005,PRL).

If elements more than 7 are entangled, clear separation behavior is difficult

cf magic number 7±2 in psycology

Chaotic Griffiths Phase with Anomalous Lyapunov Spectra in Coupled Map Networks

PRL 117, 254101 (2016)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

16 DECEMBER 2016

Chaotic Griffiths Phase with Anomalous Lyapunov Spectra in Coupled Map Networks



Studying RCM, the properties of the border between CML and GCM will become clear, and new effect which is dependent on its degree will be discovered.

Phase Diagram



Order for optimal degrees of connection?

to eliminate chaos

N=50, a=1.7, ϵ =0.38 (Coherent Phase@GCM)



Synchronization-Desynchronization process in Chaotic Griffiths Phase



Chaotic Itinerancy (CI)

Exponent α changes with parameters

Number of positive Lyapunov exponents is scaled with anomalous power N^{β} Exponent β changes with parameters

Lyapunov spectra are scaled anomolusly with the power β



N:system size

Exponents for cluster distribution α and for anomalous Lyapunov spectra β satisfy $\alpha \sim 2(1+\beta)$

universal in a class of random networks



Possible explanation butnnot yet an answer..

Size of coherent cluster s: random-walk approximation, but add an element or escape is proportional to s (normal case)

$$ds = s \circ dt$$
 $rac{1}{2} P(s) \sim s^{-2},$

consider the degree of chaos increases anomalously with s with an exponent β



Another example in CI: slow-fast system

Stochastic switch over multistable states by collective chaos

Globally coupled circle maps, high-dimensional torus to chaos

Yamagishi, KK, 2019, in prep

• Heterogeneous (with different frequencies)

(1)
$$O_{n+1}(i) = O_n(i) + \Omega(i) + \frac{Q}{2\pi} \sin 2\pi O_n(i) + \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin 2\pi O_n(j)$$

or $\sum_{i \in I} \pi O_n(i) \to \sum_{i \in J} \sin 2\pi O_n(j)$

or (II)
$$O_{n+1}(i) = O_n(i) + \Omega(i) + \frac{\alpha}{21} Sin 2i O_n(i) + \frac{\beta}{21} Sin 2i (O_n(i) + \frac{\beta}{21} Sin 2i (O_n(i) + \frac{\beta}{21}))$$

Below, mostly the case (I), for (II) also valid, but probably lower-dim tori

N-dim in map $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ (N+1)-dim in flow

Brief partial review of GCM,

- Hierarchical Clustering...? Chimera?
- Chaotic Itinerancy over clusterings
- CI as Milnor Attractor Networks
- Dominance of Milnor Attractors for N>5



Chaotic Griffiths Phase in Coupled Map Network

Formation-Collapse of Synchro clusters, power law, anomalous Lyapunov spectra; universal scaling with Kenji Shinoda

Chaos on/near High-dim Torus in Coupled Oscillators (Maps) Chaos on high-dim tori, transition via fractalization? With Jumpei F Yamagishi