# ICTP debate: Do we understand how SST impacts aggregation?

➡ No, our understanding remains poor

Sandrine Bony (LMD, Sorbonne University, Paris)

2nd ICTP Summer School on Theory, Mechanisms and Hierarchical Modelling of Climate

# How does SST impact convective aggregation? Key question



Khairoutdinov and Emanuel, AMS (2010); Khairoutdinov and Emanuel, JAMES (2013); Wing and Emanuel, JAMES (2014); Emanuel et al., JAMES (2014); Wing and Cronin, QJRMS (2015); Coppin and Bony, JAMES (2015); Bony et al. (2016); Mauritsen and Stevens (2015); Fig adapted from Muller and Held, J. Climate (2012)

# How does SST impact convective aggregation? TWO questions



1. How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

2. How does SST impact convection aggregation once it is established?

My position in this debate:

- → Our understanding of each issue is poor (inconsistent, non-robust or limited evidence
- → Highlight issues to be clarified

# How does SST impact convective aggregation? TWO questions



### 1. How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

2. How does SST impact convection aggregation once it is established?

→ Excellent!

→ Excellent!....until 2014

#### → Excellent!....until 2014

1. Strong evidence that self-aggregation depends on SST



### → Excellent!....until 2014

- 1. Strong evidence that self-aggregation depends on SST
- 2. Self-aggregation results from an instability of RCE

#### → Excellent!....until 2014

- 1. Strong evidence that self-aggregation depends on SST
- 2. Self-aggregation results from an instability of RCE
- 3. The mechanism of instability manifests itself above a critical SST value close to 300-303K



Perturbation net radiative heating rates in response to an instantaneous reduction of specific humidity of 20% from the RCE states for SSTs ranging from 25 to 45°C

Above a critical SST, the clear-sky IR opacity of the lower troposphere becomes so large that the radiative cooling of the lower-troposphere is governed principally by upper tropospheric water vapor → RCE becomes unstable to large-scale overturning circulations; Critical SST: 303K or more?

Emanuel et al., JAMES (2014)

### → Excellent!....until 2014

- 1. Strong evidence that self-aggregation depends on SST
- 2. Self-aggregation results from an instability of RCE
- 3. The mechanism of instability manifests itself above a critical SST value close to 300-303K
- 4. The instability mechanism is dominated by radiative processes and sfc flux feedback



----- But then, things started to deteriorate

## However....

Snowball simulations with a CRM (around 250 K)



Abbot., J. Climate (2014)

# Mechanism of RCE instability?



At low T: is the instability related to a radiative feedback between low-clouds and circulation?

**Yes:** Muller and Bony (2015), Coppin and Bony (2015), Holloway and Woolnough (2016), Wing and Cronin (2016)

**No:** Becker et al. (2017) [WISHE at low T, entrainment efficiency at high T]



Coppin and Bony, JAMES (2015)

## Role of ocean-atmosphere coupling?

- OA coupling delays convective self-aggregation (Hohenegger and Stevens 2016; Coppin and Bony 2017)
- Could also affect the critical SST above which convective aggregation arises (Reed et al. 2015)

For a given global-mean SST, interactive SSTs permit self-aggregation at lower SSTs:







# Recap (1): How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

Our understanding of the SST impact on self-aggregation was good....until 2014:

- 1. Strong evidence that self-aggregation depends on SST
- 2. Self-aggregation results from an instability of RCE
- 3. The mechanism of instability manifests itself above a critical SST value close to 300-303K
- 4. The instability mechanism is dominated by radiative processes and sfc flux feedback

Poorly understood issues:

- The existence of a critical SST above which instability occurs is not so clear
  - $\rightarrow$  self-aggregation can occur at very low SSTs
  - $\rightarrow$  no absolute threshold (depends on model physics, OA coupling, etc)
- Instability mechanism:
  - → at low T: clear-sky radiative feedbacks might not be sufficient cloud-radiative feedbacks and/or WISHE can be more powerful
  - $\rightarrow$  what determines the relative dependence of radiative/WISHE mechanisms on T?

## How does SST impact convective aggregation? TWO questions



1. How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

2. How does SST impact convection aggregation once it is established?

# How does SST impact convective aggregation at equilibrium?

Super-parameterized GCM: aggregation becomes stronger at higher SSTs



Column saturation fraction at day 120

# How does SST impact convective aggregation at equilibrium?





Bony et al., PNAS (2016)

# But: in GCMs, aggregation depends on cumulus parameterization



...and the dependence of aggregation on SST too

What about CRMs?

Becker et al., JAMES (2016)

# How does SST impact convective aggregation at equilibrium?



In CRMs (here: long channel simulations): the impact of rising SST

- is not monotonic
- depends on the range of SST considered
- depends on the aggregation metrics considered

 $\rightarrow$  Does it mean that certain scales of organization are more sensitive to SST than others?

Cronin and Wing, JAMES (2017)

# Dependence of the organization scale on SST



- Arcs at low SSTs vs blubs at high SSTs?
- Length-scale of aggregation?

Becker et al., JAMES (2016); Coppin and Bony, JAMES (2015); Wing and Cronin, QJRMS (2016)

# How to explain the dependence of mean aggregation on T?

0 (1) stability-Iris effect + radiative-circulation coupling ? A thermodynamic mechanism (independent of aggregation) predicts 100 a shrinking of the anvil cloud coverage as SST rises: 200 IPSL NCAR 55T increase. Anvil cloud fraction P [hPa] 300 0.3 400 0.2  $T_{\rm sfc}$  [K] 285 290 295 500 305 310 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.0 600  $D_{r}[d^{-1}]$ 0.2 0 0.1 0.3 Cloud fraction

In a warmer climate, the anvil-clouds rise and remain at nearly the same temperature, but find themselves at a lower pressure and thus in a more stable atmosphere.

It reduces the convective outflow (less mass divergence required to balance the vertical gradient in radiative cooling), leading to less anvil clouds: a stability iris effect.

Bony et al., PNAS (2016)

# How to explain the dependence of mean aggregation on T?

#### Hypothesis verified?

Stability-Iris verified by observations and at work in several GCMs and CRMs, but not in all CRMs (e.g. Ohno and Satoh 2018).

Is the stability-Iris sufficient to influence the dependence of convective aggregation on T?

- $\rightarrow\,$  could maybe explain the increase of aggregation at high T, but not at low T
- $\rightarrow$  does not predict the minimum size occupied by convective areas at very high T
- $\rightarrow$  does the spread of dA/dT depends on the existence (or not) of a stability IRIS?

# How to explain the dependence of mean aggregation on T?

#### Hypothesis verified?

Stability-Iris verified by observations and at work in several GCMs and CRMs, but not in all CRMs (e.g. Ohno and Satoh 2018).

Is the stability-Iris sufficient to influence the dependence of convective aggregation on T?

- $\rightarrow$  could maybe explain the increase of aggregation at high T, but not at low T
- $\rightarrow$  does not predict the minimum size occupied by convective areas at very high T
- $\rightarrow$  does the spread of dA/dT depends on the existence (or not) of a stability IRIS?

#### Other explanations ?

- \* Cronin and Wing (2017): the difference in clear-sky OLR between a moist and dry atmosphere increasingly diverges with warming
  - $\rightarrow$  so robust that aggregation should increase with T in all models!...which is not the case

## What do observations tell us?



## What do observations tell us?



interannual variations of convective organization index:



Bony, Semie, Kramer, Soden, Tompkins and Emanuel (submitted)

## What do observations tell us?



interannual variations of convective organization index:



Not necessarily

Not necessarily

RCE simulations with interactive SSTs (GCM coupled to a slab ocean):

b) <sub>48.00</sub> a) [mm/day] [mm/day] 50 48 12 47.75 46 10 47.50 10 Time [years] Time [years] 44 47.25 42 47.00 40 -6 38 46.75 convec 36 46.50 34 46.25 32 46.00 30 250 500 500 0 750 250 750 0 Rank of column by Ts (low to high) Rank of column by Ts (low to high)

Strong interplay between SST gradients and convective aggregation

Coppin and Bony, GRL (2017)

Not necessarily

RCE simulations with interactive SSTs (GCM coupled to a slab ocean):

Convective aggregation Global-mean surface temperature

Internal interannual variability



Not necessarily

RCE simulations with interactive SSTs (GCM coupled to a slab ocean):

Convective aggregation Global-mean surface temperature



Coppin and Bony, GRL (2017) & JAMES (2018)

# Recap (2): How does SST impact equilibrium convective aggregation?

- The dependence of convective aggregation on SST is not robust across models
  - $\rightarrow$  GCMs: not monotonic, sensitivity to model physics, OA coupling, etc
  - $\rightarrow$  CRMs: not monotonic, depends on metrics and range of SST
- Observations are not more conclusive so far
- The physical mechanism underlying the dependence of aggregation on SST remains to be elucidated:
  - $\rightarrow$  role of the stability Iris remains to be demonstrated
  - $\rightarrow$  robust mechanisms are unlikely given the non-robustness of the model results
- Mean SST might not be the most relevant quantity

# How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

### (1) How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

- The existence of a critical SST above which instability occurs is not clear
  - $\rightarrow$  self-aggregation can occur at very low SSTs
  - $\rightarrow$  no absolute threshold (depends on model physics, OA coupling, etc)
- Instability mechanism:
  - → at low T: clear-sky radiative feedbacks might not be sufficient cloud-radiative feedbacks and/or WISHE can be more powerful
  - $\rightarrow$  what determines the relative dependence of radiative/WISHE mechanisms on T?

#### (2) How does SST impact equilibrium convective aggregation?

- The dependence of convective aggregation on SST is not robust across models
  - $\rightarrow$  GCMs: not monotonic, sensitivity to model physics, OA coupling, etc
  - $\rightarrow$  CRMs: not monotonic, depends on metrics and range of SST
- Observations are not more conclusive so far
- The physical mechanism underlying the dependence of aggregation on SST remains to be elucidated:
  - $\rightarrow$  role of the stability Iris remains to be demonstrated
  - $\rightarrow$  robust mechanisms unlikely given the non-robustness of the model results
- Mean SST might not be the most relevant quantity

# How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

### (1) How does SST impact convective self-aggregation?

- The existence of a critical SST above which instability occurs is not clear
  - $\rightarrow$  self-aggregation can occur at very low SSTs
  - $\rightarrow$  no absolute threshold (depends on model physics, OA coupling, etc)
- Instability mechanism:
  - → at low T: clear-sky radiative feedbacks might not be sufficient cloud-radiative feedbacks and/or WISHE can be more powerful
  - $\rightarrow$  what determines the relative dependence of radiative/WISHE mechanisms on T?

#### (2) How does SST impact equilibrium convective aggregation?

- The dependence of convective aggregation on SST is not robust across models
  - $\rightarrow$  GCMs: not monotonic, sensitivity to model physics, OA coupling, etc
  - $\rightarrow$  CRMs: not monotonic, depends on metrics and range of SST
- Observations are not more conclusive so far
- The physical mechanism underlying the dependence of aggregation on SST remains to be elucidated:
  - $\rightarrow$  role of the stability Iris remains to be demonstrated
  - $\rightarrow$  robust mechanisms unlikely given the non-robustness of the model results
- Mean SST might not be the most relevant quantity

### The impact of SST on convective aggregation is far from being understood! Tim: do you agree?