Advanced Workshop on Earthquake Fault Mechanics: Theory, Simulation and Observations ICTP, Trieste, Sept 11 2019

Lecture 2: The Slowness-Enhanced **Back-Projection**



UCLA Department of Earth, Planetary, and Space Sciences











Improving Imaging Quality

Low Resolution

High Resolution





Objective: Improving Resolution Solution: MUSIC method

Objective: Reduce Spatial Biases Solution: **Slowness Calibration**

Outlines

- Travel-time correction in back-projections
- Hypocenter alignment
- Slowness Enhanced back-projection
- Unzipping of bottom of seismogenic zone in the Gorkha Earthquake
- Absence of deep penetration in the Tohoku earthquake
- Early and Persistent supershear rupture of the 2018 Palu earthquake
- Wide step-over of the 2017 Chiapas earthquake

Tectonic View of the Indo-Asian Collision Zone



Bilham et al., Science, 2001

Mountain Building and Megathrust Earthquakes



Credit: Seismo Lab, Caltech

Tectonic Background



Avouac et al., 2015



Back-projections of Three Large Continental Arrays



Back-projections of Three Large Continental Arrays



Aftershock Test



Back-projection

Introduced by Ishii, Shearer et al (2005)

Principle:

Source

region

- 1. Identify coherent wave arrivals across a dense tele-seismic array
- 2. Use their differential arrival times to infer source locations
- 3. Repeat as the earthquake unfolds, in order to **track the rupture**

Tohoku Earthquake



Seismic rays

Meng et al., GRL (2011)

High-resolution is obtained by exploiting high-frequency waves (~1Hz)

time = 3

time = 2

time = 1

Stack along moveout

curve for each time step

Seismic

array

Anatomy of the Back-projection Method





Empirical aftershock calibrations of Back-projection



Interpolation by weighted sum of aftershock travel-time errors!

Challenges:

- 1. Sparseness of large aftershocks.
- 2. Aftershocks are mostly distributed away from large co-seismic slip

Introducing slowness correction



Far-field travel-time approximation

$$T_{j}^{cal}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = T_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}\right) + s_{j}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}\right)$$

Introducing the slowness correction term

$$\delta T_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \simeq \delta T_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}\right) + \delta s_{j}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}\right)$$

Accounting for travel time errors away from hypocenter! Revised Back-projection Formula

$$BP(\xi,t) = \sum_{j} u_{j}(t+T_{j}^{0}(\xi))$$
$$= \sum_{j} u_{j}(t+T_{j}^{cal}(\xi)+\delta T_{j}(\xi_{h})+\delta s_{j}\gamma_{j}\cdot(\xi-\xi_{h}))$$
$$= \sum_{j} u_{j}(t+T_{j}^{0}(\xi_{h})+(s_{j}+\delta s_{j})\gamma_{j}\cdot(\xi-\xi_{h}))$$

Source of Slowness Error



Slowness (ray parameter) error as a function of velocity change at different depths

Back-projections with Slowness Calibration





Synthetic tests of kinematic rupture scenarios



Consistency Between BP and Finite Fault Models



Credit: Diego Melgar and Lingsen Meng

Unzipping of the Lower Edge of the Locked Megathrust



Avouac et al., 2015

Stress Loading at the Bottom of the Coupling Zone



Stevens and Avouac, 2015

Unzipping of the Lower Edge of the Locked Megathrust



Earthquake Cycles in Tohoku Region



Historical earthquakes

Allmon et al., 2011

Summary

 Multi-Array back-projections of the Gorkha earthquake provides a unique opportunity to understand the spatial uncertainties of BP imaging.

- •A slowness error term calibrated by aftershocks needs to be introduced to achieve consistency between BPs of different arrays.
- •Refined source imaging reveals a narrow unilateral eastward rupture unzipping the lower bottom of the locked portion of the MHT.
- •The Gorkha earthquake is possibly a intermediate event during the interseismic period of larger earthquakes.