



Sounding the ionosphere with GNSS - TEC calibration

Katy Alazo Cuartas

Eastern Africa GNSS and

Space Weather Capacity Building Workshop (online)

Kenya Space Agency, Pwani University, UNOOSA-ICG, Boston College, INGV Trieste, Italy, 21 - 25 June 2021

How all started: The prehistory



Mark I Radiotelescope (1957)



Sir Bernard Lovell

The first "satellite" used to study the ionosphere was **the moon!**

 Pulsed radar transmissions at a frequency of 120 MHz reflected off the surface of the moon as a feasible technique for studying the ionosphere

=> I. C. Browne J. V. Evans, J. K. Hargreaves, W. A. S. Murray, **1956-57**.

Slow signal amplitude fading on two closely spaced frequencies due to the ionospheric *Faraday effect*

=> *integrated electron density* along the propagation path when the moon was in transit over Jodrell Bank Observatory near Manchester, England.



Sputnik 1



Sputnik 3



Space Age ~ 6 decades ago

The launch of artificial satellites starting with Sputnik 1 in September **1957** allowed the use of **radio beacon transmissions** from an spacecraft.

Geostationary satellites

In **1961** the US NASA started the SYNCOM (synchronous communication satellite) program with the launch of the first geostationary communication satellites.

Syncom 3 launched in August **1964** allowed the use of radio beacon on board of the spacecraft for the study of

TEC, mainly by making use of the Faraday effect due to the presence of the ionospheric plasma in the presence of the geomagnetic field.

GPS (Global Positioning System)

> The project was launched by U.S. Department of Defense for military use (1973)

NAVSTAR (Navigation System with Timing And Ranging)

> eleven satellites in orbit (1978 - 1985)

> A full 24 satellite constellation was operational (1995)

> It was allowed for civilian use in the 1980s

Selective Availability" (signal quality degraded) is off (May 2000)

▹ precise, circular orbits at 20 200 km

≻ L1 = 1,575.42 MHz L2 = 1,227.60 MHz







the era of GNSS

- Globalnaya Navigazionnaya Sputnikovaya Sistema, "Global Navigation Satellite System" in English (GLONASS). Declared operational in 1993 and brought to its optimal status of 24 operational satellites in 1995.
- The People Republic of China BeiDou (Big Dipper or Ursa Major main stars in Chinese). Beidou is currently centred on the Asia Pacific region where provides positioning, navigation, timing, and short-message communication service capabilities. The system is designed to give global coverage - 2020.
- The European Commission and European Space Agency joined forces to build Galileo, a European global system under civilian control. Galileo is fully operational, - 2020, with ~ 30 MEO satellites at an altitude of 23,222 km.

"Constellation" of 32 + satellites

GPS NAVSTAR Global Positioning System http://www.gps.gov/technical/icwg/

GLONASS Globalnaya Navigatsionnaya Sputnikovaya Sistema http://www.glonass-ianc.rsa.ru/en/ http://www.glonass-center.ru/en/

GALILEO European Global Navigation Satellite System http://galileognss.eu/

BeiDou China Navigation Satellite System http://en.beidou.gov.cn/

NAVIC Navigation Indian Constellation Former IRNSS Indian Regional Navigation Satellite System http://www.isro.gov.in/

Ionosphere and GNSS problem solving

Satellite derived TEC for ionospheric research

- How TEC is derived from GNSS
- ➤ preprocessing
- > assumptions for modeling
- Sat by Sat vs Arc by Arc solution
- ➤ combining different constellations

➢Multiconstellation TEC <u>single station solution</u>

Idealized Ionospheric profile



the total number of electrons in a given column of unit surface along a path between two points

 10^{16} electrons/m² = 1 TEC unit (TECu)

Propagation delays in the Optical path



Geometrical Optics Approximation

- Λ Optical path between two points e.g. Sat and Rec
- **D** Geometric distance
- T Non dispersive (Tropospheric) contribution
- I Dispersive (Ionospheric) contribution



Propagation contribution to optical path Λ :

after Magneto-Ionic Theory &

1rst order Appleton-Hartree Formula

$$R_{Iono} = -\frac{40.3 \cdot N_e}{f^2}$$
$$I = -\frac{40.3 \cdot TEC}{f^2}$$

Refractivity **R** = **n** -1, **n** Index of Refraction

$$I=\int R_{Iono}(s)ds$$

$$TEC = \int N_e(s) ds,$$

➢group velocity delay

$$L = \frac{D+T+I}{\lambda} = \frac{f}{c}(D+T) - \frac{40.3TEC}{cf}$$

Carrier phase advance

$$G = \frac{dL}{df} = \frac{D+T}{c} + \frac{40.3TEC}{cf^2}$$

How TEC is derived from GNSS

slants TEC from dual frequency combination

Differential delays in the optical path -> Geometry free combination

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{LI} = \Phi_{L1} - \Phi_{L2} => & S_L = \alpha_{f12} \left(\phi_{L1} c/f_1 - \phi_{L2} c/f_2 \right) \\ S_L = sTEC + \Omega \end{split}$$

$$P_{LI} = P_{L2} - P_{L1} \implies S_c = \alpha_{f12} (\rho_{L2} - \rho_{L1})$$
$$S_c = sTEC + \beta + \gamma + n + m_c$$

 $\alpha_f = 40.3d16 / f^2$ conversion factor between the integrated electron density along the ray path *sTEC* and the signal delay at frequency *f*

 $\alpha_{f12} = 1.0 \, / \, (\, 40.3d16 \, * \, (\, 1/f_2^{\, 2} - \, 1/f_1^{\, 2} \,) \,)$

- Ω differential offset
- β , γ differential biases receiver, satellite
- n, m noise and multipath

Preprocessing

A series of statistical/mathematical considerations are applied to the RINEX data in order to correct for phase jumps and cycle slips.

A NOT AVOIDABLE stage for all GPS data processing that uses the phase observable; in particular a PREstep to any GPS-TEC calibration method TO OBTAIN A HIGH QUALITY RESULT

Cycle slips

Discontinuities of an integer number of cycles in the measured carrier phase resulting from a *temporary loss of lock* in the carrier tracking loop of a GPS receiver.





<u>Causes</u>

*Spatial and temporal lonospheric irregularities that causes rapid GPS phase and pseudorange variations, i.e. ionospheric scintillation.

*Relative strong multipath environment of the receiver, obstruction of the satellite signal by physical obstacles.

*A low signal to noise ratio (SNR) or alternatively carrier to noise power density ratio (C/No) due to disturbed ionosphere, low satellite elevation angles or multipath.

*Receiver software malfunctioning, etc

What should any cycle slip correction technique do

- * It must be correctly detected and identified
- => location of the jump and their size.
- * It must be removed or corrected by another value

=> **estimate** the number of L1/L2 frequency cycles contained by the jump and then **correct** the phase cycle by these integer estimates



What to do in practice with these errors

- * Remember that any/several errors may be present together.
- * Select a method for detecting and identifying the discontinuities in the data arcs.
- * Combine 1+ methods



We suggest that bad multipath conditions and high cycle slip rates are better addressed by an appropriate selection of field equipment and sites than by data processing techniques. Blewitt G., (1990). An automatic editing algorithm for GPS data. Geophysical Res. Lett., 17:3, pp 199-202.

What we must particularly be concerned is the data arc quality

*** arc continuity, i.e. no cycle slips or phase jumps

*** arc time extent : to have an appropriate spatial and temporal representation of the un-calibrated slant TEC by such arc

*** to control the size of time gaps in the arc : otherwise the recovery cycle slip algorithm would have more possibility to fail



some SV excluded from preprocessing

How TEC is derived from GNSS

calibrating slants TEC



Assumptions

- Ionosphere is horizontally homogeneous, locally.
- Ionosphere could be represented by a Thin Shell Model. The THM altitude is around the F2 peak height. The satellites position are represented in the Ionospheric Pierce Points. THM of (1-n) layers.
- **lonosphere is slowly varying.** The vTEC could be represented by a function during a "Refreshing Interval" ~=10 min to 2 hours.
- Receiver and satellite Biases are geometry independent. The vTEC could be represented by a 2-D (3-D) function of geometry of the IPPs.

$$S = TEC + B\beta_{arc}$$

$$\beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$$

$$usTEC_i = sTEC_1 + \beta_i$$

$$usTEC_2 = sTEC_2 + \beta_2$$

$$usTEC_3 = sTEC_3 + \beta_3$$

$$usTEC_4 = sTEC_4 + \beta_i$$

$$usTEC_5 = sTEC_5 + \beta_2$$

$$usTEC_6 = sTEC_6 + \beta_3$$

$$usTEC_7 = sTEC_7 + \beta_i$$

$$usTEC_9 = sTEC_9 + \beta_3$$

$$usTEC_{i+1} = sTEC_{i+1} + \beta_2$$

$$usTEC_{i+2} = sTEC_{i+2} + \beta_3$$

$$usTEC_{i+2} = sTEC_{i+2} + \beta_3$$

$$usTEC_{i+3} = sTEC_{i+4} + \beta_2$$

$$usTEC_{i+4} = sTEC_{i+4} + \beta_2$$

$$usTEC_{i+5} = sTEC_{i+5} + \beta_3$$

$$usTEC_{i+6} = sTEC_{i+6} + \beta_4$$

$$usTEC_{i+7} = sTEC_{i+6} + \beta_4$$

$$usTEC_{i+6} = sTEC_{i+6} + \beta_4$$



$$S = TEC + B\beta_{arc}$$

$\beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$



 $S = TEC + B\beta_{arc} \qquad \beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$

	usTEC ₁		[1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0	0	0	Γ	β_1	
	usTEC ₂		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		β_{2}	
	usTEC		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	·	·	0	0	0		Р <u>2</u> В.	
	$uSTEC_3$		1	0	0	0	U	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	U	•	•	U	Ū	0		P_3	
	$usTEC_4$			1	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		P_4	
	$ustEC_5$			1	1	0	•	•	·	·	·	0	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		ρ_5	
	$usiec_6$		0	0	1	0	•	•		•	•	0	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	0		ρ_6	
10 min	usTEC ₇		1	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	arc	s m	atri	ix.F	3.	1.	•	•	•	•	0		eta_7	
	usTEC ₈		0	1	0	0	•	•			•	0	•	•		1.	•	•	•	•	0		eta_8	
	usTEC ₉		0	0	1	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		eta_9	
	usTEC ₁₀	$=A \times C +$	0	0	0	1	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	×	$oldsymbol{eta}_{10}$	
	usTEC ₁₁		0	1	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		•	
	usTEC ₁₂		0	0	1	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		•	
	usTEC ₁₃		0	0	0	1	•	•			•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		•	
	usTEC ₁₄		0	1	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		•	
	usTEC ₁₅		0	0	1	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0			
	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	
	$usTEC_{L-2}$		0	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	0	0		$\beta_{narcs-2}$	
	$usTEC_{L-1}$		0	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	1	0		$\beta_{narcs-1}$	
	$usTEC_L$		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0	0	1		β_{narcs}	

$$S = TEC + B\beta_{arc}$$

$$\beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$$

 $\frac{\text{ARC dependent biases}}{(\lambda_{Arc}) \neq 0}$





$$\frac{\text{Rec + Sats dependent biases}}{(\lambda_{Arc}) = 0}$$

ftna.GREC 100-101-102/2018



$$\beta_{arc=4} \neq \beta_{arc=25} \neq \beta_{arc=58} \neq \beta_{arc=80}$$

Threated as independent variables

$$\beta pprox eta_{
m arc=4} pprox eta_{
m arc=25} pprox eta_{
m arc=58} pprox eta_{
m arc=80}$$

Threated as a same variable

One Constellation(GPS)

 $S = TEC + B\beta_{arc} \qquad \beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \underline{ARC \ dependent \ biases} & \left[\begin{array}{c} \beta_{1} \\ \beta_{2} \\ \beta_{3} \\ \beta_{4} \\ \beta_{5} \\ \beta_{6} \\ \beta_{7} \\ \beta_{8} \\ \beta_{9} \\ \beta_{9} \\ \beta_{10} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{narcs-1} \\ \beta_{narcs-1} \\ \beta_{narcs} \end{array} \right] \qquad \begin{array}{c} \underline{Rec + Sats \ dependent \ biases} & \left[\begin{array}{c} \beta_{i} + \gamma_{1} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{2} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{3} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{1} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{2} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{3} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{1} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{2} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{3} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{4} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{narcs-1} \\ \beta_{narcs} \end{array} \right] \qquad \begin{array}{c} S = A \times C + B \times \\ S = A \times C + B \times \\ S = A \times C + B \times \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \beta_{i} + \gamma_{2} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{3} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{4} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{i-1} \\ \beta_{i} + \gamma_{j-1} \\ \beta_{j} + \gamma_{j} \\ \beta_{j} + \gamma_{j-1} \\ \beta_{j} + \gamma_{j} \\ \beta_{j} + \gamma$$

One Constellation(GPS)

 $S = TEC + B\beta_{arc}$

$\beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$

Single-station solution

arc-by-arcsat-by-sat

solve for β_{arc}
precomputed γ, β
precomputed γ, solve for β
solve for β_{sat}

Multi-station solution

arc-by-arcsat-by-sat

solve for β_{arc}
precomputed γ, β
precomputed γ, solve for β

- <u>SOME satellite</u> a zero-reference bias and <u>SOME receiver</u> a zero-reference bias (A zero-mean condition)

Multi-constellation Solution Potential advantages of GPS + ...

"Constellation" of 32 + satellites

- Larger quantity of observations
- Better geometry due to spatial distribution of visible satellites
- Improved numerical solutions for algorithms of positioning, navigation, etc...also TEC.
- Better performance on areas of restricted visibility
- GLONASS orbits have better coverage in high latitudes N or S

Potential disadvantages

Combination of errors from different systems / technologies ? Dealing with different: Time Standards, Datum, constellation almanac: approximate ephemerides for all satellites, Orbits computation, Navigation files format $S = TEC + B\beta_{arc}$

 $\beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_j + (\lambda_{Arc})$



Multiconstellation

 $S = TEC + B\beta_{arc}$

 $\beta_{iarc} = \beta_i + \gamma_i + (\lambda_{Arc})$

 $\beta_{receiver}^{satsys} + \gamma_1$ $\beta_{receiver}^{satsys} + \gamma_2$ Rec + Sats dependent biases For each constellation $\beta_{receiver}^{satsys} + \gamma_3$ $S = A \times C + B \times \begin{bmatrix} P \text{ receiver } & \gamma & 3 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & \gamma & 3 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_1 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_2 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_2 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_1 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_1 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_2 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_2 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_2 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_3 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_3 \\ \beta \text{ receiver } & + \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow GALILEO_{-\gamma_j} \equiv 0$ B^E - A zero-mean condition for satellites biases $\beta^{\scriptscriptstyle E}_{\scriptscriptstyle receiver}$ $\rightarrow \quad BeiDou_{\gamma_j} \equiv 0$ $\beta_{receiver}^C$ \rightarrow GLONASS $\gamma_i \equiv 0$

Highlights for TEC processing

The addition of data implies that:

- the number of unknowns arc offsets to be solved in the calibration procedure is increased
- also the number of equations is increased, as the numbers of satellites is increased
- having a same set of expansion coefficients for Veq.

As explicit: Considering a one hour period, a refreshing interval of 10 minutes for Veq, a polynomial expansion on 6 coefficients,

- 5 GPS SVs/epoch, each 30 sec:
 - 120*5 satellites = 600

5 arc offsets + 6*6 coefficients = 41

• The addition of 3 GLONASS SVs:

120*8 satellites = 960 8 arc offsets + 6*6 coefficients = 44 number of equations number of unknowns

number of equations number of unknowns

<u>Adding Constellations-> the observations/unknowns budget is more robust 10</u>

Calibration residuals Related to arcoffsets determination



	MARKE	<u>R modip(°)</u>	geo.lat (°) g	<u>geo.lon(°)</u>
	cas1	-66.1	-66.1	110.5
StDev > 2 TECu	maw1	-63.0	-67.5	62.9
	ufpr	-31.3	-25.3	-49.2
	savo	-25.3	-12.9	-38.4
	salu	-3.2	-2.6	-44.2
	kour	18.7	5.2	-52.8

~100 GPS/GLONASS receiving stations http://igscb.jpl.nasa.gov/ http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/

1 to 31 January, 2012. -> low-middle solar activity period with no significant disturbed geomagnetic conditions

Forum ²⁹/2010

Arc-offsets Multi-constellation Solution







3.75

doy [UT]

4.

Arc-offsets Multi-constellation Solution



One hour traces 06:21 UT is the central time of the trace

Conclusions

• The combined processing of GNSS measurements, over the processing of only GPS measurements, provides a better definition on the continuity of the solution and the sensitivity of the intra-day variability of the estimated vertical TEC.

• Handling calibrated TEC from mixed constellations could be a benefit for later uses, as example those interested in TEC data ingestion into ionospheric models. (In detriment of the number of observations but as an advantage in the quality of the procedure, it is possible to discard those data that seem unreasonable, being on preprocessing or after TEC calibration).

• In the mixed constellation the *Vertical Equivalent TEC* model residuals are increased around fractions of TECu.

•The residuals increase could be interpreted as following: The processing of a higher quantity of GNSS observations brings a more realistic representation of the complexity of the real ionospheric conditions in comparison to the considered (simple but effective) model.

