Some remarks on SPEEDY AGCM (ver. 41.5 [ver41])

Followed by some examples of teleconnections research with SPEEDY



Thanks to Martin King for initially developing this part

Local thermodynamic equilibrium

The 'parcel' size of such a mixture if gases (e.g. atmosphere) could be in the order of mm for theoretical description and use of local thermodynamics equilibrium, or in the order of 100 km for numerical models of climate.

'Infinitesimal' Parcel of air



Note that this absolutely does not imply global thermodynamic equilibrium; indeed, the contrary is the case

Non-Hydrostatic Equations for Atmosphere (well known to some extent)

$$\rho \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\nabla p - 2\rho \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{v} - \rho \nabla \phi - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}$$

Momentum or Navier-Stokes Eqs.

 $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$

$$c_p \frac{dT}{dt} - \alpha \frac{dp}{dt} = Q - L_c \frac{dq}{dt}$$

Mass balance or continuity Eq.

Energy or thermodynamics Eq. including latent heat release by cond.

Eqs. for any important mass fraction that we may want to distinguish and follow, here water vapor, S=diffusion, phase changes, evaporation at surface

 $p = \rho RT$

 $\rho \frac{dq}{dt} = S$

Perfect Gas Law

This system of partial differential equations is coupled simp and nonlinear, has to be solved on the computer by discretizing. Processes circled in red are unresolved, sometimes not well known and need to be parameterized.

Sometimes we can be lucky to be able to simplify the Eqs, otherwise..number crunching!

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics Note that whenever there is a monotonic relationship between a variable andt he height z, then we can introduce a new vertical coordinate using this varible as independent height variable. For the atmosphere, the pressure is such a quantity due to hydrostatic balance, which is very well fulfilled for large-scale flows.

Basic equations

Thermo-Hydrodynamic equations in pressure coordinate system

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{v} - \nabla \Phi + \mathbf{F} \quad , \qquad \text{Momentum} \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{i}u + \mathbf{j}v$.

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{RI}{c_p p} \omega + \frac{Q}{c p} \quad , \qquad \text{Energy} \qquad (2)$$

where $\omega = dp/dt$ (called the 'omega' vertical velocity), R is the gas constant, Q is the diabatic heating.

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{RT}{p} \quad . \quad \text{Hydrostatic} \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0 \quad . \quad \text{Continuity} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = S \quad , \quad \text{Moisture} \tag{5}$$

Basic equations

However, the equations used in SPEEDY are posed in the $\sigma = p/p_s$ coordinate system (very similar) [Bourke, 1974, A multi-level spectral model I. formulation and hemispheric integrations, Monthly Weather Review, 102, pp.687-701.]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{v} - \nabla \Phi - RT\nabla p_s + \mathbf{F}, \qquad \text{Momentum} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{RT}{c_p} \left(\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} - \frac{\partial \dot{\sigma}}{\partial \sigma} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) + \frac{Q}{cp} \quad , \qquad \text{Energy} \qquad (7)$$

$$\frac{d \ln p_s}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \frac{\partial \dot{\sigma}}{\partial \sigma} \qquad \text{Continuity} \qquad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{RT}{\sigma} \quad . \qquad \text{Hydrostatic} \tag{9}$$

$$rac{dq}{dt}=S$$
 ,

Moisture

(10)

<ロ> <回> <回> <回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

5900

Basic equations

Alternatively to \mathbf{v} we can use the vorticity $(\xi = \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{v})$ and divergence $D = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ equations by applying $\mathbf{k}\nabla \times$ and $\nabla \cdot$ to the horizontal momentum equations, and $\xi = \nabla^2 \psi$, $D = \nabla^2 \chi$, and inverting the ∇^2 operator, which is easy in spectral space (see later), thus deriving equations for ψ and χ .

The physiology of SPEEDY:

1. Dynamical core—equations of motion in spectral form

Velocity potential

$$\chi = a^2 \sum_{m=-J}^{+J} \sum_{l=|m|}^{|m|+J} \chi_l^m Y_l^m$$

Temperature

In(p_{surf})

 $T = \sum_{m=-J}^{+J} \sum_{l=|m|}^{|m|+J} T_l^m Y_l^m$

 $q = \sum_{m=-J}^{+J} \sum_{l=|m|}^{|m|+J} q_l^m Y_l^m$

where $Y_l^m = P_l^m (\sin \phi) e^{im\lambda}$.

The prognostic variables, are vorticity $\xi = \nabla^2 \psi$, divergence $D = \nabla^2 \chi$,

Temperature T and logarithm of surface pressure, ln(surface pressure).

N.B. For simplicity of presentation here, I will only use q to illustrate the method, to read more details including meanings of symbols, see Bourke, 1974, A multi-level spectral model I. formulation and hemispheric integrations, Monthly Weather Review, 102, pp.687-701.

Spectral Triangular Truncation

Spectral tendencies for the expansion coefficients can be derived.

related to vorticity, see Eq.(28) in Bourke, 1974.

$$-l(l+1)\frac{\partial \psi_l^m}{\partial t} = \dots$$

related to divergence, see Eq.(29) in Bourke, 1974.

$$-l(l+1)\frac{\partial \chi_l^m}{\partial t} = \dots$$

related to temperature, see Eq.(30) in Bourke, 1974.

$$\frac{\partial T_l^m}{\partial t} = \dots$$

Leapfrog scheme with filters in SPEEDY for the vector of prognostic variables A may be expressed as

$$A^{t+1} = A^{t-1} + 2\Delta t \ f \left(A^{t+1}, A^{t-1} \right)$$
(18)

with Robert filter to suppress the computational mode and semi-implicit treatment of gravity waves. Since A^{t+1} is also present on the rhs of Eq. 18 it has to be found by gaussian elimination.

Continuing... the physiology of SPEEDY:

2. Physical parameterizations.

Parameterizations are computed in grid-point space. To have an idea of how a parameterization work, here only the convection scheme is described in detail. For details of other schemes look at Appendix to ver. 41 available on the SPEEDY webpage.

From the primitive variables, U, V, T, Q, Φ and p_{surf} additional diagnostics variables are calculated:

saturation specific humidity: Q^{sat}

relative humidity: RH

dry static energy: $SE = c_p T + \Phi$

moist static energy: $MSE = SE + L_cQ$

saturation moist static energy: $MSS = SE + L_cQ^{sat}$

	σ = 0.			$\sigma' = d\sigma/dt = 0$
Atmospheric layers in SPEEDY (T30)	σ = 0.025 (30 hPa)		ζ, D, T, Φ	
		Stratosphere		σ'
	σ = 0.095 (100 hPa)		ζ, D, T, Φ	
				σ'
$\sigma = p / p_0$	σ = 0.200 (200 hPa)		ζ , D, T, S _h , Φ	
Total no of DOF: ~ 900 * 39 ≈ 35000				σ'
	σ = 0.340 (300 hPa)		ζ , D, T, S _h , Φ	
		"free"		σ'
	σ = 0.510 (500 hPa)		ζ , D, T, S _h , Φ	
		troposphere		σ'
	σ = 0.685 (700 hPa)		ζ , D, T, S _h , Φ	
				σ'
	σ = 0.835 (850 hPa)		ζ , D, T, S _h , Φ	
				σ'
	σ = 0.950 (925 hPa)	PBL -	ζ , D, T, S _h , Φ	
	σ = 1.		p ₀	$\sigma' = d\sigma/dt = 0$

Equivalent potential temperature and moist static energy

The basic stability criterium for many convective scheme, and in particular for the SPEEDY scheme is the vertical moist static energy gradient, which is identical to a criterion on equivalent potential temperature. We consider changes of equivalent potential temperature θ_e and Moist Static Energy *MSE* with height

$$c_p d \ln \theta_e = c_p d\theta + \frac{L_c}{T} dq = \frac{c_p}{T} dT - \frac{R}{p} dp + \frac{L_c}{T} dq,$$
 (20)

where q is the specific humidity, T temperature, p the pressure, R the gas constant of dry air, θ is the potential temperature of dry air and L_c is the latent heat of condensation. We multiply this with T we get

$$\frac{c_{p}T}{\theta_{e}}d\theta_{e} = c_{p}dT - \frac{RT}{p}dp + L_{c}dq, \qquad (21)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへ⊙

Equivalent potential temperature and moist static energy Using the hydrostatic approximation $dp = -\rho g dz$

$$\frac{c_p T}{\theta_e} d\theta_e = c_p dT + g dz + L_c dq := dSE + L_c dq := dMSE.$$
(22)

The criterion for instability a model grid point is then

$$\frac{\partial \theta_e}{\partial z} < 0, \text{ thus } \frac{\partial MSE}{\partial z} < 0$$
 (23)

For practical purposes, we assume that saturation is present wherever a parcel is lifted, therefore the criterion for instability is

$$\frac{\partial MSS}{\partial z} < 0 \tag{24}$$

This last criterion implies also that boundary layer relative humidity exceeds a minimum threshold. Also

$$\frac{\partial SE}{\partial z} = c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + g > 0 \quad \text{for statically stable atmosphere.} \tag{25}$$

Tendencies due to convection

The tendencies due to convection at level k for specific humidity q and Temperature T or Static Energy SE, are

$$\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial t} \bigg|_{conv} = \frac{g\Delta F_k^q}{\Delta p_k} \quad , \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial T_k}{\partial t} \bigg|_{conv} = \frac{g\Delta F_k^{SE}}{c_p\Delta p_k} \quad , \tag{18}$$

where $\Delta F_k^{q,SE}$ represent the flux convergences for each layer for upward and downward fluxes

$$\Delta F_{k}^{q,SE} = (F_{k+h}^{u;q,SE} - F_{k-h}^{u;q,SE}) + (F_{k+h}^{d;q,SE} - F_{k-h}^{d;q,SE}) \quad , \quad (19)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

a. Convection

An updraft of saturated and unstable air from the PBL to a top-of-convection (TCN) level in middle or top of troposphere.

Compensating large-scale descending motion.

Entrainment into updraft occurs in lower troposphere above PBL. Detrainment only in TCN level.

Physical Parameterizations: Convection scheme

This 'represents' a model gridbox

Now we have the necessary fluxes at N-h... An entrainment flux is calculated

$$E_{N-1}^m = \varepsilon(\sigma_{N-1})F_{N-h}^m$$

Then fluxes at k-h are calculated:

$$F_{k-h}^{m} = F_{N-h}^{m} + E_{N-1}^{m}$$

$$-1 \quad {}^{u}F^{Q}_{k-h} = {}^{u}F^{Q}_{N-h} + E^{m}_{N-1}Q_{N-1}$$

$${}^{u}F_{k-h}^{\mathcal{Q}} = F_{k-h}^{\mathcal{M}}Q_{k-h}$$

$${}^{u}F_{k-h}^{SE} = {}^{u}F_{N-h}^{SE} + E_{N-1}^{m}SE_{N-1}$$

$${}^{d}F_{k-h}^{SE} = F_{k-h}^{m}SE_{k-h}$$

And hence going up all the half-levels until, and including, TCN-h (for the diagram here TCN=2).

We want derive some basic properties of the convection scheme in SPEEDY, assuming no Entrainment $E_k^m = 0$. For the moisture flux we have in this case at the top level of convection k_0

$$\Delta F_{k_0}^q = F^* \left(q_N^{sat} - q_{k_0+h} \right) - P_{cnv} \quad , \tag{21}$$

and for all other levels $k \neq N$ the is only the downward flux convergence contribution

$$\Delta F_k^q = F^* (q_{k-h} - q_{k+h}) < 0 \quad , \tag{22}$$

meaning the effect is always a drying of the atmosphere (due to environmental sinking).

Instead at k = N we have

$$\Delta F_N^q = F^* \left(q_{N-h} - q_N^{sat} \right) < 0 \quad , \tag{23}$$

It follows that for the sum of all layers below the top

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{N} \Delta F_k^q = F^* \left(q_{k_0+h} - q_N^{sat} \right) < 0 \quad .$$
 (24)

Comparing Eqs. 24 with 21 we see that the top level exchanges moisture with the levels below, and that

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \Delta F_k^q = -P_{cnv} = \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \frac{\Delta p_k}{g} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial t} < 0 \quad , \quad (25)$$

the properly vertically integrated moisture tendency due to convection.

Similarly we have for the Static Energy (SE) fluxes For the moisture flux we have in this case at the top level of convection k_0

$$\Delta F_{k_0}^{SE} = F^* \left(SE_N - SE_{k_0+h} \right) + L_c P_{cnv} \quad , \tag{26}$$

and for all other levels $k \neq N$ the is only the downward flux convergence contribution

$$\Delta F_k^{SE} = F^* \left(SE_{k-h} - SE_{k+h} \right) > 0 \quad , \tag{27}$$

meaning the effect is always a warming of the atmosphere (due to environmental sinking), and therefore also stabilizing the atmosphere because typically $\partial SE/\partial z$ is largest in upper troposphere.

Instead at k = N we have

$$\Delta F_N^{SE} = F^* (SE_{N-h} - SE_N) > 0 , \qquad (28)$$

It follows that for the sum of all layers below the top

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{N} \Delta F_k^{SE} = F^* \left(SE_{k_0+h} - SE_N \right) > 0 \quad .$$
 (29)

Comparing Eqs. 29 with 26 we see that the top level exchanges energy with the levels below, and that

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \Delta F_k^{SE} = L_c P_{cnv} = \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \frac{c_p \Delta p_k}{g} \frac{\partial T_k}{\partial t} > 0 \quad , \qquad (30)$$

the properly vertically integrated temperature tendency due to convection.

b. Other schemes (no less important but I don't have time to describe them in details here):

Large-scale condensation: Where relative humidity exceeds heigh-dependent thresholds, specific humidity is relaxed (represents 'raining') to the corresponding threshold on a 4hr timescale . The released latent heat is converted to dry static energy.

Clouds: Cloud cover and thickness are diagnosed from relative and absolute humidity.

Shortwave radiation: Two spectral bands. Reflected at cloud top and 'earth' surface. Cloud albedo is related to cloud cover. SW transmissivities of model layers are functions of layer thickness, specific humidity.

Longwave radiation: Four spectral bands. One for atmospheric infrared window, one for CO2 and two for water vapour.

Surface fluxes of momentum and energy: Defined by bulk aerodynamic formulas with different exchange coefficients from land and sea.

Vertical diffusion: Redistribution of dry static energy and moisture between two lowest layers in conditional instability. Water vapour diffusion. Diffusion of dry static energy if lapse rate exceeds the dry adiabatic limit.

https://www.ictp.it/research/esp/mod

Global Model: SPEEDY

A simplified GCM developed at ICTP

SPEEDY is a simplified GCM developed at ICTP by Franco Molteni and Fred Kucharski.

The ICTP AGCM (nicknamed SPEEDY, for "Simplified Parameterizations, privitivE-Equation DYnamics") is based on a spectral dynamical core developed at the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory. It is a hydrostatic, s-coordinate, spectral-transform model in the vorticity-divergence form, with semi-implicit treatment of gravity waves.

- SPEEDY 8-layer (version 40) description (pdf)
- Description of a previous SPEEDY 5-layer model (version 23)
- Articles published at the ICTP using SPEEDY
- SPEEDY 8-layer (version 40) climatology verification

Typical performance on a Pentium 4 (3.40GHz) processor: 1 year of simulation can be run in 12 min.

For reference, please cite:

Molteni F (2003) Atmospheric simulations using a GCM with simplified physical parametrizations. I. Model climatology and variability in multi-decadal experiments. Clim Dyn 20: 175-191

and

Kucharski F, Molteni F, and Bracco A (2006) Decadal interactions between the western tropical Pacific and the North Atlantic Oscillation. Clim Dyn 26: 79-91

For downloading SPEEDY, please contact: kucharsk@ictp.it (Fred Kucharski)

In the following a collection of experimentation with the SPEEDY model (sometimes coupled to different ocean models)

lets call it

SPEEDY Parade

Some should be easily to be repeated, some are related to older versions coupled to diverse ocean models;

I can stop at any time should you get bored....

Example 1: Atlantic SST impacts on South Asian summer monsoon, and on the ENSO-monsoon teleconnection

Barimalala R, Bracco A, Kucharski F. 2011. The representation of the south tropical Atlantic teleconnection to the Indian Ocean in the AR4 coupled models. Clim. Dyn. 38: 1147–1166, doi: 10.1007/s00382-011-1082-5.

Hari, V., Pathak, A. & Koppa, A. 2020. Dual response of Arabian Sea cyclones and strength of Indian monsoon to Southern Atlantic Ocean. Clim Dyn. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05577-9

Kucharski F, Bracco A, Yoo JH, Molteni F. 2007. Low-frequency variability of the Indian monsoon – ENSO relationship and the tropical Atlantic: The 'weakening' of the 1980s and 1990s. *J. Clim.* **20**: 4255–4266, doi: 10.1175/JCLI4254.1.

Kucharski F, Bracco A, Yoo JH, Molteni F. 2008. Atlantic forced component of the Indian monsoon interannual variability. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35**: L04706, doi: 10.1029/2007GL033037.

Kucharski F, Bracco A, Yoo JH, Tompkins AM, Feudale L, Ruti P, Dell'Aquila A. 2009. A Gill – Matsuno-type mechanism explains the tropical Atlantic influence on African and Indian monsoon rainfall. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **135**: 569–579, doi: 10.1002/qj.406.

Losada T, Rodriguez-Fonseca B, Polo I, Janicot S, Gervois S, Chauvin F, Ruti P. 2010. Tropical response to the Atlantic Equatorial mode: AGCM multimodel approach. *Clim. Dyn.* **35**: 45 – 52, doi 10.1007/s00382-009- 0624-6.

Pottapinjara V, Girishkumar MS, Ravichandran M, Murtugudde R. 2014. Influence of the Atlantic zonal mode on monsoon depressions in the Bay of Bengal during boreal summer. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **119**: 6456 – 6469, doi: 10.1002/2014JD021494.

Pottapinjara V, Girishkumar MS, Sivareddy S, Ravichandran M MR. 2015. Relation between the upper ocean heat content in the equatorial Atlantic during boreal spring and the Indian monsoon rainfall during June–September. *Int. J. Climatol.* **36**: 2469–2480, doi: 10.1002/joc.4506.

Syed FS, Kucharski F. 2016. Statistically related coupled modes of South Asian summer monsoon interannual variability in the tropics. *Atmos. Sci. Lett.* **17**: 183–189, doi: 10.1002/asl.641.

Yadav RK. 2009. Role of equatorial central Pacific and northwest of North Atlantic 2-metre surface temperatures in modulating Indian summer monsoon variability. *Clim. Dyn.* **32**: 549–563.

Yadav RK. 2017. On the relationship between east equatorial Atlantic SST and ISM through Eurasian wave. *Clim. Dyn.* **48**: 281–295, doi: 10.1007/s00382-016-3074-y

Yadav, R.K., Srinivas, G. & Chowdary, J.S. 2018. Atlantic Niño modulation of the Indian summer monsoon through Asian jet. *npj Clim Atmos Sci* **1**, 23. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-018-0029-5.

Sabeerali, C. T., Ajayamohan, R. S., Bangalath, H. K., & Chen, N. (2019). Atlantic Zonal Mode: An emerging source of Indian summer monsoon variability in a warming world. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 4460–4467. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082379</u>

Sources of Monsoon Variability?

2008 at ICTP

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

The India Rainfall - ENSO connection

Rainfall Data: Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology (IITM).

SST Data: Kaplan NINO3 index from Optimal Smoother analysis of MOHSST5 monthly sea surface temperature anomalies.

The Abdus Salam

CT

International Centre

for Theoretical Physics

Interannual SST standard deviation

Contrasting Eastern Pacific and tropical Atlantic influences on rainfall.

• Figures from Kucharski et al., 2007 (JClim) and 2008 (GRL)

The fact that the during ENSO the 2 basins are anticorrelated in the 1980's and 1990's could have contributed to a weakening of the ENSO-ISM relationship, because with the same sign they cause a similar response, meaning that in opposite phases they may interfere destructively

FIG. 4. Regressions and regression differences of SSTs onto the Niño-3 index: (a) 1950–74, (b) 1975–99, (c) 1975–99 – 1950–74 difference of regressions. Units are K.

Nino3.4 index regression rainfall and wind differences

Model

Regression differences indicating a weakening of the ENSO-Monsoon relationship

Figure from Kucharski et al., 2007 (JClim)
STA index regression on rainfall and wind



• Figure from Kucharski et al., 2007 (JClim)



-0.2 в Correlation coefficient -0.3 -0.4 5% Significance -0,5 -0.6 -0.7 -0.8 1860 1880 1900 1920 1940 1960 1980 2000 From: Kumar et al., 1999 Central year of sliding window Sliding correlation between Atl3 index and ISMR ··· 90% confidence level 0.8 ISMR vs AZM 0.4 0.0 -0.4 -0.8 1912 1972 The Abdus Salam From: Sabeerali et al., GRL, 2019 **International Centre (CTP** for Theoretical Physics

Sliding correlation between Nino3 index and ISMR

The mechanism: A Gill-type response; possibly enhanced by compensating sinking in Western Pacific



Figure from Barimalala et el., 2011



The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Evaluate parcel properties with respect to its environment



This is done in convective parameterization schemes in GCMs. We will see later how this exactly works in SPEEDY

Source: appollo.lsc.vsc.edu



Environment Criterion for instability of moist (saturated) air:

(Environment quantities indicates with a bar)



Temperature has to decrease with height faster than the critical limit. Such a situation may be favored by high surface temperatures!

Source: appollo.lsc.vsc.edu



For small-scale air parcel scale motions (~ 1km): A parcel of rising air always cools

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -w\frac{g}{c_p}\frac{\left(1 + \frac{L_{lv}m_{vs}}{RT}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{L_{lv}^2m_{vs}}{c_pT^2R_v}\right)}$$

Assuming adiabatic ascent; . no heat exchange with its environment while rising

The Abdus Salam

International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Note that as long as water vapor in parcel is not saturated we have $m_{sv}=0$, and therefore the parcel cools as $-wg/c_p$.

Further, it can be shown that due to the decreasing temperature as a parcel rises the relative humidity increases until condensation occurs (Clausius-Clapeyron):

$$RH = \frac{p_v}{p_{vs}} = \frac{m_v}{m_{vs}}$$
$$\frac{dRH}{dt} = -\frac{m_v}{m_{vs}^2} \frac{dm_{vs}}{dt} > 0$$

Note that there is a huge asymmetry between a rising and a sinking parcel:

A rising parcel loses all its water vapor (to rainwater that fall out) if rising high enough, whereas a sinking parcel will evaporate the little cloud water it may hold.

Therefore, the net effect of these rising and sinking parcels induced by static instability will be an increase of atmospheric heating!

Reflection: The process of a rising parcel with condentational heating and following sinking would be reversible (i.e. entropy conserving) if no rain is falling out! It's the rain falling out that make is irreversible and entropy producing (just as luv-lee effect, see below)!



For large-scale motions (~ 100-1000km) this means (e.g. model like SPEEDY):

$$c_p \frac{dT}{dt} - \frac{RT}{p} \frac{dp}{dt} = -L_{lv} \frac{dm_v}{dt} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

First law of thermodynamics Heat release by condensation of rising parcels; done by convection scheme

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)_p - S_p\omega = \frac{Q}{cp} \quad ,$$

Re-formulated in pressure coordinates

Stability parameter:

Lapse-rate definition:

$$S_p = (\Gamma_d - \Gamma) / (\rho g)$$

Always positive in large scales

$$\frac{-dT/dz = \Gamma}{\Gamma_d = g/cp}$$



In tropical regions, horizontal gradients and local time changes are small compared to the other terms in the Thermodynamic Equation, therefore we have approximately:

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)_{p}^{\sim 0} - S_{p}\omega = \frac{Q}{cp} \quad ,$$
$$\omega \approx S_{p}\rho g w \approx \frac{Q}{c_{p}}$$

$$w \approx \frac{Q}{c_p} \frac{1}{S_p \rho g}$$

Also anomalous:

 $\Delta w \approx \frac{\Delta Q}{c_p} \frac{1}{S_p \rho g}$

This means whenever there is convective heat release, we can expect that this heating is compensated by large-scale rising motion!

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



Atmospheric response to Equatorial heating



Figure 23: Large-scale adjustments to diabatic convective heating.

Many AGCM simulations have confirmed the tropical Atlantic Indian monsoon teleconnection; here results from a very idealised setting: Aquaplanet



Hamouda & Kucharski (2018), Ekman pumping mechanism driving precipitation anomalies in response to equatorial

Understanding the upper-level response (note response is baroclinic and changes sign in lower levels)



Hamouda & Kucharski (2018), Ekman pumping mechanism driving precipitation anomalies in response to equatorial

One mechanism for vertical velocity and rainfall changes is the already discussed compensating upper-level convergence



Hamouda & Kucharski (2018), Ekman pumping mechanism driving precipitation anomalies in response to equatorial



Note: $-S_p\omega \approx S_p\rho gw$ Ekman pumping $w(De) = \xi_g \sqrt{\frac{K_m}{2f}}$ Omega f) Response 850 hPa Omega PERT - CLIM **80N 60N 40N** 20N EQ 205 **40S 60S 80S** 90E 120E 150E 180 150W 120W 90W 6ÓW 60F 30W 3ÔE a) Ékman pump 850 hPa PERT-CLIM 60N 30N EQ **30**S 60S 6ÓE 120E 180 120W 6ÓW

02

10

The low-level response

results of vertical motions!

The mechanism: A Gill-type response; possibly enhanced by compensating sinking in Western Pacific



Figure from Barimalala et el., 2011



The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



Example 2: Decadal Monsoon variability



Indian summer monsoon time series CRU (red), observed SST forced (black) and Indian Ocean forced (green)

Indian Monsoon Index

PC1 CRU, PC2 Model



From: Kucharski et al., 2006, GRL, VOL. 33, L03709, doi:10.1029/2005GL025371





Regressions of PCs on SSTs

CRU PC1

Global SST forced PC2

Indian Ocean SST forced PC2

From: Kucharski et al., 2006, GRL, VOL. 33, L03709, doi:10.1029/2005GL025371

90E

100E 110E 120E



Example 3: Indian Ocean Dipole forcing of East Africa short rains







Figure 2. Climatology of the East African short rains averaged over $(5^{\circ}S-5^{\circ}N, 30-40^{\circ}E)$ along with monthly standard deviation derived from (a) observation results and (b) the AO.ICTPAGCM simulation; rainfall units are given in mm $(day)^{-1}$.



CC between SON DMI and EEARI

Figure 3. Time-lagged correlation between the seasonal mean annual cycle of EEARI and SON mean DMI during the period 1920–2009. Rainfall is derived from CRU observations and the AO.ICTPAGCM experiment, whereas the DMI is from ERSSTv3b.

From Bahaga et al., *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 141: 16 - 26, January 2015 A DOI:10.1002/qj.2338



Figure 4. Interannual variability of the seasonal mean rainfall anomaly for SON over Equatorial East Africa $(5^{\circ}S-5^{\circ}N, 30-40^{\circ}E)$ since 1920. Plotted in blue is the rainfall derived from (a) AO-ICTPAGCM and (b) IO-ICTPAGCM simulations; red is the observed rainfall resulting from CRU. All rainfalls are given in mm day⁻¹.



Figure 5. Concurrent correlations between the SON short rains index derived from the AO.ICTPAGCM experiment and SON season SST computed from ERSSTv3b. Shaded contours are statistically significant at least 95% confidence level.

From Bahaga et al., Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 141: 16 – 26, January 2015 A DOI:10.1002/qj.2338



Example 4: Change of ENSO-Northwest India winter precipitation relationship







From: Yadav et al., J Climate 2010, DOI: 10.1175/2009JCLI3202.1

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Regression of DJFM SLP and 200 hPa height onto Nino3.4 index for

1950 - 1978





FIG. 7. Regression onto the Niño-3.4 index of modeled (CNTRL): (a) surface pressure (hPa) 1950–78, (b) 200-hPa geopotential height (m) 1950–78, (c) surface pressure (hPa) 1980–2002, (d) 200-hPa height (m) 1980–2002.





FIG. 9. Ratio of forced variance (derived from the ensemble mean of CNTRL) to total variance: (a) 1950–78, (b) 1980–2002, and (c) difference of (b) and (a) divided by the mean of (a) and (b).

Signal variance/Total variance of DJFM 200 hPa height

1950 - 1978

1980 - 2002

Difference (1950 - 1978) minus (1980 – 2002)



Regression of DJFM 200 hPa vel pot and eddy streamfunction height onto Nino3.4 index for



FIG. 11. Regression onto the Niño-3.4 index of modeled (CNTRL): (a) 200-hPa velocity potential 1950–78, (b) 200-hPa eddy streamfunction 1950–78, (c) 200-hPa velocity potential 1980–2002, and (d) 200-hPa streamfunction 1980–2002 (units are $10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$).



Understanding the upper-level response (note response is baroclinic and changes sign in lower levels)



Hamouda & Kucharski (2018), Ekman pumping mechanism driving precipitation anomalies in response to equatorial



Example 5: Delayed ENSO impact on European spring precipitation



First EOF of late spring precipitation

CRU obs

SPEEDY model



Fig. 3 First EOF mode of spring (AMJ) precipitation over the NAE region for: **a** CRU data and **b** CTRL experiment. Contours every 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0

From: Herceg-Bulic' et al., 2010, Clim Dyn, DOI 10.1007/s00382-011-1151-9

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



CRU obs

SPEEDY presc SST



From: Herceg-Bulic' et al., 2010, Clim Dyn, DOI 10.1007/s00382-011-1151-9

120E

(a) corr PC1(AMJ CRU PREC) JFM SSTA

180 (b) corr PC1(AMJ_CTRL_PREC) JFM_SSTA

180

(c) corr PC1(AMJ_MIX_PREC) JFM_SSTA

180

150W

150W

150W

120W

120W

9ÓW

120W

U 22

9ÓW

90W

cont=0.1

45N

30N 15N

EQ

15S 305

45S + 90E

45N

30N

15N

EQ

15S ·

30S

45S |- 90E

45N

30N

15N

EQ

15S ·

30S

455 |- 90E

120E

120E

cont=0.1

cont=0.1

150E

150E

150E





(b) corr PC1(AMJ CTRL PREC) AMJ SSTA



(c) corr PC1(AMJ MIX PREC) AMJ SSTA cont=0.1



ST anomalies. d. Correlations ; are shaded

corr PC1(AMJ_MIX_winter_ENSO_PREC) JFM_SSTA



Corr of first EOF with

SPEEDY + presc SST winter + mxl

Prescribing observed SSTs from October to March, and mixed-layer in North Atlantic region

From: Herceg-Bulic' et al., 2010, Clim Dyn, DOI 10.1007/s00382-011-1151-9

Warm

Cold

ENSO SLP composites

(a) MIX SLP; AMJ; warm composite cont=-0.8 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8 hPa



(c) MIX_winter_ENSO SLP; AMJ; warm composite cont=-0.8 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8 hPa



(e) HadSLP SLP; AMJ; warm composite cont=-0.8 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8 hPa



-0.8 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.050.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8





SPEEDY + presc SST +mxl

(d) MIX_winter_ENSO SLP; AMJ; cold composite cont=-0.8 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8 hPa



SPEEDY + prec SST winter + mxl

(f) HadSLP SLP; AMJ; cold composite cont=-0.8 -0.5 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8 hPa



HadISST obs



From: Herceg-Bulic' et al., 2010, Clim Dyn, DOI 10.1007/s00382-011-1151-9

SST correlation Speedy + presc SST winter + mxl.

Speedy + mxl


NA clim SST



NA MXL SST

Comparing an experiment with frocing from Dec to March with clim SST and one with mxl in NA

Temperature

~ - AO index gh (30-50) - gh(70-90)

u(50-70)-u(30-50)

From: Herceg-Bulic' et al., 2017, DOI: 10.1002/joc.4980



Eddy heat fluxes at 100 hPa and

Eliasse-Palm fluxes in February for an idealized El Nino forcing experiment minus control with climatoligical SSTs.

From: Herceg-Bulic' et al., 2017, DOI: 10.1002/joc.4980



Example 6: Interbasin teleconnections

What about a possible impact of tropical Atlantic on Pacific SSTs?





The mechanism: A Gill-type response; possibly enhanced by compensating sinking in Western Pacific



Figure from Barimalala et el., 2011



The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



Growing literature on Atlantic impact on Pacific:

a) Interannual, for example:

Rodriguez-Fonseca et al. (2009), Jansen et al. (2009), Martin-Rey et al. (2012, 2014, 2015), Ding et al. (2012), Frauen et al. (2012), Keenlyside et al. (2013), Ham et al. (2013a, 2013b), Polo et al. (2014), Kucharski et al. (2014), Sasaki et al. (2014), Terray et al. (2016),

b) Decadal-to-multidecadal, for example:

Timmermann et al. (2007), Zhang and Delworth (2007), Lu et al. (2008) Kucharski et al. (2011, 2015, 2016), Chikamoto et al. (2012, 2015, 2016), McGregor et al. (2014), Kang et al. (2014), Li et al., (2015), Sun et al. (2017), Trascasa-Castro et al., (2021), Ruprich-Robert et al., (2021) and surely many more....



How can the 'small' Atlantic Ocean impact variability in the 'big' Pacific Ocean? Probably the Atlantic Ocean can provide some initial persistent forcing that is amplified in the Pacific through positive feedback (e.g. Bjerknes feedback and others). Some catalytic effect.









[K]



From Rodriguez-Fonseca et al. (2009) for period 1979 to 2001 Experiments done with speedy coupled to an RGO.

b) Atlantic Multidecadal Variability impact on Indo-Pacific



From F. Kucharski, F. Irkam et al. (2015), Clim Dyn, DOI 10.1007/s00382-015-2705-z

b) Atlantic Multidecadal Variability impact on Indo-Pacific

Time series (low-pass filtered) of AMO index (green), central Pacific surface wind index obs (black), model (red); [m/s]



From F. Kucharski, F. Irkam et al. (2015), Clim Dyn, DOI 10.1007/s00382-015-2705-z

c) Long-term changes and trends due to Atlantic SSTs

Atlantic mean SSTs (red), global mean SSTs (black), tropical Pacific SSTs (green), SPEEDY-RGO Pacific SSTs (blue).



From: Kucharski et al., 2011: GRL, VOL. 38, L03702, doi:10.1029/2010GL046248

Long-term changes and trends due to Atlantic SSTs

Atlantic mean SSTs (red), global mean SSTs (black), tropical Pacific SSTs (green), SPEEDY-RGO Pacific SSTs (blue).



-0.6 -0.3-0.15-0.1-0.05-0.020.02 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.3 0.6

Essentially looking at trends here.

From: Kucharski et al., 2011: GRL, VOL. 38, L03702, doi:10.1029/2010GL046248

The following results published in: Sun et al. (2017) Western tropical Pacific multidecadal variability forced by the Atlantic multidecadal oscillation, NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 8:15998 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms15998



SST variability over WTP

Sea surface temperature anomaly patterns



Classic ENSO signal

A seesaw pattern over tropical Pacific at interannual time scales, which is related to **ENSO**



Decadal time scales: IPO mode or ENSOlike interdecadal variability

Decadal SST variability over western tropical Pacific (WTP) is unlikely to be explained by the IPO/PDO

WTP decadal SST variability and AMO

Atlantic Pacemaker experiment: ATL_VARMIX





WTP decadal SST variability and AMO

ATL_VARMIX successfully reproduces the WTP-AMO connection



The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Spectral analysis of WTP SST





Decadal SST teleconnection: reproduced by the Atlantic Pacemaker exp.

Correlation map between WTP SST index (in box) with global SSTs



Schematic graph



Atlantic Ocean acts as a key pacemaker for the western Pacific decadal climate variability



Example 6: Intraseasonal ENSO teleconnection changes

See Adnan M Abids talk, here just the caninical wavetrain



Rossby waves



Canonical ENSO wave-train for December-to-February mean

Z200 ENSO comp OBS DJF



The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Another Mechanism for ENSO teleconnections

Typical winter El Nino composite of a field indicative for upper-level flow



Source: Kucharski et al., 2013, BAMS, DOI:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00238.1

Another Mechanism for ENSO teleconnections

Typical winter El Nino composite of rainfall



These winds in the central Pacific (related to Gill response) are important to provide the positive feedback to ENSO; they change the tilt of the 'thermocline'.



2

Canonical ENSO wave-train; note it can also perturb meridional heatfluxes into stratosphere

Late Winter



https://www.ictp.it/about-ictp/media-centre/news/2022/4/esp-postdoc-2022.aspx#close



Home > About > Media Centre > Highlights > News Highlights 2022 > Postdoctoral Opportunity

NEWS HIGHLIGHTS

2022	
2021	
2020	
2019	
2018	
2017	
2016	
2015	
2014	
2013	
2012	
2011	
2010	
2009	



NEWS HIGHLIGHTS Postdoctoral Opportunity

For research in climate dynamics, climate variability and ocean-atmosphere interactions

13/04/2022

ICTP seeks applications for one postdoctoral position from outstanding young scientists with a strong research record.

The position is in the Earth System Physics (ESP) section in the broad areas of climate dynamics, climate variability and ocean-atmosphere interactions. The ESP section provides a vibrant international research environment with an intense programme of workshops and conferences. Postdoctoral fellows are also encouraged, and supported, to participate in activities in developing countries in order to promote the mission of ICTP.



Postdoctoral Opportunity

The ICTP takes seriously its commitment to equal opportunity and diversity in hiring, and in its global mission to promote science in the developing world.

Fellows must have completed a PhD in Meteorology, Oceanography, Climate Dynamics or related disciplines prior to the start of their Fellowship. Candidates who have experience in the research areas mentioned above and who obtained their PhD after 2017 will be given priority.

ICTP offers internationally competitive remuneration and a number of benefits. The appointment will be initially made for one year. Candidates should apply through the ICTP online application system.

Application deadline: 31 July 2022

Please note that only short-listed candidates will be contacted by e-mail after the selection process (mid -August).

Contact: esp@ictp.it

Apply online