

Joint Summer School on Modeling Tools for Sustainable Development | (smr 3763)

An ICTP virtual meeting

Description of the courses (Track)

Table of Contents

CLEWs (Introduction to CLEWs).....	1
OnSSET (OnSSET/The Global Electrification Platform).....	2
MAED and EBS (Energy demand assessment and scenarios: MAED and EBS tools).....	2
OSeMOSYS and FlexTool (Energy and Flexibility Modelling: OSeMOSYS & FlexTool).....	3
MUSE (Agent-based energy systems modelling: MUSE).....	4
FinPlan (Financial Analysis of Power Sector Projects Using the FinPlan Model).....	4

CLEWs (Introduction to CLEWs)

Climate, land-use, energy and water systems (CLEWs) models are tools for simultaneous consideration of food, energy and water security. They are designed to assess how production and use of these resources may contribute to climate change, and how climate change may affect the resource systems. By comparing different technologies and value chains, such models can identify pressure points, and indicate synergies and trade-offs to reach several development goals simultaneously. CLEWs can analyse policy decisions on issues such as the promotion of clean energy, competition for water and agricultural modernization and can identify paths for policy coherence.

There are several ways of building a CLEWs model. Entry-level models can be created by representing several resource systems together with the same tool, using for example OSeMOSYS. Data regarding the structure of the energy system, land use and agriculture and water supply are needed for such purposes.

Key publications:

Ramos et al., 2021, <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/abd34f/meta>

Howells et al., 2013, <https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate1789>

Welsch et al., 2014,

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261913007277?via%3Dihub>

OnSSET (OnSSET/The Global Electrification Platform)

Geospatial electrification models aim to find where grid, mini-grids or stand-alone technologies can be used to increase access to electricity in a country or region, at the lowest cost. To do so, these models combine GIS data with demographic and techno-economic information. Key results of a geospatial electrification model include the investments/capacity required, the share of the population to be served by each technology, and maps illustrating the distribution of these results over the area of interest. In this course you will be introduced to the Open Source Spatial Electrification Tool (OnSSET), QGIS and the Global Electrification Platform (GEP):

- OnSSET is an open-source framework one can use to conduct a geospatial electrification analysis from scratch,
- QGIS is used to work with GIS data and visualizations,
- The GEP provides pre-existing electrification results (using OnSSET) for 58 countries; it may be used for policy development.

Key publications and websites:

OnSSET: www.onsset.org

The Global Electrification Platform: <https://electrifynow.energydata.info/>

Mentis et al. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa7b29>

Korkovelos et al. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12071395>

MAED and EBS (Energy demand assessment and scenarios: MAED and EBS tools)

The Model for Analysis of Energy Demand (MAED) evaluates future energy demand based on a set of consistent assumptions on medium to long term socioeconomic, technological and demographic developments in a country or a region.

Future energy needs are linked to the production and consumption of goods and services; technology and infrastructure innovation, lifestyle changes caused by increasing personal incomes; and mobility needs. Energy demand is computed for a host of end use activities in three main 'demand sectors': household, services, and industry and transport.

MAED provides a systematic framework for mapping trends and anticipating change in energy needs, particularly as these correspond to alternative scenarios for socioeconomic development.

The Energy Balance Studio (EBS) is an effective tool for providing a systematic framework in organizing the energy statistics data that can be used for constructing inputs for energy planning models like MAED and MESSAGE.

Key publications:

A quantitative model for forecasting energy demand and CO₂ emissions in Pakistan, towards a sustainable energy system

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348136923_A_quantitative_model_for_forecasting_energy_demand_and_CO_2_emissions_in_Pakistan_towards_a_sustainable_energy_system

Lesotho electricity demand profile from 2010 to 2030

http://www.scielo.org.za/scielo.php?pid=S1021-447X2021000100004&script=sci_arttext&lng=es

Scenarios simulation and analysis on electric power planning based on multi-scale forecast: a case study of Taoussa, Mali from 2020 to 2035

<https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/14/24/8515/htm>

Energy Demand Modelling of Developing Economies Using MAED-2 with Sectoral Decomposition: Bangladesh Case Study

[\(PDF\) Energy Demand Modelling of Developing Economies Using MAED-2 with Sectoral Decomposition: Bangladesh Case Study \(researchgate.net\)](#)

OSeMOSYS and FlexTool (Energy and Flexibility Modelling: OSeMOSYS & FlexTool)

OSeMOSYS and FlexTool are two tools commonly paired together for long-term energy system analysis. OSeMOSYS calculates the cheapest way of producing energy to meet a pre-defined demand given a set of power generation technologies. In OSeMOSYS, technologies are defined by their costs, technical parameters (e.g. capacity factor, life time), and production potential. Various constraints can be applied to the model, and thus many scenarios of how a country can produce its energy in the long term can be analyzed. The scenario results from OSeMOSYS can then be fed into FlexTool to assess the flexibility of the energy system, as well as find ways to overcome potential loss of load and/or curtailment

Key publications:

C. Taliotis *et al.*, “An indicative analysis of investment opportunities in the African electricity supply sector — Using TEMBA (The Electricity Model Base for Africa),” *Energy Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 31, pp. 50–66, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.ESD.2015.12.001. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0973082615300065?casa_token=luhW7k410WQAAAAA:JGqAJfhkIF37WK2eTQSmaQwYm8cBTSuH7SZsQcbvTp-3uQEFu3_ZW71IR7GmnfA9TfMdGN8Y

K. Löffler, K. Hainsch, T. Burandt, P. Y. Oei, C. Kemfert, and C. Von Hirschhausen, “Designing a Model for the Global Energy System—GENeSYS-MOD: An Application of the Open-Source Energy Modeling System (OSeMOSYS),” *Energies* 2017, Vol. 10, Page 1468, vol. 10, no. 10, p. 1468, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.3390/EN10101468. Available at: <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/10/10/1468>

G. Godínez-Zamora *et al.*, “Decarbonising the transport and energy sectors: Technical feasibility and socioeconomic impacts in Costa Rica” *Energy Strateg. Rev.*, vol. 32, p. 100573, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.ESR.2020.100573. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211467X20301267>

MUSE (Agent-based energy systems modelling: MUSE)

This course will help participants understand the types of investments that could be made to ensure a sustainable and secure energy system from a global or national perspective. Participants will learn how to use the energy system model, MUSE, to meet their energy system goals. MUSE uses a novel agent-based methodology to closely simulate the development of energy systems by allowing the analysis and comparison of different scenarios.

FinPlan (Financial Analysis of Power Sector Projects Using the FinPlan Model)

Financial constraints are often the most important challenge to the implementation of an economically optimal electricity expansion plan. FINPLAN is particularly helpful for analysing such constraints, as it allows taking a closer look at the financial performance of power plant projects over their lifetime. Within FINPLAN, the various cost components of a project during its construction and operation are compared with the available funding sources, the associated financing costs and income streams generated by the project. Cash-flow and other financial statements as well as ratios are calculated to evaluate performance and associate risk. This enables a comprehensive financial assessment, providing a better understanding of the financial viability of a project.

Key publication:

Shafiqul, I.M. and Bhuiyan, T.H., 2020. Assessment of costs of nuclear power in Bangladesh. *Nuclear Energy and Technology*, 6, p.181. Available at: <https://nucet.pensoft.net/article/54003/download/pdf/>



Cosponsor(s)

OpTIMUS Community of Practice, Foreign Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO), Imperial College London, International Atomic Energy Agency, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Loughborough University, UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations Development Programme, United Nations Economic Commission for Africa, University of Cambridge, University of Oxford, The Open University, The World Bank Group, Simon Fraser University, The Climate Compatible Growth (#CCG) program

Secretariat

Monica Ancuta, Viktoriya Lvova, Adriana Pinto