united nations united nations scientific and cultural organization the **abdus salam** international centre for theoretical physics

Course on "Inverse Methods in Atmospheric Science" 1 - 12 October 2001

301/1332-7

"Retrieval of Temperature and Constituents from the High Resolution Dynamics Limb Sounder (MLS)"

> A. LAMBERT National Center For Atmospheric Research Atmospheric Chemistry Division Boulder Co USA

Please note: These are preliminary notes intended for internal distribution only.

Retrieval of Temperature and Constituents from the High Resolution Dynamics Limb Sounder

Alyn Lambert [alambert@ucar.edu]

11 October 2001

HIRDLS Instrument Overview

- HIRDLS = High Resolution Dynamics Limb Sounder
- Infrared limb-scanning radiometer
- Stirling cycle cryo-cooled detectors and cold-filters operating at ${\sim}65~\text{K}$
- US-UK collaboration
 - Co-PIs: John Gille (Univ. Colorado), John Barnett (Univ. Oxford)
- EOS-Aura satellite (HIRDLS, MLS, TES, OMI)
 - Launch July 2003
- \sim 705 km altitude Sun-synchronous Orbit
 - 98° inclination
 - 1:45pm ascending node
- Measure infrared limb-radiance samples in 21 spectral channels.
- Retrieve vertical profiles of atmospheric temperature, pressure, geopotential height gradients, constituent mixing ratios and aerosol extinction.

HIRDLS Science Objectives

- Chemical Composition
 - H_2O , O_3 , NO_2 , HNO_3 , CFC11, CFC12, N_2O , CH_4 , $CIONO_2$, N_2O_5
- Stratospheric Aerosols, PSCs, Cirrus
- Dynamics and Transport
- Momentum, Energy and Potential Vorticity Balances
- Validation of Numerical Models
- Climatologies and Trends
- Upper Troposphere

ICTP

HIRDLS Measurements

- infrared limb emission $555 1650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
 - 21 spectral channels (band-pass filters)
- elevation scan every $\sim 10 \text{ s}$
 - vertical coverage 8-80 km
 - vertical field of view \sim 1.25km
 - relative pointing knowledge ${\sim}1.0$ arcsec or 14m at the limb
- viewing aft-direction with azimuth step range $+21^{\circ}$ to -43°
- operating lifetime ${\sim}5~{\rm yrs}$

- Standard scan sequences provide flexible data acquisition modes tailored to address a wide range of scientific problems. Range of atmospheric phenomena to be investigated impose different and sometimes conflicting operational constraints.
 - Global Mode: default acquisition mode for normal scientific operation. Complete global coverage (pole to pole) in 12^h with 5° by 5° profile spacing. Provides the long-term requirement for homogeneous monitoring of the atmosphere.

HIRDLS Global Scan Mode - 1 swath

HIRDLS 1-Orbit 5x5 Global Mode Swath Coverage



HIRDLS Global Mode Coverage

c.f. Northern Hemisphere post-Pinatubo aerosol extinction



- Operational considerations
 - > 7000 profiles \times 12 products per day
- Inversion scheme
 - Level-1 calibrated radiances \rightarrow Level-2 products
 - Optimal Estimation maximum a posteriori (MAP) Rodgers (2000)

HIRDLS Sounding Channels

Identification of the target gases (black), and the strong (dark grey) and minor (light grey) contaminants in the HIRDLS sounding channels.

HIRDLS Sounding Channels

	Channel / Target Gas																				
Gas	Aerosol	(T,p)	(T,p)	(T,p)	(T,p)	Aerosol	CFC11	HNO ₃	CFC12	õ	0 ³	O ₃	Aerosol	N_2O_5	N_2O	CIONO ₂	CH4	H_2O	Aerosol	H_2O	NO_2
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
CO ₂																					
Aerosol																					
O ₃																					
H ₂ O																					
NO ₂																					
CFC11																					
HNO ₃																					
CFC12																					
N ₂ O ₅																					
N ₂ O																					
CIONO ₂								1													
CH ₄																					, ,
CF_4																					
O ₂																					

- The **retrieval scheme** defines the sequence in which the retrievals are to be carried out. The Table shows a possible configuration for the retrieval of all catalogued Level-2 products
 - (i) retrieval sequence steps
 - (ii) radiance channels
 - (iii) contaminant species
 - (iv) grouping of multiple retrieval products.
- Two complete passes through the retrieval sequence are required to correct for **line of sight gradients**

Retrieval Scheme

Target			С	Channels						
1	T/p	CO ₂	O ₃	N_2O	H ₂ O	Aerosol	2	3	4	5
2	Aerosol	H ₂ O I	N_2O C	$O_2 O_3$	HNC	D ₃ CH ₄	1	6	13	19
3	H_2O	CH ₄	O ₂			Aerosol	18	20		
4	O ₃	CO_2	H ₂ O	N ₂ O	CFC12	Aerosol	10	11	12	
5	NO ₂	H ₂ O	CH ₄	O ₂		Aerosol	21			
	HNO ₃						8			
6	CFC11	H ₂ O	CO ₂	O ₃		Aerosol	7			
	CFC12						9			
	N ₂ O ₅				CF	Aerosol	14			
7	N ₂ O	H ₂ O	CO	HNO ₂			15			
I	ClONO ₂	1120					16			
	CH ₄						17			

ICTP

Alyn Lambert 11 October 2001

- **Target gas(es)** is (are) the species to be retrieved from a radiance channel or combination of channels
- **Contaminants** are the species specified as contributing to the emission within a radiance channel but which are not being retrieved at the current retrieval step. These may be fixed gases, or taken from the climatology data, or obtained from data retrieved independently from other radiance channels at an earlier processing stage

Climatological data are required for each contaminant species in the HIRDLS channels and are conveniently stored as gridded data. The spatial and temporal gridding resolution depends on the variability of the particular species, i.e. 1-D profiles are adequate for well-mixed gases such as CO_2 , but 2-D zonal mean spatial distributions will be required for most species. Some species will only require a single fixed distribution in time, while others will be represented as seasonal or monthly distributions.

Diurnally varying species will require special treatment to factor in the change as a function of solar zenith angle or local time as appropriate.

HIRDLS Climatological Data

Field	Temporal	Spatial
CO ₂	Fixed	1-D Profile
O ₃	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
H ₂ O	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
NO ₂	Monthly, Diurnal	2-D Zonal Mean
CFC11	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
HNO ₃	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
CFC12	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
N ₂ O ₅	Monthly, Diurnal	2-D Zonal Mean
N ₂ O	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
ClONO ₂	Monthly, Diurnal	2-D Zonal Mean
CH ₄	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
CF ₄	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
O ₂	Fixed	1-D Profile
Aerosol	Background to Volcanic	2-D Zonal Mean
Temperature	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean
Height	Monthly	2-D Zonal Mean

The *a priori* data consist of a profile and covariance matrix for each of the HIRDLS target species. They are required to constrain the retrieval solution.

The *a priori* profile represents prior knowledge of the state of the atmosphere at the time and location of measurement and the *a priori* covariances represent the associated uncertainty.

The *a priori* data for HIRDLS will be derived from climatological data.

HIRDLS Level-2 retrieval flow

The retrieval sequence consists of 7 steps, a two-stage process being used to achieve the line of sight gradient correction.

Each product that is retrieved is then used to generate line of sight gradients which are used in the second pass of the retrieval sequence.

Here we only consider the radiance samples obtained during a single up or down scan. The flexibility of the HIRDLS instrumentation allows more complex observation modes and retrieval schemes to be devised in which the horizontal variability along the line of sight may be probed in greater detail.

An overview of the Level-2 retrieval flow is shown in the Figure. The instrument data input is via the Level-1 data product which consists of geolocated and time-stamped limb radiance samples. Ancilliary data, such as the climatology data, transmittance data etc. are also required inputs to the Level-2 processor.

HIRDLS Level-2 retrieval flow



Radiative Transfer for Infrared Limb-Sounding

The channel radiance, $R(s_o, h)$, received when viewing a particular tangent altitude, h, at an observation point, s_o , along the ray path is given by,

$$R(s_o,h) = \int_{-\infty}^{s_o} \int_{\Delta\nu} B(\nu,T(s)) \frac{d\tau(\nu,q(s),s)}{ds} f(\nu) d\nu ds \tag{1}$$

where *s* is the position along the ray path which has its tangent point at *h*, ν the wavenumber, *B* the Planck function, which depends the temperature *T*, and τ the transmittance from *s* to s_0 , which depends on the gas mixing ratio *q*. The channel filter response function is $f(\nu)$ and extends over a spectral passband of width $\Delta \nu$.

Forward Models

Simulation of calibrated Level-1 radiances

- Absorption coefficient look-up table
 - absorption coefficient (gas/channel combination) stored as a 3D table look-up (wavenumber, pressure, temperature)
 - large table size (\sim Gb)
 - monochromatic calculation line-by-line accuracy slow to execute
 - generation of parameterized models
- Fast Forward Model based on Curtis-Godson approximation
 - transmittance (gas/channel combination) parameterized as a 3D table look-up (pressure, temperature, absorber mass)
 - modest table size (\sim Mb)
 - fast analytic weighting function calculation
 - assumes multiplicative property for multiple gases in a channel
 - since \sim 2–3 % accuracy is insufficient for T/p sounding channels a modified scheme is under development

Line-of-sight Gradients

- The atmospheric limb-radiance is dependent on horizontal thermal and constituent mixing ratio gradients which invalidates assumptions of spherical symmetry of the limb-path.
 - sensitivity to thermal gradients increases with decreasing wavelength (Planck function dependence) for same opacity.
 - optically thin channels are less sensitive to gradients
 - typical errors of 2–3 K in temperature for a gradient of 1 K per 100 km
- Two-Pass approach
 - first pass
 - * assume horizontal homogeneity (flat 2D-LOS field)
 - * retrieve products
 - second pass
 - * calculate 2D-LOS fields from the retrieved data
 - * retrieve products

Geometry for the limb-sounding problem

The atmospheric layer boundaries must be chosen to ensure that sufficient layers are used for an accurate radiative transfer calculation. The Figure shows a 2D vertical (height, Earth centred angle) cross-section, (h, ψ) , along the line of sight. As the the limb-sounder scans up or down the atmosphere a locus of geometrical tangent point altitudes are defined which do not lie on a vertical through the Earth's centre. A reference tangent height is selected which is used to define the nominal vertical profile location, ψ_0 , along the line of sight and its (latitude, longitude) position on the Earth. The state vector is located at this reference position as is the origin of the 2D coordinate system atmospheric profiles used in the retrieval.

Geometry for the limb-sounding problem





Alyn Lambert 11 October 2001

The Figure shows schematically that atmospheric refraction deviates the light ray from the geometric path resulting in a true tangent point which is lower in altitude and further from the satellite than the geometric (or apparent) tangent point location. This is the true path through the atmosphere and it must be modelled adequately to achieve an accurate radiative transfer calculation.

Atmospheric Refraction



The atmosphere is modelled on a 2-D (h, ψ) grid and the ray path through the atmosphere is described by coordinates (h, ψ, θ) for a given instrument geometric tangent height view.

The intersections of the view vector with the top of the atmosphere (TOA) defined by an arc of radius, $r_{toa} = h_{toa} + R_c$, give the $(r_{toa}, \psi_{toa}, \theta_{toa})$ coordinates required to initialize the ray tracing algorithm.

Ray Path Coordinates



rc = Radius of Curvature of Geoid at (lat,lon) location of the reference tangent point in the direction of LOS

Refractive Index of Air

n = n(r) - horizontal homogeneity $n = n(x, \psi)$ - LOS variation in T, p, H₂O

Initial Conditions for Ray Tracing : Solve for $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s})$ distance from RoC



ICTP

The relationship between perturbations at an atmospheric level and for an atmospheric layer. The geometric height of a perturbed level is denoted by h_{ptb} , and the perturbation takes the form of a triangular function, the apex centered at h_{ptb} and the two base vertices at the geometric levels above and below h_{ptb} .

Line-of-sight schematic for weighting function calculations. An atmospheric perturbation at height, h = l, affects the line of sight segments on either side of the true tangent point at $j_{11}, j_{12}, j_{21}, j_{22}$.



Line-of-sight schematic



Alyn Lambert 11 October 2001

Weighting Functions

The weighting function matrix, K_{hl} , for a state vector quantity, q, defined on levels, where R_h is the radiance calculated for the refracted atmospheric path associated with the geometric tangent height, h, and l is the vertical perturbation level is given by,

$$K_{hl} = \frac{\partial R_h}{\partial q_l}$$

These are related to the layer defined quantities, \bar{q} , by a summation over the LOS indices, $i = j_{11}, j_{12}, j_{21}, j_{22}$

$$K_{hl} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial R_h}{\partial \bar{q}_i} \frac{\partial \bar{q}_i}{\partial q_l} = \sum_{i} \bar{K}_{hi} \frac{\partial \bar{q}_i}{\partial q_l}$$
(2)

- Tropical AFGL atmosphere homogeneous with LOS angle
- LOS-layer weighting functions along a ray path $\frac{\partial R_h}{\partial \bar{a}_i}$
 - pixel position corresponds to mid-points of 1 km vertical layers
 - maximum response is normalized to unity along each LOS path
 - 50 % max. response shown by green/yellow contour
 - 10 km interval shells from Earth's surface to 120 km shown in white
- Vertical-level weighting functions K-matrix $\frac{\partial R_h}{\partial q_l}$

LOS Temperature Weighting Functions



Level Temperature Weighting Functions



ICTP

Alyn Lambert 11 October 2001
LOS Ozone Weighting Functions



Level Ozone Weighting Functions



LOS Water Vapour Weighting Functions



Level Water Vapour Weighting Functions



Retrieval of Temperature and Pressure

- The retrieval of temperature and pressure will be performed jointly on a relative height grid from 4 channels (2,3,4,5).
- Absolute pointing knowledge of the line-of-sight is not required. However, the relative altitudes of the retrieval grid must be known to high precision. A reference pressure, $p_0(z_0)$, is chosen corresponding to an altitude surface in the stratosphere.
- The temperature profile is retrieved by making use of the ideal gas equation to relate temperature, T, density, ρ , and pressure, p,

$$p = \frac{\rho}{M_r} RT \tag{3}$$

where R is the gas constant and M_r is the relative molecular mass of air.

Retrieval of Temperature and Pressure

• The hydrostatic equation is integrated to obtain the pressure profile,

$$\ln p(z) = \ln p_0(z_0) + \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{M_r g(\phi, z)}{RT(z)} dz$$
(4)

where $g(\phi, z)$ is the gravatational acceleration at latitude, ϕ , altitude, z

• The state vector for this retrieval contains the reference pressure, $\ln p_0(z_0)$, and the temperature profile, T(z).

Multiple Product Retrievals

The retrieval of multiple products simultaneously from multiple sounding channels is achieved using the generality of the retrieval algorithm which allows the state and measurement vectors to be composed of the concatenation of the individual product profiles and channel radiances, respectively. The measurement error covariance, *a priori* vector and covariance matrix, and weighting function matrix must also be composed accordingly. The simultaneous retrieval is most effective for the products which have significant contamination in several sounding channels.

In principle T/p and all constituents could be retrieved simultaneously from the 21 channels in a "full-up" retrieval. In practice, some caution is advisable in performing the initial retrievals after launch of the instrument to reduce the complexity of diagnosing potential problems with real data from the radiance channels.

The aim of any physical retrieval algorithm is to obtain profiles of the atmospheric constituents (contained in the "state vector") for which the radiative transfer model ("forward model") predicts synthesized radiances which are consistent with the measured radiances ("measurement vector") and the *a priori* information.

Measurement vector and error covariance

The measurement vector, y, has m elements consisting of the vertical profiles of calibrated Level-1 radiances for the channels selected for the retrieval,

$$\mathbf{y} = \{y_c(z_{l_c})\}\tag{5}$$

where the indices, l_c , specify the altitudes, z_{l_c} , to be used for a channel, c. The instrument noise, ϵ_y , is assumed to be uncorrelated between channels (i.e. no cross-talk) and to have zero mean.

The measurement error covariance, S_y , is an $(m \times m)$ matrix, where the diagonal elements are equal to the variances of the instrument noise, σ_y^2 , and the off-diagonal elements are zero,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{y}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}; \quad S_{y}(i,j) = \left\{\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_{y}^{2}(i) & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{array}\right.$$
(6)

The state vector, \mathbf{x} , has n elements consisting of the quantities to be retrieved e.g. temperature profile, reference pressure and/or constituent mixing ratio profiles at the required altitude ranges,

$$\mathbf{x} = \{x_q(z_{l_q})\}\tag{7}$$

where the indices, l_q , specify the altitudes, z_{l_q} , to be used for a retrieved quantity, x_q .

A priori vector

The *a priori* data give an independent estimate of the state of the atmosphere and its uncertainty. The influence of the *a priori* on the retrieval is to provide stabilization against the possible gross amplification of noise associated with direct inversion of the measurements. All the quantities in the state vector require *a priori* data.

The *a priori* vector, \mathbf{x}_{a} , has *n* elements with the same structure as the state vector,

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}} = \{x_{q_a}(z_{l_q})\}\tag{8}$$

where the same indices as in Eqn 7, l_q , specify the altitudes, z, to be used for an *a priori* quantity, x_{q_a} .

The *a priori* covariance, S_{x_a} , is an $(n \times n)$ matrix, where the diagonal elements are the variances and the off-diagonal elements represent the interlevel correlations characterized by a correlation length, *l*,

$$S_{x_a}^{ij} = \sqrt{S_{x_a}^{ii} S_{x_a}^{jj}} \exp(-|z_i - z_j|/l)$$
(9)

We define the act of measurement to be,

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \tag{10}$$

where f is identified as the "forward function" and represents the physics of the measurement including the characterization of the instrument and the radiative transfer process. The true atmospheric state is described by the vector \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{b} is a vector of "forward function parameters" which are quantities that affect the radiative transfer but which are not being retrieved. The error term is given by the vector $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y$ with covariance matrix \mathbf{S}_y and includes measurement noise. The forward model, \mathbf{f} , is used to calculate synthesized radiances, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, and can be represented by,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \tag{11}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ are estimation vectors for the state and model parameters, respectively. This represents an approximation to the true forward transfer process and results in a forward model error.

The inverse model, *I*, relates the retrieved state to the true state and can be represented formally by,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = I(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{c})$$
 (12)

where x_a is a vector of *a priori* data corresponding to the state vector and c are other data not explicitly included in the forward model e.g. the starting guess vector for x.

The state vector is defined on a geometric altitude grid and the radiances are labelled according to the same grid. Then the definition of a weighting function is :

$$K_{hl} = \frac{df_h}{dx_l} \tag{13}$$

where df_h is the predicted change in radiance at the satellite, originating along the ray path labelled by the geometric altitude index, h, due to a change in the quantity, dx_l , at the geometric altitude index, l. The process we use to obtain a solution for x is an optimal estimation algorithm. The scalar cost function, constructed assuming Gaussian errors for both the *a priori* estimate and measurements, is given by,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_a}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$$
(14)

The first term of Eqn 14 is a penalty function which constrains the solution to the *a priori* state with a weighting dependent on the *a priori* covariances. The second term is the familiar χ^2 -statistic which evaluates the "distance" between the measured and the synthesized radiances with a weighting dependent on the measurement error covariances.

MAP Solution

The **maximum a posteriori** solution is obtained by minimizing the cost function with respect to \mathbf{x} ,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}) = 0 = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}) - \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$$
 (15)

where

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \tag{16}$$

is the weighting function matrix $(m \times n)$ which represents the sensitivity of the forward model to the retrieved quantities. Rearranging Eqn 15 yields the following nonlinear equation for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}_{a} + \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{a}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}))$$
(17)

Gauss-Newtonian Iteration

We seek an efficient numerical method of obtaining the solution to Eqn 17 and a Newtonian iteration scheme may be employed if the system is only moderately non-linear and the initial guess value of the state vector is in the vicinity of the solution,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i - [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_i)]^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
(18)

where the second derivative of the cost function is known as the Hessian matrix,

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\mathbf{x}) \approx \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} \mathbf{K}$$
(19)

and the iteration scheme is called the inverse Hessian method. If $\Phi(x)$ is exactly a quadratic form then Eqn 18 gives the solution in one step.

Iteration Equation

On substituting Eqns 15 and 19 into Eqn 18 we obtain the iteration equation for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, where (hopefully) $\mathbf{x}_i \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ as the iteration proceeds,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_a}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_i)^{-1} (\mathbf{K}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i)] - \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_a}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_a))$$
(20)

The solution covariance including the *a priori* and measurement noise terms is given by,

$$S_{x} = (S_{x_{a}}^{-1} + K^{\top} S_{y}^{-1} K)^{-1}$$
(21)

However, we must consider situations where an initial estimate of the state vector is so far from the solution that a quadratic hypersurface is not a good approximation to the shape of the cost function. The simple method of steepest descent is then more appropriate,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i - \gamma^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
(22)

where γ determines the step size in the search for the minimum.

Marquardt-Levenberg Method

The Marquardt-Levenberg method combines the inverse Hessian and steepest descent approaches,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i - [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \gamma \mathbf{I}_n]^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
(23)

The value of γ controls the search strategy, for $\gamma \to 0$ the inverse Hessian method dominates and for $\gamma \to \infty$ the steepest descent dominates with a small step size. The prescription for changing the value of γ is dependent on the convergence behaviour. If $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) > \Phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$ then reject \mathbf{x}_{n+1} and increase γ , whereas if $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) < \Phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$ then accept \mathbf{x}_{n+1} and decrease γ . In general, the search procedure starts out as a slow steepest descent method and, as the iteration proceeds and the solution is approached more closely, the search turns to the faster inverse Hessian method.

Because the state vector elements may have widely varying magnitudes and different dimensions a convenient scaling can be achieved by replacing γI with $\gamma S_{x_a}^{-1}$ in which case the iteration equation becomes,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + [(1+\gamma)\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_a}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_i^{\top}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_i]^{-1} \{\mathbf{K}_i^{\top}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}[\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i)] - \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_a}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_a)\}$$
(24)

This equation can be derived formally by applying a scaling transformation to Eqn 23 using $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{y}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{x}a}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_m$, simplifying and transforming back to the unscaled quantities.

Convergence Criterion

The iteration process must be stopped at a suitable point which prevents (i) over-running the iteration, resulting in time-wasting computational effort

(ii) under-running the iteration and therefore not converging on an answer lying within a negligible difference from the optimal solution.

A practical convergence test is to stop the iteration when the difference between the last two iterates scaled by the estimated error is smaller than a pre-defined tolerance fraction, ϵ , i.e.

$$d_i^2 = (\mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}_i)^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}_i) < \epsilon \ n$$
(25)

An upper limit must obviously be set on the maximum number of iterations allowed to curtail "runaway" retrievals which never converge.

Retrieval Quality Control

If the retrieval converges then a number of tests are carried out including :

- (i) Consistency of the retrieval with the measurements and *a priori* data. The standard χ^2 -test is applied to Eqn 14 to determine whether there is a statistically significant deviation which indicates an abnormally poor fit. This should follow a χ^2 distribution with *m* degrees of freedom since there are n + m measurements (the *a priori* data are considered as virtual measurements) to which *n* parameters have been fitted. The retrieval is accepted as successful if the value of the χ^2 -statistic satisfies, for example, the 99.9 % confidence level.
- (ii) Consistency of the retrieval with the *a priori* data.

The retrieved state vector is compared to the *a priori* state vector. A poor fit in this case may be indicative of an anomalous atmospheric event in progress i.e. the atmospheric variability has exceed that specified by the *a priori* covariance.

It is useful to record and examine the χ^2 -distribution for a complete set of retrievals accumulated over a processing period.

The retrieved state can be expressed as a **weighted mean** of the true and *a priori* states and the noise contribution,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + \Delta \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}}]$$
(26)

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{K} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
(27)

is the **averaging kernel matrix** which represents the sensitivity of the retrieved state to perturbations of the true state.

Retrieval Characterization

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}$$
(28)

is the **contribution function** matrix (sensitivity of the retrieval to the measurement vector).

Introducing the **forward model error** term $\Delta f(x, b)$ into the retrieval

$$\Delta \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})$$
(29)

allows for the fact that the measurements may be known to a much higher accuracy than the expected accuracy of the forward model.

Retrieval Characterization

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \tag{30}$$

is the **weighting function** matrix (sensitivity of the forward model radiances to the state vector), and

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \tag{31}$$

is the **model parameter** matrix (sensitivity of the forward model radiances to the forward model parameters.

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}} = \left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$$
(32)

is the **a priori contribution function** matrix (sensitivity of the retrieval to the *a priori* vector).

Rearranging the terms in Eqn 26 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} &= (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}) & \dots \text{ smoothing error } \dots \epsilon_{s} \\ &+ \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}) & \dots \text{ model parameter error } \dots \epsilon_{b} \\ &+ \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) & \dots \text{ forward model error } \dots \epsilon_{f} \\ &+ \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \epsilon_{y} & \dots \text{ retrieval noise } \dots \epsilon_{n} \end{aligned}$$
 (33)

The **smoothing error** (*a priori* error) is given by

$$\epsilon_s = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) \tag{34}$$

and the retrieval error covariance contribution is,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon_{s}} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\epsilon_{s}\epsilon_{s}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{a}}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{D}_{a}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}_{a}}\mathbf{D}_{a}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
 (35)

Alyn Lambert 11 October 2001

The model parameter error is,

$$\epsilon_b = D_y K_b (b - \hat{b})$$
(36)

and the retrieval error covariance contribution is,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon_{\mathrm{b}}} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\epsilon_{b}\epsilon_{b}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{y}}\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{b}}\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{b}}\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(37)

where $S_b = \mathcal{E}\left\{ (b - \hat{b})(b - \hat{b})^T \right\}$ is the error covariance of the model parameters, b.

The forward model error is,

$$\epsilon_f = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta \mathbf{f} \tag{38}$$

and the retrieval error covariance contribution is,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon_{\mathrm{f}}} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\epsilon_{f}\epsilon_{f}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{y}}\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{f}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathsf{T}} \tag{39}$$

Retrieval Error Analysis

where $S_f = \mathcal{E} \{ \Delta f \Delta f^T \}$ is the error covariance of f. An estimate of the error in the operational forward model will be obtained by comparison with line-by-line models.

The **retrieval noise** is given by

$$\epsilon_n = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}} \tag{40}$$

and the retrieval error covariance contribution is,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon_{n}} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\epsilon_{n}\epsilon_{n}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(41)

The **full solution covariance** is given by the sum of the error covariance matrices,

$$S_{x} = S_{\epsilon_{n}} + S_{\epsilon_{s}} + S_{\epsilon_{b}} + S_{\epsilon_{f}}$$

= $D_{y}S_{y}D_{y}^{\top} + D_{a}S_{x_{a}}D_{a}^{\top} + D_{y}K_{b}S_{b}K_{b}^{\top}D_{b}^{\top} + D_{y}S_{f}D_{y}^{\top}$ (42)
= $\left(S_{x_{a}}^{-1} + K^{\top}S_{y}^{-1}K\right)^{-1} + D_{y}K_{b}S_{b}K_{b}^{\top}D_{b}^{\top} + D_{y}S_{f}D_{y}^{\top}$

Error Terms and Sources

Retrieval Error Term	Error Source	
Smoothing error	Intrinsic resolution	
Forward model parameter error	Ancilliary data error	Contaminant species
	Instrument error	Calibration
		Field-of-view
		Pointing jitter
		Detector misalignment
		Spectral filter
	Spectroscopy error	Line shape, line mixing, continuum
Forward model error	Temperature/pressure error	
	Approximations	
Measurement error	Instrument noise	

Pre-launch algorithm testing

The pre-launch **retrieval testing** consists of making simulated data retrievals corresponding to expected atmospheric conditions which are derived either from model data or previous measurements. The error analyses will incorporate estimates of the error sources shown in the Table obtained from instrument calibration and test data.

Random errors include instrument, detector and electronic noise, which we consider together to form the radiometric measurement noise. Line of sight pointing jitter also makes an important radiometric random noise contribution.

Systematic errors occur due to the instrument model (field of view response, detector misalignment, spectral filter response, calibration gain and off-set), ancillary data (climatological contaminant species abundances), spectroscopy (line shape, line mixing and continuum emission) and the forward model (transmittance approximations, retrieved temperature/pressure values).

Pre-launch Simulated Atmospheres

- Homogeneous atmosphere
- Gradients in Temperature and Constituent Fields
 - polar vortex
 - tropical stratospheric reservoir
 - diurnal species variations
- Atmospheric perturbations
 - influence of *a priori* atmosphere
 - ozone hole
 - stratospheric sudden warming
 - volcanic eruption
- Clouds and Aerosols
 - cirrus
 - polar stratospheric clouds
 - background volcanic aerosols

- Post-launch error analysis is concerned with internal data validation and uses the information from in-flight instrument performance studies e.g.
 - estimates of uncorrected scan dependent stray radiances from satel-
 - lite pitch-up events
 - time-series of space and black-body views
 - profile-to-profile / orbit-to-orbit repeatability measurements

- Reporting correlated errors in the Level-2 product poses something of a problem
 - diagonal terms of a covariance matrix are the familiar error variances which are normally reported in the retrieved product as standard deviations (1 σ).
 - additional data quality indicators should be available in the Level-2 product to allow the assessment of the influence of the a priori on the retrieved quantity e.g. a negative sign in the error value to flag the altitudes where most of the information comes from the a priori.

- A retrieval characterization and error analysis has been performed for the AFGL tropical atmosphere profiles.
- Random error sources apart from the measurement error are represented by a single "forward model error" term consisting of 0.3 % of the channel radiance. Detailed information on individual error components will become available during the HIRDLS calibration and testing phases and these will be used in the final assessments.
- The following figures show the results of calculations of radiance profiles, weighting functions, averaging kernels and random error contributions. The random error contributions, consisting of the measurement error, forward model error and *a priori* error, are the square roots of the diagonals of the respective covariance matrices.
AFGL Temperature/Pressure Retrieval

- The retrieval of temperature and pressure at a reference altitude is performed jointly using 4-sounding channels (2,3,4,5).
- The diagonal elements of the *a priori* covariance matrix, $S_{x_a}^{ii}$ were set at $(20 \ K)^2$ and the off-diagonal elements were calculated using a l = 10 km correlation length.

Radiance profiles ($Wm^{-2}sr^{-1}$) for the HIRDLS temperature sounding channels





Weighting functions, $\partial R/\partial T$, for the HIRDLS temperature sounding channels





ICTP

Averaging kernels and random error contributions for the temperature retrieval.



(a) Averaging kernels and (b) random error contributions for the HIRDLS temperature sounding channels calculated for the AFGL tropical atmosphere. The dot-dash line in (a) represents the sum of the averaging kernel rows. In (b) the solid line represents the total error and the dotted, dashed and dot-dashed lines represent the measurement noise, forward model and *a priori* error contributions, respectively.

AFGL N₂O, N₂O₅, CIONO₂, and CH₄ retrieval

The retrieval of N₂O, N₂O₅, CIONO₂, and CH₄ will be performed jointly from 4 channels (14,15,16,17). The diagonal elements of the *a priori* covariance matrix, $S_{x_a}^{ii}$ were set at $(75 \ \% VMR)^2$ and the off-diagonal elements were calculated using a l = 10 km correlation length

Radiance profiles ($Wm^{-2}sr^{-1}$) for the HIRDLS sounding channels (14,15,16,17)

calculated for the AFGL tropical atmosphere.



ICTP

Weighting functions, $\partial R / \partial \ln(VMR)$, for the HIRDLS sounding channels (14,15,16,17)

calculated for the AFGL tropical atmosphere



ICTP



Averaging kernels for the joint retrieval of N_2O_5 , N_2O_5 , $CIONO_2$, and CH_4

ICTP

Fractional random error contributions for the joint retrieval of N_2O_1 , N_2O_5 , CIONO₂,

and CH₄



ICTP

EOS-Aura Intercomparison Exercise

- A retrieval characterization and error analysis has been performed for the Aura Algorithm Working Group Intercomparison Excercise.
- NCAR Mozart Model Model for OZone And Related chemical Tracers
 - 3-D global chemical transport model (CTM)
 - 20 min time-step
- CIRA T/p + NCAR SOCRATES 2D Model used for *a priori* and initial guess data.
- Ficticious circular orbit 73 profiles along track
- Clear sky conditions no clouds or aerosols
- Contaminants are given their "true" model data values in the retrieval
- Random error sources apart from the measurement error are represented by a single "forward model error" term consisting of 0.3 % of the channel radiance
- No propagation of T/p errors into the constituent retrievals
- The following figures show the total retrieval errors.

EOS-Aura Intercomparison Orbit



ICTP

Retrieval Error



ICTP

Retrieval Error



Retrieval Error



Model Data



Model Data



ICTP

Model Data



ICTP

H2ROE_RetValEX.f90 c:/HIRDLS/Retrieval/Code/ENGIN/H2ROE/

SUBROUTINE H2ROE RetVal (DrvDat, Pnt, LevHgt, Los2D, Toa, RayPath, SpectFilt, CGTraDat, CGPathTra, OptEst, Prf, FIRSTR, ErrLoc) 1 !\$Header: H2ROE_RetVal.f90, 9, 8/6/2001 5:51:14 PM, Alyn Lambert\$! example subroutine error handling and dignostic prints removed 5 USE H2RSS Mod, ONLY : H2RSS_DrvDatType, H2RSS_PhtType, H2RSS_LevHgtType, H2RSS_ToaType, H2RSS_PrfType, & 6 H2RSS_OptEstType USE H2RRT_Mod, ONLY : H2RRT_RayPathType, H2RRT_Los2DType 8 USE H2RLD_Mod, ONLY : H2RLD_SpectFiltType, H2RLD_CGTraDatType 9 USE H2RMA_Mod, ONLY : H2RMA_MakApr, H2RMA_MakDSF 10 П USE H2RCG_Mod, ONLY : H2RCG_CGPathTraType 12 IMPLICIT NONE 13 14 !! Arguments 15 TYPE(H2RSS_DrvDatType), INTENT(INOUT) :: DrvDat ! retrieval block driver data (n.b. OUT because LSTWTG is set) INTENT(IN) :: Pnt 16 TYPE(H2RSS PntType). ! retrieval pointers 17 TYPE (H2RSS LevHqtType), INTENT(INOUT) :: LevHqt ! geolocation data 18 TYPE (H2RSS ToaType), INTENT(IN) :: Toa ! top of atmosphere structure 19 TYPE (H2RRT_Los2DType), INTENT(INOUT) :: Los2D ! 2D atmosphere TYPE (H2RRT_RayPathType), INTENT (INOUT) :: RayPath ! ray path structure 20 21 TYPE(H2RLD_SpectFiltType), INTENT(IN) :: SpectFilt ! spectral filter data loaded by retrieval driver TYPE(H2RLD_CGTraDatType), INTENT(IN) :: CGTraDat ! transmittance tables loaded by retrieval driver 22 22 TYPE (H2RCG CGPathTraType), INTENT(INOUT) :: CGPathTra ! saved path transmittances 24 TYPE (H2RSS_OptEstType), INTENT(INOUT) :: OptEst ! vectors and matrices used in optimal estimation (allocated arrays) 25 TYPE(H2RSS PrfType), INTENT(INOUT) :: Prf ! profile data 26 LOGICAL, **INTENT (INOUT) ::** FIRSTR ! if .TRUE. save FM into INIRAD (1st calc. radiance) TYPE(H2_ErrLoc_Type), ! error handling 27 INTENT (OUT) :: ErrLoc 28 29 !! Locals 30 TYPE (H2RSS OptEstType) ! vectors and matrices used in optimal estimation (subsets of OptEst) :: OE 31 CHARACTER (LEN=15) :: ErrId ! error id 32 CHARACTER (LEN=80) :: ErrMsa ! error message 33 INTEGER (I4B) :: NITER ! iteration counter 34 REAL (R8B) :: gamma ! Marguardt-Levenberg step size parameter 35 LOGICAL :: CONVERGED ! convergence monitor flag CHARACTER (LEN=10) :: RetMethod ! retrieval method 36 37 38 REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: SXAI ! matrix inverse of SXA 39 REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:,:) :: KT ! matrix transpose of KMN REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: SYI ! matrix inverse of SY 40 41 REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: KT_SYI ! matrix product KT # SYI REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:,:) :: MAT ! matrix eqn MAT = (KT#SYI#(YM-FM) + SXAI#(XA-XN)) 42 43 REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: DM ! matrix eqn DM = INVERT((1.0D0 + gamma)*SXAI + KT#SYI#KMN) 44 REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:,:) :: DMI ! matrix inverse of DM 45 REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: YM_FM ! vector difference of measurment and forward model vectors (YM - FM) 46 REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:,:) :: XA XN ! vector difference of apriori and state vectors (XA - XN) 47 REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:) :: XNP ! updated state vector XNP = XN + DMI#MAT 48 49 REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: SAVEX ! saved state vector REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:) :: SAVEF 50 ! saved forward model vector REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: SAVEK ! saved weighting function matrix 51 52 53 REAL (R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION (:) :: SAVET ! saved Prf%T REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: SAVEP ! saved Prf%P 54 55 REAL(R8B), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:,:) :: SAVEV ! saved Prf%V 56 57 REAL (R8B) :: PHIN ! Phi(XN) value of cost function at XN :: PHINP1 ! Phi(XNP) value of cost function at new location XNP 58 REAL (R8B) 59 REAL (R8B) :: di2 ! convergance diagnostic 60 61 LOGICAL :: LBLWUP ! set .TRUE. if retrieval blows up 62 LOGICAL :: UpdatePath ! set .TRUE. if new RayPath is to be computed LOGICAL :: FAIL, SINGLR 63 64 !! Exe

H2ROE_RetValEX.f90 c:/HIRDLS/Retrieval/Code/ENGIN/H2ROE/

65 66 UpdatePath = .FALSE. 67 FIRSTR = .TRUE. 68 69 !! make XA, SXA first guess XN, determine NX CALL H2RMA_MakApr(DrvDat%PRDGAS, DrvDat%NPRDCT, LevHgt%LevRef, Pnt%PDCTLV, Pnt%NPDTLV, DrvDat%APRLEN, & 70 LevHgt%Z, Prf%P, Prf%T, Prf%PApr, Prf%PAprErr, Prf%TApr, Prf%TAprErr, Prf%V, Prf%VErr, & 71 72 OptEst%XN, OptEst%XA, OptEst%SXA, OptEst%NX) 73 74 !! point to array subsets 75 OE%NX = OptEst%NX OE%MY = OptEst%MY 76 77 OE%XN => OptEst%XN(1:OE%NX) 78 OE%SX => OptEst%SX(1:OE%NX,1:OE%NX) 79 OE%XA => OptEst%XA(1:OE%NX) 80 OE%SXA => OptEst%SXA(1:OE%NX,1:OE%NX) 81 OE%YM => OptEst%YM(1:OE%MY) 82 OE%FM => OptEst%FM(1:OE%MY) OE%SY => OptEst%SY(1:OE%MY,1:OE%MY) 83 OE%SM => OptEst%SM(1:OE%MY,1:OE%MY) 84 85 OE%SF => OptEst%SF(1:OE%MY,1:OE%MY) 86 OE%ST => OptEst%ST(1:OE%MY,1:OE%MY) 87 OE%SR => OptEst%SR(1:OE%MY,1:OE%MY) 88 OE%KMN => OptEst%KMN(1:OE%MY,1:OE%NX) 89 90 ALLOCATE (SXAI (OE%NX, OE%NX)) 91 ALLOCATE (KT (OE%NX, OE%MY)) ALLOCATE (SYI (OE%MY, OE%MY)) 92 ALLOCATE (KT_SYI (OE%NX, OE%MY)) 93 94 ALLOCATE (MAT (OE%NX, 1)) 95 ALLOCATE (DM (OE%NX, OE%NX)) ALLOCATE (DMI (OE%NX, OE%NX)) 96 97 ALLOCATE (YM_FM(OE%MY,1)) ALLOCATE (XA_XN (OE%NX, 1)) 98 99 ALLOCATE (XNP(OE%NX)) 100 101 ALLOCATE (SAVEX (OE%NX)) 102 ALLOCATE (SAVEF (OE%MY)) 103 ALLOCATE (SAVEK (OE%MY, OE%NX)) 104 105 ALLOCATE (SAVET (SIZE (Prf%T))) ALLOCATE (SAVEP(SIZE(Prf%P))) 106 107 ALLOCATE (SAVEV (SIZE (Prf%V(:,1)), SIZE (Prf%V(1,:)))) 108 109 !! invert the apriori covariance matrix SXA 110 CALL H2ROE_MTXINV (OE%NX, OE%NX, OE%NX, OE%SXA, SXAI, SINGLR, FAIL, ERRMSG) Ш CONVERGED = .FALSE. 112 113 NITER = 0114 115 RetMethod = 'ML' ! only one retrieval method 116 117 SELECT CASE (RetMethod) 118 119 CASE('ML') !! Marquardt-Levenberg 120 121 !! call forward model 122 CALL H2ROE_CGFMRad(DrvDat, Pnt, LevHgt, Los2D, RayPath, SpectFilt, CGTraDat, CGPathTra, & OE, Prf, FIRSTR, ErrLoc) 123 124 125 !! adjust FM/SY with forward model bias/errors 126 CALL H2RMA_MakDSF (OE%FM, OE%MY, OE%SM, OE%SF, OE%ST, OE%SR, OE%SY, SYI) 127 128 . gamma = 100.0D0 ! ensure starts with steepest descent

H2ROE_RetValEX.f90 c:/HIRDLS/Retrieval/Code/ENGIN/H2ROE/

129 130 !! calculate cost function 131 PHIN = H2ROE_ClcPhi(OE%XN, OE%XA, SXAI, OE%YM, OE%FM, SYI) 132 UpdatePath = ANY(DrvDat%PRDGAS(:) == 'CO2') ! set update path if T/p retrieval 133 134 135 ITERATE : DO 136 137 niter = niter + 1138 139 КŢ = TRANSPOSE (OE%KMN) 140 KT_SYI = MATMUL(KT, SYI) 141 142 $YM_FM(:,1) = (OE%YM(:) - OE%FM(:))$ 143 $XA_XN(:,1) = (OE^{XA}(:) - OE^{XN}(:))$ 144 145 !! MAT = (KT#SYI#(YM-FM) + SXAI#(XA-XN)) 146 MAT = MATMUL(KT_SYI, YM_FM) + MATMUL(SXAI, XA_XN) 147 148 !! DM = (1.D0 + gamma) * SXAI + (KT#SYI#K)DM = (1.0D0 + gamma) * SXAI + MATMUL(KT_SYI, OE%KMN) 149 150 151 !! invert the DM matrix 152 CALL H2ROE_MTXINV (OE%NX, OE%NX, OE%NX, DM, DMI, SINGLR, FAIL, ERRMSG) 153 154 !! generate the state vector update XNP = XN + INVERT((1.0D0 + gamma)*SXAI + KT#SYI#K) # MAT 155 XNP = OE%XN + RESHAPE(MATMUL(DMI, MAT), (/ OE%NX /)) 156 157 !! convergence diagnostic (scalar) di2 = (XNP-XN)^T # MAT di2 = DOT_PRODUCT (XNP-OE%XN, MAT(:,1)) 158 159 160 !! save current info in case we don't move to a better location ; SAVEP = Prf%P 161 SAVET = Prf T; SAVEV = Prf%V 162 SAVEX = OE%XN ; SAVEF = OE%FM ; SAVEK = OE%KMN OE%XN = XNP 163 164 165 !! decompose XN and update atm. profiles 166 CALL H2ROE_DecomX (DrvDat%PRDGAS, OE%XN, LevHgt%Top, LevHgt%LevRef, DrvDat%NPRDCT, & 167 Pnt%NPDTLV, Pnt%PDCTLV, LevHgt%GeoHRef, LevHgt%SinLat, Prf%TApr, & 168 Prf%T, Prf%P, Prf%E, LevHgt%Z, Prf%V, LBLWUP, ErrLoc) 169 170 !! update the LO2 2D atmosphere 171 CALL H2ROE_UpdateLOS2D(DrvDat, Pnt, Prf, LevHgt, Toa, RayPath, UpdatePath, Los2D, ErrLoc) 172 173 !! call forward model 174 CALL H2ROE_CGFMRad(DrvDat, Pnt, LevHgt, Los2D, RayPath, SpectFilt, CGTraDat, CGPathTra, & 175 OE, Prf, FIRSTR, ErrLoc) 176 177 !! adjust FM/SY with forward model bias/errors 178 CALL H2RMA_MakDSF (OE%FM, OE%MY, OE%SM, OE%SF, OE%ST, OE%SR, OE%SY, SYI) 179 180 !! calculate cost function 181 PHINP1 = H2ROE_ClcPhi(OE%XN, OE%XA, SXAI, OE%YM, OE%FM, SYI) 182 183 IF (PHINP1 > PHIN) THEN !! revert to previous XN and increase gamma 184 185 OE%XN = SAVEX ; OE%FM = SAVEF ; OE%KMN = SAVEK 186 187 !! don't update PHIN 188 gamma = MIN(1.D33, gamma * 10.D0)189 190 !! revert to previous atm. 191 Prf%T = SAVET ; Prf%P = SAVEP ; Prf%V = SAVEV 192

H2ROE RetValEX.f90 c:/HIRDLS/Retrieval/Code/ENGIN/H2ROE/

!! revert to previous LO2 2D atmosphere CALL H2ROE_UpdateLOS2D(DrvDat, Pnt, Prf, LevHgt, Toa, RayPath, UpdatePath, Los2D, ErrLoc) 195 ELSE !! accept new XN and decrease gamma !! update PHIN PHIN = PHINP1 200 gamma = MAX (1D-33, gamma * 0.1D0) !! accept new atm (already called DECOMX) END IF CONVERGED = (di2 < DrvDat%epsilon*OE%NX) IF (niter >= DrvDat%MaxItr .OR. CONVERGED) EXIT ITERATE ENDDO ITERATE CASE DEFAULT END SELECT !! calculate solution covariance SX = INVERT(SXAI + KT#SYI#KMN) CALL H2ROE_MTXINV (OE%NX, OE%NX, OE%NX, SXAI + MATMUL(MATMUL(KT, SYI), OE%KMN), OE%SX, & SINGLR, FAIL, ERRMSG) !! decompose solution covariance SX onto atm. error profiles CALL H2ROE_DecomXerr(DrvDat%PRDGAS, OE%XN, OE%SXA, OE%SXA, LevHgt%LevRef, DrvDat%NPRDCT, Pnt%PDCTLV, & Pnt%NPDTLV, Prf%PErr, Prf%TErr, Prf%VErr, LBLWUP, ErrLoc) !! Add Apriori test !! Add Radiance test !! Add diagnostics DEALLOCATE (SXAI, KT, SYI, KT_SYI, MAT, DM, DMI, YM_FM, XA_XN, XNP, SAVEX, SAVEF, SAVEK, SAVET, SAVEP, SAVEV) RETURN 231 END SUBROUTINE H2ROE_RetVal

230 232 end

193

194

196

197 198

199

201 202

203

204 205 206

207 208 209

210 211

212

213

214

215

216 217 218

219 220

221 222

223 224

225 226

227 228

229