

PRE-EQUILIBRIUM NUCLEAR REACTIONS: An introduction to classical and quantum-mechanical models

Arjan Koning

Nuclear Research and Consultancy Group NRG

P.O. Box 25
1755 ZG Petten
The Netherlands

Workshop on Nuclear Reaction Data and Nuclear Reactors -
Physics, Design and Safety, Feb. 25 - March 28 2002, Trieste, Italy

Contents

- General introduction
- Classical models
- Quantum-mechanical models
- Examples
- Conclusions

1. CLASSICAL MODELS

1. The exciton model
2. The master equation
3. Comparison with experiments
4. Conclusions

EXCITON MODEL

The composite nucleus (projectile + target) is treated as a system characterized by basically two quantum numbers, the exciton number $n = p + h$, (particles + holes) and the total energy of the system, E . Furthermore:

- Equiproability of particle-hole configurations with the same E .
- Two-body interactions only.
- Temporal development in terms of exciton number.
- Free parameter: the average matrix element for transitions to less or more complex stages.
- Correlation of system with initial condition gradually disappears.
- Automatic inclusion of the compound stage.

THE MASTER EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dq(n, t)}{dt} &= \lambda^+(n - 2)q(n - 2, t) + \lambda^-(n + 2)q(n + 2, t) \\ &\quad - [\lambda^+(n) + \lambda^-(n) + w(n)]q(n, t).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

- q : occupation probability
- n : exciton number
- $\lambda^+(n)$, $\lambda^-(n)$: internal transition rates from state n with $\Delta n = +2$ and $\Delta n = -2$, respectively.
- $w(n)$: total emission rate from state n summed over all outgoing particles and energies.

EMISSION RATE

Emission rate:

$$w_b(n, \epsilon) = \frac{2s_b + 1}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \mu_b \epsilon \sigma_{b,inv}(\epsilon) \frac{\omega(p - p_b, h, U)}{\omega(p, h, E)} Q_b(n) \phi_b, \quad (2)$$

- ϵ : energy,
- μ_b : relative mass
- s_b : spin
- E : total excitation energy
- U : residual excitation energy
- $\sigma_{b,inv}(\epsilon)$: inverse reaction cross section
- Q : charge-conserving coefficient

PARTICLE-HOLE STATE DENSITY

Williams, Betak and Dobes, Oblozinsky:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(p, h, E) = & \frac{g^n}{p!h!(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^h (-1)^j \binom{h}{j} \\ & \times (E - A_{p,h} - jF)^{n-1} \Theta(E - E_{PP} - jF).\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

- F : Fermi energy,
- $E_{PP} = [p^2 + h^2 + p - h]/2g$
- Θ unit step function (finite hole depth),
- $g = \frac{6a}{\pi^2}$ single-particle state density
- $A_{p,h} = [p(p-1) + h(h-1)]/4g$: Pauli correction factor.

Transition rates

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^-(n) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \langle M^2 \rangle \frac{1}{2} gph(n-2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{C(p-1, h-1)}{gE - A(p-1, h-1)} \right)^{n-3} \right], \\ \lambda^+(n) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \langle M^2 \rangle \frac{1}{2n+1} \frac{g}{[gE - A(p+1, h+1)]^{n+1} - [C(p+1, h+1)]^{n+1}} \\ &\quad [gE - A(p, h)]^{n-1},\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where $C(p, h) = gh(E - F) - hA(p, h)$

Matrix element:

$$\langle M^2 \rangle = \frac{c}{A^3(E + d)^3}\tag{5}$$

Solution of the master equation

$$\begin{aligned} -q_0(n) &= \lambda^+(n-2)t(n-2) + \lambda^-(n+2)t(n+2) \\ &- [\lambda^+(n) + \lambda^-(n) + w(n)] t(n) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Mean lifetime of an exciton state $t(n)$

$$t(n) = \int_0^\infty q(n, t) dt. \quad (7)$$

Average cross section for emission of particle b with energy ϵ

$$\frac{d\sigma^{PE}}{d\epsilon}(a, b) = \sigma_a \sum_n w_b(n, \epsilon) t(n) \quad (8)$$

METHOD A: Exact solution

Akkermans (1979): Use straightforward properties of tri-diagonal matrices, all possible transitions taken into account.

Mean lifetime:

$$t(n) = \tau_n h_n \left(\prod_{m=n_0, \Delta m=2}^{n-2} \lambda^+(m) \tau_m h_m \right) \\ \times \left(1 + \sum_{s=n+2, \Delta s=2} \left[\prod_{k=n, \Delta k=2}^{s-2} \lambda^+(k) \tau_k h_k \lambda^-(k+2) \tau_{k+2} h_{k+2} \right] \right) \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= [\lambda^+(i) + \lambda^-(i) + w(i)]^{-1} \\ h_{i+1} &= [1 - h_i \lambda^+(i) \tau_i \lambda^-(i+1) \tau_{i+1}]^{-1}, h_1 = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

METHOD B: Never-come-back approximation

- Works good for high energies ($E > 20 \text{ MeV}$)
- $\lambda^-(n) = 0$
- More consistent with complementary use of Hauser-Feshbach model

Pre-equilibrium cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon}^{PE}(a, b) = \sigma_a \left[\frac{w_b(n, \epsilon)}{w(n) + \lambda^+(n)} + \sum_{n=n_0+2, \Delta n=2}^{\bar{n}} \left(\prod_{j=n_0, \Delta j=2}^{n-2} \frac{\lambda^+(j+2)}{w(j) + \lambda^+(j+2)} \right) \frac{w_b(n, \epsilon)}{w(n) + \lambda^+(n+2)} \right] \quad (11)$$

Kalbach systematics

Kalbach (1988) derived a powerful expression for continuum angular distributions:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\epsilon_b} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon_b \sinh(a)} [\cosh(a \cos \Theta) + f_{PE} \sinh(a \cos \Theta)] \quad (12)$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned} a(\epsilon'_b, \epsilon'_a) &= 0.04 \frac{E_1 \epsilon'_b}{\epsilon'_a} + 1.8 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{E_1 \epsilon'_b}{\epsilon'_a} \right)^3 + 6.7 \times 10^{-7} M_a m_b \left(\frac{E_3 \epsilon'_b}{\epsilon'_a} \right)^4, \\ E_1 &= \min(\epsilon'_a, 130 \pm 10 \text{MeV}) \\ E_3 &= \min(\epsilon'_a, 41 \pm 5 \text{MeV}) \\ \epsilon'_b &= \epsilon_b + S_b \\ \epsilon'_a &= \epsilon_a + S_a. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

ϵ_a, ϵ_b : incident and outgoing energy

Other extensions

Possible generalizations:

- Angle Ω : generalized exciton model (Mantzouranis 1975)
- distinction between neutrons and protons (Betak and Dobes 1976)
- leading-particle energy ϵ (Iwamoto 1984)
- Spin J and Parity Π (Plyuiko 1978, Shi 1987)
- Continuum pickup/stripping and knockout model (Kalbach 2002)

“Mother of all master equations” in terms of the probability $q(n_\pi, n_\nu, J, \Pi, \Omega, \epsilon, t)$.

Two-component exciton model revisited (Koning and Duijvestijn, 2002)

Two-component exciton model

Cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma_k^{EM}}{dE_k} = \sigma^{CF} \sum_{\substack{p_\pi^{eq} \\ p_\pi=p_\pi^0}} \sum_{\substack{p_\nu^{eq} \\ p_\nu=p_\nu^0}} w_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E_k) S_{pre}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \quad (14)$$

- Physically more intuitive (a nucleus consists of neutron and protons)
- More flexibility with particle-hole state densities
- More flexibility with internal transition rates

Two-component exciton model

Emission rate:

$$w_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, E_k) = \frac{2s_k + 1}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \mu_k E_k \sigma_{k,inv}(E_k) \frac{\omega(p_\pi - Z_k, h_\pi, p_\nu - N_k, h_\nu, E_x)}{\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{tot})}, \quad (15)$$

Time-integrated strength

$$S_{pre}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = P_2(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) t(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \quad (16)$$

Lifetime:

$$\begin{aligned} t(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= [\lambda_{\pi+}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \lambda_{\nu+}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \lambda_{\pi\nu}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\ &+ \lambda_{\nu\pi}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + w(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Two-component exciton model

Internal transition rate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_{\pi+}(p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{g_{\pi}^2}{2n(n+1)} \left[E^{tot} - A(p_{\pi} + 1, h_{\pi} + 1, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}) \right]^{n+1} \\
 &\times (n_{\pi} g_{\pi} M_{\pi\pi}^2 + 2n_{\nu} g_{\nu} M_{\pi\nu}^2) f(p + 1, h + 1, E^{tot}, V)
 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

f: finite well function

$$B_{\pi\nu}(p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}) = \max[A(p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}), A(p_{\pi} - 1, h_{\pi} - 1, p_{\nu} + 1, h_{\nu} + 1)] \quad (19)$$

Two-component exciton model

Strength:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_2(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = & P_2(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \Gamma_{\pi+}(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\
 & + P_2(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \Gamma_{\nu+}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \\
 & + ((P_2(p_\pi - 2, h_\pi - 2, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) \Gamma_{\pi+}(p_\pi - 2, h_\pi - 2, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) \\
 & + P_2(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \Gamma_{\nu+}(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu)) \\
 & \times \Gamma_{\nu\pi}(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) \\
 & + (P_2(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \Gamma_{\pi+}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \\
 & + P_2(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu - 2, h_\nu - 2) \Gamma_{\nu+}(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu - 2, h_\nu - 2)) \\
 & \times \Gamma_{\pi\nu}(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \\
 & \times \frac{t'(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)}{t(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)} \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_{\pi+}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \lambda_{\pi+}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) t(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tag{21}$$

Conclusions (Exciton Model)

- The basic Exciton Model is simple, but very powerful.
- Applicable up to at least 200 MeV.
- Most significant extension seems to be the two-component approach.
- Inferior to quantum-mechanical approach from physical point of view.

2. QUANTUM-MECHANICAL MODELS

1. History
2. Two-component multi-step direct model: Theory
3. Calculation method
4. Complementary reactions
 - Collective effects
 - P-Q transitions and multi-step compound reactions
 - Compound and multiple emission
 - Multiple pre-equilibrium emission
5. Conclusions

History

1966-1974:

- Direct: DWBA, coupled channels: Quantum-mechanical
- Compound: Hauser-Feshbach, etc. : Quantum-mechanical
- Pre-equilibrium: Exciton, Hybrid model, etc.: Classical

Pre-equilibrium models: why quantum-mechanical?

- Derived from first principles
- Prediction of continuum angular distributions
- Continuum analyzing power

History

1975-1984:

- Development of quantum-mechanical pre-equilibrium theories: Agassi-Weidenmüller-Mantzouranis, Tamura-Udagawa-Lenske, Feshbach-Kerman-Koonin
- Implementation in computer codes: Tamura, Bonetti

1985-present:

- Development of new MSD/MSC models: Nishioka-Weidenmüller-Yoshida-Verbaarschot
- More computer codes (healthy situation!)
- More emphasis on *ingredients*: Optical model, level densities, nucleon-nucleon interaction, RPA strength functions, single-particle level schemes

Differences between MSD Models

Multi-step direct:

- Extrapolation of direct reactions to the continuum
- Distorted wave theory + statistical assumptions:
- → Kill quantum-mechanical interference terms
- → Manageable formulae

Residual-system statistics vs. leading-particle statistics

→ Unifying scheme for MSD models

Hot theoretical item: Causality problems in the Feshbach-Kerman-Koonin model
 $(\chi - \hat{\chi}$ problem).

The one-step cross section

DWBA to the continuum:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{j \leftarrow i}^{(1)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{m^2}{(2\pi\hbar^2)^2 k_0} \sum_{\mu} \hat{\rho}_{\mu}(p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}, E_x) \\ \times |\langle \chi_j^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu(p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}) | \mathcal{V} | 0 \rangle | \chi_i^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2 \quad (22)$$

μ : $1p1h$ state

p_{π} : proton particle, h_{π} : proton hole, p_{ν} : neutron particle, h_{ν} : neutron hole

χ : distorted wave

E_x : excitation energy

\mathcal{V} : effective nucleon-nucleon interaction

The one-step cross section

1p1h-distribution:

$$\hat{\rho}_\mu(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E_x) = \sum_f \overline{|a_\mu^f|^2 \delta(E_f - E_x)} \quad (23)$$

For each final nuclear state:

$$|f\rangle = \sum_{m\mu} a_{m\mu}^f |m\mu\rangle \quad (24)$$

$a_{m\mu}$: distribution amplitudes

The one-step cross section

(p,n) reaction:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\nu \leftarrow \pi}^{(1)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{m^2}{(2\pi\hbar^2)^2 k_0} \sum_{\mu} \hat{\rho}_{\mu}(1, 0, 0, 1, E_x) \\ \times |\langle \chi_{\nu}^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu(1, 0, 0, 1) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\nu} | 0 \rangle | \chi_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2 \quad (25)$$

(p,p') reaction:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\pi \leftarrow \pi}^{(1)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{m^2}{(2\pi\hbar^2)^2 k_0} \sum_{\mu} \\ \times [\hat{\rho}_{\mu}(1, 1, 0, 0, E_x) | \langle \chi_{\pi}^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu(1, 1, 0, 0) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\pi} | 0 \rangle | \chi_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2 \\ + \hat{\rho}_{\mu}(0, 0, 1, 1, E_x) | \langle \chi_{\pi}^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu(0, 0, 1, 1) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\nu} | 0 \rangle | \chi_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2] \quad (26)$$

State densities

(p,n) reaction:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\nu \leftarrow \pi}^{(1)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} = \sum_J \rho(1, 0, 0, 1, J, E_x) \left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{\nu \leftarrow \pi}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega} \right\rangle_J^{\nu_{\pi\nu}} \quad (27)$$

(p,p') reaction:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma_{\pi \leftarrow \pi}^{(1)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} &= \sum_J \rho(1, 1, 0, 0, J, E_x) \left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{\pi \leftarrow \pi}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega} \right\rangle_J^{\nu_{\pi\pi}} \\ &+ \sum_J \rho(0, 0, 1, 1, J, E_x) \left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{\pi \leftarrow \pi}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega} \right\rangle_J^{\nu_{\pi\nu}} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

State densities

Assume J , E_x dependence can be decoupled:

$$\rho(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, J, E_x) = (2J+1)R_n(J)\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E_x) \quad (29)$$

Betak and Dobes (for two components):

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E_x) &= \frac{g_\pi^{p_\pi+h_\pi} g_\nu^{p_\nu+h_\nu}}{p_\pi! h_\pi! p_\nu! h_\nu! (n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{h_\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{h_\nu} (-1)^{k+l} \binom{h_\pi}{k} \binom{h_\nu}{l} \\ &\times (E_x - \Delta - A_{\text{Pauli}} - (k+l)E_F)^{n-1} \\ &\times \Theta(E_x - \Delta - E_{PP} - (k+l)E_F) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$R_n(J) = \frac{2J+1}{\pi^{1/2} n^{3/2} \sigma^3} \exp \left[-\frac{(J + \frac{1}{2})^2}{n\sigma^2} \right] \quad (31)$$

The multi-step cross section

Feshbach-Kerman-Koonin:

- Extra energy average over intermediate channels
- Rotation of S-matrix poles from 4th into 1st quadrant
- $\overline{\hat{\chi}^{(+)}} \longrightarrow \overline{\chi}^{(-)}$
- Leading-particle statistics
- Two-step cross section = Product of two one-step cross sections
- Bonetti, Koning, Akkermans and Hodgson (Phys. Rep. 247, no. 1, 1 (1994))

The multi-step cross section

Two-component extension:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2\sigma_{j \leftarrow i}^{(2)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} &= \frac{2m^5}{(2\pi)^8 \hbar^{10} k_0} \sum_{k=\pi,\nu} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\mu'} \int d\Omega_1 \int dE_1 E_1 \\
 &\times \hat{\rho}_{\mu'}(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E'_x) \hat{\rho}_\mu(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E'_x) \\
 &\times |\langle \bar{\chi}_j^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu'(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) | \mathcal{V} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_k^{(+)}(E_1, \Omega_1) \rangle|^2 \\
 &\times |\langle \bar{\chi}_k^{(-)}(E_1, \Omega_1) | \langle \mu(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) | \mathcal{V} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_i^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2 \quad (32)
 \end{aligned}$$

Recursive expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2\sigma_{j \leftarrow i}^{(n)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} &= \frac{m}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2} \sum_{k=\pi,\nu} \int d\Omega_{n-1} \int dE_{n-1} E_{n-1} \\
 &\times \frac{d^2\sigma_{j \leftarrow k}^{(1)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_{n-1}, \Omega_{n-1}) d^2\sigma_{k \leftarrow i}^{(n-1)}(E_{n-1}, \Omega_{n-1} \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} \quad (33)
 \end{aligned}$$

The multi-step cross section

(p,n) reaction:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2\sigma_{\nu\leftarrow\pi}^{(2)}(E, \Omega \leftarrow E_0, \Omega_0)}{d\Omega dE} &= \frac{2m^5}{(2\pi)^8 \hbar^{10} k_0} 2\pi^2 \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\mu'} \int d\Omega_1 \int dE_1 E_1 \\
 &\times [(\hat{\rho}_{\mu'}(0, 0, 1, 1, E_x'') |\langle \bar{\chi}_{\nu}^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu'(0, 0, 1, 1) | \mathcal{V}_{\nu\nu} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_{\nu}^{(+)}(E_1, \Omega_1) \rangle|^2 \\
 &+ \hat{\rho}_{\mu'}(1, 1, 0, 0, E_x'') |\langle \bar{\chi}_{\nu}^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu'(1, 1, 0, 0) | \mathcal{V}_{\nu\pi} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_{\nu}^{(+)}(E_1, \Omega_1) \rangle|^2) \\
 &\times \hat{\rho}_{\mu}(1, 0, 0, 1, E_x') |\langle \bar{\chi}_{\nu}^{(-)}(E_1, \Omega_1) | \langle \mu(1, 0, 0, 1) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\nu} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2 \\
 &+ \hat{\rho}_{\mu'}(1, 0, 0, 1, E_x'') |\langle \bar{\chi}_{\nu}^{(-)}(E, \Omega) | \langle \mu'(1, 0, 0, 1) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\nu} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_1, \Omega_1) \rangle|^2 \\
 &\times (\hat{\rho}_{\mu}(0, 0, 1, 1, E_x') |\langle \bar{\chi}_{\pi}^{(-)}(E_1, \Omega_1) | \langle \mu(0, 0, 1, 1) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\nu} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2 \\
 &+ \hat{\rho}_{\mu}(1, 1, 0, 0, E_x') |\langle \bar{\chi}_{\pi}^{(-)}(E_1, \Omega_1) | \langle \mu(1, 1, 0, 0) | \mathcal{V}_{\pi\pi} | 0 \rangle | \bar{\chi}_{\pi}^{(+)}(E_0, \Omega_0) \rangle|^2) \quad (34)
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation method

DWBA cross sections:

- Single-particle states generated with spherical Nilsson model
- Seeger and Howard coefficients
- Only normal-parity states, spin transfer reactions excluded
- Yukawa interaction, range 1 fm.

Calculate DWBA cross sections with ECIS97 for ALL 1pl1h-states
Typical case: 80 MeV (p, xp) and (p, xn) on ^{90}Zr :

- 62 000 DWBA cross sections
- 26 Mb input file for ECIS97
- 1 hour on 1 GhZ Linux/Pentium machine

Complementary reaction mechanisms

Direct, collective reactions: Include all discrete levels with known deformation lengths (and transform into β_L for each component of the optical potential)

Multi-step compound:

- FKK model
- Gradual absorption (P-Q transitions) following Marcinkowski et al.

Multiple emission:

- Continuum Hauser-Feshbach
- Energy dependent level density parameters
- Multiple evaporation until all channels are closed
- Multiple MSD emission: Chadwick method

Conclusions (MSD Model)

- Two-component MSD model: distinction between protons and neutrons at all stages of the reaction for
 - The excited particle-hole pair
 - The leading particle
- Inconsistency for higher steps in MSD model removed
- Strength of the Yukawa interaction:
 - $E < 40 \text{ MeV}$: $V_{\nu\nu} \approx 23 - 27 \text{ MeV}$
 - $E > 40 \text{ MeV}$: $V_{\pi\pi} = V_{\pi\nu} \approx 12(160 \text{ MeV}) - 23(45 \text{ MeV})$

Conclusions (MSD Model)

- Austin (1979) finds for $20 < E < 50 \text{ MeV}$: $V_{\pi\nu} = 3V_{\nu\nu}$. What's missing?
- Still too many parameters:
 - Use optical models dedicated per nucleus
 - Use realistic microscopic level scheme (Hartree-Fock-(Bogolyubov); Gogny force) or associated microscopic level densities.
 - $V_{\pi\nu}$, $V_{\pi\pi}$ can always "repair" too simple physics.
- Include non-normal parity states and more up-to-date prescription for the nucleon-nucleon interaction (use code DWBA98)
- Microscopic calculation of full second and higher order matrix elements (circumvents the controversy around Feshbach-Kerman-Koonin model) This is arguably the best approach.

