

## **SPRING SCHOOL ON SUPERSTRINGS AND RELATED MATTERS**

**18 - 26 March 2002**

### **COVARIANT QUANTIZATION OF THE SUPERSTRING AND SUPERMEMBRANE**

#### **Lecture 3**

N. BERKOVITS  
Instituto de Fisica Teorica  
Universidade Estadual Paulista  
Sao Paulo  
BRAZIL

Please note: These are preliminary notes intended for internal distribution only.



### III. Quantization in R-R Backgrounds

#### II A. Open superstring in super-Maxwell background

For bosonic string in Maxwell background,

$$S = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dz d\bar{z} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial X^m \bar{\partial} X_m + \int dt A_m(x) \partial X^m \right)$$

can be used to obtain string corrections to Maxwell eq  
(Born-Infeld +  $\alpha'$  corrections). Conf. inv  $\Rightarrow$  eqns of motion  
for  $A_m(x)$ .

For GS superstring in super-Maxwell background,

$$S_{GS} = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dz d\bar{z} \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi^m \bar{\pi}_m + B_{MN}^{\text{flat}} \partial Y^M \bar{\partial} Y^N \right) + \int dt \left( \partial \Theta^A A_\alpha(x, \theta) + \pi^m B_m(x, \theta) \right)$$

$$\text{Under } \delta \Theta^\alpha = \xi^\alpha, \quad \delta \bar{\Theta}^\alpha = \bar{\xi}^\alpha, \quad \delta X^m = -\frac{1}{2} (\Theta \gamma^m \delta \theta + \bar{\Theta} \bar{\gamma}^m \delta \bar{\theta})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = & \frac{i}{2} \int dz d\bar{z} [\xi^\alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\partial} \Theta + \bar{\xi}^\alpha \bar{\pi} \partial \bar{\Theta}] + i \int dt [\xi^\alpha \pi W(x, \theta) \\ & + \xi^\alpha \partial \Theta^\beta (D_\alpha A_\beta + D_\beta A_\alpha - \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m B_m)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta S = 0 \text{ if } \xi^\alpha = (\bar{\pi} \kappa)^\alpha, \quad \bar{\xi}^\alpha = (\bar{\pi} \bar{\kappa})^\alpha, \quad D_\alpha A_\beta + D_\beta A_\alpha - \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m B_m = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  Inv. under K-symmetry if background is on-shell.

How to compute string corrections (supersymmetric Born-Infeld)?

Use pure spinor version of action:

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dz d\bar{z} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial X^m \bar{\partial} X_m + p_\alpha \bar{\partial} \Theta^\alpha + \bar{p}_\alpha \partial \bar{\Theta}^\alpha + "w_\alpha \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha + \bar{w}_\alpha \partial \bar{\lambda}^\alpha" \right) \\ & + \int dt ( \partial \Theta^A A_\alpha + \pi^m B_m + d_\alpha W^\alpha + N^{mn} F_{mn} ) \end{aligned}$$

BEST invariance implies eqns. of motion for  $(A_\alpha, B_m, W^\alpha, F_{mn})$   
Quantum computations  $\Rightarrow$  superstring corrections

Can relate GS action and pure spinor action using BRST method of Oda and Tonin:

Under  $Q + \bar{Q} = \int dz d\bar{z} d\zeta + \int d\bar{z} \bar{\zeta} \bar{d}\bar{z}$ :  $\delta \theta^* = \lambda^*$ ,  $\delta \bar{\theta}^* = \bar{\lambda}^*$ ,  
 $\delta x^m = -\frac{i}{2} (\theta \gamma^m \delta \theta + \bar{\theta} \gamma^m \delta \bar{\theta})$ ,  $\delta d_\zeta = i(\bar{\pi} \lambda)_\zeta$ ,  $\delta \bar{d}_{\bar{z}} = -i(\bar{\pi} \bar{\lambda})_{\bar{z}}$ ,  
 $\delta w_\zeta = d_\zeta$ ,  $\delta \bar{w}_{\bar{z}} = \bar{d}_{\bar{z}}$ .

$Q^2 = \bar{Q}^2 = 0$  except on  $w^*, \bar{w}^*$ :  $Q^2 w^* = -i(\bar{\pi} \lambda)_\zeta$ ,  $\bar{Q}^2 \bar{w}_{\bar{z}} = -i(\bar{\pi} \bar{\lambda})_{\bar{z}}$   
which is OK since it is a gauge transf.  $\delta w_\zeta = (\gamma^m \lambda)_\zeta \Lambda_m$  and  $\delta \bar{w}_{\bar{z}} = (\gamma^m \bar{\lambda})_{\bar{z}} \bar{\Lambda}_m$

From K-symmetry,  $(Q + \bar{Q}) S_{GS} = \frac{i}{2} \int dz d\bar{z} [d\bar{\pi} \partial \theta + \bar{\lambda} \bar{\pi} \partial \bar{\theta}] + i \int dz d\bar{z} \partial \pi W$   
 $\Rightarrow S = S_{GS} + (Q + \bar{Q}) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int dz d\bar{z} [w \bar{\partial} \theta + \bar{w} \partial \bar{\theta}] + \int dz w_\zeta W^* \right]$   
is BRST-invariant

$$\Rightarrow S = S_{GS} + \frac{1}{2} \int dz d\bar{z} [d_\zeta \bar{\partial} \theta^* + w_\zeta \bar{\partial} \lambda^* + \bar{d}_{\bar{z}} \partial \bar{\theta}^* + w_{\bar{z}} \partial \bar{\lambda}^*] + \int dz (d_\zeta W^* + \frac{1}{2} (w \gamma^{mn} \lambda) F_{mn})$$

$= S_{\text{pure}}$

### III.B. Closed superstring in supergravity background

$$S_{GS} = \frac{1}{2} \int dz d\bar{z} \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \Pi^a \bar{\Pi}^b + B_{MN} \partial Y^M \bar{\partial} \bar{Y}^N \right)$$

$${}^M = (x^m, \theta^a, \bar{\theta}^{\bar{a}}), \Pi^a = E^a{}_m \partial Y^m, \bar{\Pi}^{\bar{a}} = \bar{E}^{\bar{a}}{}_{\bar{m}} \bar{\partial} \bar{Y}^{\bar{m}}, \partial_M B_{NP} = H_{MNP}$$

$(E^a{}_m(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}), \bar{E}^{\bar{a}}{}_{\bar{m}}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}), E^{\bar{a}}{}_{\bar{m}}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}))$  is  $N=2 D=10$  super vierbein

$M = (m, \mu, \bar{\mu})$  are curved indices,  $A = (a, \zeta, \bar{z})$  are tangent-space indices

On-shell,  $E^A_m$  and  $H_{MNP}$  are related. Dilaton  $\phi$  and R-R fields appear in  $(E^A_m, H_{MNP})$  with derivatives.

Classical action inv. under K-symmetry when background on-shell.

- ... How to compute ' $\epsilon'$ ' corrections to eqns of motion?
- ... Where is Freedman-Tseytlin term  $\int d^2z \bar{\epsilon} \varphi(x) r$ ?

... To compute  $S_{\text{pure}}$ , use Oda-Tonin method:

In curved background,  $\delta \Theta^\alpha = \lambda^\alpha$ ,  $\delta \bar{\Theta}^\alpha = \bar{\lambda}^\alpha$ ,  $\delta x^m = -\frac{i}{2}(\Theta^m \delta \theta + \bar{\Theta}^m \delta \bar{\theta})$

... becomes  $\delta Y^M = E_M^\alpha \lambda^\alpha + \bar{E}_M^\alpha \bar{\lambda}^\alpha$ .

... Also,  $\delta d_\alpha = -i(\pi^\beta \lambda)_\alpha$ ,  $\delta \bar{d}_{\bar{\alpha}} = -i(\bar{\pi}^\beta \bar{\lambda})_{\bar{\alpha}}$ ,  $\delta \omega_\alpha = d_\alpha$ ,  $\delta \bar{\omega}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \bar{d}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

$$\Rightarrow (Q + \bar{Q}) S_{GS} = \frac{i}{\alpha'} \int dz d\bar{z} [(\lambda \pi)_\alpha E_M^\alpha \bar{\partial} Y^M + (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\pi})_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{E}_M^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial Y^M]$$

$$\Rightarrow S = S_{GS} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dz d\bar{z} [Q(w_\alpha E_M^\alpha \bar{\partial} Y^M) + \bar{Q}(\bar{w}_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{E}_M^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial Y^M) - Q \bar{Q} (w_\alpha \bar{w}_{\bar{\beta}} F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}})]$$

... is BRST invariant where the superspace torsion satisfies

$$T_{c\alpha}^{\bar{\beta}} = \gamma_{c\alpha} \delta F^{\delta \bar{\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{c\bar{\alpha}}^{\beta} = -\gamma_{c\bar{\alpha}} \delta F^{\beta \bar{\delta}}.$$

$$\text{So } \bar{Q}(E_M^\alpha \bar{\partial} Y^M) = \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\beta}} T_{c\bar{\beta}}^{\alpha} \bar{\pi}^c = -(\bar{\lambda} \bar{\pi})_{\bar{\beta}} F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$$

$$\text{and } Q(E_M^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial Y^M) = \lambda^\beta T_{c\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}} \pi^c = (\lambda \pi)_\alpha F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}.$$

...  $\Theta = \bar{\Theta} = 0$  component of  $F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$  is  $e^\psi f^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$  where  $f^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$  is  
R-R field strength,

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{pure}} = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dz d\bar{z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab} \bar{\pi}^a \bar{\pi}^b + B_{MN} \partial Y^M \bar{\partial} Y^N + d_\alpha E_M^\alpha \bar{\partial} Y^M + \bar{d}_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{E}_M^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial Y^M \right. \\ \left. + w_\alpha \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha + \bar{w}_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\alpha}} + d_\alpha \bar{d}_{\bar{\beta}} F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} + d_\alpha \bar{N}_{ab} C^{\alpha ab} + \bar{d}_{\bar{\alpha}} N_{ab} \bar{C}^{\bar{\alpha} ab} \right. \\ \left. + N_{ab} \bar{N}_{cd} R^{ab cd} \right]$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha = \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha + \sum_M c_M^{\alpha d} (\gamma_{cd} \gamma)^d \bar{\partial} Y^M \\ \nabla \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \partial \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\alpha}} + \sum_M c_M^{\bar{\alpha} d} (\gamma_{cd} \gamma)^d \partial Y^M$$

$$C^{\alpha ab} = (\gamma^{ab})_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$$

$$\bar{C}^{\bar{\alpha} ab} = (\gamma^{ab})_{\beta}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} F^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$$

After including the F-T term  $\int d^2z \bar{F}^r$ , can check that

$\lambda^* d_\alpha$  is nilpotent and holomorphic when background superfields are on-shell. Freedman-Tseytlin term is needed at one-loop order (hep-th/0112160 with Paul Howe)

### III.C. Superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background

In  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  background,  $E_m^a$  can be constructed using Metsaev-Tseytlin currents.

Define  $g(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = \exp(x^c P_c + \theta^\alpha Q_\alpha + \bar{\theta}^{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{Q}_{\bar{\alpha}})$

where  $\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^c P_c$ ,  $\{Q_{\bar{\alpha}}, Q_{\bar{\beta}}\} = \gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^c P_c$

$[P^c, P^d] = R^{cd\alpha\beta} J_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $[Q_\alpha, P_c] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P_c$

$[Q_{\bar{\alpha}}, P_c] = \gamma_{c\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} F^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} Q_{\bar{\beta}}$ ,  $\{Q_\alpha, Q_{\bar{\beta}}\} = J_{cd} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^c F^{\beta\bar{\beta}} \gamma_{\bar{\beta}d}^c$

and  $J_{ab}$  generates  $SO(4,1) \times SO(5)$  Lorentz algebra.

$F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{n_g} (\gamma^{01234})^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  self-dual R-R field strength

$R^{cd\alpha\beta} = \pm \gamma^{[c} \gamma^{\bar{c}]d}$  when  $(c, \bar{c}, \alpha, \bar{\beta}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0, \dots, 4 \\ 5, \dots, 9 \end{cases}$

Then  $\bar{g}^{-1} \partial g = P_c E_m^c \partial y^m + Q_\alpha E_m^\alpha \partial y^m + Q_{\bar{\alpha}} E_m^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial y^m + J_{cd} \omega_m^{cd} \partial y^m$

$S_{GS} = \int d^2z \left( \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{cd} E^c \bar{E}^d + 2 F_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{-1} (E^\alpha \bar{E}^{\bar{\beta}} - \bar{E}^\alpha E^{\bar{\beta}}) \right)$

$B_{mn} (\bar{\partial} y^m \bar{\partial} y^n - \bar{\partial} y^m \partial y^n)$

$$S_{\text{pure}} = S_{GS} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int d^2z \left[ d_\alpha \bar{E}^\alpha + \bar{d}_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{E}^{\bar{\alpha}} + \omega_\alpha \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha + \bar{\omega}_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\alpha}} + d_\alpha \bar{d}_{\bar{\beta}} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + N_{ab} \bar{N}_{cd} R^{abcd} \right]$$

(AdS<sub>3</sub> × S<sup>3</sup> w/Vafa, Witten; AdS<sub>2</sub> × S<sup>2</sup> w/Bershadsky, Hauer, Zokov, Zwiebach; AdS<sub>3</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> w/Chandia)

Integrate out  $d_\alpha$  and  $\bar{d}_{\bar{\beta}}$ :

$$S_{\text{pure}} = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int d^2z \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_{cd} E^c E^d + F_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{-1} (3 E^\alpha \bar{E}^{\bar{\beta}} - \bar{E}^\alpha E^{\bar{\beta}}) \right) + S_{\text{ghost}}$$

K-symmetry is replaced with BRST invariance.

Quantization is straightforward using normal coordinate expansion.

One-loop conformal invariance proven (not yet for S<sub>ghost</sub>)

by Feynman diagram computation in AdS<sub>2</sub> × S<sup>2</sup> paper.

### III. D. Superstring in R-R plane wave background (to appear soon)

Can take Penrose limit of AdS<sub>3</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> background  $\Rightarrow F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \mu (\gamma^{+1234})^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$

$\Rightarrow F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  is not invertible. Break  $SO(9,1) \rightarrow SO(8)$ .

$$\alpha \rightarrow (a, \dot{a}) \text{ and } \bar{\alpha} \rightarrow (\bar{a}, \dot{\bar{a}}), \quad F^{a\bar{b}} = F^{\dot{a}\bar{b}} = F^{\dot{a}\bar{\dot{b}}} = 0$$

To prove conf. inv. of  $S_{\text{pure}}$ , first consider  $S_{\text{pure}}$  at  $\theta^{\dot{a}} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\bar{a}}} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{pure}}|_{\theta^{\dot{a}} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\bar{a}}} = 0} &= S_{GS}|_{\theta^{\dot{a}} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\bar{a}}} = 0} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int d^2z \left[ d_a \bar{E}^a + \bar{d}_{\bar{a}} \bar{E}^{\bar{a}} + d_a \bar{d}_{\bar{b}} F^{a\bar{b}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_\alpha \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha + \bar{\omega}_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\alpha}} + N_{+b} \bar{N}_{+c} \gamma^{bc} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int d^2z \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_{cd} E^c \bar{E}^d + F_{a\bar{b}}^{-1} (3 E^a \bar{E}^{\bar{b}} - \bar{E}^a E^{\bar{b}}) \right) + S_{\text{ghost}}$$

$\Rightarrow$  one-loop conformal invariant. But can easily show there are no multiloop Feynman diagrams  $\Rightarrow$  inv. at all loops.

But isometries imply  $S_{\text{pure}}$  is conf. inv. if  $S_{\text{pure}}|_{\theta^{\dot{a}} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\bar{a}}} = 0}$  is conf. inv.

## IV. Covariant Quantization of D=11 Superparticle "and" Supermembrane

### IVA. On-shell D=11 Supergravity in superspace

$(x^r, \theta^\mu)$   $r = 1 \text{ to } 11$  ( $x^0 = \text{time}$ ),  $\mu = 1 \text{ to } 32$

$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^r = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^r$ , Can use  $C^{\mu\nu} = -C^{\nu\mu}$  and  $C_{\mu\nu} = C_{\nu\mu}$  to

raise and lower D=11 spinor indices.

$$f^{(\mu\nu)} = f^r \Gamma_r^{\mu\nu} + f^{rs} \Gamma_{rs}^{\mu\nu} + f^{rstuv} \Gamma_{rstuv}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$f^{[\mu\nu]} = f^r C^{\mu\nu} + f^{rst} \Gamma_{rst}^{\mu\nu} + f^{rstuv} \Gamma_{rstuv}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\eta_{rs} \Gamma_{(mu}^r \Gamma_{\nu)s}^{st} = 0$$

D=11 supergravity fields  $g_{rs}, b_{rst}, \chi_r^\mu$  are described on-shell by superfields

$$E_m^A(x, \theta), B_{MNP}(x, \theta) \text{ where } M = (m, \mu)$$

Just as  $A_\alpha(x, \theta)$  contains all D=10 super-Maxwell fields,

$B_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, \theta)$  contains all D=11 supergravity fields.

$$\text{Eq. of motion: } D_\alpha A_\beta = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m A_m \rightarrow D_\alpha B_{\mu\nu\rho} = \Gamma_{\{\mu m}^r B_{\nu\rho\}}^r \quad (\text{Cederwall, et al})$$

$$\text{Gauge inv: } \delta A_\alpha = D_\alpha \Lambda \rightarrow \delta B_{\mu\nu\rho} = D_\mu \Lambda_{\nu\rho}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{Can gauge } B_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, \theta) = & (\Gamma^r \theta)_\mu (\Gamma^s \theta)_\nu (\Gamma^t \theta)_\rho b_{rst}(x) + (\Gamma^r \theta)_\mu (\Gamma^{st} \theta)_\nu (\Gamma_t \theta)_\rho g(x) \\ & + [(\Gamma^r \theta)_\mu (\Gamma^s \theta)_\nu (\Gamma^{st} \theta)_\rho - (\Gamma^r \theta)_\mu (\Gamma^{st} \theta)_\nu (\Gamma_s \theta)_\rho (\Gamma_t \theta)_\nu] \chi_r^\mu(x) \\ & + \dots \quad \text{where } \dots \text{ is determined by } (b_{rst}, g_{rs}, \chi_r^\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Also, these component fields are all on-shell.

## IVB. D=11 Superparticle

Brink-Schwarz:  $S = \int d\tau (\Pi^r P_r + e P^r P_r)$ ,  $\Pi^r = \dot{x}^r - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^r \theta$

Inv. under K-symmetry,  $P_m = -\frac{i}{2} (\bar{P} \theta)_m \Rightarrow d_m = p_m + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{P} \theta)_m = 0$

$\{d_m, d_n\} = i P_r \Gamma_{mn}^r \Rightarrow 16$  first-class and 16 second-class

Can quantize in light-cone gauge and get linearized

Note error in lecture I

D=11 supergravity

$$\text{Pure spinor action: } S = \int d\tau \left( \dot{x}^r P_r - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\downarrow}{P^r} P_r + \dot{\bar{\theta}}^\mu p_\mu + " \lambda^\mu \omega_\mu " \right) \\ = \int d\tau \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^r \dot{x}_r + \dot{\bar{\theta}}^\mu p_\mu + " \lambda^\mu \omega_\mu " \right)$$

BRST operator:  $Q = \lambda^\mu d_\mu$ ,  $d \Gamma^r \lambda = 0$  for  $r=1$  to 11.

compose  
in  $SO(9,1)$   
components

$$\lambda \Gamma^r \lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m \lambda^\beta + \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \gamma^{m\alpha\beta} \bar{\lambda}_\beta = 0 \quad \begin{matrix} \lambda^\mu \rightarrow \lambda^\mu \\ \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \gamma^{m\alpha\beta} \bar{\lambda}_\beta = -\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m \lambda^\beta \Rightarrow 9 \text{ constraints on } \bar{\lambda}_\alpha$$

$$q_{rs} \Gamma_{(mp}^r \Gamma_{\sigma)v)}^{st} = 0 \Rightarrow q_{rs} (\lambda \Gamma^r \lambda) (\lambda \Gamma^{st} \lambda) = 0$$

$$\text{So } d \Gamma^m \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda \Gamma^m \lambda) (\lambda \Gamma^{nt} \lambda) = -(\lambda \Gamma^m \lambda) (\lambda \Gamma^{nt} \lambda) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  Either  $\lambda \Gamma^m \lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda \Gamma^{nt} \lambda = 0$  for  $t=1$  to 10

Generically, get  $\lambda \Gamma^m \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^\mu$  has 23 independent components

$$\text{Light-cone} = 32 + 32 - 23 - 23 \text{ fermions} = 18 \text{ fermions} \\ \theta^\mu \quad p_\mu \quad \lambda^\mu \quad \omega_\mu \quad = 16 \text{ light-cone} + (b, c)$$

Can dim. reduce at  $P_{11}=0$  to N=2 D=10 superparticle

$$S = \int d\tau \left( \dot{x}^m P_m - \frac{1}{2} \dot{P}^m P_m + \dot{\bar{\theta}}^\alpha p_\alpha + \bar{\theta}_\alpha \bar{p}^\alpha + \lambda^\alpha w_\alpha + \bar{\lambda}^\alpha \bar{w}_\alpha \right)$$

$$Q = \lambda^\alpha d_\alpha + \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{d}^\alpha$$

But this version of  $N=2$   $d=10$  superparticle is different  
from naive infinite tension limit of Type IIB superstring  
... since  $\lambda\gamma^m\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\gamma^m\bar{\lambda} = \lambda\Gamma^m\lambda \neq 0$ . Have chosen "other"  
... branch of  $\lambda\Gamma^m\lambda = 0$  constraint where  $\lambda\Gamma^m\lambda = \lambda^*\bar{\lambda}_2 = 0$ .  
... In naive limit, light cone  $\Rightarrow 64 - 2(22) = 20$  fermion  
 $= 16$  light-cone +  $(b, c)$  +  $(\bar{b}, \bar{c})$ .  
Still need to impose  $b - \bar{b} = 0$  constraint to get zero mom.  
dilaton and R-R gauge fields

### IV.C. BRST quantization of linearized D=11 Supergravity

Define physical states = cohom. of  $Q = \lambda^m d_m$  at ghost-number  
three ( $d=3$  worldvol. of supermembrane)

$$\Psi(\lambda, x, \theta) = \lambda^m \lambda^\nu \lambda^\rho B_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, \theta)$$

$$Q\Psi = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^m \lambda^\nu \lambda^\rho \lambda^\sigma D_\sigma B_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \Rightarrow D_\sigma B_{\mu\nu\rho} = \Gamma_{(\mu\nu}^\rho B_{\nu\rho)}$$

$$\delta\Psi = Q(\lambda^m \lambda^\nu \Lambda_{\mu\nu}) = \lambda^m \lambda^\nu \lambda^\rho D_\rho \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \delta B_{\mu\nu\rho} = D_m \Lambda_{\nu\rho} \quad \text{for some } B_{\nu\rho}$$

$\Rightarrow B_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, \theta)$  describes (linearized) D=11 supergravity

At other ghost numbers, cohom. of  $Q$  gives (ghosts, fields, antifields, antighosts)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{At zero momentum, } \Psi(\lambda, \theta) = & \omega'' + (\lambda\theta)^r \omega_r' + (\lambda^2\theta^2)^{[rs]} \omega_{rs} + (\lambda^2\theta^2)^r p_r \\ & + (\lambda^2\theta^3)^M \xi_M + (\lambda^3\theta^3)^{[rst]} b_{rst} + (\lambda^3\theta^3)^{[rs]} g_{rs} + (\lambda^3\theta^4)^{rd} \chi_{rd} \\ & + (\lambda^4\theta^5)^{ra} \chi_{ra}^* + (\lambda^4\theta^6)^{[rs]} g_{rs}^* + (\lambda^4\theta^6)^{[rst]} b_{rst}^* \\ & + (\lambda^5\theta^6)^M \xi_M^* + (\lambda^5\theta^7)^r p_r^* + (\lambda^5\theta^7)^{[rs]} \omega_{rs}^* + (\lambda^6\theta^8)^r \omega_r^* + (\lambda^7\theta^9)^r \omega^* \end{aligned}$$

$(\omega_{rs}, p_r, \xi_M)$  ghosts for  $\delta b_{rst} = \partial_r \Lambda_{st}$ ,  $\delta g_{rs} = \partial_r \Lambda_s$ ,  $\delta \chi_{ra} = \partial_r \xi_a$   
 $\omega_r^*$  ghost-for-ghost  $\delta \Lambda_{st} = \partial_s \Lambda_t$ ,  $\omega^*$  ghost-for-ghost-for-ghost  $\delta \Lambda_t^* = \partial_t \Lambda$

Can define BV action for linearized D=11 supergravity

$$S = \int d^9x \langle \bar{\Psi}(\lambda, x, \theta) Q \Psi(\lambda, x, \theta) \rangle \text{ where } \langle \lambda^\beta \theta^\alpha \rangle = 1.$$

#### IV. D. BST action for supermembrane

$$S_{\text{BST}} = \int d\tau d^2\sigma \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Pi}_0^r \hat{\Pi}_{0r} + B_{\text{RST}}^{\text{flat}} \partial_0 Y^R \partial_0 Y^S \partial_0 Y^T \right]$$

$$\text{with Hamiltonian } \hat{\Pi}_0^r \hat{\Pi}_{0r} = \det(\Pi_I^r \Pi_J^r) \quad I, J = 1, 2$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\Pi}_0^r = \Pi_0^r + e^J \Pi_J^r} \quad \Pi^r = \partial x^r + \frac{i}{2} \theta \Gamma^r \partial \theta$$

Have gauge fixed  $e^0 = 1$ , but left  $e^J$  unfixed (spatial reparam's).

Under  $\delta \theta^M = \xi^M$ ,  $\delta x^r = -\frac{i}{2} (\theta \Gamma^r \delta \theta)$ ,  $\delta e^J = i \xi_M (\partial_I \theta^M) \epsilon^{IJ}$ ,

$$\delta S_{\text{BST}} = i \int d\tau d^2\sigma \xi^M \left( \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^r \hat{\Pi}_{0r} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{rs} \Pi_{Ir} \Pi_{Js} \epsilon^{IJ} \right) \nabla \theta^M$$

$$\text{where } \nabla \theta^M = \hat{\partial}_0 \theta^M + \Gamma_r^{Mu} (\partial_I \theta_v) \Pi_J^r \epsilon^{IJ}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta S_{\text{BST}} = 0 \text{ if } \xi_M = \left( \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^r \hat{\Pi}_{0r} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{rs} \Pi_{Ir} \Pi_{Js} \epsilon^{IJ} \right) K^M$$

up to reparam. constraints.

$$d_M = p_M - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}^M} \approx 0, \{d_\lambda, d_\nu\} = -\hat{\Pi}_{0r} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^r + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{IJ} \Pi_{Ir} \Pi_{Js} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{rs}$$

$\Rightarrow$  16 first-class and 16 second-class constraints.

Two new features: 1)  $\delta e^J \neq 0$ ; 2)  $\{d_\lambda, d_\nu\}$  has term with  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{rs}$ .

To get pure spinor action, use Oda-Tonin method and compute BRST transf. of  $S_{\text{BST}}$  under  $Q = \int \lambda^M d_M$

$$\Rightarrow \delta \theta^M = \lambda^M, \delta x^r = -\frac{i}{2} (\theta \Gamma^r \delta \theta), \delta d_M = -i (\hat{\Pi} \lambda)_M + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{IJ} \Pi_{Ir} \Pi_{Js} (\Gamma^{rs} \lambda)_M, \delta w_\mu = d_\mu$$

## -21-

### IV.E. Pure spinor version of supermembrane action

Two new features  $\Rightarrow$  1) Define  $S e^5 = i \zeta_m (\partial_I \theta^m) \epsilon^{IJ}$

2)  $d\Gamma^r \lambda = 0$  is not enough to guarantee nilpotence of  $Q$

$$\text{since } Q^2 = \int d^M d^N \{\partial_M \partial_N\} = \int d^M d^N (-\hat{\Pi}_{0r} \Gamma_{MN}^r + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{Ir} \Pi_{Js} \Gamma_{MN}^{rs}) \epsilon^{IJ}$$

and  $Q^2 e^5 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  Need to impose  $(\lambda \Gamma^{rs} \lambda) \Pi_{Js} = 0$  and  $\lambda^M \partial_J \lambda_M = 0$

Not visible for superparticle where  $\Pi_{Js} = \partial_J \lambda^M = 0$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{pure}} = S_{\text{BST}} + \int d\sigma d^2\sigma Q (\omega_m \nabla \theta^m)$$

$$= \int d\sigma d^2\sigma (\hat{\Pi}_0^r \hat{\Pi}_{0r} + B_{RST}^{\text{flat}} \partial_0 Y^R \partial_1 Y^S \partial_2 Y^T + d_M \nabla \theta^M + \omega_m \nabla \lambda^M)$$

$$+ i \epsilon^{IJ} [(\omega \Gamma^r \partial_J \theta^M) (\lambda \Gamma_r \partial_S \theta^M) - (\omega \partial_I \theta^M) (\lambda \partial_S \theta^M)]$$

Can be generalized to a curved background by replacing

$\nabla \theta^M$  and  $\partial_J \theta^M$  with  $E_M^\alpha \nabla Y^\alpha$  and  $E_M^\alpha \partial_J Y^\alpha$ ,

$$\Pi^r \rightarrow E_M^\alpha \partial^\alpha Y^\alpha, B_{RST}^{\text{flat}} \rightarrow B_{RST}.$$

Upon double-dimensional reduction,  $S_{\text{pure}}^{\text{flat}} \rightarrow S_{\text{pure}}^{\text{flat IIA}}$

$$\partial_2 x'' = 1, \partial_2 \theta^M = \partial_2 x^M = 0$$

But  $S_{\text{pure}}^{\text{curved}} \not\rightarrow S_{\text{pure}}^{\text{curved IIA}}$ . No Fradkin-Tseytlin term

in D=11 and no  $d_\alpha \bar{d}_\beta F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  term.

But  $S_{\text{pure}}^{\text{curved IIA}}$  only well-defined for small coupling constant

No reason to agree with  $S_{\text{pure}}^{\text{membrane}}$  non-perturbatively.

Can  $S_{\text{pure}}$  be used to compute non-perturbative IIA amplitudes?

## -22-

### VII F. Speculations on connection with M-theory

To compute amplitudes, need vertex operators. Massless integrated vertex operator  $\int d\zeta d^2\sigma V$  is linear contribution to curved action. BRST invariance implies

$$QV = \partial_A W^A \quad \text{for } A = \tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2;$$

$$Q^2 V = 0 \Rightarrow QW^A = \epsilon^{ABC} \partial_B X_C; \quad Q^2 W^A = 0 \Rightarrow QX_C = \partial_C U$$

where  $U = \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu \lambda^\rho B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ , So  $d=3$  worldvolume

implies  $U$  has ghost-number three for physical fields.

$$\langle \lambda^7 \theta^9 \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow +7 \text{ ghost number anomaly}$$

Can define non-vanishing "tree" amplitudes as

$$\langle U_1 U_2^* \int V_3 \dots \int V_N \rangle \quad \text{where } U^* \text{ carries g.n.} = 4$$

and depends on antifields.

$$U_1 \frac{\overbrace{v_3 \dots v_N}}{\overbrace{U_2^*}}$$

In string theory, gives correct answer up to infinite  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  factor.

Why just tree amplitudes? Supermembrane action needs to be regularized (cutoff, discretization, M(atrix) theory).

Since supermembranes allow "spikes", cutoff destroys topology and cannot distinguish trees from loops.

No dimensionless parameter in  $D=11$  supergravity.

Using pure spinors, should be possible to covariantize light-cone quantization methods such as M(atrix) theory or light cone superparticle methods. Maybe can do perturbative expansion in  $\frac{1}{\text{tension}}$  and hope that results are cutoff independent.