

the **abdus salam** international centre for theoretical physics

SMR/1438 - 9

JOINT ICTP-INFM SCHOOL/WORKSHOP ON "ENTANGLEMENT AT THE NANOSCALE"

(28 October - 8 November 2002)

"How to turn a SQUID into (Schrödinger) cat"

presented by:

J. Friedman Amherst College United States of America

How to Turn a SQUID into (Schrödinger's) Cat

Jonathan R. Friedman Amherst College

Collaborators (SUNY – Stony Brook)

Vijay Patel Sergey Tolpygo Dmitri Averin Wei Chen James Lukens











The world's most entangled state!

Why Superconductors Make Good Cats

• Macroscopic Order Parameter (Wave function)

 $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{i\varphi}$ $\rho = \text{density of Cooper pairs}$

All Cooper pairs have the same phase.

• Superconducting Energy Gap Δ

When T $\leq \Delta$, excitations (broken pairs) are exponentially suppressed.

For Niobium, $\Delta = 18$ K Experiments: <100 mK

What is a Josephson Junction?



The Josephson relations:

$$I_{super} = I_c \sin(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) = I_c \sin\varphi$$
$$V = \frac{\hbar d\varphi}{2e dt}$$



Equation of motion for particle of "mass"
$$\left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^2$$
 C, "position" φ and friction coefficient $\left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^2 R^{-1}$.

potential energy:

kinetic energy:

$$U(\varphi) = -I_{c} \frac{\hbar}{2e} \cos \varphi - I \frac{\hbar}{2e} \varphi \qquad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^{2} C \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2} CV^{2}$$

$$E_{j} \cos \varphi \checkmark \qquad The "Josephson potential"$$

SQUID Basics: The dc-SQUID

SQUID: Superconducting QUantum Interference Device



SQUID Basics: The rf-SQUID ϕ

Fluxoid quantization:

In a SQUID, flux is not strictly quantized.

$$2\pi\Phi/\Phi_0 + \varphi = 2\pi n$$
$$\Phi = \Phi_x + LI$$

Potential Energy of an rf-SQUID



SQUID Potential



Variable-Barrier SQUID



$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{L} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{L0} \cos\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{xdc}}{\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}}\right)$$

SQUID Potential with Energy Levels

• Full Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{Q^{2}}{2C} + \frac{\Phi_{0}^{2}}{4\pi^{2}L} \left(\frac{\left(2\pi \left(\Phi - \Phi_{x}\right)/\Phi_{0}\right)^{2}}{2} - \beta_{L0} \cos\left(\frac{\pi \Phi_{xdc}}{\Phi_{0}}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi \Phi}{\Phi_{0}}\right) \right)$$

• Two Control Fluxes





MQT Sample Cell



SQUID Energy Levels



Macroscopic Resonant Tunneling



(Rouse, Han & Lukens, 1995)

Making a (Schrödinger's) Cat out of a SQUID



Making a (Schrödinger's) Cat out of a SQUID



Making a (Schrödinger's) Cat out of a SQUID



What if
$$\Phi_x \simeq \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0$$
?

Macroscopic Cat

i = 1 µA

10⁹ circulating electrons

magnetic moment: $10^{10} \mu_B$

Photon-Assisted Tunneling







Friedman et al., Nature, 2000.

See also: van der Wal et al., Science, 2000.







Damping

Intrinsic line width from interwell and intrawell relaxation (spontaneous decay) caused by resistive environment:



Damping

Intrinsic line width from interwell and intrawell relaxation (spontaneous decay) caused by resistive environment:

$$\Gamma = 2\pi\omega_{ij} \frac{R_{Q}}{R} \frac{\left|\Phi_{i,j}\right|^{2}}{\Phi_{0}^{2}}$$

$$\gamma = 4\pi\Delta \frac{R_{Q}}{R} \frac{\left|\delta\Phi\right|^{2}}{\Phi_{0}^{2}} \quad (on \, resonance)$$
for $\omega \gg \omega_{c}$, $R_{eff}(\omega) \sim R_{0} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2}$
In our case, $\omega_{c} = 0.6 \text{ CHz and } R = 2.4 \text{ kOs}$

In our case, $\omega_c \sim 0.6$ GHz and $R_0 = 3.4$ kOe.

$$\begin{split} &\omega = \omega_{ij} \approx 130 \text{ GHz:} \\ &R_{eff} \approx 210 \text{ M}\Omega \implies \Gamma \approx 12 \text{ kHz} \implies \text{line width} = 0.5 \text{ n}\Phi_0 \end{split}$$

 $\omega = \Delta \approx 7 \text{ GHz:}$ $R_{eff} \approx 1.4 \text{ M}\Omega \implies \gamma \approx 3.6 \text{ MHz} \implies \text{line width} = 180 \text{ n}\Phi_0$

Damping

Intrinsic line width from interwell and intrawell relaxation (spontaneous decay) caused by resistive environment:



Inhomogeneous broadening due to low-frequency flux noise from PdAu case.

Calculated flux noise using SQUID geometry:

0.1 m $\Phi_0 \approx$ measured width of peaks.

Aharonov-Bohm Effect



Interference pattern is modulated by flux Φ with period $\Phi_0 = hc/q$

$$H = \frac{\left(\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A} / c\right)^2}{2m} + \cdots$$

Aharonov-Casher Effect in a SQUID



- Interference between tunneling paths leads to suppression of tunneling.
- Could be useful for qubits as a way of freezing the quantum state.

Hamiltonian for A-C-Effect SQUID

$$\begin{split} H &= \frac{Q^2}{2C} + \frac{(2en-q)^2}{2C_{\Sigma}} + \frac{(\Phi - \Phi_x)^2}{2L} - E_{J1}\cos\phi_1 - E_{J2}\cos\phi_2 \qquad q \equiv C_g V_g \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{2C} + \frac{(2en-q)^2}{2C_{\Sigma}} + \frac{(\Phi - \Phi_x)^2}{2L} - 2E_J\cos\left(\frac{\pi\Phi}{\Phi_0}\right)\cos\theta, \qquad E_{J1} = E_{J2} = E_J \end{split}$$

$$2\pi\Phi/\Phi_0 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\pi n$$
 $\theta = (\phi_1 - \phi_2)/2$



Hamiltonian for A-C-Effect SQUID

 $q \equiv C_g V_g$

Induced charge.

Plays the role of a scalar gauge potential.

$$H = \frac{Q^2}{2C} + \frac{(2en-q)^2}{2C_{\Sigma}} + \frac{(\Phi-\Phi_x)^2}{2L} - 2E_J \cos\left(\frac{\pi\Phi}{\Phi_0}\right) \cos\theta$$

Two degrees of freedom:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi, Q \end{bmatrix} = i\hbar$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta, n \end{bmatrix} = i$$

2D Potential for A-C-Effect SQUID





$$U = \frac{\left(\Phi - \Phi_x\right)^2}{2L} - 2E_J \cos\left(\frac{\pi\Phi}{\Phi_0}\right) \cos\theta$$

Tight-binding model. Valid for large E_J .

Instanton Calculation

Tunnel splitting:







$$\mathscr{L}_{E}(\tau) = \frac{C}{2}\dot{\Phi}^{2} + \frac{C_{\Sigma}}{2} \left(\frac{\Phi_{0}\dot{\theta}}{2\pi}\right)^{2} + \frac{\left(\Phi - \Phi_{x}\right)^{2}}{2L} - 2E_{J}\cos(\pi\Phi/\Phi_{0})\cos\theta - iq\left(\frac{\Phi_{0}\dot{\theta}}{2\pi}\right)$$

Only this term is different for the two paths.

Instanton Calculation

$$S_{geo}^{1,2} = -iq \left(\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi}\right) \int_{path\,1,2} \dot{\theta} d\tau$$
$$= \mp iq \left(\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi}\right) \pi$$
$$= \mp i\pi \left(\frac{q}{2e}\right) \hbar$$
$$\Delta = \omega_0 e^{-\tilde{S}_I/\hbar} \sum_{paths\,j} e^{-S_{geo}^j/\hbar}$$

Suppression of Tunneling

$$E_{C} = \frac{e^{2}}{2C_{\Sigma}} \ll E_{J}$$

$$\Delta = 2\Delta_{0} \cos(q\pi/2e)$$
Tunnel splitting
changes sign at $q = e$.
Ground and excited
states interchange roles:
 $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \Leftrightarrow (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$

$$E_{C} \gg E_{J}$$

$$E_{C} \gg E_{J}$$

$$0.8$$

$$0.4$$

$$0.4$$

$$0.2$$

$$0.0$$

$$-0.5$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.0$$

J. R. Friedman and D. V. Averin, PRL, 2002.



Eigenstates are symmetric and antisymmetric superpositions of flux states.

Conclusions

- Anticrossing of two excited states in an rf-SQUID.
- Schrödinger's Cat (Macroscopic Quantum Coherence) of flux states:
 - The flux states differ by $\sim 1/4~\Phi_0, \sim 1~\mu A$ and $\sim 10^{10}$



• Future Experiment?: Suppression of Flux Tunneling by the Aharonov-Casher-Effect