united nations educational, scientific and cultural organization

international atomic energy agency the **abdus salam**

international centre for theoretical physics

SMR/1325-7

Workshop on

Nuclear Data for Science & Technology: Accelerator Driven Waste Incineration

10 - 21 September 2001

Miramare - Trieste, Italy

Nuclear Reactions at High Energies

Sylvie Leray DAPNIA/SPhN CEA/ Saclay France

Nuclear Reactions at High

Energies

Sylvie LERAY DAPNIA/SPhN CEA/Saclay



Outline

- 1. Importance of spallation reactions for applications
 - Definition of spallation
 - Data needed for Accelerator-Driven Systems
 - Other applications

2. High energy nuclear models

- Models and codes for high energies
- Intra-nuclear cascade models
- The Liège INC model
- 3. Comparison of models to available high energy data
 - Neutrons
 - Charged particles
 - Residues
 - Coincidence measurements

4. Conclusions



• Definition:

interaction of a high energy (> 100 MeV) light particle with a nucleus leading to emission of light particles and leaving a heavy residue.

• History:

- observation of particle cascades in cosmic rays interactions (G.Rossi, ZP82 (1933) 151)
- ➡ first accelerators: many nucleons emitted by the target nucleus (Cunningham, PR72 (1947) 739)
- ➡ Two step mechanism (Serber, PR72 (1947) 1114)

Data required for the design of spallation targets



Neutron production number → power of the system / needed accelerator intensity energy, spatial distribution → target optimisation, damage in window and structures high energy neutrons → shielding Charged particle production gas (H₂, He) production → embrittlement, swelling energy → DPA, energy deposition

Shape optimisation of a spallation target



Number of low and high energy neutrons emitted through the different faces of a cylindrical lead target as a function of the target diameter.



Number of low energy neutrons emitted from the lateral face of a cylindrical lead target for fixed or an optimised geometry.

From F. Lavaud, stage DEA, CEA/SPhN (1998)

Data required for the design of spallation targets



- Residual nuclide production
 - ✦ element distribution → corrosion, change in metallurgical properties
 - ◆ isotope distribution → activity (short lived isotopes), radiotoxicity (short lived isotopes), decay heat
 - ◆ recoil energies → DPA in window and structures, energy deposition



Fig. 4. Total and partial activities: of lead target as a function of cooling time.



Fig. 5. The same assimlFig. 3 for lead-bismuth target.

Analysis of the Contributions of the Fronton and Neutron Spectral Components to the Account Information Activity

From Y.N. Shullbin et al., Proc. ADTTA 56, Kalmar, Sweduke, June 1996 p. 953





G



Spallation reactions in space instruments



- Cosmic ray bombardment of the spacecraft and instruments
 - Noise due to secondary gammas, neutrons and spallation residues
 - ex: spectrometer of the INTEGRAL mission devoted to high resolution γ - ray astronomy
 - ⇒ determination of the flux of secondary particles

⇒ background due to radioactive residues

Rare isotope production

- Direct methods
 ⇒ ISOL p (1 GeV) + A ⇒ low energy RIB
 ⇒ fragmentation of GeV/A heavy ions ⇒ high
 - energy RIB
- Converter methods
 - use of moderated spallation neutrons to induce fission



- Moderation of spallation neutrons in (heavy) water
- Reflectors to direct escaping neutrons into beam tubes
 - ⇒ pulsed sources: well-defined time structure, high peak flux ⇒ tof experiments
 - ➡ continuous sources: high neutron flux in a large volume ⇒ irradiation experiments

Sylvie LERAY (CEA/Saclay) Trieste, Sept. 10-21, 2001



- Monte-Carlo transport codes
 - propagation of all particles created in elementary interactions (HETC + MCNP type)
- Nuclear physics models (above 150-200 MeV
 - generating cross-sections (Intra-Nuclear Cascade followed by evaporation-fission)
- Evaluated data files (below 150-200 MeV)
 providing all reaction channels

What is needed above 150-200 MeV for ADS and other applications

Objective: to reliably predict production rates of all produced nuclei with their energy and angular distributions

 Elementary cross-sections measurements

➡ to test the physics models

- Improvement of models and/or development of new ones
 - validation on experimental data
- Integral measurements
 - test and validation of transport codes

Models for spallation reactions



Two step mechanism (Serber 1947):
→ Intra-Nuclear Cascade sequence of independent *N-N* collisions Λ_{de Broglie}= hc/p << λ = 1/ρσ_{NN} mean free path fast process (≈ 30 fm/c)
=> Heating of the nucleus - thermalisation
→ De-excitation by evaporation or fission statistical evaporation models slow process (hundreds of fm/c)

Intra-Nuclear Cascade





Intra-Nuclear Cascade models



Common features

- linear trajectory between collisions
- ➡ nuclear potentiel
- ➡ free N-N cross-sections
- \Rightarrow inelastic collisions $N+N \rightarrow N+\Delta \rightarrow N+N+\pi$
- ➡ Pauli blocking

Main available INC models

- Bertini (Phys. Rev. 131 (1963) 1801)
- Isabel (Yariv and Frankel, Phys. Rev. C20 (1979) 2227)
- Cugnon (Cugnon et al., Nucl. Phys. A620 (1997) 457)



Fig. 3 : Schematic representation of the INC models of the first type (left) and of second type (right). In the latter case, nucleons promoted from the continuum are indicated by heavy dots.

	Bertini	<u>Isabel</u>	
Medium	continuous	continuous	particles
Cascade propagation	collided particles	time steps	time steps
Collision criterium	mean free path	mean free path	minimum distance of approach
Stopping criterium		energy	time
Surface	diffuse (3 density regions)	diffuse	sharp
Pauli blocking	strict	not fully strict	statistics

Sylvie LERAY (CEA/Saclay) Trieste, Sept. 10-21, 2001

The Liège INC model (INCL)

 First developed for heavy ion collisions (Cugnon et al., Nucl. Phys. A352 (1981) 505) then applied to proton induced reactions (Cugnon, Nucl. Phys. A462 (1987) 751)

➡ The standard INCL2 model

(Cugnon et al., Nucl. Phys. A620 (1997) 457)

- succession of binary collisions well separated in space and time
- generation of initial positions of target nucleons at random inside a sharp surface sphere
- stochastical generation of initial momenta of target nucleons inside a Fermi sphere
- straight line trajectories until minimum distance of approach or hitting of the wall of the potential
- statistical Pauli blocking
- inelastic collisions, pion production and absorption: $N+N \leftrightarrow N+\Delta$, $\Delta \leftrightarrow N+\pi$
- isospin degree of freedom
- improved parameterisation of NN cross-sections
- self-consistent determination of the stopping time



Parametrisation of the 🔺 production cross-section





J. Eugnon et al., Phy. Rev. C56 (199) 2431

The Liège INC model (INCL)

The new INCL4 version (Boudard et al., to be published) diffuseness of the nuclear surface: Wood-Saxon distribution with parameters in accordance with experimental values → good total reaction cross-sections \rightarrow better prediction of peripheral collisions consistent dynamical Pauli blocking: phase space occupation probability evaluated collisions leading to energy $\sum \varepsilon_i > E_{GS}(A_B)$ forbidden → no more negative excitation energies collisions between spectators forbidden → no spurious nucleon evaporation \rightarrow dynamical evolution of the phase space preserved angular momentum of the remnant calculated → important for input in evaporation-fission possibility of composite incident particles with realistic momentum distribution





1GeV p+Pb, INCL4 (INCL3) + KHS_V3p, V=45MeV



Angular momentum destribution

2001/09/07 15.13





1GeV Pb+p, INCL4 + KHSV3p (J mean deJong)





The Liège INC model (INCL)

➡ The new INCL4 version

• no really free parameters: stopping time fixed by consideration on the variation rate of several observables

→ results insensitive to a variation of \pm 5fm/c Potential = 45 MeV (E_F+S)

 \rightarrow could be slightly varied

Further possible improvements

→realistic momentum density

➡medium effect on N-N cross-sections

 \Rightarrow emission of composite particles (d, t, α , IMF)

⇒special treatment of the first collision

(quasi-elastic reactions)

⇒energy dependence of the potential

⇒improvement of pion dynamics

Implementation in LAHET3 in progress





Exini

residual mass

Models for the de-excitation

Evaporation: statistical models

Emission probability of one particle governed by

- inverse capture cross-section (detailed balance principle)
- → Coulomb barriers
- density of available states
- + Most widely used model : Dresner (ORNL-TM-196 (1962))
 - Weisskopf-Ewing formalism
 - ➡ all types of LCP evaporation
 - \Rightarrow GCC-Ignatyuk level density parameter (\rightarrow A/8)
 - ➡ Coulomb barriers lowering with E*
- + GSI (K.H.Schmidt) model (Nucl. Phys. A629 (1998) 635)
 - Weisskopf-Ewing formalism
 - \rightarrow only n,p, α evaporation
 - → Level density parameter $\rightarrow \sim A/12$
 - ➡ realistic Coulomb barriers

Fermi-Break-up

- ➡ For A < 22</p>
- break-up probabilities from available phase space

Models for the de-excitation

- ✦ Fission:
- + Models used in high-energy transport codes
 - ➡ Bohr-Wheeler formalism
 - phenomenological parameterisation of barriers
 - phenomenological parameterisation of Z and A distribution of fission fragments
 - ➡ only n/fission competition
 - ⇒ ORNL, Z>91 (Alsmiller, ORNL-7528 (1981))
 - ⇒ RAL, Z>70 (Atchison, KFA Julich conf-34 (1981))

+ GSI model

- friction introduced through a delay time
- ➡ full particle/fission competition
- Z and A distribution of fission fragments based on potential energy surface at saddle

+ GEMINI model (Moretto et al., NP A247 (1975) 211)

- Transition state method
- ➡ particle, IMF and fission treated on an equal footing