

# Summer School on Mathematical Control Theory

(3 - 28 September 2001)

---

## Value Function in Optimal Control

### *Lecture 3*

**Hélele Frankowska**

CNRS, CREA  
Ecole Polytechnique  
1, rue Descartes  
75005 Paris  
France

---

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants



# HAMILTON-JACOBI-BELLMAN EQUATION

## Outline

3.1 Tangent and Normal cones.

3.2 Viability theorem.

3.3 Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

3.4 Lower Semicontinuous Solutions

3.5 Viscosity Solutions

## Tangent and Normal Cones to a Subset

**Definition 3.1 (Contingent Cone)** *Let  $K$  be a subset of a normed vector space  $X$  and  $x \in \overline{K}$  belong to the closure of  $K$ . The contingent cone  $T_K(x)$  is defined by*

$$T_K(x) := \operatorname{Limsup}_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K - x}{h}$$

That is

$$\begin{cases} v \in T_K(x) \text{ if and only if } \exists h_n \rightarrow 0^+, v_n \rightarrow v \\ \text{such that } \forall n, x + h_n v_n \in K \end{cases}$$

It implies that when  $K$  is convex,

$$T_K(x) = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda(K - x)}$$

We also observe that

$$\text{if } x \in \operatorname{Int}(K), \text{ then } T_K(x) = X$$

This situation may also happen when  $x$  does not belong to the interior of  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional vector-space and  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Then for every  $x \in K$*

$$\operatorname{Liminf}_{y \rightarrow_K x} T_K(y) = \operatorname{Liminf}_{y \rightarrow_K x} \overline{\operatorname{co}} T_K(y) \subset T_K(x)$$

## SUBNORMAL CONES

**Definition 3.3 (Subnormal Cones)** *The subnormal cone  $N_K^0(x)$  is defined by*

$$N_K^0(x) := \{p \in X^* \mid \langle p, v \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall v \in T_K(x)\}$$

From the very definitions it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}p(D_\uparrow\varphi(x_0)) = T_{\mathcal{E}p(\varphi)}(x_0, \varphi(x_0))$$

where  $\mathcal{E}p$  denotes the epigraph.

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $\varphi : R^n \mapsto R \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  and  $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(\varphi)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent*

- i)  $p \in \partial_-\varphi(x_0)$
- ii)  $\forall u \in R^n, \langle p, u \rangle \leq D_\uparrow\varphi(x_0)(u)$
- iii)  $(p, -1) \in N_{\mathcal{E}p(\varphi)}^0(x_0, \varphi(x_0))$

**Lemma 3.5 (Rockafellar)** *Consider a lower semicontinuous  $\varphi : R^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(\varphi)$ . Let  $p \in R^n$  be such that*

$$(p, 0) \in N_{\mathcal{E}p(\varphi)}^0(x_0, \varphi(x_0)), \quad p \neq 0$$

*Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $x_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon$  in  $R^n$  and  $q_\varepsilon < 0$  satisfying*

$$\|x_\varepsilon - x_0\| + \|p_\varepsilon - p\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (p_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon) \in N_{\mathcal{E}p(\varphi)}^0(x_\varepsilon, \varphi(x_\varepsilon))$$

## VIABILITY THEOREM

Let  $F : \mathbf{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a set-valued map and  $K \subset \text{Dom}(F)$  be a nonempty subset.

The subset  $K$  enjoys the **viability property** for the differential inclusion

$$(1) \quad x' \in F(x)$$

if for any initial state  $x_0 \in K$ , there exists at least one solution  $x(\cdot)$  to (1) starting at  $x_0$  which is viable in  $K$  in the sense that  $x(t) \in K$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The viability property is said to be **local** if for any initial state  $x_0 \in K$ , there exist  $T(x_0) > 0$  and a solution starting at  $x_0$  which is viable in  $K$  on the interval  $[0, T(x_0)]$  in the sense that for every  $t \in [0, T(x_0)]$ ,  $x(t) \in K$ .

We say that  $K$  is a **viability domain** of  $F$  if

$$\forall x \in K, \quad F(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$$

**Theorem 3.6 (Viability Theorem)** *If  $F$  is upper semicontinuous with nonempty compact convex images, then a locally compact set  $K$  enjoys the local viability property if and only if it is a viability domain of  $F$ . In this case, if for some  $c > 0$ , we have*

$$\forall x \in K, \inf_{v \in F(x) \cap T_K(x)} \|v\| \leq c(\|x\| + 1)$$

*and if  $K$  is closed, then  $K$  enjoys the viability property.*

The following result provides a very useful **duality** characterization of viability domains:

**Proposition 3.7 (Ushakov)** *Assume that the set-valued map  $F : K \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is upper semicontinuous with convex compact values. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

$$i) \quad \forall x \in K, \quad F(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$$

$$ii) \quad \forall x \in K, \quad F(x) \cap \overline{\text{co}}(T_K(x)) \neq \emptyset$$

$$iii) \quad \forall x \in K, \forall p \in N_K^0(x), \quad H(x, -p) \geq 0$$

*where  $H(x, -p) = \sup_{v \in F(x)} \langle -p, v \rangle$ .*

**Theorem 3.8** *Suppose that  $F : \mathbf{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is upper semicontinuous with compact convex values and for some  $c > 0$ , we have*

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \sup_{v \in F(x)} \|v\| \leq c(\|x\| + 1)$$

*For a closed subset  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

$$i) \quad \forall x \in K, \quad F(x) \cap \overline{\text{co}}(T_K(x)) \neq \emptyset$$

*ii)  $\forall x_0 \in K$  there is a solution  $x : [0, +\infty) \mapsto K$  to*

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in F(x(t)) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$



## Characterization of the Value Function

Consider a lower semicontinuous  $g : \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , a Lipschitz set-valued map  $F : \mathbf{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  with convex compact images and the differential inclusion

$$(2) \quad x'(t) \in F(x(t)) \text{ almost everywhere}$$

The value function is given by:

For all  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$(3) \quad V(t_0, x_0) = \inf\{g(x(T)) \mid x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)\}$$

It is **lower semicontinuous**.

The value function is nondecreasing along solutions to (2):  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$ ,

$$\forall t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T, \quad V(t_1, x(t_1)) \leq V(t_2, x(t_2))$$

Furthermore  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$  is optimal for problem (3) if and only if  $V(t, x(t)) \equiv g(x(T))$ .

These two properties characterize the value function.

Consider **any**  $W : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$  satisfying the boundary condition  $W(T, \cdot) = g$ . If  $W$  is nondecreasing along solutions to (2) and for all  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$  there exists  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$  such that  $W(t_0, x_0) \geq W(T, \bar{x}(T))$ , then  $W = V$ .

Indeed if  $\bar{x}$  is as above, then

$$W(t_0, x_0) \geq W(T, \bar{x}(T)) = g(\bar{x}(T)) \geq V(t_0, x_0)$$

So  $W \geq V$ . Next if  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$  is optimal, then

$$V(t_0, x_0) = g(x(T)) \geq W(t_0, x_0)$$

Hence  $V \leq W$ .

Define the Hamiltonian  $H : R^n \times R^n \mapsto R$  by

$$H(x, p) = \sup_{v \in F(x)} \langle p, v \rangle$$

Notice that  $H(x, \cdot)$  is convex, positively homogeneous.

# HAMILTON-JACOBI-BELLMAN EQUATION

Consider the Hamilton-Jacobi equation **(HJB)**:

$$-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + H\left(x, -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(t, x)\right) = 0, \quad V(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot)$$

**Definition 3.9** *An extended lower semicontinuous function  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is called a **lower semicontinuous solution** to the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation **(HJB)** if it satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot) \text{ and for all } (t, x) \in ]0, T[ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(x, -p_x) = 0 \\ \forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(0, x), \quad -p_t + H(x, -p_x) \geq 0 \\ \forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(T, x), \quad -p_t + H(x, -p_x) \leq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

## VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS

**Definition 3.10** *An extended lower semicontinuous function  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is called a viscosity supersolution to **(HJB)** if for all  $t \in ]0, T[$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \geq 0$$

*An extended upper semicontinuous function  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is called a viscosity subsolution to **(HJB)** if for all  $t \in ]0, T[$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_+ V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \leq 0$$

*Let  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous function. It is called a **viscosity solution** to **(HJB)** if for all  $t \in ]0, T[$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \geq 0$$

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_+ V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(t, x, -p_x) \leq 0$$

# UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $V : [0, T] \times R^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an extended lsc function.*

*The following statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $V$  is the value function*
  
- ii)  $V$  is a lsc solution to **(HJB)***
  
- iii)  $V$  is a contingent solution to **(HJB)** :*  
 $V(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot)$  and for all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$ ,  
 $0 \leq t < T \implies \inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(1, v) \leq 0$   
 $0 < t \leq T \implies \sup_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(-1, -v) \leq 0$
  
- iv)  $V(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot)$  and for all  $(t, x) \in ]0, T[ \times R^n$ ,*  
 $\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(x, -p_x) = 0$   
 $\forall \bar{x} \in R^n, \quad V(0, \bar{x}) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0+, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} V(t, x)$   
 $\forall \bar{x} \in R^n, \quad g(\bar{x}) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow T-, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} V(t, x)$

*Finally, if  $V$  is continuous on  $[0, T] \times R^n$  then the above statements are equivalent to:*

- v)  $V$  is a viscosity solution to **(HJB)***

# FROM (HJB) EQUATION TO VIABILITY CONDITIONS

Consider a set-valued map  $F : R^n \rightsquigarrow R^n$  with nonempty compact images.

**Theorem 3.12** *Assume that  $F$  is upper semicontinuous and has convex images. Consider an extended lower semicontinuous function  $V : [0, T] \times R^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$ .*

*The following statements are equivalent :*

*i) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t < T$  and for every  $(p_t, p_x, q) \in N_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}^0(t, x, V(t, x))$*

$$-p_t + H(x, -p_x) \geq 0$$

*ii) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$ ,  $t < T$ ,  $y \geq V(t, x)$*

$$(\{1\} \times F(x) \times \{0\}) \cap T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(t, x, y) \neq \emptyset$$

*iii) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t < T$*

$$\inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(1, v) \leq 0$$

*iv) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t < T$*

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(x, -p_x) \geq 0$$

**Theorem 3.13** *Consider an extended lower semicontinuous function  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and assume that  $F$  is lower semicontinuous.*

*The following statements are equivalent :*

*i) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t > 0$  and for every  $(p_t, p_x, q) \in N_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}^0(t, x, V(t, x))$*

$$-p_t + H(x, -p_x) \leq 0$$

*ii) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t > 0$  and all  $y \geq V(t, x)$*

$$\{-1\} \times (-F(x)) \times \{0\} \subset T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(t, x, y)$$

*iii) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t > 0$*

$$\sup_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(-1, -v) \leq 0$$

*iv) For all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  such that  $t > 0$*

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), \quad -p_t + H(x, -p_x) \leq 0$$

# MONOTONE BEHAVIOR OF CONTINGENT SOLUTIONS

Consider a set-valued map  $F : R^n \rightsquigarrow R^n$  with nonempty compact images and the differential inclusion

$$(4) \quad x'(t) \in F(x(t)) \text{ almost everywhere}$$

We investigate a relationship between monotone behavior of a function  $V$  along solutions to (4) and contingent inequalities.

**Theorem 3.14** *Let  $V : [0, T] \times R^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an extended lower semicontinuous function. Assume that  $F$  is upper semicontinuous with convex images and linear growth  $\exists c > 0$*

$$\forall (t, x) \in \sup_{v \in F(x)} \|v\| \leq c(1 + \|x\|)$$

*Then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$i) \quad \forall t < T, \inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(1, v) \leq 0$$

*ii) For every  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T] \times R^n$ , there exists  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$  such that  $V(t, \bar{x}(t)) \leq V(t_0, x_0)$  for all  $t \in [t_0, T]$ .*



**Proof** —  $i) \implies ii)$ . Define  $\widehat{F}$  by

$$\widehat{F}(t, x, z) = \begin{cases} \{1\} \times F(x) \times \{0\} & \text{if } t < T \\ [0, 1] \times \overline{\text{co}}(F(x) \cup \{0\}) \times \{0\} & \text{if } t \geq T \end{cases}$$

and consider the viability problem

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} (t, x, z)' \in \widehat{F}(t, x, z) \\ (t, x, z)(t_0) = (t_0, x_0, V(t_0, x_0)) \\ (t, x, z) \in \mathcal{E}p(V) \end{cases}$$

For all  $(t, x, z) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$

$$\widehat{F}(t, x, z) \cap T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(t, x, z) \neq \emptyset$$

By the Viability Theorem, (5) has a solution

$$[t_0, T] \ni t \mapsto (t, \bar{x}(t), z(t)) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$$

Thus  $V(t, \bar{x}(t)) \leq z(t) = V(t_0, x_0)$  for all  $t \in [t_0, T]$  and  $ii)$  follows. Conversely, assume that  $ii)$  is satisfied. Fix  $(t_0, x_0) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  with  $t_0 < T$  and let  $\bar{x}$  be as in  $ii)$ . Let  $h_n \rightarrow 0+$  be such that  $[x(t_0 + h_n) - x(t_0)]/h_n$  converge to some  $v \in F(x_0)$ . On the other hand  $D_{\uparrow}V(t_0, x_0)(1, v) \leq$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(t_0 + h_n, x(t_0 + h_n)) - V(t_0, x_0)}{h_n} \leq 0$$

**Theorem 3.15** *Let  $V : [0, T] \times R^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an extended lower semicontinuous function. If  $F$  is locally Lipschitz, then the following two statements are equivalent:*

$$i) \forall (t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V), \sup_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(-1, -v) \leq 0$$

$$ii) \forall x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0), t \in [t_0, T], V(t_0, x_0) \leq V(t, x(t)).$$

**Proof** — Assume *i*). Since *i*) does not involve  $T$ , it is enough to prove the inequality in *ii*) for  $t = T$ . We know that for all  $0 \leq t < T$  and  $x \in R^n$  such that  $(T - t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$  and for all  $z \geq V(T - t, x)$ ,

$$\{-1\} \times (-F(x)) \times \{0\} \subset T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(T - t, x, z)$$

Let  $B$  denote the closed unit ball in  $R^n$  and  $c_R$  the Lipschitz constant of  $F$  on  $B_R(0)$ . There exists a continuous  $\widehat{f} : R^n \times B \mapsto R^n$  and  $\vartheta > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \forall x \in R^n, F(x) = \widehat{f}(x, B) \\ \forall u \in B, \widehat{f}(\cdot, u) \text{ is } \vartheta c_R - \text{Lipschitz on } B_R(0) \\ \forall x \in R^n \text{ and for all } u, v \in B, \\ \|\widehat{f}(x, u) - \widehat{f}(x, v)\| \leq \vartheta (\sup_{y \in F(x)} \|y\|) \|u - v\| \end{cases}$$

Fix  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$ . It is enough to consider the case  $V(T, x(T)) < \infty$ . Consider a measurable map  $u : [t_0, T] \mapsto B$  such that

$$x'(t) = \widehat{f}(x(t), u(t)) \text{ a.e.}$$

and continuous maps  $u_k : [t_0, T] \mapsto B$  converging to  $u$  in  $L^1(t_0, T; B)$ . Let  $x_k$  denote the solution to

$$x'_k(t) = \widehat{f}(x_k(t), u_k(t)), \quad t \in [t_0, T], \quad x_k(T) = x(T)$$

Then  $x_k$  converge uniformly to  $x$ . The map

$$t \mapsto (T - t, x_k(T - t), V(T, x(T)))$$

is the only solution to

$$(6) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma'(t) = -1 \\ y'(t) = -\widehat{f}(y(t), u_k(T - t)) \\ z'(t) = 0 \\ \gamma(0) = T, y(0) = x(T), z(0) = V(T, x(T)) \end{array} \right.$$

We know that for all  $(\gamma, x, z) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$ ,

$$(-1, -\widehat{f}(x, u_k(\gamma)), 0) \in T_{\mathcal{E}p(V)}(\gamma, x, z)$$

The map  $(t, x) \rightsquigarrow \{-\widehat{f}(x, u_k(T-t))\}$  being continuous, by the Viability Theorem problem (6) has at least one solution satisfying

$$[0, T - t_0] \ni t \mapsto (\gamma(t), y(t), z(t)) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$$

Consequently,  $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T - t_0$ ,

$$(T - t, x_k(T - t), V(T, x(T))) \in \mathcal{E}p(V)$$

In particular,  $V(t_0, x_k(t_0)) \leq V(T, x(T))$ . Taking the limit when  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using that  $V$  is lower semicontinuous, we deduce *ii*) for  $t = T$ .

## VALUE FUNCTION & CONTINGENT SOLUTIONS

**Proposition 3.16** *Let  $V$  be the value function. Then for all  $(t_0, x_0) \in \text{Dom}(V)$ ,*

$$\begin{cases} t_0 < T \implies \inf_{v \in F(x_0)} D_{\uparrow} V(t_0, x_0)(1, v) \leq 0 \\ t_0 > 0 \implies \sup_{v \in F(x_0)} D_{\uparrow} V(t_0, x_0)(-1, -v) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

**Proof** — Fix  $(t_0, x_0)$  as above. Then  $\exists x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, T]}(x_0)$  such that  $V(t, x(t)) \equiv g(x(T))$ . Theorem 3.14 ends the proof of the first statement. The second one follows from Theorem 3.15.

**Theorem 3.17** *The value function is the only lower semicontinuous function from  $[0, T] \times R^n$  into  $R \cup \{+\infty\}$  satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} V(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot) \text{ and for all } (t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V), \\ 0 \leq t < T \implies \inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(1, v) \leq 0 \\ 0 < t \leq T \implies \sup_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(-1, -v) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

# REGULARITY OF VALUE FUNCTION AT BOUNDARY POINTS

**Theorem 3.18** *If an extended lower semicontinuous function  $V : [0, T] \times R^n \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$  satisfies*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall (t, x) \in ]0, T[ \times R^n, \\ \forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_- V(t, x), -p_t + H(x, -p_x) = 0 \\ \forall \bar{x} \in R^n, \quad V(0, \bar{x}) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0+, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} V(t, x) \\ \forall \bar{x} \in R^n, \quad V(T, \bar{x}) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow T-, x \rightarrow \bar{x}} V(t, x) \end{array} \right.$$

*then for all  $(t, x) \in \text{Dom}(V)$ ,*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 < t \leq T \implies \sup_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(-1, -v) \leq 0 \\ 0 \leq t < T \implies \inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(1, v) \leq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

# UNIQUENESS OF VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS

**Theorem 3.19** *Let  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an extended lower semicontinuous function. Assume that  $F$  is upper semicontinuous and has convex compact nonempty images.*

*Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $V$  is a viscosity supersolution of **(HJB)***
- ii) For all  $0 < t < T$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $V(t, x) \neq +\infty$ , we have*

$$\inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(1, v) \leq 0$$

Notice next that

$$T_{\mathcal{H}yp(\varphi)}(x_0, \varphi(x_0)) = \mathcal{H}yp(D_{\downarrow}\varphi(x_0))$$

where  $\mathcal{H}yp$  denotes for the hypograph.

Thus  $p \in \partial_+\varphi(x_0)$  if and only if

$$(7) \quad (-p, +1) \in N_{\mathcal{H}yp(\varphi)}^0(x_0, \varphi(x_0))$$

**Theorem 3.20** *Let  $V : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuous. Assume that  $F$  has compact nonempty images and is Lipschitz.*

*Then the following two statements are equivalent*

*i)  $V$  is a viscosity subsolution of **(HJB)***

*ii)  $\forall t \in ]0, T[, x, \sup_{v \in F(x)} D_{\uparrow} V(t, x)(-1, -v) \leq 0$*

**Proof** — Assume that *ii)* holds true. Fix  $0 < t_0 < T$ . We already know that for every  $t_0 \leq t_1 < T$  and every  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, t_1]}(x_0)$  :

$$\forall t \in [t_0, t_1], \quad V(t_0, x_0) \leq V(t, x(t))$$

Fix  $v \in F(t_0, x_0)$ . Then there exist  $t_0 < t_1 < T$  and  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{[t_0, t_1]}(x_0)$  such that  $x'(t_0) = v$ . The above inequality yields  $0 \leq D_{\downarrow} V(t_0, x_0)(1, v)$ . Consequently,

$$\forall (p_t, p_x) \in \partial_+ V(t_0, x_0), \quad 0 \leq p_t + \langle p_x, v \rangle$$

Since  $v \in F(t_0, x_0)$  is arbitrary,  $V$  is a viscosity subsolution.



Assume *i*). We claim that for all  $(t, x)$  such that  $0 < t < T$  and all  $z \leq V(t, x)$  we have

$$\forall (q_t, q_x, q) \in N_{\mathcal{H}yp}^0(V)(t, x, z), q_t + H(x, q_x) \leq 0 \quad (8)$$

Indeed it is enough to consider the case  $z = V(t, x)$ . Fix such  $(q_t, q_x, q)$ . Clearly  $q \geq 0$ . If  $q > 0$  then

$$\left( \frac{q_t}{q}, \frac{q_x}{q}, +1 \right) \in N_{\mathcal{H}yp}^0(V)(t, x, V(t, x))$$

Hence, by (7) and *i*),

$$\frac{q_t}{q} + H\left(x, \frac{q_x}{q}\right) \leq 0$$

and therefore  $q_t + H(x, q_x) \leq 0$ . If  $q = 0$ , applying Rockafellar's Lemma 3.5 to the extended lower semicontinuous function  $(s, y) \mapsto -V(s, y)$ , we can find a sequence  $(t_i, x_i) \rightarrow (t, x)$  and a sequence

$$(q_t^i, q_x^i, q^i) \in N_{\mathcal{H}yp}^0(V)(t, x, V(t, x))$$

such that  $q^i > 0$  and  $(q_t^i, q_x^i)$  converge to  $(q_t, q_x)$ . This and continuity of  $H$  yield (8).

We next deduce from (8) and the separation theorem that for all  $(t, x)$  such that  $0 < t < T$  and all  $z \leq V(t, x)$

$$\{1\} \times F(x) \times \{0\} \subset \overline{co} \left( T_{\mathcal{H}yp}(V)(t, x, z) \right)$$

This and lower semicontinuity of  $F$  imply that for all  $(t, x)$  satisfying  $0 < t < T$

$$\{1\} \times F(x) \times \{0\} \subset$$

$$\text{Liminf}_{\substack{(t', x', z') \rightarrow (t, x, V(t, x)) \\ (t', x', z') \in \mathcal{H}yp(V)}} \overline{co} T_{\mathcal{H}yp}(V)(t', x', z)$$

$$\subset T_{\mathcal{H}yp}(V)(t, x, V(t, x)) = \mathcal{H}yp(D_{\downarrow}V(t, x))$$

Thus for all  $(t, x)$  satisfying  $0 < t < T$ ,

$$\inf_{v \in F(x)} D_{\downarrow}V(t, x)(1, v) \geq 0$$

Define  $W(t, x) = -V(T - t, x)$ . Then for all  $(t, x)$  such that  $0 < t < T$  and for all  $v \in F(x)$ , we have

$$D_{\uparrow}W(t, x)(-1, v) = -D_{\downarrow}V(T - t, x)(1, v) \leq 0$$

Applying Theorem 3.15 to  $W$  and the set-valued map

$$\widehat{F}(x) = -F(x)$$

we deduce that for every solution  $y$  to the inclusion

$$y'(t) \in \widehat{F}(y(t)) \text{ a.e. in } [t_0, t_1]$$

where  $0 < t_0 \leq t_1 < T$  we have

$$\forall t \in [t_0, t_1], \quad W(t_0, x_0) \leq W(t, y(t))$$

Fix any  $v \in F(x_0)$  and consider a solution  $y(\cdot)$  to the differential inclusion

$$\begin{cases} y' \in \widehat{F}(y) \\ y(T - t_0) = x_0, \quad y'(T - t_0) = -v \end{cases}$$

Then for all small  $s > 0$ ,

$$W(T - t_0, x_0) \leq W(T - t_0 + s, y(T - t_0 + s))$$

and therefore for a sequence  $v_s \rightarrow v$  we have

$$V(t_0 - s, x_0 - sv_s) \leq V(t_0, x_0)$$

This yields that  $D_{\uparrow}V(t_0, x_0)(-1, -v) \leq 0$ . Since  $v \in F(x_0)$  is arbitrary, *ii*) follows.