



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION



INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS
34100 TRIESTE (ITALY) - P.O.B. 506 - MIRAMARE - STRADA COSTIERA 11 - TELEPHONES: 324281/2/3/4/5/6
CABLE: CENTRATOM - TELEX 460892-I



SMR/161 - 13

COLLEGE ON
REPRESENTATION THEORY OF LIE GROUPS
(4 November - 6 December 1985)

BASIC NOTIONS FOR
LIE GROUPS IV

S. Gutt
Universite Libre de Bruxelles
Bruxelles, Belgium

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants.

D. Consequences

Proposition 1: Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ be a continuous homomorphism of the real additive group \mathbb{R} into the lie group G . Then φ is C^∞ .

Proof: It is enough to show that φ is C^∞ in a neighborhood of 0 (it follows then that it is C^∞ everywhere by composition with suitable left translation).

Let (V, \log) be a logarithmic coordinate neighborhood of e in G such that $V = \log V$ is spherical. Let $U = \{x_1, x \in U\}$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that $(t/t_0) \in \exp(U)$ for $t < t_0$. Set $y = \log(\varphi(t_0))$. If $x = \log(t/t_0)$, $\exp x = \varphi(t/t_0) = \exp y$ so $x = t/t_0 y$ as both sides are in $\exp U$ (and thus in $y \in U$ in $\exp U'$) by induction on m . If $m \leq m$ is a positive integer then $\varphi(t/t_0) = \exp \frac{m}{t_0} y$. Similarly if m is negative. It follows by continuity that $\varphi(t) = \exp \frac{t}{t_0} y$ for $|t| \leq t_0$. Thus φ is C^∞ . \square

Proposition 2: Let $f: G \rightarrow G'$ be a continuous group homomorphism between the two lie groups G and G' . Then f is a lie homomorphism

Proof: Again, it is enough to prove that f is C^∞ at e. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be a basis of the lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . The map $\eta(t_1, \dots, t_d) = \exp t_1 x_1 + \dots + \exp t_d x_d \in C^\infty$ and $\eta_{t=0}$ is non-singular, because in logarithmic coordinate $\log \eta(t_1, \dots, t_d) = t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_d x_d + O(t^2)$. So η is a diffeomorphism of a neighborhood V of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n onto a neighborhood V' of e in G and η^{-1} is C^∞ on V' . By proposition 1, the map $f \circ \eta$: $f(\eta(t_1, \dots, t_d)) = f(\exp t_1 x_1) \dots f(\exp t_d x_d) \in C^\infty$. As $I = (f \circ \eta) \circ \eta^{-1}$ in V' , f is C^∞ in V' . \square

Proposition 3: Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ be a lie homomorphism. Then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & G' \\ \exp_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \exp_{G'} \\ G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G' \end{array}$$

Proof: Let $x \in G$. The curves $t \mapsto \exp_G t (\varphi(x))$ and $t \mapsto \varphi(\exp_G t x)$ are one-parameter subgroups of G' whose tangent vector at $t=0$ is $\varphi'(x)$. Hence, they coincide and $\exp_{G'} t (\varphi(x)) = \varphi(\exp_G t x)$. \square

Proposition 4: Two lie groups are locally isomorphic if and only if their lie algebras are isomorphic.

Proof: If $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ is an isomorphism so is $\varphi_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$. Conversely, if $\varphi_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ is an isomorphism and if U, U' are logarithmic coordinate systems of G and G' such that $N = \exp U, N' = \exp U'$ satisfy the hypotheses of C.B.H theorem and $\varphi_* N \subset N'$ then one defines

$$\varphi: U \rightarrow U' \ni x \mapsto \varphi(x) = \exp \varphi_*(\log x)$$

Clearly $\varphi(\exp x) = \exp \varphi_*(x) \quad \forall x \in N$ and

$$\varphi(\exp x \exp y) = \varphi(\exp \mu(x, y)) = \exp \varphi_*(\mu(x, y))$$

$$\varphi(\exp x) \cdot \varphi(\exp y) = \exp \varphi_*(x) \exp \varphi_*(y) = \exp \varphi_*(\mu(x, y)) = \exp \varphi_*(\mu(x, y))$$

thus φ is a local homomorphism. \square

Proposition 5: Let G be a lie group, H a lie subgroup of G , \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be their lie algebras. Then

$$(i) \quad \exp_{\mathfrak{h}}(X) = \exp_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{h}$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathfrak{h} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{the curve } t \mapsto \exp t X \text{ is a continuous curve in } H\}$$

Proof: Let $i: H \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion map. Then $i_*(=Id)$ is a lie homomorphism. By proposition 3 $\exp_{\mathfrak{h}}(X) = \exp_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$. Proposition 2 implies (ii). \square

Remark 1. If H_1 and H_2 are two Lie subgroups of a Lie group which coincide as topological groups then $H_1 = H_2$ as Lie groups. This was already stated in (1. D. prop 4). It is an easy consequence of proposition 4.

Theorem Let G be a Lie group and H be a subgroup of G which is closed as a subset of G . Then there exists a unique manifold structure on H such that H is an embedded Lie subgroup of G . If \mathfrak{g} (resp \mathfrak{G}) is the Lie algebra of H (resp G) then

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp t x \in H \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Proof. Let \mathfrak{f} denote the subset of \mathfrak{g} given by $\mathfrak{f} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp t x \in H, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We shall prove that \mathfrak{f} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

(i) If $x \in \mathfrak{f}$, $s x \in \mathfrak{f}$ obviously.

(ii) If $x, y \in \mathfrak{f}$, $x+y \in \mathfrak{f}$ because H is closed, and by CBH formula,

$$(\exp \frac{t}{m} x \exp \frac{t}{m} y)^m = \exp(t(x+y) + \frac{t^2}{2m}[x,y] + O(\frac{1}{m})) \text{ for a fixed } t$$

(iii) If $x, y \in \mathfrak{f}$, $[x, y] \in \mathfrak{f}$ because H is closed and, again by CBH formula:

$$(\exp -\frac{t}{m} x \exp \frac{t}{m} y \exp -\frac{t}{m} x \exp \frac{t}{m} y)^m = \exp(t^2 [x, y] + O(\frac{1}{m})) \text{ for a fixed } t$$

Let H^* be the connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{f} . Clearly $H^* \subset H$. If N is a neighbourhood of e in H^* , it is a neighbourhood of e in H with the relative topology.

Indeed, if it were not, $\exists c_k \rightarrow e$ in G , $c_k \in H \setminus N$. Write $\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{m}_k$ (\mathfrak{m} complementary subspace of \mathfrak{f} in \mathfrak{g}) ; \exists neighborhoods U of 0 in \mathfrak{f} , U' of 0 in \mathfrak{m} , (U' bounded) and $V \not\subset U$ in G such that $\exp U \subset N$

$$U' \times U \rightarrow V \quad (A, B) \mapsto \exp A \exp B \quad \text{is a diffeomorphism onto } V$$

$$\text{from } c_k = \exp A_k \exp B_k \quad A_k \neq 0, \lim A_k = 0, \exp A_k \in H.$$

$$\text{As } A_k \neq 0, \text{ one introduces an integer } r_k \text{ such that } r_k A_k \in U', (r_k+1)A_k \notin U'$$

The sequence $(r_k A_k)$ has a convergent subsequence (still denoted $(r_k A_k)$) which converges to an element $A \in M$. Since $(r_k+1)A_k \notin U'$ and $\lim A_k = 0$, A lies on the boundary of U' , hence is not zero.

If p, q are integers $p t_k = t_k q + t_k$ where $0 \leq t_k \leq q$ then $\exp \frac{p}{q} A = \lim_k \exp \frac{p t_k}{q} A_k = \lim_k (\exp A_k)^{\frac{p}{q}} \in H$. By continuity $\exp t A \in H \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ to $A \in \mathfrak{f}$, hence a contradiction as $A \in M$ and $A \neq 0$.

Thus, taking $N = H^*$, H^* is open in H so H^* - and thus H - has an analytic structure compatible with the relative topology of G so that H is a Lie subgroup of G .

Example of uses of the last theorem

Any closed subgroup H of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ - $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} - is a Lie subgroup and its Lie algebra is given by $\mathfrak{h} = \{X \in gl(n, \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}) \mid \exp t X \in H \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$

① $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \det A = 1\}$ is a Lie group

$sl(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{X \in gl(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \text{tr } X = 0\}$ is the Lie algebra

② Let $I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & \\ & I_q \end{pmatrix} \in GL(p+q, \mathbb{R})$ where I_x is the identity matrix in $GL(x, \mathbb{R})$

Let $O_{p,q} = \{A \in GL(p+q, \mathbb{R}) \mid {}^t A I_{p,q} A = I_{p,q}\}$ where t is the transpose ; it is a Lie group.

Its Lie algebra is given by $\mathfrak{o}(p, q) = \{X \in gl(p+q, \mathbb{R}) \mid {}^t X I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} X = 0\}$.

In particular $O_{q,m} = O(m, \mathbb{R})$ is the orthogonal group.

Similarly one defines $O(m, \mathbb{C}) = \{A \in GL(m, \mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t A A = \text{Id}\}$

3 Simply connected Lie groups

Definition: A C^∞ map $\pi: M \rightarrow M'$ between two manifolds is a covering if $\# \pi^{-1}(y)$, $y \in M'$, is finite, M is a connected manifold and each point $y \in M'$ has a neighborhood V whose inverse image under π is a disjoint union of open sets in M , each diffeomorphic to V under π .

Theorem 1: Each connected Lie group has a simply connected connected covering space which is itself a Lie group, such that the covering map is a Lie group homomorphism.

Proof: Any connected manifold M' has a connected simply connected covering \tilde{M} and the covering map π_1 is C^∞ . For any map $\alpha: N \rightarrow M$, α smooth, there exists a unique smooth map $\tilde{\alpha}: N \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} = \pi_1 \circ \alpha$ if N is simply connected. Consider such a \tilde{G} , covering of the Lie group G .

Consider $\alpha: \tilde{G} \times \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ $\alpha(\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}') = \pi(\tilde{e}) \pi(\tilde{e}')^{-1}$. Choose $\tilde{e}' \in \pi^{-1}(e)$. There exists a unique $\tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{G} \times \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ such that $\pi \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}') = \tilde{e}'$. For \tilde{e} and \tilde{e}' in \tilde{G} , we define $\tilde{e}'^{-1} = \tilde{e}'(e, \tilde{e})$ $\tilde{e}\tilde{e}' = \tilde{e}'(\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}'^{-1})$. This makes \tilde{G} into an abstract group. As \tilde{G} is C^∞ , it makes \tilde{G} into a Lie group. \square

Theorem 2: If G and G' are Lie groups, if G is simply connected, if G, G' are their Lie algebras and if $\Psi_*: G \rightarrow G'$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, then there is a unique Lie group homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ such that $\varphi_* = \Psi_*$.

Corollary: There is a bijective correspondence between Lie algebras of Lie groups and connected simply connected Lie groups.

Theorem 3: Let G be a connected Lie group, \tilde{G} its universal covering. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(e)$, which is a subgroup of \tilde{G} . If Γ is any discrete central subgroup of \tilde{G} then \tilde{G}/Γ is a Lie group and the natural map $p: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}/\Gamma$ is a covering. Then $\pi_1(\tilde{G}/\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$.

For proof see for example F. Warner p 98

4 Homogeneous Spaces

Theorem: Let H be a closed subgroup of a Lie group G , and let G/H be the set $\{gH; g \in G\}$ of left cosets modulo H . Let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$, $g \mapsto \pi(g) = gH$ denote the natural projection. Then G/H has a unique C^∞ manifold structure such that (a) π is smooth

(b) There exists total smooth sections of G/H in G , i.e. if $gH \subset G/H$, there is a neighborhood W of gH and a smooth map $\sigma: W \rightarrow G$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = id$. Then, the map $G \times G/H \rightarrow G/H$ $(g_1, g_2 H) \mapsto g_1 g_2 H$ is smooth.

(Proof: see Warner p 120).

Definition 1: Manifolds of the form G/H where G is a Lie group, H a closed subgroup and the manifold structure is the unique one satisfying (a) and (b) of the above theorem are called homogeneous manifolds.

Definition 2: Let G be a Lie group and H be a C^∞ manifold. A (C^∞ left) action of G on H is a C^∞ map, which we denote by:

$$\cdot: G \times H \rightarrow H \quad (g, \cdot) \mapsto g \cdot \cdot$$

such that $e \cdot x = x \quad \forall x \in H$

$$g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = g_1 g_2 \cdot x \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \forall x \in H.$$

An action is said to be transitive if $\forall x, y \in H, \exists g \in G$ such that $g \cdot x = y$.

Theorem 2: If \cdot is a transitive C^∞ left action of the Lie group G on the manifold M and if $p \in M$, let $G_p = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot p = p\}$ be the stabilizer of p in G .

Then M is diffeomorphic with G/G_p .

(Proof: see Warner p 123).

Example of homogeneous manifolds

④ Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Each matrix $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ determines a linear transformation on \mathbb{R}^n : $A(e_i) = \sum_j A_{ji} e_j$.

This defines an action of $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Restricting this action to $O(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid {}^t A A = \text{Id} \text{ where } {}^t \text{ is the transpose} \}$

acting on $S^{n-1} = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_i (\alpha_i)^2 = 1 \}$, one has a natural smooth

left action $O(n) \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ which is transitive.

If $p = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in S^{n-1}$ the stabilizer of p in $O(n)$ is isomorphic to

the set of matrices $A \in O(n)$ such that $A = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. to $O(n-1)$.

$$\text{Hence } S^{n-1} \cong O(n)/O(n-1)$$

By a similar argument, S^n is diffeomorphic to $SO(n)/SO(n-1)$

where $SO(n) = \{ A \in O(n) \mid \det A = 1 \}$

② In a similar way S^{2n-1} is diffeomorphic to $U(n)/U(n-1)$ or $SU(n)/SU(n-1)$

where $U(n) = \{ A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t \bar{A} A = \text{Id} \}$ and $SU(n) = \{ A \in U(n) \mid \det A = 1 \}$.

③ Let V be a real vector space of dimension d . Let $M_k(V)$ be the set of all k -dimensional subspaces of V . If we choose a basis v_1, \dots, v_d for V , the group $O(d)$ acts naturally by matrix multiplication and one has

a map $\eta: O(d) \times M_k(V) \rightarrow M_k(V)$

Let P_0 be the k -dim. vector space spanned by v_1, \dots, v_k . Then the

stabilizer of P_0 in $O(d)$ is the subgroup $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in O(k), B \in O(d-k) \right\}$

so H is a closed subgroup of $O(d)$ isomorphic to $O(k) \times O(d-k)$.

We make $M_k(V)$ into a $(d-k)k$ smooth manifold by requiring the

bijective map $\sigma: O(d)/O(k) \times O(d-k) \rightarrow M_k(V) : A(O(k) \times O(d-k)) \mapsto AP_0$ to

be a diffeomorphism. (The structure does not depend on the chosen basis.)

$M_k(V)$ is called the Grassmann manifold of k -planes in V .

