



SMR/161 - 08

COLLEGE ON
REPRESENTATION THEORY OF LIE GROUPS
(4 November - 6 December 1985)

ELEMENTARY STRUCTURE OF
SEMI-SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS
Lecture II

M. Picardello
Universita di Roma
Istituto "Guido Castelnuovo"
Roma 00185

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants.

$$= \text{ad} [x_s, x_n] = 0 \quad (!)$$

Proposition: $\mathcal{U}$ algebra, finite dim. over F . $\Rightarrow$ $\text{Der } \mathcal{U}$ contains the semisimple and nilpotent parts of all its elements.

Proof $\delta \in \text{Der } \mathcal{U}$, $\delta = \sigma + \nu$. $\mathcal{U} = \sum^\oplus \mathcal{U}_\alpha$,
 $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \bigcup_k \ker (\delta - \alpha \mathbb{1})^k$, generalised eigenspace.

$$\sigma|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} = \alpha \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \quad \text{Now } \mathcal{U}_\alpha \mathcal{U}_\beta \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(\text{because } (\delta - (\alpha+\beta)\mathbb{1})^n(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (\delta - \alpha\mathbb{1})^{n-i}(x) \cdot (\delta - \beta\mathbb{1})^i(y),$$

exercise)

Thus, $x \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathcal{U}_\beta \Rightarrow \delta(xy) = (\alpha+\beta)xy$ as $xy \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+\beta}$.

$$\text{But } (\sigma x)y + x(\sigma y) = \alpha xy + \beta xy = (\alpha+\beta)xy$$

Hence, by splitting $\mathcal{U} = \sum^\oplus \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, the result is proved:
 $\sigma \in \text{Der } \mathcal{U}$ (hence ν too).

Cartan's criterion for solvability:

Idea: $[L]$ nilpotent $\Rightarrow L$ solvable

But $[L]$ nilpotent $\Leftrightarrow \text{ad}_{[L]} x$ nilpotent $\forall x \in [L]$.

Lemma. $A, B \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ subspaces. $M = \{x: [x, B] \subseteq A\}$.
If $x \in M$ and $\text{tr}(xy) = 0 \quad \forall y \in M$, then x is nilpotent.

Proof. $x = s + n$, $s = (a_1 \dots a_n)$. E vector subsp. of F over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by $E = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$. We claim $s = 0$. This amounts to $E = 0$, i.e. $E^\perp = \{f \text{ linear functionals } f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \} = 0$.

② Solvable algebras and Cartan's criterion; the Killing form

Suppose F algebraically closed, char $F = 0$.

Theorem (Lie's theorem). $L \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ solvable $\Rightarrow$
 L has a common eigenvector in V

Corollary 1. For a suitable basis, $L \leq \mathfrak{t}(V)$.

Corollary 2. $x \in [L, L]$, L solvable $\Rightarrow$ $\text{ad } x$ nilpotent
 (proof: $[t, t] \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$).

$x \in \text{End } V$ "semisimple" if diagonalizable.

Theorem (Jordan decomposition) $\forall x \in \text{End } V$:

- 1) $\exists ! x_s, x_n \in \text{End } V$, x_s semisimple, x_n nilpotent,
 $x = x_s + x_n$ and $[x_s, x_n] = 0$
without constant term
- 2) $\exists$ polynomials p, q : $x_s = p(x)$, $x_n = q(x)$
 In particular, $x_s, x_n \in x^{\mathbb{N}}$ (double commutant).
- 3) If $V \supseteq B \supseteq A$, and $x: B \rightarrow A$, then $x_s, x_n: B \rightarrow A$.
- 4) On $V_a = \ker(x - aI)^{\text{mult}(a)}$, x_s has the only
 eigenvalue a ($\Rightarrow$ scalar).

Proof: in the problems session.

Note: x nilpotent $\Rightarrow \text{ad } x$ nilpotent

Exercise: x semisimple $\Rightarrow \text{ad } x$ semisimple

(indeed, $x = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{ad } x(e_{ij}) = (a_i - a_j)e_{ij}$)

Corollary: $x \in \text{End } V$, $x = x_s + x_n \Rightarrow \text{ad } x = \text{ad } x_s + \text{ad } x_n$ is
 the Jordan decomposition of $\text{ad } x \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{gl}(V))$. Deducing...

Fix $f \in E^*$. Let $y = (f(e_1), \dots, f(e_n))$. Claim: $y \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Now $\text{ad } s(e_{ij}) = (a_i - a_j)e_{ij}$; $\Rightarrow \text{ad } y(e_{ij}) = f(a_i) - f(a_j) e_{ij}$
 $= (f(a_i) - f(a_j)) e_{ij}$

$\exists$ polynomial without constant term s.t. $r(a_i - a_j) = f(a_i) - f(a_j)$.
 (no compatibility ~~problem~~, since $a_i - a_j = a_k - a_l \Rightarrow f(a_i) - f(a_j) = f(a_k) - f(a_l)$ by linearity).

Now $\text{ad } y = r(\text{ad } s)$. But $\text{ad } s = p(\text{ad } x)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{ad } y =$ polynomial in $\text{ad } x$ without constant term.

Now $\text{ad } x: B \rightarrow A \Rightarrow \text{ad } y: B \rightarrow A$, $\therefore y \in \mathfrak{h}$

Thus $\text{tr}(xy) = 0$, that is, $\sum a_i f(a_i) = 0$.

Apply f : we get $\sum f(a_i)^2 = 0$. But $f(a_i) \in F$
 $\Rightarrow f(a_i) = 0 \ \forall i \Rightarrow f = 0$.

Observation: $\text{tr}([xy]z) = \text{tr}(x[yz])$ (Exercise)

Theorem (Cartan's criterion). $L \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, $\text{tr}(xy) = 0$

$\forall x \in [L, L], y \in L \Rightarrow L$ solvable.

Proof. We intend to show that $[L, L]$ is nilpotent, that is
 $\forall x \in [L, L] \Rightarrow \text{ad } x$ nilpotent endomorphism.

In the lemma (with $B = L, A = [L, L]$), we get $M =$
 $= \{x \in [L, L] : \text{ad } x(L) \subseteq [L, L]\} \supseteq L$. Now we let $x \in [L, L]$. But to apply the

lemma we need to know $\text{tr}(xy) = 0 \ \forall y \in M$.

We only know $\text{tr}(xy) = 0 \ \forall x \in L$. On the other

Now, $\text{tr}([xy]z) = \text{tr}(x[yz]) = 0$ (14)

$\swarrow \quad \searrow$
 $\in [L] \quad \in M$
 $\searrow \quad \swarrow$
 $\in [LL] \text{ by def. of } M!$

Corollary. $\text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [LL], y \in L \Rightarrow L \text{ solvable.}$

Proof. By the thm, $\text{ad} L$ solvable. $L \cong \text{ad} L / \ker \text{ad} L$
 $= \text{ad} L / Z(L)$ is also solvable (homom. image of solvable).

Killing form and semisimple algebras

Def. $K(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)$. It is associative:
 $K([xy]z) = K(x, [yz])$ (by the observation of the last page).

Fact. $I \triangleleft L \Rightarrow K_I = K_L|_{I \times I}$

Proof. $W \subseteq V, \phi \in \text{End} V, \phi: V \rightarrow W \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \text{tr } \phi = \text{tr}(\phi|_W)$

$\left[\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \end{pmatrix} \right] W$
 in suitable basis

But $x, y \in I \Rightarrow \text{ad}x \text{ad}y: L \rightarrow I$
 $\Rightarrow \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)|_I = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_I x \text{ad}_I y)$

Def β symmetric bilinear form. β nondegenerate if $S = \text{Rad } \beta = 0$
 $\text{Rad } \beta = \{x: \beta(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall y\}$. Associativity $\Rightarrow \text{Rad } \beta$
 is an ideal $\beta([xy], z) = \beta(x, [yz]) = 0!$

Now, L semisimple iff $\text{Rad } L = 0$ (same as $Z(L) = 0$ for abelian ideals).

Now: (1) $\text{Rad } K$ is a solvable ideal, hence $\subseteq \text{Rad } L$

Proof. Indeed, $\forall x \in \text{Rad } K, y \in L, \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = K(x, y) = 0$

By the corollary to Cartan's criterion, $\text{Rad } K$ is solvable.

(2) $\text{Rad } K \not\subseteq \text{Rad } L$ in general; however, $\text{Rad } K = 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{Rad } L = 0$

Proof. Enough to show that $I \triangleleft L$ abelian $\Rightarrow I \subseteq \text{Rad } K$
 (hence $I = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Rad } L = 0$). $x \in I, y \in L \Rightarrow$

$\text{ad}x \text{ad}y: L \rightarrow I \Rightarrow (\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)^2: L \rightarrow [II] = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \text{ad}x \text{ad}y$ is nilpotent, hence $\text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \text{Rad } K$

Thus: Proposition: L semisimple $\Leftrightarrow K$ nondegenerate

Simple ideals

$L = \bigoplus I_j, I_j \triangleleft L, \text{ if } L = \bigoplus I_j \text{ as vector space}$
 (but $[I_i, I_j] \subseteq I_i \cap I_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, hence the bracket works & defined unambiguously...).

Theorem. L semisimple $\Rightarrow L = \bigoplus L_j$ simple ideals, and every simple ideal appears here.

Proof. Induction step: $I \triangleleft L \Rightarrow I^\perp = \{x: K(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in I\} \triangleleft L$
 (since K is associative). By Cartan's criterion, $I \cap I^\perp$ is solvable, hence $I \cap I^\perp = 0$. Thus $L = I \oplus I^\perp$.

ind, by induction on $\dim L$, $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_k$.

But if we choose L_1 minimal ideal, L_1 is semisimple (because $I \triangleleft L_1 \Rightarrow I \triangleleft L$, since L_1 is semisimple).

But if $K \triangleleft I$ and $I \triangleleft L$, then $K \triangleleft L$, since

$$[i + i^\perp, K] = [i, K] + [i^\perp, K] \subseteq K \quad (\text{since } K \triangleleft I).$$

all ideals in L are semisimple!

$$I_n^\perp K = 0 \quad \text{since } K \subseteq I$$

Thus, choose L_1 minimal. Then L_1 semisimple (if it had an abelian ideal, so would L !), hence simple (by minimality and the above decomposition $L_1 = I \oplus I^\perp$).

Similarly, $L_1^\perp$ is semisimple. Proceed by induction.

Finally, $\forall I \triangleleft L$, $[IL]$ is an ideal in L . Thus

$$L = \bigoplus L_i \Rightarrow I = [IL] = \bigoplus [IL_i]$$

hence $[IL] = I$
since $[IL] \neq 0$
then as $Z(L) = 0$.

Thus all summands but one are zero and I appears in the decomposition.

$$\text{Conclusion: } L = [LL]$$

Observation. $\forall$ derivation δ , $[\delta, \text{ad } x] = \text{ad } (\delta x)$ (Exercise)

Theorem. L semisimple $\Rightarrow \text{Der } L = \text{ad } L$.

Proof. L semisimple $\Rightarrow Z(L) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ad}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Rightarrow M = \text{ad } L$ has nondegenerate Killing form. Now, let $D = \text{Der } L$. By the observation, $[D, M] \subseteq M$.

Thus $M \triangleleft D$, and $K_M = K_D|_{M \times M}$. Let $M^\perp \subseteq D$ ortho. to M under K_D : then $M \cap M^\perp = 0$ since K_M is nondegenerate. Since $M, M^\perp \triangleleft D$, $[M, M^\perp] \subseteq M \cap M^\perp = 0$.

Now let $\delta \in M^\perp$: it follows $\text{ad } (\delta x) = [\delta, \text{ad } x] = 0 \quad \forall x \in L$. Since ad is an isomorphism, $\delta x = 0 \quad \forall x$ hence $\delta = 0$, and $M^\perp = 0$, $M = D$.

The Cartan Theorem allows us to carry the Jordan decomposition to any semisimple Lie algebra. $\square$

L semisimple $\Rightarrow L \simeq \text{ad } L = \text{Der } L$, which is direct under so simple and nilpotent parts.

$$\text{Thus } \forall x \in L, \quad \text{ad } x = \text{ad } s + \text{ad } n$$

belong to $\text{ad } L$ and $\text{ad } L$ separately!

Since ad is 1-1, $\exists s, n \in L$: $\text{ad } x = \text{ad } s + \text{ad } n$

and we have $x = s + n$, $[s, n] = 0$, s ad-semisimple, n ad-nilpotent.

What if L were already a matrix algebra? Another Jordan decomp? In that case, we shall see that the two Jordan decompositions coincide.