,	
,	



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION



INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS 34100 TRIESTE (ITALY) - P.O. B. 886 - MIRAMARE - STRADA COSTIERA 11 - TELEPHONE: 2240-1 CABILE: CENTRATOM - TELEX 460392-1

SMR 281/29

COLLEGE ON VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS IN ANALYSIS
(11 January - 5 February 1988)

ON THE PERIODIC NONLINEARITY AND THE MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS

Kung-Ching CHANG
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Madison, WI
USA

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended for distribution to participants. Missing or extra copies are available from the follego secretary.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON CENTER FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

ON THE PERIODIC NONLINEARITY AND THE MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS

Kung-Ching Chang

Technical Summary Report #88-19 November 1987

ABSTRACT

We study the multiplicity of solutions for semilinear elliptic systems as well as Hamiltonian systems, in which the nonlinear terms are periodic in certain variables. The cuplength for cohomology rings of the torus is used. Our results generalize and unify several recent works by Conley-Zehnder, Rabinowitz, Mawhin-Willem, Pucci-Serrin etc. In particular, the resonance problems and indefinite problems are studied.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 34C25, 35J60, 58E05, 58F05, 58F22

Key Words: periodic nonlinearity, multiple solutions, critical point, Hamiltonian systems, Neumann problem, periodic solution

ON THE PERIODIC NONLINEARITY AND THE MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS Kung-Ching Chang*

1. Introduction

Inspired by the work of Conley and Zehnder [3] on the solution of the Arnold conjecture, the author presented a different proof of their statement, and noticed that the periodicity of the Hamiltonian function is the essence of the occurrence of multiple periodic solutions [1-2]. The main purpose of this paper is to apply the following theorem obtained in [1] to various different problems which are studied recently by many authors in dealing with periodic nonlinearities.

Lot H be a real Hilbert space, and let A be a bounded self-adjoint operator defined on H. According to its spectral decomposition, $H = H_{+} \oplus H_{0} \oplus H_{-}, \text{ where } H_{+}, H_{0}, \text{ and } H_{-} \text{ are invariant subspaces}$ corresponding to the positive, zero, and negative spectrum of A respectively.

$$g(P_0x,v) + -$$
 as $IP_0xI + -$ if dim $H_0 \neq 0$

^{*}Also Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, and Peking University, People's Republic of China.

Supported by the U. S. Army Research Office under Contract No. DAAL03-87-K-0043.

^{*}Also Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, and Peking University, People's Republic of China.

Supported by the U. S. Army Research Office under Contract No. DAAL03-87-K-0043.

where P_0 is the orthogonal projection onto H_0 . Then the function $f(x,v) = \frac{1}{2} (Ax,x) + g(x,v)$

possesses at least cuplength $(V^n) + 1$ distinct critical points.

If further, we assume that $g\in C^2(H\times V,R^1)$, and that f is nondegenerate, then f has at least $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n}\beta_i(V^n)$ critical points, where i=0 $\beta_i(V^n)$ is the i^{th} Betti number of V^n , $i=0,1,\ldots,n$.

Remark. In the statement of Theorem 8.3 in [1], the function g was assumed to be C^2 , however, in the proof of the first conclusion, C^1 is sufficient.

Most recent studies only concerned with the case where A is positive definite, we shall give more applications where A is semidefinite, i.e., the negative eigenspace as well as the null space are finite dimensional. They are used to study semilinear elliptic systems and the periodic solution problems for 2nd order ODE. Theorem 2 generalizes and unifies the results due to Mawhin [7], Mawhin and Willem [8], Li [6], Jiang [5], Franks [4], Pucci and Serrin [9,10] and Rabinowitz [11].

Periodic solution problems for Hamiltonian systems reduce to case where A is unbounded and indefinite. Theorem 4 is a generalization of Theorem 2. It implies the early results due to Conley and Zehnder [3] as special cases. In particular, the multiple periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems with resonance are studied, where the Hamiltonian functions are only periodic in certain variables.

We thank Prof. P. H. Rabinowitz for his invitation to the Center for the Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison, and for his very kind conversations on his interesting preprint [11].

\$2. Semi-definite functionals

A direct consequence of Theorem 0, is the following:

Theorem 1. Suppose that A is a self-adjoint operator satisfying (H_1) and (H_2) , defined on a Hilbert space H. Suppose that $\phi \in C^1(H,R^1)$ is a function having a bounded and compact differential $d\phi$, and satisfies the following periodicity condition:

(P) I e_1, \dots, e_r ϵ ker A, they are linearly independent, and $I(T_1, \dots, T_r)$ ϵ R^r such that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{m}_{j} \mathbf{T}_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}, \ \forall (\mathbf{m}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{r}$$

and the resonance condition:

(LL) $\phi(x)+-$ if $\|x\|+-$ and $x\in \ker(\lambda)\cap \{e_1,\dots,e_r\}^\perp$. Then the equation

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

possesses at least r + 1 distinct solutions.

If further, $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}^1)$ and all solutions of (2.1) are nondegenerate, then (2.1) possesses at least 2^r solutions.

Proof. We consider the following functional

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda x, x) + \phi(x) .$$

According to (P),

$$J(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j T_j e_j = J(x), \quad \forall (m_1, \dots, m_r) \in Z^r$$

However, we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$H = \ker A + (\ker A)^{\perp}$$
$$= Z + (\ker A)^{\perp}$$

where $Z = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$, and $Y = 2^1 \cap \ker(A)$. If we restrict ourselves on the quotient space

$$T^{T} \times (Y \oplus (\ker A)^{\perp})$$

where $T^r = Z/Z^r(T_1, ..., T_r)$, $Z^r(T_1, ..., T_r) := \{(m_1T_1, ..., m_rT_r) | (m_1, ..., m_r) \in Z^r\}$, the functionals

$$f(u,v) = J(x)$$
,

and

$$g(u,v) = \phi(x)$$
,

are well defined, where $(v,u) \in \mathbb{T}^T \times (Y \oplus (\ker A)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and x = u + v. The critical point of f is a solution of (2.1). Since f and g satisfy all conditions in Theorem 0 with $H_0 = Y$ and $V = \mathbb{T}^T$, the conclusion follows directly. We present here an application.

Theorem 2. Let M be a compact manifold without boundary, let $(a_{ij}(x))$ be a symmetric (N-r) matrix valued continuous function defined on M, and let

 $\ker\{-\Delta \, \circ \, \, I_{N-\Gamma} \, + \, (a_{i,j}(x)\} \circ) \, = \, \mathrm{span}\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k\} \ ,$ where 0 < r < N are integers. Assume that $F \in C^1(M \times R^N, R^1)$ satisfies the

following assumptions

(1)
$$F(x,u + \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i T_i e_i) = F(x,u) \quad V(x,u) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^N, \ V(m_1,...,m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$$

where $e_i = \{0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is given, (2) $\|F_{ij}(x, u)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \omega$,

(3)
$$F(x, \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j}\phi_{j}(x)) + \infty$$
 as $|t| = (\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j}^{2})^{1/2} + \infty$,

and that $h \in C(M,\mathbb{R}^N)$, $h = (h_1, ..., h_N)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{h}_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{i}} = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

and $h_j(x) = 0$, j = r + 1,...,N. Then the elliptic system $-\Delta u + \widetilde{a}(x) + u - F_n(x,u) + h(x) = 0 \text{ on } M$

has at least r + 1 solutions, where

$$\tilde{a}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (a_{ij}(x)) \end{pmatrix}_{N\times N}$$
.

Proof. Let $H = W^{1/2}(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $A = I_N + (-\Delta)^{-1}\widetilde{a}(x)$, and $\phi(u) = \int_M -F(x, u(x)) + \langle h(x) + u(x) \rangle_N$.

Obviously,

$$ker \lambda = span\{e_1, \dots, e_r, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k\},$$

and $\Phi \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R}^1)$, having a bounded and compact differential, satisfies the conditions (P) and (LL).

The conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 1.

Remark 2.1. In Theorem 2, we may replace the compact manifold M by a smooth bounded domain, Ω in \mathbb{R}^n , in addition to the Neumann boundary value condition

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$$
,

where v is the outward pointing normal of the boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Example 2.1. $M = S^{1}$, r = N = 1. This is just the periodic solution problem for ODE

$$\ddot{u} + F_{u}(t,u) = h(t) \qquad (2.2)$$

where $F \in C^1(S^1 \times R^1, R^1)$ is periodic in u, and $h \in C(S^1, R^1)$ satisfies the zero mean condition $\int h(t)dt = 0$. Under these conditions, (2.2) has at S^1 least two solutions. It was shown by Mawhin and Willem [8], Li [6] and Franks [4].

Example 2.2. The case $M=S^1$, and r=N. The corresponding ODE system was studied by Jiang [5] and Rabinowitz [11]. In this case, the following system possesses at least N+1 solutions

$$\ddot{u} + P_{ij}(t,u) = \dot{h}(t)$$
 (2.3)

where $P \in C^1(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^1)$ is periodic in $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$, and $h \in C(S^1, \mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfies $\int h(t) dt = \theta$.

Example 2.3. The case $M = S^1$, r < N, with $(a_{ij}(t))_{(N-r)\times(N-r)}$ positive definite. The ODE system was studied by Mawhin [7]. The system

possesses at least r+1 solutions, provided that $F\in C^1(S^1\times \mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^1)$ is periodic in the first r variables (u_1,\ldots,u_r) , and $F_u(t,u)_{t=0}^n<\infty$.

 $\ddot{\mathbf{u}} - \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{u}) = 0$

Example 2.4. The case $M = T^{n}$, r = N = 1. The problem was studied by Pucci and Serrin [9,10]. The following equation

$$\Delta u + F_n(x, u) = 0$$
 on T^n

possesses at least two solutions, provided that $F \in C^1(T^n \times R^1, R^1)$, and is periodic in u.

The Neumann problem for the elliptic equation (in case r = N = 1) was studied by Rabinowitz [11].

- Remark 2.2. All the above examples deal only with functionals bounded from below, however, Theorem 2 implies more than that. The improvements are in two directions:
- (1) The functional is semi-definite, i.e., it is bounded from below except on a finite dimensional subspace.
 - (2) The resonance case is studied, it only happens when $r \in n$.

\$3. Indefinite functionals

In this section, we shall extend the results of §2 to indefinite functionals. The saddle point reduction argument will be applied.

Let H be a Hilbert space, and let A be a self-adjoint operator with domain $D(A) \subset H$ (unbounded). Assume that F is a potential operator with $\emptyset \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R}^1)$, F = $d\phi$ and $\phi(\theta) = 0$. The following assumptions are made

- (A) $\exists \alpha < 0 < \beta$ such that $\alpha, \beta \not = \sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(A) \cap [\alpha, \beta]$ consists of at most finitely many eigenvalues of finite multiplicities.
- (F) F is bounded and Gateaux differentiable, with

$$IdF(u) = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} II < \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}, \quad \forall u \in H.$$

(D) For small $\varepsilon > 0$, with $-\varepsilon \not\in \sigma(A)$, let $V = D(|(\varepsilon I + A)|^{1/2})$, assume that $\Phi \in C^2(V, \mathbb{R}^1)$.

Theorem 4. Suppose that

(P) \exists e_1, \dots, e_r ϵ ker A, they are linearly independent, and \exists (T_1, \dots, T_r) ϵ R^r , such that

(LL) $\phi(x) + \pm \infty$ if $\|x\| + \infty \ \forall x \in \ker A \cap \operatorname{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}^{\perp}$. Then the equation

$$Ax + \phi^*(x) = 0$$

has at least r + 1 distinct solutions.

Proof. A saddle point reduction procedure is applied. Let

$$P_0 = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} dE_{\lambda}, \quad P_+ = \int_{\beta}^{+\infty} dE_{\lambda}, \quad P_- = \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} dE_{\lambda}$$

where $\{E_1\}$ is the spectral resolution of A, and let

and for small $\varepsilon > 0$, $-\varepsilon \not = \sigma(A)$, let

$$v_0 = |(\varepsilon I + A)|^{-1/2}H_0$$
, $v_{\pm} = |(\varepsilon I + A)|^{-1/2}H_{\pm}$.

For each $u \in H$, we have the decomposition

with $u_0 \in H_0$, $u_{\pm} \in H_{\pm}$. Let $x = x_{+} + x_{0} + x_{-} \in V$, where

$$x_0 = |\{(\epsilon I + A)\}|^{-1/2} u_0, \quad x_{\pm} = |\{(\epsilon I + A)\}|^{-1/2} u_{+}.$$

We define a function on the finite dimensional space v_0 as follows

$$a(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(Ax(z), x(z) \right) + \phi(x(z))$$

where $x(z) = x_{+}(z) + x_{-}(z) + z$, $z = x_{0} \in V_{0}$, and $x_{\pm}(z)$ are the solutions of the equations

$$x_{\pm} = -(\varepsilon I + A)^{-1}P_{\pm}(\varepsilon I + F)(x_{+} + x_{-} + z)$$
.

We shall prove that

1°
$$x_{\pm}(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}) = x_{\pm}(z), \quad \forall z \in V_{0}.$$

In fact,

$$P_{\pm}(\varepsilon I + F)(x_{+} + x_{-} + z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}) = P_{\pm}(\varepsilon I + F)(x_{+} + x_{-} + z)$$

therefore

$$x_{\pm}(z) = x_{\pm}(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j})$$
.

2°
$$a(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}) = a(z)$$
.

Claim:

$$a(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}) = \frac{1}{2} (Ax(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}), x(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j})) + \phi(x(z + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (Ax(z), x(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j}) + \phi(x(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}e_{j})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (Ax(z), x(z)) + \phi(x(z))$$

$$= a(z).$$

3° a satisfies the (PS) condition on $T^r \times \{Y \in N(A)^{\perp}\} \cap V_0$ where $Y = N(A) \cap \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_r)^{\perp}$.

Claim: Suppose that $\{z^k\}$ is a sequence along which

$$\{a(z^k)\}$$
 is bounded, and $\{a^*(z^k)\} = o(1)$.

According to Chang [1, p. 105],

$$IAx(z^k) + F(x(z^k))I_H = o(1)$$
.

Let Q be the orthogonal projection onto Y, which is considered as a subspace of the Hilbert space $H = Y \in N(A)^{\perp}$. Thus on the space H,

$$(I - Q)x(z^k) = -\lambda^{-1}(I - Q)F(x(z^k)) + o(1)$$

since F is bounded, $|(I - Q)x(z^k)|$ is bounded. Noticing

$$\begin{split} \phi(Qx(z^k)) &= \phi(x(z^K)) - \int\limits_0^1 (F(x_k(z^k)), (I-Q)x(z^k)) dt \\ &= a(z^k) - \frac{1}{2} (Ax(z^k), x(z^k)) - \int\limits_0^1 (F(x_k(z^k)), (I-Q)x(z^k)) dt \ , \end{split}$$

where

$$x_{t}(z) = ((1 - t)I + tQ)x(z)$$
,

and

$$(\mathrm{Ax}(z^k), \mathrm{x}(z^k)) = (\mathrm{Ax}(z^k), (\mathrm{I} - \mathrm{Q})\mathrm{x}(z^k)) = (-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}(z^k)) + \mathrm{o}(1), (\mathrm{I} - \mathrm{Q})\mathrm{x}(z^k)) \ ,$$

$$\bullet (\mathrm{Qx}(z^k)) \text{ must be bounded. According to the condition (LL), } \mathrm{Qx}(z^k) \text{ is }$$

-bounded. The compactness of z^k now follows from the boundedness of $x(z^k)$ and the finiteness of the dimension of V_0 .

4° If we decompose v_0 into $span\{e_1, ..., e_r\} \in \{Y \in N(\lambda)^{\perp}\} \cap v_0$,

$$z = v + w$$
, $(v,w) \in \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_r\} \in (Y \in \mathbb{N}(A)^{\frac{1}{r}}) \cap V_0$,

and let

$$g(w,v) = \frac{1}{2} (A\xi(w + v),\xi(w + v)) + \phi(x(w + v))$$

where

$$\xi(z) = x_{\perp}(z) + x_{\perp}(z)$$

then g is well defined on $T^r \times (Y \oplus N(A)^1) \cap V_0$, and

$$dg(w,v) = P_0F(x(w + v))$$

which is bounded and then is compact on finite dimensional manifold. The function a(z) now is written in the following form:

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v}) .$$

Noticing that F is bounded, $|\xi(z)|$ is always bounded. If we denote y the projection of w onto Y we have

 $g(y,v) = \frac{1}{2} \left(A\xi(y+v), \xi(y+v) \right) + \phi(y) + \left\{ \phi(\xi(y+v)+y+v) - \phi(y) \right\}.$ The first term and the third term are bounded, therefore

$$g(y,v) + t = as | |y| + = .$$

The function a(w,v) satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 0. Theorem 4 is proved.

Now we study the periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian systems, in which the Hamiltonian functions are periodic in some of the variables.

We use the following notations: $p,q \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$P = (p_1, ..., p_N), \quad q = (q_1, ..., q_N), \quad 1 < r < s < t < N,$$

$$\tilde{P} = (p_1, ..., p_r), \quad \tilde{q} = (q_1, ..., q_r),$$

$$\tilde{P} = (p_{r+1}, ..., p_S), \quad \tilde{q} = (q_{r+1}, ..., q_S),$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{p} &= (p_{g+1}, \dots, p_{T}), & \hat{q} &= (q_{g+1}, \dots, q_{T}), \\ \check{p} &= (p_{T+1}, \dots, p_{N}), & \check{q} &= (q_{T+1}, \dots, q_{N}). \end{split}$$

We assume

(I) A(t), B(t), C(t) and D(t) are symmetric continuous matrix function on S^1 , of order $(S-r)\times(\tilde{S}-r)$, $(T-s)\times(T-s)$, $(N-T)\times(N-T)$ and $(N-T)\times(N-T)$ respectively. Let $\widetilde{A}=\int\limits_{S^1}A(t)$, and $\widehat{B}=\int\limits_{S^1}B(t)$ be

invertible.

(II) $\hat{H} \in C^2(S^1 \times R^{2N}, R^1)$ is periodic in the following variables $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \hat{p}, \bar{q}$, and \hat{H}^* is bounded.

(III) Let
$$\operatorname{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\} = \ker(-J\frac{d}{dt} - (C(t) \oplus D(t)))$$
 where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_{N-T} \\ I_{N-T} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ are linearly independent. And

$$\hat{H}(t, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j\phi_{j}}) + t = as |\tau| = (\tau_{1}^{2} + \cdots + \tau_{m}^{2})^{1/2} + m$$

(IV) c,d
$$\in$$
 C(S¹,R^T), with c = (c₁,...,c_T), d = (d₁,...,d_T) and
$$\int\limits_{S^1} c_1(t) = \int\limits_{S^1} d_1(t) = 0 ,$$

i = 1, ..., r, s + 1, ..., r, j = 1, ..., s

We define a Hamiltonian function as follows

$$\begin{split} H(t,p,q) &= \frac{1}{2} \, h(t) \tilde{p} + \frac{1}{2} \, B(t) \tilde{q} + \frac{1}{2} \, (C(t) \tilde{p} + \tilde{p} + D(t) \tilde{q} + \tilde{q}) \\ &+ \, \sum_{i=1}^{T} \, (c_{i}(t) p_{i} + d_{i}(t) q_{i}) + \tilde{H}(t,p,q) \; . \end{split}$$

Theorem 5. Under conditions (I)-(IV), the Hamiltonian system

(HS)
$$-J\frac{d}{dt}z = H_2(t,z), \quad t \in S^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

has at least r + T + 1 periodic solutions, where $z = (p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$.

Proof. Let

and let (the subscripts on J coincide with those on p)

$$A = (-J\frac{d}{dt} - \Lambda(t))$$

$$= \left(-\tilde{J} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \cdot e \left(-\tilde{J} \frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e \left(-\tilde{J} \frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e \left(-\tilde{J} \frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} C(t) \\ D(t) \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We have

$$(\widetilde{p},\widetilde{q}) \in \ker \left(-\widetilde{J} \frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$(\Longrightarrow) \begin{cases} \dot{\widetilde{q}} = \lambda(t)\widetilde{p} \\ \dot{\widetilde{p}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\mathbf{q}} = \int\limits_{0}^{t} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} + \widetilde{\mathbf{c}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}, \text{ with } \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}(2\pi) = \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}(0) , \\ \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{p}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{c}} , \end{array} \right.$$

(i.e., with $\tilde{A} \cdot \tilde{c} = \theta$). According to the assumption I, $\tilde{c} = \theta$. We have

$$\ker\left(-\widetilde{J}\frac{d}{dt}-\begin{pmatrix}A(t)\\0\end{pmatrix}\right)=\{(\theta,\widetilde{d})\big|\widetilde{d}\in\mathbb{R}^{S-\Gamma}\}\cong\mathbb{R}^{S-\Gamma}.$$

Similarly,

$$\ker\left(-\hat{J}\frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix}\right) = \{(\hat{c}, \theta) | \hat{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{T-S}\} \approx \mathbb{R}^{T-S}.$$

Thus

$$\ker(A) = \mathbb{R}^{2r} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{s-r} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{T-s} \oplus \operatorname{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$$

Let

$$\Phi(z) = \int_{S^{1}} \left\{ \hat{H}(t,z(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{T} \left[c_{i}(t) p_{i}(t) + d_{i}(t) q_{i}(t) \right] \right\} dt .$$

Then all the assumptions (A), (F), (D), (P) and (LL) are satisfied. The proof is complete.

Example 3.1. If the Hamiltonian function $H \in C^2(S^1 \times R^{2N}, R^1)$ is periodic in each variable, then (HS) has at least 2N+1 periodic solutions.

This is the case r = s = T = N.

This result related to the Arnold conjecture, was first obtained by Conley and Zehnder [3], see also Chang [2].

Example 3.2. If $H \in C^2(S^1 \times R^{2N}, R^1)$, where H is periodic in the components of q, and that there is an R > 0 such that for |p| > R,

$$H(t,p,q) = \frac{1}{2}Mp \cdot p + a \cdot p$$

where a $\in \mathbb{R}^N$, and M is a symmetric nonsingular time independent matrix, then the corresponding (HS) possesses at least N + 1 distinct periodic solutions.

This is the case r = 0, S = T = N.

This is a result obtained by Conley and Zehnder [3], see also P. H. Rabinowitz [11].

Example 3.3. Let $H \in C^2(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^1)$ be periodic in (p_1, q_1) . Assume that $\exists R > 0$ such that

$$H(t,p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2) = \frac{1}{2}(cp_2^2 + dq_2^2) \pm \lambda \sqrt{p_2^2 + q_2^2}$$

for $\sqrt{p_2^2 + q_2^2} > R$, where $cd = k^2 > 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and k > 0. Then the corresponding (HS) possesses at least 3 periodic solutions.

In fact,

$$\ker\left(-J\frac{d}{dt}-\begin{pmatrix}c&0\\0&d\end{pmatrix}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(-\sqrt{\frac{d}{c}}\operatorname{sinkt,coskt}\right),\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{c}}\operatorname{coskt,sinkt}\right)\right\}$$

it follows

$$\max \left\{ \frac{d}{c}, 1 \right\} \left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \right) > \frac{d}{c} \left(-\lambda_1 \sinh t + \lambda_2 \cosh t \right)^2 + \left(\lambda_1 \cosh t + \lambda_2 \sinh t \right)^2$$
$$> \min \left\{ \frac{d}{c}, 1 \right\} \left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \right).$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}(t,0,\sqrt{\frac{d}{c}}(-\lambda_1 \sinh t + \lambda_2 \cosh t),0,(\lambda_1 \cosh t + \lambda_2 \sinh t))$$

$$\Rightarrow \min\left[\sqrt{\frac{d}{c}}, 1\right] \sqrt{\frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{\lambda_2}} + +\infty, \text{ or } + -\infty,$$

as
$$\sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} + \infty$$
.

Remark. In the assumption (I), if the operators \widetilde{A} and \widetilde{B} are singular, then

$$(\widetilde{p},\widetilde{q}) \in \ker \left(-\widetilde{J} \frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \iff \widetilde{p} \in \ker \widetilde{\lambda}, \quad \widetilde{q} = \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(s)ds \ \widetilde{p} + \widetilde{d} \ .$$

Thus,

$$\ker\left(-\widetilde{J}\frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \{(\theta,\widetilde{d}) | \widetilde{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{S-T}\} \in \{(\widetilde{c},\int_{0}^{t} A(s)ds \ \widetilde{c}) | \widetilde{c} \in \ker \widetilde{A}\}.$$

Similarly,

$$\ker\left(-\hat{J}\frac{d}{dt} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix}\right) = \{(\hat{c},\theta) | \hat{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{T-S}\} \bullet \{(\int_{0}^{t} B(s)ds\hat{d},\hat{d}) | \hat{d} \in \ker \hat{B}\}.$$

In order to apply Theorem 5, the assumption III is replaced by

$$\hat{H}(t,\tilde{c}+\int_{0}^{t}A(s)ds\tilde{c}+\int_{0}^{t}B(s)ds\hat{d}+\hat{d}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\tau_{j}\phi_{j}(t))+\pm\omega,$$

as $|\tilde{c}| + |\tilde{d}| + |\tau| + \omega$, where $\tilde{c} \in \ker \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{d} \in \ker \tilde{B}$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The same theorem holds.

Example 3.4. Let $H \in C^2(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^1)$ be periodic in (p_1, q_1, q_2) . Assume that $\exists \ R > 0$ such that

$$H(t,p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2) = \frac{1}{2} costp_2^2 \pm A\sqrt{1+p_2^2}$$

for $|p_2| > R$, where A > 0 is a constant, then the corresponding (HS) possesses at least 4 periodic solutions.

In fact,

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) = \pm \lambda \sqrt{1 + c^2} + \pm \omega, \text{ as } |c| + \omega.$$

References

- [1] K. C. Chang, Indefinite dimensional Morse theory and its applications, Univ. de Montreal, (1985).
- [2] ______, Applications of homology theory to different equations, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. AMS, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, f. Browder, ed., 1986.
- [3] C. C. Conley and E. Zehnder, The Birkhoff-Lewis fixed point theorem and a conjecture of V. I. Arnold, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 33-49.
- [4] J. Franks, Generalizations of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem, (preprint).
- [5] M. Y. Jiang, A report on the periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, (Peking Univ. seminar report) (1987).
- [6] Shujie Li, Multiple critical points of periodic functional and some applications, ICTP, Tech. Rep. IC-86-191.
- [7] J. Mawhin, Forced second order conservative systems with periodic nonlinearity, preprint (1987).
- [8] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Multiple solutions of the periodic BVP for some forced pendulum-type equations, J. Diff. Eq. 52 (1984), 264-287.
- [9] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, A mountain pass theorem, J. Diff. Eq. 60 (1985), 142-149.
- [10] _______, Extensions of the mountain pass theorem, Univ. of Minnesota Math. Rep. 83-150.
- [11] P. H. Rabinowitz, On a class of functionals invariant under a 2th action, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Center for the Mathematical Sciences Technical Summary Report #88-1 (1987).