



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS
I.C.T.P., P.O. BOX 586, 34100 TRIESTE, ITALY, CABLE: CENTRATOM TRIESTE



SMR/402- 12

COLLEGE ON SOIL PHYSICS
9 - 27 October 1989

"Soil Management Principles"

Charles S. OFORI
FAO
A.G.L.S.
Rome, Italy

Please note: These are preliminary notes intended for internal distribution only.

Table 1 CHANGES IN SOIL PROPERTIES DUE TO FELLING AND BURNING FOREST TREES

Item of analysis	Surface soil		Compar- ison	Subsoil		Compar- ison	
	Before felling	After burning		Before felling	After burning		
pH	6.2	7.2	**	5.4	6.1	**	
% C	4.6	5.9	*	1.3	2.5	**	
% N	0.32	0.40	**	0.11	0.20	**	
C/N	14.3	14.8		11.8	12.2		
NH ₄ -N)) mg/100 g	2.0	6.2	**	1.2	3.8	**	
NO ₃ -N)	0.5	0.8		0.3	0.7	**	
Avail. P ppm	7.2	108.8	**	1.4	7.7	**	
CEC meq/100 g	20.9	23.7	*	13.5	16.1	**	
Extractable me/100 g	(Ca	17.0	36.3	**	6.3	10.6	**
	(						
	(Mg	5.6	7.5		2.4	3.6	
	(						
	(K	0.4	1.9	*	0.2	0.4	**
	(						
	(Na	0.3	0.4	**	0.2	0.2	

* sig at 5% level

** sig at 1% level

Source: Thepparit Tulaphitak, Chaitat Pairintra and Kazutake Kyuma (1983).

Property	Before burning (February)	After burning (April)	After monsoon at harvest (November)
----------	------------------------------	--------------------------	---

pH	6.2	7.7	6.4
Phosphorus (ppm)	4.0	32.5	18.5
Potassium (ppm)	208.0	296.0	177.0

From Zinke et al. (1978)

Table 3 MAIZE GRAIN YIELD ON AN ALFISOL AS AFFECTED BY
LAND CLEARING METHODS

Clearing method	Maize grain yield (tons/ha)	
	First season Year one	First season Year two
Mechanical	4.67	2.88
Slash	4.81	3.67
Slash and burn	5.14	4.46
LSD (0.05)	0.69	0.98

Parameters	Traditional farming	Intensive land use
Bulk density (g/cm ³)	1.18 (1.1 - 1.4)	1.34
Porosity (%)	55.5	49.4
Infiltration rate	54.5 ± 15.1	12.4 ± 9.0
Penetrometer resistance (kg/cm ³)	1.04 (0.25-4.75)	3.2 (1.0-4.7)

Source: Lal (1986)

Table 5 SORGHUM YIELD IN RELATION TO TILLAGE DEPTH AND CROP RESIDUE
(kg/ha)

Tillage depth (m)	1976/77		1977/78	
	No CR	CR	No CR	CR
0.10	1596	2218	768	1103
0.25	2297	2572	1859	1904

Source: Willcocks (1981).

Treatment	pH-H ₂ O	Effective CEC me/100 g	Exchangeable cations, me/100 g			
			Ca	Mg	K	Mn ppm
Bush fallow	6.5	4.94	3.34	0.89	0.42	3
Maize (without residue mulch)	5.3	3.95	3.01	0.46	0.13	28
Maize (with residue mulch)	6.0	6.38	4.58	0.92	0.68	11
Maize + cassava	6.2	5.24	3.92	0.67	0.39	10
LSD(005)	0.36	1.03	1.03	0.31	0.21	7

Table 7 SOIL LOSSES AND RUNOFF UNDER SOLE CASSAVA AND
CASSAVA INTERPLANTED WITH MAIZE
(Okigbo and Lal 1979)

Slope %	Soil losses (t/ha)		Runoff (% of rainfall)	
	Cassava	Cassava + maize	Cassava	Cassava + maize
1	3	3	18	24
5	87	50	43	33
10	125	86	20	18
15	221	137	30	19

Table 8 RESULTS (AVERAGE) FROM ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES TAKEN AT
THREE DIFFERENT SITES NEAR ACACIA ALBIDA TREES
(Charreau and Vidal 1965)

Determinations	Gross results			Relative results (C = 100)		
	A ¹⁾	B ²⁾	C ³⁾	A	B	C
pH (water)	6.50	6.34	6.14	106	103	100
Total C	0.53	0.48	0.33	162	146	100
Total N	0.06	0.05	0.03	194	168	100
C/n ratio	8.9	9.2	10.6	84	87	100
Exchangeable cations (me/100 g)						
Ca	2.94	2.33	1.47	200	158	100
Mg	1.12	1.00	0.63	178	1158	100
K	0.10	0.08	0.07	143	114	100
Na	0.12	0.13	0.09	133	144	100
CEC	4.13	3.69	2.25	147	131	100
P ₂ O ₅ ppm total	190	147	148	128	99	100
P ₂ O ₅ ppm available	35	19	15	234	127	100

A¹⁾ = near trunk

B²⁾ = edge of canopy

C³⁾ = outside canopy

Improvement and rates of increase are highest for nitrogen, available phosphorus, exchangeable calcium and CEC.

Time	pH	Organic matter %	Exchangeable meq/100 cc				EEC	Al saturation (%)	Available (parts per million)				
			Al	Ca	Mg	K			P	Zn	Cu	Mn	Fe
Before clear- ing	4.0	2.13	2.27	0.26	0.15	0.10	2.78	82	5	1.5*	0.9*	5.3*	650*
Contin- uous Crop- ping**	5.7	1.55	0.06	4.98	0.35	0.11	5.51	1	39	3.5	5.2	1.5	389

* Thirty months after clearing.

** Ninety-four months after clearing.