



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

I.C.T.P., P.O. BOX 586, 34100 TRIESTE, ITALY, CABLE: CENTRATOM TRIESTE



SMR.545/2

WORKSHOP ON MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS AND GEOMETRY

(4 - 15 March 1991)

Generalities on A/G , An Introduction to K. Uhlenbeck's Theorems

T.R. Ramadas
School of Mathematics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road
Bombay - 400 005
India

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

Generalities on A/G , An Introduction to K.Uhlenbeck's Theorems.

T. R. RAMADAS

School of Mathematics, TIFR, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005, India.

§1: Prerequisites.

In this section we recall definitions and set up notation, and close by previewing the remaining material.

A quick introduction to the relevant material is [N]. For the present we only consider smooth "objects". In particular all connections and gauge transformations will be smooth until further notice.

§1.a Connections, gauge transformations.

Let M be a compact connected oriented manifold of dimension n , G a compact Lie group, P a principal G -bundle on M . For example M could be S^3 in which case $P \sim M \times G$, or $M = S^4$ and $G = SU(2)$ in which case P is classified up to equivalence by its second chern number. Denote by π the projection $P \rightarrow M$. Given $g \in G$ denote by R_g the corresponding map $P \rightarrow P$, and for $x \in P$, let $x.g \equiv R_g(x)$.

Recall the following equivalent definitions of a connection:

- (1) We have on P an exact sequence of G -vector bundles: $0 \rightarrow T_\pi P \rightarrow TP \rightarrow \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0$ where $T_\pi P$ is the "tangent bundle along the fibres". A connection is G -equivariant splitting of this sequence.
- (2) A connection is a equivariant Lie G -valued 1-form A on P satisfying $A(\sigma(X)) = X$ for $X \in \text{Lie } G$. Here "equivariant" means $R_g^*A = \text{ad}_{g^{-1}}A$ and $\sigma(X)$ denotes the vector field on P given by the differential of the G -action.

Exercise 1.1: Check the equivalence of the two definitions above. Prove that connections exist.

Recall the following equivalent definitions of a gauge transformation:

- (1) A gauge transformation is a map $s : P \rightarrow G$ satisfying

$$(1-1) \quad s(x.g) = g^{-1}s(x)g.$$

- (2) A gauge transformation is an automorphism $\phi : P \rightarrow P$ of principal bundles that is trivial on the base. That ϕ is an automorphism means that $\phi(x.g) = \phi(x)g$; "trivial on the base" means that ϕ leaves each fibre of π invariant.

The correspondence $s \leftrightarrow \phi_s$ is given by $\phi_s(x) = x.s(x)$. Note that gauge transformations form a group.

Exercise 1.2: Check the equivalence of the two definitions above. Note that $\phi_{s_1 s_2} = \phi_{s_1} \circ \phi_{s_2}$. Define $Ad P$ to be the bundle associated to the adjoint action of G on itself; check that a gauge transformation can be regarded as a section of $Ad P$.

(Recall that given a left action $(q, g) \mapsto g.q$ of G on a space Q , the associated bundle with fibre Q is defined to be the space $P \times Q$ modulo the equivalence relation $(x, q) \sim (x.g, g^{-1}q)$. The adjoint action of G on itself is given by $(h, g) \mapsto gh \equiv \text{Ad}_g h = ghg^{-1}$.)

Action of gauge transformations on connections.

We have the following formula:

$$(1-2) \quad (\phi^*A)(x) = \text{ad}_{s^{-1}(x)}A(x) + s^{-1}(x)ds(x)$$

where $s^{-1}(x)ds(x)$ denotes the (left-invariant) Maurer-Cartan form on G pulled back to P via the map s . (If G is a matrix group this expression for the Maurer-Cartan form can be interpreted literally; note also that in this case $\text{ad}_{s^{-1}(x)}A(x) = s^{-1}(x)A(x)s(x)$.)

PROOF: Write ϕ as the composite $P \xrightarrow{I \times s} P \times G \xrightarrow{R} P$ where I is the identity map of P and R is the (right) action of G .

§1.b Exterior algebra of Lie G-valued forms.

Let N be a manifold (In our case it will be either M or P). Let $\Lambda_N^p \otimes \text{Lie } G$ be the bundle of p -forms on N with values in $\text{Lie } G$. We have then

(1) a multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Lambda_N^p \otimes \text{Lie } G) \times (\Lambda_N^q \otimes \text{Lie } G) \\ & \rightarrow \Lambda_N^{p+q} \otimes \text{Lie } G, \end{aligned}$$

and

(2) a differential $\Lambda_N^p \otimes \text{Lie } G \rightarrow \Lambda_N^{p+1} \otimes \text{Lie } G$.

If A, B, C are forms of degree p, q, r respectively and we denote by $[\cdot, \cdot]$ the multiplication we have

- (1) $[A, B] = (-1)^{pq}[B, A]$,
- (2) $(-1)^{pr}[A, [B, C]] + (\text{cyclic permutations}) = 0$, and
- (3) $d[A, B] = [dA, B] + (-1)^p[A, dB]$.

Given a connection A on P we let F_A denote its *curvature two-form* $dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$.

Exercise 1.3: We recall the definition of the product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. If $A = \sum_I A_I \omega^I$ and $B = \sum_J B_J \omega^J$, where $\{\omega^I\}_I$ and $\{\omega^J\}_J$ are bases for p -forms and q -forms respectively and the A_I and B_J are elements of $\text{Lie } G$, then

$$[A, B] = \sum_{I, J} [A_I, B_J] \omega^I \wedge \omega^J.$$

(a) Check (1), (2) and (3) above.

(b) Let ω be the Maurer-Cartan form on G . Check that $d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] = 0$.

(c) For any connection A on P check the *Bianchi identity*: $dF_A + [A, F_A] = 0$.

(d) Given a gauge transformation s , check that $F_{A \circ s} = Ad_{s^{-1}} F_A$.

(e) (Local expression for F_A .) If in a co-ordinate chart $\{x_i\}$ we write $A = \sum_i A_i dx^i$, then $F_A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} F_{A, ji} dx^j dx^i$.

where

$$F_{A, ji} = \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^i} + [A_j, A_i].$$

§1.c Forms on M with values in associated bundles; covariant differentiation.

If $T : G \rightarrow \text{End } V$ is a representation of G we let V_T denote the associated vector bundle. We have a natural isomorphism between sections of $\Lambda_M^p \otimes V_T$ and G -equivariant horizontal p -forms on P . (Equivariance of such a form α means that $R_g^* \alpha = T(g^{-1})\alpha$ and horizontal means that the interior product with any vertical vector is zero. Such forms are also called *basic*.)

We let $ad P$ denote the vector bundle associated to the adjoint representation of G . Note that if E is any associated vector bundle, we have a natural map $ad P \rightarrow \text{End } E$.

Fix a connection A . Given a basic V -valued p -form α its *covariant differential with respect to A* is defined to be $d_A \alpha = H \circ d\alpha$ where H is the projection operator on the dual of the "horizontal bundle".

Exercise 1.4:

(a) Check that $d_A \alpha = d\alpha + A \wedge_T \alpha$, where \wedge_T is defined using the representation T .

(b) Check that $d_A^2 \chi = [F, \chi]$. (*Ricci's identity*).

§1.d Transition functions.

By local triviality of P and compactness of M we can find a finite family $(U_\mu, \{y_{i, \mu}\}, \{\tau_\mu\}, D_\mu)$ where the U_μ are open subsets of M and the τ_μ are sections of P over the U_μ , and we assume for later purposes that

- (1) for each fixed μ the $\{y_{i, \mu}\}$ are a set of co-ordinates on the U_μ .
- (2) for each μ , D_μ is a relatively compact open subset of U_μ with smooth boundary such that the D_μ form an open cover of M .

It is easily seen that there exist, for every (μ, ν) , G -valued functions $g_{\mu\nu}$ on $U_\mu \cap U_\nu$ defined by $\tau_\nu(y) = \tau_\mu(y)g_{\mu\nu}(y)$, $y \in U_\mu \cap U_\nu$.

Exercise 1.5:

- (a) The transition functions satisfy $g_{\mu\nu}(y)g_{\nu\rho}(y)g_{\rho\mu}(y) = 1$, $y \in U_\mu \cap U_\nu$.
- (b) A section of a vector bundle V_T associated to P via a representation $T: G \rightarrow \text{End } V$ is given by functions σ_μ on each U_μ satisfying $\sigma_\nu = T(g_{\mu\nu})\sigma_\mu$.
- (c) A gauge transformation is given by functions $\{s_\mu\}$ satisfying $s_\nu = g_{\mu\nu}s_\mu g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$.
- (d) A connection is given by Lie G -valued 1-forms $\{A_\mu\}$ satisfying $A_\nu = \text{ad}_{g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}}A_\mu + g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}dg_{\mu\nu}$.

§1.e Irreducible connections.

The space \mathcal{A} of connections on P is an affine space modelled on $\Gamma(\Lambda_M^1 \otimes \text{Lie } G)$. In particular it is contractible (though we haven't yet endowed it with a topology — this we will do soon). Note, however, that the group \mathcal{G} of gauge transformations acts on \mathcal{A} : $A \mapsto A^s$ where

$$(1-3) \quad A^s = (\phi_s^* A)(x) = \text{Ad}_{s^{-1}(x)}A(x) + s^{-1}(x)ds(x)$$

It is easily checked that this is a right action.

Note that the center of G , which we denote by $Z(G)$, is a subgroup of \mathcal{G} : if $s: P \rightarrow Z(G)$ is a constant map, it obviously satisfies the condition (1-1). Note also that for such s we have $A^s = A$. Thus $Z(G)$ acts trivially on \mathcal{A} . Which are the connections with nontrivial automorphisms? The answer is given by

LEMMA 1.6. *Given $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the isotropy group at A is isomorphic to the centraliser of the holonomy group of A at $x \in P$.*

Recall that the holonomy group of A at x , which we will denote $H(A, x)$, is defined as the subgroup of G : $\{g \in G \mid \exists \text{ a path } x: [0, 1] \rightarrow P \text{ with } x(0) = x, x(1) = x.g \text{ and } x^*A = 0.\}$. Holonomy groups at different points are conjugate in G .

PROOF: Exercise.

Definition 1.7: A connections A such that the centraliser of $H(A, x)$ is $Z(G)$ is said to be *irreducible*.

Remark 1.8: This definition will not work for nonsmooth connections, with which we will eventually have to deal. At that point we will use another characterisation.

Exercise 1.9: Describe the set of reducible connections on: (1) a principal $SU(2)$ bundle on S^4 , and (2) a principal $U(2)$ bundle on a two-dimensional manifold M .

§1.f Preview of remaining material.

The group $\mathcal{G}/Z(G)$ acts freely on the set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-1}^P$ of irreducible connections. We will prove in §2 that under suitable hypotheses this yields an infinite-dimensional principal bundle over an infinite-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} .

One of the lessons of recent years is that a lot of information about the topological and differential structure of M is encoded in sub-manifolds of \mathcal{M} . These submanifolds are finite-dimensional; they are defined as solution-spaces of (gauge-invariant) nonlinear partial differential equations involving connections. An essential tool to study these moduli spaces are some theorems due to K. Uhlenbeck [U]. In §3 we give an introduction to this paper.

§2: Preliminaries.

We will need the apparatus of Sobolev spaces. The reason is that we would like to deal with Banach manifolds where the theory is quite analogous to the case of finite-dimensional manifolds — in particular the inverse function theorem is valid [L].

§2.a Preliminaries on Sobolev Spaces.

For a quick treatment of this topic see [F]. All the results we need (and more) are summarised in [P, Chapter 9]

Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number, $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\bar{D}$, \bar{D} the closure of D . We define the Sobolev space $L_k^p(D)$ as the normed linear space

$$L_k^p(D) = \{f \in L^p(D) \mid D^s f \in L^p(D) \forall \text{ multi-index } s \text{ such that } |s| \leq k\}.$$

By a multi-index s we mean an n -tuple $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ of nonnegative integers; the differential in the above definition is in the sense of distributions.

L_k^p is a Banach space. We define two closed subspaces:

- (1) $L_k^p(\bar{D}) = \text{closure of } C^\infty(\bar{D}) \text{ in } L_k^p(D).$
- (2) $L_{k,0}^p(D) = \text{closure of } \mathcal{D}(D) \text{ (the space of } C^\infty \text{ functions with compact support) in } L_k^p(D).$

Since D has smooth boundary in fact $L_k^p(D) = L_k^p(\bar{D})$. These definitions can be extended to nonintegral nonnegative k (and in fact more generally, with care over boundary conditions.)

We have then the Sobolev embedding

THEOREM 2.1.

- (A) $L_k^p(\bar{D}) \subset L_l^q(\bar{D})$ if $k - n/p \geq l - n/q$ and $k \geq l$, and
- (B) $L_k^p(\bar{D}) \subset C^l(\bar{D})$ if $k - n/p \geq l$.

We also have the Rellich

LEMMA 2.2. *The embedding in (A) above is compact if the strict inequality $k - n/p > l - n/q$ holds. Similarly the embedding in (B) is compact if $k - n/p > l$.*

Recall that a bounded linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ of Banach spaces is said to be *compact* if it takes bounded sets to precompact sets, or, equivalently if for every bounded sequence $\{v_n\} \subset V$, the sequence $\{Tv_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

We illustrate the part (B) of the Theorem in an elementary situation. Let D be a bounded open interval (a, b) in \mathcal{R} — then $L_1^2 \subset C^0$. We will prove $L_{1,0}^2 \subset C^0$; from this the more general result can be deduced. It is clearly enough to bound the sup norm of any function $f \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ in terms of its L_1^2 norm. We have $f(x) = \int_a^x f'(y)dy$ which yields by Cauchy-Schwartz $\sup |f| \leq (b-a)^{1/2} (\int_a^b |f'(y)|^2 dy)^{1/2}$.

We also have:

LEMMA 2.3.

- (a) For $k > n/p$, L_k^p is a Banach algebra. If $ql > n$, $k - n/p \geq l - n/q$ and $k \geq l$ the inclusion $L_k^p \subset L_l^q$ makes L_l^q a module over L_k^p .
- (b) If, for $i = 1, 2$, $k_i p_i < n$, $k_i \geq k$ and

$$\sum_i (k_i - n/p_i) \geq (k - n/p)$$

then multiplication $L_{k_1}^{p_1} \otimes L_{k_2}^{p_2} \rightarrow L_k^p$ is well-defined and continuous.

The proof of these statements uses only the embedding theorem and Hölder inequalities. For example in statement (b) if we take $k_i = k = 0$, and we take we take $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p$ the statement is the Hölder inequality. The case $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 < 1/p$ follows because the domain D has finite measure.

We use the notation $L_k^p(\bar{D})$ to emphasize that "in good cases the functions extend up to the boundary", i.e., a restriction to the boundary can be defined. A typical result:

LEMMA 2.4. The restriction map $C^\infty(\bar{D}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial\bar{D})$ extends to a bounded linear map $L_k^p(\bar{D}) \rightarrow L_{k-1/p}^p(\partial\bar{D})$ provided $k - 1/p > 0$.

A basic fact about Sobolev spaces is that elliptic operators between them, with appropriate boundary conditions, are Fredholm.

It is straightforward to define Sobolev spaces of functions with values in finite-dimensional real inner product spaces. We skip the details.

§2.b Topologies on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{G} .

The results in this section are from [NR] and [UF]. (See also [MV],[S].)

We begin by considering an arbitrary real vector bundle E on M . Then for any real number $p \geq 1$ and integer $k \geq 0$ we can define a vector space $L_k^p(M, E)$. An element of this space is represented by a measurable section σ of E satisfying:

- ★ Given an open set $U \subset M$, a set $\{y_i\}$ of co-ordinates on U , a trivialisation e_j of E over U , and a relatively compact open $D \subset U$ with smooth boundary, the components of σ with respect to the trivialisation are in $L_k^p(\bar{D})$.

In the last expression D is thought of as a domain in \mathbb{R}^n via the co-ordinates $\{y_i\}$. This identification will be implicit in many places below.

One can similarly define, for any fibre bundle F over M , a manifold $L_k^p(M, F)$ of sections of Sobolev class L_k^p , provided $kp > n$. The necessity for this assumption is obvious — we need to measure the difference between maps in terms of co-ordinate charts on the total space F , only when the maps involved are continuous can we be sure that the image of a small enough open set in M is contained in a co-ordinate chart in F . The sufficiency follows from the invariance of the relevant Sobolev spaces under diffeomorphisms.

It is useful to have more concrete definitions. Recall (§1.d) the definition of a section in terms of transition functions. Choose a finite family $(U_\mu, \{y_{i,\mu}\}, \{\tau_\mu\}, D_\mu)$ such that the D_μ form an open cover of M . Then

$$L_k^p(M, E) = \{ \phi_\mu \mid \phi_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} \phi_\nu \}$$

$$\subset_{\text{closed subspace}} \bigoplus_{\mu} L_k^p(D_\mu, V)$$

where the $g_{\mu\nu}$ are the transition functions.

Exercise 2.5: (a) The vector space $L_k^p(M, E)$ endowed with the norm

$$|\phi| = \sum_{\mu} |\phi_{j,\mu}|_k^p$$

is a Banach space.

(b) Any other family $(U_\nu, \{y_{i,\nu}\}, \{e_{j,\nu}\}, D_\nu)$, such that the D_ν form an open cover of M , yields an equivalent norm.

We define \mathcal{A}_k^p as the affine subspace

$$\mathcal{A}_k^p = \{ A_\nu \mid A_\nu = ad_{g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}} A_\mu + g_{\mu\nu}^{-1} dg_{\mu\nu} \}$$

$$\subset_{\text{closed affine subspace}} \bigoplus_{\mu} L_k^p(D_\mu, \Lambda_D^p \otimes Lie G)$$

For integers k, p satisfying $kp > n$ we now let $\mathcal{G}_k^p \equiv L_k^p(M, Ad P)$. This is a particular example of a Banach manifold of functions taking values in a manifold (which we have not defined), but one can give slightly *ad hoc* definition which work for the groups of interest, namely $G = SO(n)$ or $G = SU(m)$. Let $T : G \rightarrow V$ be the defining representation (thus V is respectively \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^m) and let V_T be the associated vector bundle. This bundle carries an inner product (respectively real or hermitian) and we can identify $Ad P \hookrightarrow End V_T$ where the image consists respectively of special orthogonal and special unitary

endomorphisms. We now define $L_k^p(M, Ad P)$ as the subset of $L_k^p(M, End V_T)$ consisting of sections taking values in $Ad P$.

Exercise 2.6:

(a) Use the implicit function theorem, valid for differential maps of Banach manifolds, to check that this definition makes $L_k^p(M, Ad P)$ a closed submanifold of $L_k^p(M, End V_T)$. (A convenient reference is [L, Corollary 2s., page 17] — note that in contrast to the finite-dimensional case one has to assume that the *kernel of the differential map splits*; this is automatic in the case of Hilbert manifolds but has to be checked otherwise.)

(b) We have, in terms of transition functions:

$$L_k^p(M, Ad P) = \{s_\mu \mid s_\nu = g_{\mu\nu} s_\mu g_{\mu\nu}^{-1}\}$$

$$\text{closed submanifold } \bigoplus_{\mu} L_k^p(D_\mu, G)$$

where again $L_k^p(D_\mu, G)$ can be defined as (the Banach submanifold of) functions in $L_k^p(D_\mu, End V)$ taking values in G .

Note that by the Sobolev theorem elements of \mathcal{G}_k^p give C^l -automorphisms of P where $l < k - n/p$.

We have

PROPOSITION 2.7. \mathcal{G}_k^p is a Banach Lie Group.

PROOF: By Lemma 2.3(a) the multiplication in $L_k^p(D_\mu, End V)$ is a smooth map (multiplication in a Banach algebra is smooth.). Thus the restriction to $L_k^p(D_\mu, G)$ is smooth, as is the inverse, being the restriction of the linear map $s \mapsto s^T$ or $s \mapsto s^t$. Thus $L_k^p(D_\mu, G)$ is a Banach Lie group. The result now follows from 2.6(b). ■

Exercise 2.8 The Lie algebra of \mathcal{G}_k^p is $L_k^p(M, ad P)$.

We can now prove in a routine way, by a technique similar to the last proof,

PROPOSITION 2.9. Assume $kp > n$. Then \mathcal{G}_k^p acts smoothly on \mathcal{A}_{k-1}^p .

and

PROPOSITION 2.10. The curvature operator $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}^p \rightarrow L_{k-2}^p(M, \Lambda_M^2 \otimes ad P)$ is smooth.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-1}^p$ denote the set of irreducible connections on P of Sobolev class L_k^p — this is an open dense submanifold of \mathcal{A}_k^p (exercise). The group $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^p \equiv \mathcal{G}_k^p/Z(G)$ acts freely on this set. The rest of this section will be devoted to the following

THEOREM 2.11. Assume $kp > n$. The quotient space $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-1}^p/\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^p$ is a Hausdorff Banach manifold. $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-1}^p$ is a principal $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^p$ bundle over this quotient.

We show:

- (1) The action of \mathcal{G}_k^p on \mathcal{A}_{k-1}^p is proper. This will prove [B1] that the quotient is a Hausdorff space.
- (2) For $A \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-1}^p$ the map $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^p$ to $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k-1}^p$ given by $s \mapsto A^s$ is an injective immersion (i.e., has a closed immersion with topological supplement). By [B2] this will prove the Theorem.

LEMMA 2.12. Assume $kp > n$. Let (A_i, s_i) be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}^p \times \mathcal{G}_k^p$ such that $A_i \rightarrow A$ and $B_i \equiv A_i^{s_i} \rightarrow B$. Then there exists a subsequence s_j which tends to a limit s (so that $A^s = B$.)

PROOF: We will outline a proof under the assumption that $k(p-1) \gg n$ so that the relevant connections are actually continuous. For the general case see [UF, Proposition A.5].

Note that in a chart U_μ we can write

$$(2-1) \quad ds_i = s_i B_i - A_i s_i$$

where s_i, A_i, B_i are regarded as $End V$ -valued forms. By compactness of G one can find a subsequence s_j converging at some point $p \in U_\mu$. Integrating (2-2) “radially” away from p along straight lines and using standard results about the dependence of solutions of an ODE on coefficient (functions) we get the uniform convergence of the s_j on D_μ . A routine patching now gives this everywhere.

One can now “bootstrap” using (2-2) to get the desired result. ■

This result proves properness (because the isotropy groups are compact.)

We turn next to (2). The differential of the map $s \mapsto A^s$ at $s = Identity$ is the operator $d_A : L_k^p(ad P) \rightarrow L_{k-1}^p(\Lambda_M^1 \otimes ad P)$. By the definition of irreducibility of A this is an injection. One proves that the a topological supplement is given by $ker d_A^*$; in fact that

$$L_{k-1}^p(\Lambda_M^1 \otimes ad P) = Im(d_A) \oplus ker d_A^*.$$

This is standard when A is smooth, but needs work when it is not.

§3: A “Good” Gauge.

This section is essentially an *exposé* of [U]. I have skipped details which can easily be read off from that paper.

§3.a The Compactness Theorem.

We start with some functional analysis [RS]. Let V be a Banach space, denote by V^* its dual. The *weak topology* on V is the *weakest topology* such that each linear functional $\ell \in V^*$ is continuous. Recall the following special case of the Banach-Alaoglu

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose V is reflexive, that is, $(V^*)^* = V$. Then the unit ball is compact in the weak topology.*

Exercise 3.2: The space $L_k^p(M, E)$ (§2.a) is reflexive for $1 < p < \infty$.

To motivate the next theorem consider the case $G = \mathbb{R}$. This is not a compact group, but no matter. In fact we can drop the restriction $kp > n$ (in fact we take $p = 2, k = 2$); and we consider the trivial bundle with \mathbb{R} as structure group. Then $\mathcal{A}_1^2 = L_1^2(M, \Lambda^1)$, (i.e., 1-forms of Sobolev class L_1^2) and $\mathcal{G}_2^2 = L_2^2(M)$ (i.e., 0-forms with Sobolev class L_2^2). The action of a 0-form s on a 1-form A is $A \mapsto A + ds$. The function $A \mapsto \int_M |dA|^2 \tau$ (where τ is a volume element on M) is gauge-invariant and descends to the quotient modulo \mathcal{G}_2^2 .

Exercise 3.3(a): Assume $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Use Hodge Theory to prove: Given a sequence $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_1^2$ of 1-forms such that $\int_M |dA_i|^2 \tau \leq B$, there is a subsequence $\{j\} \subset \{i\}$ and gauge transformations s_j in \mathcal{G}_2^2 such that $A_j^{s_j}$ is weakly convergent in \mathcal{A}_1^2 . The weak limit A satisfies $\int_M |dA|^2 \tau \leq B$.

Exercise 3.3(b): What happens when M is not simply connected?

Fix a Riemannian volume element τ on M . Consider, on \mathcal{A}_1^p , the function $A \mapsto \int_M |F_A|^p \tau$. This is clearly gauge-invariant, and therefore descends to a function on $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}^p / \mathcal{G}_k^p$. The next theorem [U, 1.5] asserts, roughly speaking, that this function is a “norm” on $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}^p / \mathcal{G}_k^p$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $p > n/2$, B a nonnegative real number. Suppose $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_1^p$ is a sequence of connections with $\int_M |F_{A_i}|^p \tau \leq B$. Then there is a subsequence $\{j\} \subset \{i\}$ and gauge transformations s_j in \mathcal{G}_2^p such that $A_j^{s_j}$ is weakly convergent in \mathcal{A}_1^p . The weak limit A satisfies $\int_M |F_A|^p \tau \leq B$.*

We shall prove a local Theorem from which the above result can be deduced.

§3.b Existence of a “good gauge”.

In this subsection $M = B^n$, the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . We set $\int = \int_{B^n} dy$ unless another domain of integration is explicitly given. We let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}_1^p, \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2^p$ and (for $\kappa \geq 0$) $\mathcal{U}_\kappa = \{A \in \mathcal{U} \mid \int |F_A|^{n/2} \leq \kappa\}$. Note that \mathcal{U}_κ is invariant under \mathcal{G} .

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $n > p > n/2$. Then $\exists \kappa = \kappa(n)$ and $c = c(n)$ such that every connection $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa$ is gauge equivalent to a connection A where A satisfies*

- (a) $d^* A = \sum_i \partial_i A_i = 0$,
- (b) $\sum_i y_i A_i = 0$ on S^{n-1} , and
- (c) $\|A\|_{q,1} \leq \|F_A\|_q$ for $n/2 \leq q \leq p$.

Remark 3.6:

- (i) $\sum_i y_i A_i$ is a Lie G -valued function in $L_1^p(B^n)$. The claim in (b) is that it is in fact in $L_{1,0}^p(B^n)$.
- (ii) A version of the Theorem holds in the case $p = n/2$ and gives a regularity result for solutions of Yang-Mills equations.

PROOF: The proof is *via* the continuity method. One shows that \mathcal{U}_κ is connected, then that the subset satisfying (a)-(c) (which we henceforth denote $\mathcal{W}_{\kappa,c}$) is both open and closed. The constants will be determined in the course of the proof.

Step 1: Connectedness of \mathcal{U}_κ (for $p \geq n/2$)

Define, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $D_t : B^n \rightarrow B^n$ by $D_t(x) = tx$. For $A \in \mathcal{U}$ let $A_t = D_t^* A$. Then $F_t \equiv F_{A_t} = D_t^* F_A$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^n} |F_t(y)|^p dy &= \int_{B^n} |F(ty)|^p t^p dy \\ &= t^{p-n} \int_{tB^n} |F(y)|^p dy. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the change of variables formula. The first is left as an exercise.

For fixed A , $t \mapsto A_t$ gives a curve in \mathcal{U} connecting A to the zero form, and the above computation shows that the curve stays in \mathcal{U}_κ if $A \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa$.

Step 2: The set $\mathcal{W}_{\kappa,c}$ is closed in \mathcal{U}_κ for $n > p > n/2$.

Let $\tilde{A}_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa,c}$, $\tilde{A}_i \rightarrow \tilde{A}$, and let s_i be gauge transformations such that $A_i \equiv \tilde{A}_i^{s_i}$ satisfies (a)-(c). First, since $\tilde{A}_i \rightarrow \tilde{A}$, $\int |F_{\tilde{A}_i}|^p$ is uniformly bounded (by Proposition 2.9). Hence — using gauge-invariance of the integral and (c) — the A_i 's form a bounded set in L_1^p . By Theorem (3.1) there is a weakly convergent subsequence $A_j \cdots \rightarrow A$. We now show (i) \tilde{A} is gauge-equivalent to A , and (ii) A satisfies (a)-(c).

We first show (ii). That conditions (a) and (b) are preserved under weak limits is clear. As for (c) note that $A_j \cdots \rightarrow A$ in L_1^p for $q \leq p$ since $L_1^p \rightarrow L_1^q$ is norm continuous (and hence preserves weak convergence). Thus $\|A\|_{q,1} \leq \liminf \|A_j\|_{q,1} \leq c(n)\|F_{A_j}\|_q = c(n)\|F_{\tilde{A}_j}\|_q = c(n)\|F_{\tilde{A}}\|_q = c(n)\|F_A\|_q$

It remains to show (i). We have $\tilde{A}_i^{s_j} = A_j$, or thinking of the s_j as matrix-valued functions, $ds_j = s_j A_j - \tilde{A}_j s_j$. Since $n > p$ we have $r > p$ such that $1/n - 1/p + 1/r = 0$. Since G is compact the s_i are in L^∞ so $\|ds_j\|_r \leq c_1(\|A_j\|_r + \|\tilde{A}_j\|_r) \leq c_2(\|A_j\|_{p,1} + \|\tilde{A}_j\|_{p,1})$ where in the second step we have Sobolev embedding. Thus the s_j are uniformly bounded in L_1^r . Pick a weakly convergent sequence $s_k \cdots \rightarrow s$ in L_1^r .

Step 3: An *a priori* estimate.

We prove: *There exists $d(n) > 0$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{U}$, $\|A\|_n < k(n)$, and A satisfies (a)-(b) then it satisfies (c).*

Let $\nabla A = \sum_{ij} \partial_i A_j dy^j$. Note first that if $d^* A = 0$ and $\sum_i y_i A_i = 0$ on S^{n-1} then

$$(3-1) \quad \int |\nabla A|^2 + \int \sum_i |A_i|^2 = 1/2 \int |dA|^2.$$

The proof is by integration by parts. This holds for any $A \in C^\infty(\bar{B}^n)$ and by Lemma (2.4) for $A \in \mathcal{U}$.

By the results of [ADN***] we have for $A \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\sum_i y_i A_i = 0$ on S^{n-1} , $1 < p < \infty$,

$$(3-2) \quad \|A\|_{p,1} \leq c\{\|dA\|_p + \|d^* A\|_p + \|A\|_p\}.$$

We claim this implies: If $A \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies (a) and (b) then

$$(3-3) \quad \|A\|_{p,1} \leq k'(n)\|dA\|_p \quad (1 < p < \infty)$$

(i.e., there is 'no cohomology'). Suppose the contrary. Then there is a sequence A_i satisfying (a) and (b), with $\|A_i\|_{p,1} = 1$ and $\|dA_i\|_p \rightarrow 0$. We can suppose (by going to a subsequence if necessary) that $A_n \cdots \rightarrow A$, for some A which will then satisfy (a), (b) and $dA = 0$. On the other hand $A_n \rightarrow A$ in L^p and $\liminf \|A_i\|_p > 0$ by (3-2). Thus we have located $A \neq 0 \in \mathcal{U}$ with $dA = 0$, $d^* A = 0$, and $\sum_i y_i A_i = 0$ on S^{n-1} . But (3-1) shows that such an A must be 0 and (3-3) is proved.

An application of the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities yields the required result.

Step 4: Existence of a local gauge for "small" fields.

We prove: Suppose $A \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies (a) and (b) with $\|A\|_n \leq k(n)$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|\tilde{A}' - A\|_{p,1} \leq \epsilon$ and \tilde{A}' satisfies (b) then \tilde{A}' is gauge equivalent to A' satisfying $d^*A' = 0$. The solution depends smoothly on \tilde{A}' .

The idea is to use the implicit function theorem. Introduce spaces $\mathcal{U}_\nu = \{B \in \mathcal{U} \mid \sum_i y_i B_i = 0 \text{ on } S^{n-1}\}$, $\mathcal{G}_\nu = \{s \in \mathcal{G} \mid \sum_i y_i (ds)_i = 0 \text{ on } S^{n-1}\}$. Look at the map $(\tilde{A}', s) \mapsto d^* \tilde{A}'$ of $\mathcal{U}_\nu \times \mathcal{G}_\nu$ to $L^{p,1}(B^n, \text{ad } G) = \{\phi \in L^p(B^n, \text{ad } G) \mid \int \phi = 0\}$. The linearisation of this at A , restricted to the tangent space to \mathcal{G}_ν is an isomorphism for small enough $\|A\|_n$. Now apply the implicit function theorem.

Step 5: The set $\mathcal{W}_{\kappa,c}$ is open in \mathcal{U}_κ .

We prove: Suppose $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa$ is gauge-equivalent to A satisfying (a)-(c). Then if κ is sufficiently small there exists an open neighbourhood of \tilde{A} satisfying (a)-(c).

We can clearly take $A = \tilde{A}$. Then the previous step gives us what we want if we can prove the following **Claim:** Suppose $A \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa$ satisfying (a) and (b) with $\|A\|_n \leq k(n)$. Then for κ small enough there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ such that if $\|\tilde{A}' - A\|_{p,1} \leq \epsilon'$ then \tilde{A}' is gauge equivalent to \tilde{A} satisfying $\sum_i y_i \tilde{A}_i|_{S^{n-1}} = 0$.

We refer the reader to the paper for the short proof.

The theorem is proved. ■

REFERENCES

- [B1] N. Bourbaki, "Topologie Générale, Chapt. 3-4," Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [B2] N. Bourbaki, "Variétés Différentielles et Analytiques (Fascicule des Resultats)," Hermann, Paris, 1967.
- [F] A. Friedman, "Partial Differential Equations," Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [L] S. Lang, "Differential Manifolds," Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1972.
- [MV] P. K. Mitter and C. M. Viallet, *On the Bundle of Connections and the Gauge Orbit Manifold in Yang-Mills Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **70**, 457-472.
- [N] M. S. Narasimhan, *Differential Geometry*, Lectures delivered at the Summer Workshop on Fibre Bundles and Differential Geometry, Trieste (July 1982).
- [NR] M. S. Narasimhan and T. R. Ramadas, *Geometry of SU(2) Gauge Fields*, Commun. Math. Phys. **67** (1979), 121-136.
- [P] R. S. Palais, "Foundations of Global Nonlinear Analysis," W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1968.
- [RS] M. Reed and B. Simon, "Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis," Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [S] I. M. Singer, *Some Remarks on the Gribov Ambiguity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **60** (1978), 7-12.
- [UF] K. K. Uhlenbeck and D. S. Freed, "Instantons and Four-Manifolds," MSRI Publications, Springer, New York, 1984.

