



SMR.637/23

**ADVANCED WORKSHOP ON ARITHMETIC ALGEBRAIC
GEOMETRY**
(31 August - 11 September 1992)

Algebraic Number Theory - Lecture II

M. Kenku
Department of Mathematics
University of Lagos
Akoka-Yaba
Lagos
Nigeria

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

Algebraic Number Theory (2)

M. A. Kenken

Geometry of numbers and some finiteness theorems

Preliminaries Let K be an algebraic number field of

degree n

There are n distinct isomorphisms of K in $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. We denote them by σ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and arrange them so that σ_i , $1 \leq i \leq r_1$ are the real ones and

$$\sigma_{r_1+r_2+j} = \overline{\sigma_{r_1+j}} \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, r_2.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K , which is the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in K . $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a Dedekind domain and as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of K it is free of rank n . If $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of $\mathcal{O}_K$ then the absolute discriminant of K is

$$d_K = \det \left(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(w_i w_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \det \left(\sigma_j(w_i) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0$$

For $\mathfrak{a}$ an integral ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \neq (0)$, $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}$ is finite and we define the absolute norm of $\mathfrak{a}$

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a})$$

It is easy to show that

- (i) If $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$ a principal ideal $N(\mathfrak{a}) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} a|$
 (ii) $N(\mathfrak{a}b) = N(\mathfrak{a}) \cdot N(\mathfrak{b})$ for non-zero integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

An immediate consequence of the finiteness of $A/\mathfrak{a}$ is that $\mathfrak{a}$ is also a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of rank n . This follows from the fact a $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank n is free of rank $\leq n$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K$ its rank is also n .

The starting point of this talk is the following exact sequence which featured in the talk of Nart.

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow I_K \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K \rightarrow 1.$$

where $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ is the group of units of $\mathcal{O}_K$, I_K is the group of fractional ideals and Cl_K is the ideal class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

The main aim of this lecture is give a proof of the finiteness of Cl_K and to show

that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong W_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1}$

where W_K is the group of roots of unity of K .

Geometry of Numbers H

Def A subgroup H of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is discrete if for any compact subset B of $\mathbb{R}^n$ the intersection $H \cap B$ is finite.

Example $H = \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The following theorem shows that this is almost the prototype of discrete subgroups of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem An additive subgroup H of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is discrete if and only if it is generated by r vectors which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ so ($r \leq n$).

for a proof of this see Weiss [4].

Def A discrete subgroup H of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of rank n is called a lattice.

Given such a lattice H let $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$

be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis. Denote by P_e the half open parallelepiped

$$P_e = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \text{ with } 0 \leq \alpha_i < 1 \right\}$$

P_e is a fundamental domain for H ... so that every point of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is congruent to one and only one point of P_e modulo H .

Let μ denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and for a measurable subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $\mu(S)$ denote the measure of S .

If $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is another $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of H . Since $\underline{f} = \underline{e} (a_{ij})$ $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

$$\mu(P_f) = |\det(a_{ij})| \mu(P_e) = \mu(P_e)$$

so that this is independent of the particular basis and it is called the volume of the lattice and denoted by $v(H)$.

Theorem (Minkowski). Let H be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let S be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

If $\mu(S) > v(H)$ then there exists distinct elements x, y in S such that $x - y \in H$.

Proof ~~Since~~ Let $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of H . Since P_e is a fundamental domain for H in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$S = \bigcup_{h \in H} (S \cap (P_e + h))$$

so that

$$\mu(S) = \sum \mu(S \cap (P_e + h)).$$

Since μ is translation invariant

$$\mu(S \cap (P_e + h)) = \mu((-h + S) \cap P_e).$$

If the $(-h + S) \cap P_e$ are pairwise disjoint as h varies over H , then

$$\sum \mu((-h + S) \cap P_e) \leq \mu(P_e) = \nu(H)$$

$$\sum \mu((-h + S) \cap P_e) \leq \mu(P_e) = \nu(H)$$

and this will contradict the hypothesis that

$$\nu(S) > \nu(H)$$

Hence there exists distinct points h, h' such

$$(-h + S) \cap (-h' + S) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $x, y \in S$ be such that

$$-h + x = -h' + y. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$x - y = h - h' \in H \quad \text{and} \quad x - y \neq 0.$$

Corollary II If H is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and S is a measurable, convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is symmetric with respect to 0, then if S satisfies either

(i) $\mu(S) > 2^n v(H)$

(ii) $\mu(S) \geq 2^n v(H)$ and S is compact then there exists a non-zero element of S which lies in H .

Proof: (i) Put $S' = \frac{1}{2}S$. Then $\mu(S') = 2^{-n} \mu(S) > v(H)$.

so by Minkowski's theorem $\exists x, y \in S'$ $x \neq y$ such that $x - y \in H$.

$$x - y = \frac{1}{2}(2x - 2y),$$

Clearly $2x$ and $2y$ lie in S . Since S is symmetric with respect to 0, $-2y$ also lies in S . As S is convex $\frac{1}{2}(2x - 2y) \in S$.

Hence $x - y \in S$, but $x - y$ also lies in H .

(ii) For $\epsilon > 0$. Put $S_\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon)S$

$$\text{Then } \mu(S_\epsilon) = (1 + \epsilon)^n \mu(S) > 2^n v(H).$$

and so from (i) $S_\epsilon \cap H - \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ for

each $\epsilon > 0$. In fact it is finite as S_ϵ is compact.

Since $S_{\varepsilon'} \supset S_{\varepsilon}$ if $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$

$$S \cap H - \{0\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (S_{\varepsilon} \cap H - \{0\}) \text{ is not empty.}$$

Applications

We define an imbedding of K into $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ as follows

$$\sigma: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$$

such that $\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x))$

We may view $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ as $\mathbb{R}^n$ by splitting a complex entry into its real and imaginary components. For the theorems we have in view, our lattices will be the images of integral ideals under this canonical embedding. So, first, we prove the following

Theorem If M is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank n and if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of M , then $\sigma(M)$ is a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with volume

$$V(\sigma(M)) = 2^{-r_2} |\det(\sigma_j(x_i))|.$$

Proof: With respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the coordinates of $\sigma(x_i)$ is $(\sigma_1(x_i), \sigma_r(x_i), R_{\sigma_{r+1}}(x_i), I_{\sigma_{r+1}}(x_i), \dots, R_{\sigma_{r+r_2}}(x_i), I_{\sigma_{r+r_2}}(x_i))$ where $R(z)$ and $iI(z)$ stand for the real and imaginary parts

of z , a complex number. -3-

The determinant D of the matrix whose i th column is $\sigma(x_i)$

$$D = (2i)^{-r_2} \det(\sigma_j(x_i))$$

Since $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = K$ and K is a separable extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, $D \neq 0$. Hence $(\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n))$

is linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ^{open $\mathbb{R}$} and $\sigma(M)$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^n$ has volume 1

$$v(\sigma(M)) = 2^{-r_2} |\det(\sigma_j(x_i))|.$$

Cor In particular if $M = \mathcal{O}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$ an integral ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$) then

$$v(\sigma(\mathcal{O}_K)) = 2^{-r_2} \sqrt{|d_K|}$$

$$\text{(resp } v(\sigma(\mathcal{O})) = 2^{-r_2} \sqrt{|d_K|} \cdot N(\mathcal{O}) \text{)}.$$

For our next theorem, we need to know the measure of the following set. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $t > 0$

Put
$$S_t = \left\{ x = (y_1, \dots, y_{r_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{r_2}) \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} |y_i| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |z_j| \leq t \right\}$$

Lemma
$$\mu(S_t) = \frac{2^{r_1-r_2} \pi^{r_2} t^n}{n!}$$

Proof This is by induction on n .

If $n=1$, $r_1=1$, $r_2=0$

$$\mu(S_t) = 2t$$

If $n=2$, $\begin{cases} r_1=2, & r_2=0 \\ r_1=0, & r_2=1 \end{cases} : \mu(S_t) = 2t^2$
 $\mu(S_t) = \frac{\pi t^2}{4}$

Suppose by induction hypothesis it is true for $r < n$.

Then if $r=n$
 for $r_1 > 0$

$$\mu(S_t) = 2 \int_0^t \frac{2^{r_1-r_2-1} \pi^{r_2} (t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} ds$$

$$= \frac{2^{r_1-r_2} \pi^{r_2} t^n}{n!}$$

if $r_2 > 0$

$$\mu(S_t) = \int_0^{t/2} \frac{2^{r_1-r_2+1} \pi^{r_2-1} (t-2s)^{n-2} (2\pi s)}{(n-2)!} ds$$

$$= \frac{2^{r_1-r_2} \pi^{r_2} t^n}{n!}$$

Proposition For K, n, r_1, r_2 as defined earlier. Given a non-zero integral ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, there exists a non-zero element $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| \leq B \cdot N(\mathfrak{a})$$

where $B = \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{|d_K|}$ is called Minkowski's bound.

Proof Let $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ be the canonical embedding of K and $\text{let } S_t$ be as defined in the preceding lemma. Choose t such that

$$\mu(S_t) \geq 2^n \vee (\sigma(\mathcal{O}_1))$$

$$\text{u} \quad \frac{2^{r_1-r_2} \pi^{r_2} t^n}{n!} \geq 2^{n-r_2} \sqrt{|d_K|} N(\mathcal{O}_2) \quad (1)$$

S_t is compact, convex and measurable with respect to $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ hence by Markov's theorem $\exists 0 \neq x \in \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \sigma(x) \in S_t$.

~~Next~~
From (1) we have that

$$t^n \geq 2^{n-r_1} \pi^{-r_2} n! \sqrt{|d_K|} N(\mathcal{O}_2).$$

hence:

$$|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} |\sigma_i(x)| \prod_{j=1}^{r_2} |\sigma_j(x)|^2 \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} |\sigma_i(x)| + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |\sigma_j(x)| \right|^n \leq \frac{t^n}{n^n}$$

the first inequality arising because geometric mean does not exceed arithmetic mean.

$$\text{Hence } |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| \leq \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{|d_K|} N(\mathcal{O}_2)$$

Corollary Every ideal class C contains an integral ideal b such that

$$N(b) \leq \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{|d_K|}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}'$ be an ideal of class C . By multiplying by a principal ideal (d) say $d \neq 0$, $d \in K$ we can take $\mathcal{O}'$ such that its inverse $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'^{-1}$ is an integral ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

(not just a fractional ideal). By the preceding theorem, $\exists 0 \neq x \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow$

$$|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \cdot \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{|d_K|} N(\mathcal{O})$$

Take $b = (x) \mathcal{O}^{-1} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ since $\mathcal{O}$ divides (x) .

$$\text{Hence } |N(b)| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{|d_K|}.$$

Corollary Let K be a number field of degree n and let d_K be its absolute discriminant. Then for $n \geq 2$

$$|d_K| \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{n-2}$$

In particular $|d_K| > 1$ (Hermite-Minkowski)

Furthermore $\frac{n}{\log |d_K|}$ is bounded above.

Proof As shown above for any given class C of $\mathcal{C}_K$, there is an integral ideal b such that

$$N(b) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{|d_K|}$$

since b is integral $N(b) \geq 1$

$$\text{hence } \sqrt{|d_K|} \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |d_K| \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2r_2} \frac{n^{2n}}{(n!)^2}$$

As $\frac{\pi}{4} < 1$ and $2r_2 \leq n$.

We see that

- 12 -

$$|d_K| \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^n \cdot \frac{n^{2n}}{(n!)^2} = a_n \quad (\text{say}).$$

$$a_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}; \quad \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2n} = \frac{\pi}{4} (1 + 2 + (\text{positive terms}))$$

$$\text{hence } |d_K| \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{n-2} \quad (2)$$

clearly, $|d_K| > 1$ for $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$

taking logarithm in (2) we have that

$\frac{n}{\log |d_K|}$ is bounded above.

Theorem (Dedekind) The ideal class group Cl_K is finite.

Proof: As shown above each ideal class C has an integral ideal b_C such that $N(b_C) \leq B$

So it suffices to prove that there are at most finitely many integral ideals with a given absolute norm.

To do this it suffices first to show that if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an integral ideal of absolute norm a then $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and also to show that only finitely many integral ideals contain a .

Suppose $N(\mathfrak{a}) = a$ then $\# \mathfrak{O}_K / \mathfrak{a} = a$.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a complete set of representatives of $\mathfrak{O}_K / \mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{O}_K$. Then $\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_n + 1$ is also a complete set of reps.

- 13 -

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^g \alpha_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^g \alpha_i + 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and $a \in \mathcal{O}$.

Now suppose $a \in \mathcal{O}$. This implies $(a) \subset \mathcal{O}^{\times} \mathcal{O}_K$. Since $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a Dedekind domain and there is unique factorisation of ideals, $\mathcal{O}$ has to be one of the finitely many factors of the principal ideal (a) .

This completes the proof.

Theorem (Hermite) There are only finitely many algebraic number fields with a given absolute discriminant d .

Proof : If n is the degree of such a field as shown ^{equation} $|d| \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{n-2}$

is that n is bounded. We can thus fix n and also r_1 and r_2 since we are only concerned about finiteness of the number of such fields.

Applying Minkowski's theorem we will construct a non-zero integer x of such a field K , which will be primitive and such that all its conjugates have bounded absolute values. This implies that the minimal equation which is necessarily of degree n , has integral coefficients.

which are bounded. Hence there are only finitely many such fields.

So let K be such a field. In $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ we consider the following set S

$$(i) \text{ If } r_1 > 0 \quad S = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_{r_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{r_2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2} \mid \right. \\ \left. |y_1| \leq 2^n \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|d_K|}, \quad |y_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \leq i \leq r_1, \quad |z_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \leq j \leq r_2 \right\}$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } r_1 = 0 \\ S = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_{r_2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r_2} \mid |z_1 - \bar{z}_1| < 2^n \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2-1} \sqrt{|d_K|} \right. \\ \left. |z_i + \bar{z}_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |z_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \leq j \leq r_2 \right\}$$

S is compact, convex and symmetric with respect to 0 in $\mathbb{R}^t$. Its volume $\mu(S) = 2^{n-r_2} \sqrt{|d_K|} \geq v(\sigma(\mathcal{O}_K))$.

so that by the corollary to Minkowski's theorem $\exists$ a non-zero element $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\sigma(x) \in S$.

So we now show that x is primitive. To do this it suffices to show that $\sigma_1(x) \neq \sigma_j$ for $j \neq 1$.

In case (i) since $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| \geq 1$, which implies that $|\sigma_1(x)| > 1$, ~~for~~ $|\sigma_j(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $j \neq 1$.

Hence $\sigma_1(x) \neq \sigma_j(x)$, $2 \leq j \leq r_1 + r_2$.

So x is primitive.

In case (ii) again $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| \geq 1$ and $|\sigma_1(x)| \neq |\sigma_j(x)|$ $j \neq 1$.
~~moreover~~ $|\sigma_1(x)| = |\overline{\sigma_1(x)}| > 1$.

Also $|R \sigma_i(x)| \leq 1/4$ so that $\sigma_i(x)$ is not real.

Hence x is primitive

Dirichlet Unit Theorem

First we note that an integral element x of K is a unit if and only if $N_{K/Q} x = \pm 1$

If $x \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$, then

$$1 = N_{K/Q}(1) = N_{K/Q}(xx^{-1}) = N_{K/Q}(x) \cdot N_{K/Q}(x)^{-1}$$

since $N_{K/Q}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N_{K/Q}(x) = \pm 1$

Conversely if for $x \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ $N_{K/Q}(x) = \pm 1$ then the characteristic equation

$$x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + 1 = 0 \quad \text{with } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

so that $x^{-1} = x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$

Let W_K be the group of roots of unity in $\mathcal{O}_K^*$.

The logarithmic embedding $\lambda: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ sends $\mathcal{O}_K^*$ to the hyperplane

$$H = \left\{ (x_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} x_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} x_{i+r_1} = 0 \right\}$$

let $\Gamma \subseteq H$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_K^*$

Theorem $1 \rightarrow W_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Gamma \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence

Proof. It suffices to show that $W_K = \text{Ker } \lambda$.

$$\text{Since } 1 = |1| = |\sigma_i(x)|^2 = |\sigma_i(x)| \Rightarrow |\sigma_i(x)| = 1$$

$$W_K \subseteq \text{Ker } \lambda$$

Conversely $x \in \ker \lambda \Rightarrow |\sigma_i(x)| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma(x)$ lies in a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$. As
 $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is discrete, $\ker \lambda$ is finite. But every finite
multiplicative subgroup of a field consists of roots of unity.
 $\Rightarrow \ker \lambda \subseteq W_K$ and $\ker \lambda = W_K$.

To prove that $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong W_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + r_2 - 1}$ we now only
need to determine the structure of Γ .

We need the following lemma

Lemma There are only finitely many elements $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$
modulo associates with a given norm.

Proof. Follows from the earlier proof about finiteness of
integral ideals with a given absolute norm.

Theorem Γ is a lattice in H .

Proof First we prove that it is discrete. Let B be
a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{r_1 + r_2}$, then there is a real
number $\alpha > 1$ such that if $\lambda(x) \in \Gamma \cap B$

$\alpha^{-1} < |\sigma_i(x)| < \alpha$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2$.

consequently there are only finitely many $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$
such that $\lambda(x) \in \Gamma \cap B$. Hence Γ is discrete.

To prove that Γ is a lattice it suffices to
find a bounded set $M \subseteq H$ such that

$$H = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (M + \gamma)$$

To do this it suffices to cover the norm-one sphere S in $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ by the translates by $\log^{-1} T$ of a certain bounded set $T \subseteq S$. We show that $S = \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} T \cdot \sigma(\epsilon)$. (3)

(Note T bounded subset of $S \Rightarrow \log(T) \subseteq H$ is bounded.)

For $i=1, \dots, n$ choose $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ $c_i > 0$ $c_{r_1+j} = c_{r_1+r_2-j}$ $j=1, \dots, r_2$ such that $C = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i > \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|d_K|}$.

Consider $X = \{ (x_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2} \mid |x_i| < c_i \}$.

Claim For any $y = (y_i) \in S \exists a \in \mathcal{O}_K, a \neq 0$ such that $\sigma(a) \in X \cdot y$.

Proof of claim $X \cdot y = \{ (x_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2} \mid |x_i| < c_i |y_i| \}$ is

symmetric and convex with respect to $\mathcal{O}$. The volume $v(X \cdot y) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} 2c_i |y_i| \cdot \prod_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \pi c_i^2 |y_i|^2 = 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} C > v(\sigma(\mathcal{O}_K))$

(note that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(y) = 1$).

We apply Minkowski's theorem to show $\exists \neq 0 a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\sigma(a) \in X \cdot y$. Note that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \leq C$.

This settles the claim.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ be a complete set of representatives of integers α up to associates such that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \leq C$. We define $T = S \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N X \cdot \sigma(\alpha_i)^{-1} \right)$.

Claim T satisfies (3) above.

Let $y \in S$. As shown above $\exists a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\sigma(a) \in X \cdot y^{-1}$ and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \leq C$.

So let x_i be such that $a\varepsilon = x_i$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$.

Then $\sigma(a) = x y^{-1}$ for some $x \in X$.

hence $y = x \cdot \sigma(x_i)^{-1} \sigma(\varepsilon)$.

and $y \in T \cdot \sigma(\varepsilon)$

Hence Γ is a lattice in H .

We therefore have $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong W_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1}$.

Finally, suppose we choose $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$ ($r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$) in $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that $\{\lambda(\xi_1), \dots, \lambda(\xi_r)\}$ generate the lattice Γ , then the set $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ is called a fundamental system of units of K and the

absolute value of the determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \log |\sigma_1(\xi_1)| & \log |\sigma_r(\xi_1)| \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \log |\sigma_1(\xi_r)| & \log |\sigma_r(\xi_r)| \end{pmatrix}$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ and is called the regularity of K . It is independent of the choice of the fundamental system of units and the choice of distinct r embeddings out the first $r+1$.

Bibliography

- [1] S. Lang: Algebraic Numbers. Addison Wesley 1966
- [2] J. Neukirch. Algebraische Zahlentheorie. Springer 1992
- [3] P. Samuel. Algebraic Theory of Numbers. Hermann
Paris 1970
- [4] E. Weiss. Algebraic Number Theory.
New York. McGraw-Hill 1963.

