



SMR.637/30

**ADVANCED WORKSHOP ON ARITHMETIC ALGEBRAIC
GEOMETRY**
(31 August - 11 September 1992)

Topology and Differential Geometry - Lecture III

H. Kurke
Fachbereich Mathematik
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Unter den Linden 6
D-1026 Berlin
Republic of Germany

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

1

Topology and Differential Geometry

Trieste, Sept 1992

Lecture III

H. Kurke

Linear differential operators and basic properties of elliptic operators

I. Generalities, the symbol map

Linear differential operators act as $\mathbb{R}$ -linear operators on C^∞ -sections of vector bundles.

Locally, if $E = X \times V$ $F = X \times W$

↓

$\mathbb{R}^n \supset X$

with vector spaces V, W ,

$C^\infty_X(E) = C^\infty_X \otimes V$ and LDO have the form

$$(P_s)(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} L_\alpha(x) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}(x)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$

$\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$ L_α smooth functions

$X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W)$

2

An intrinsic definition can be given inductively as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diff}^0(E, F) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_X^\infty}(\mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E), \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(F)) \\ &= \text{Hom}(E, F) \quad (\text{see Lecture II}) \\ &= \{ P : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(F), \text{ for any} \\ &\quad f \in \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(U), [P, f] = 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

Here, $[P, f](e) = P(fe) - fP(e)$ for operators $P : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(F)$.

Suppose $\text{Diff}^p(E, F)$ is defined for $p < N$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diff}^N(E, F) &= \{ P : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(F), P \text{ linear} \\ &\quad \text{and for all open } U \subset X, f \in \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(U) \\ &\quad \text{there holds } [P, f] \in \text{Diff}^{N-1}(E|_U, F|_U) \} \end{aligned}$$

As an exercise, check the following

$$(1) \quad P \in \text{Diff}^1(E, F) \Rightarrow f \mapsto [P, f] \text{ is a derivation } \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, F) = \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(\text{Hom}(E, F))$$

$$(2) \quad P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, F), f, g \in \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \Rightarrow [[P, f], g] = [P, g], f]$$

As a consequence of (1), (2) we get

There exist a bundle map

$$G_N(P) : S^N T^*X \rightarrow \text{HOM}(E, F)$$

such that for $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{C}_X^\infty$

$$[\underbrace{([P, f_1], f_2), \dots, f_N}]_{N \text{ times}} = G_N(P)(df_1 \otimes \dots \otimes df_N)$$

Here $\otimes$ denotes the symmetric product.

Since N -linear symmetric maps can be identified with polynomial maps of degree N (homogeneous) the

symbol map $G_N(P)$ is known if we know the map $T^*X \rightarrow \text{HOM}(E, F)$

$$\xi \mapsto G_N(P)(\xi^{\otimes N})$$

In terms of coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ near a point of X we can describe it as follows:

$$\text{For } \xi = \xi_1 dx_1 + \dots + \xi_n dx_n$$

$$G_N(P)(\xi^{\otimes N}) = [\underbrace{([P, f], f), \dots, f}]_{N \text{ times}}$$

f a function with $df = \xi$, e.g. $f = \xi_1 x_1 + \dots + \xi_n x_n$

$$\text{Example: } P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} L_\alpha(x) \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

4

Then $G_N(P)(f^{\otimes N}) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} f^\alpha L_\alpha(x)$
 $(f^\alpha = f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n})$

If P is defined as in the intrinsic way and for a coordinate neighbourhood U and choices of trivializations of E, F the symbol of P has the expression

$$G_N(P) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} f^\alpha L_\alpha(x)$$

the operator $Q = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} L_\alpha(x) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}$ has the

same symbol and therefore

$$G_N(P-Q) = 0$$

By the definition of $G_N(P)$ this means $P-Q \in \text{Diff}^{N-1}(E|U, F|U)$ and by induction on N we can conclude that there exist

$$L_\alpha \in C_x^\infty(\text{Hom}(V|W)), |\alpha| \leq N \text{ and}$$

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} L_\alpha(x) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

Note the following formulas

5

(1) For $P \in \text{Diff}^p(E, F)$, $Q \in \text{Diff}^q(F, G)$

$$Q \circ P \in \text{Diff}^{p+q}(E, G)$$

$$\sigma_{p+q}(Q \circ P) \left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes p+q}}{(p+q)!} \right) = \sigma_q(Q) \left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes q}}{q!} \right) \circ \sigma_p(P) \left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes p}}{p!} \right)$$

(2) If E, F are Hermitian bundles and if $*1$ is a volume form on X we can define $P^* \in \text{Diff}^N(F, E)$ by

$$\int_X \langle P^* f, e \rangle (*1) = \int_X \langle f, Pe \rangle (*1)$$

for smooth sections with compact supports (integration by parts).

$$\text{Then } \sigma_N(P^*) \left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes N}}{N!} \right) = -\sigma_N(P) \left(\frac{\xi^{\otimes N}}{N!} \right)^*$$

Considering $U \mapsto \text{Diff}^N(E/U, F/U)$ we get sheaves of $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty$ -modules, denoted by $\text{Diff}^N(E, F)$ and exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^{N-1}(E, F) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^N(E, F) \xrightarrow{\sigma_N} \text{Hom}(S^N T_X, \text{Hom}(E, F)) \rightarrow 0$$

(Symbol sequence)

Thus by induction on N we see that the sheaves Diff^N are locally free

6

sheaves of $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty$ -modules and therefore they are the sheaf of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -sections of ~~E~~ vector bundles, denoted by $\text{Diff}^N(E, F)$,
 $\text{Diff}^N(E, F) = \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(\text{Diff}^N(E, F))$

There is a dual language, the dual of the bundle $\text{Diff}^N(E, I)$ (I the trivial rank 1 bundle) has the name "bundle of N -jets of sections of E " denoted by $J_N(E)$.

By the definition we get a operator

$$j_N : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(J_N(E)) = \text{Hom}(\text{Diff}^N(E, I),$$

$$j_N(e)(p) = p(e)$$

which is a universal differential operator of order N , i.e.

$$(1) \quad j_N \in \text{Diff}^N(E, J_N(E))$$

(2) For each vector bundle the map

$$\text{Hom}(J_N(E), F) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}^N(E, F)$$

$$h \longmapsto h \circ j_N$$

is a bijection.

The dual of the symbol sequence is the exact sequence (Symbol sequence)

$$0 \rightarrow S^N T^* X \otimes E \rightarrow J_N(E) \rightarrow J_{N-1}(E) \rightarrow 0$$

and the symbol of $P = h \circ j_N$ is the restriction of h to $S^N T^* X \otimes E$,

$$\sigma_N(h \circ j_N) = h|_{S^N T^* X \otimes E}.$$

Remark: $j_N(e)$ is an intrinsic version of "Taylor expansion of e up to order N "

To be precise: choose connections D, D_0 on T^*X and E , define the tensor product connections

$$D_k : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(\otimes^k T^* X \otimes E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(\otimes^{k+1} T^* X \otimes E)$$

and operators

$$D_N : \mathcal{C}^\infty(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^N T^* X \otimes E)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_N \circ \dots \circ D_0 & \searrow & \nearrow \frac{1}{N!} \text{symmetrization} \\ & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\otimes^N T^* X \otimes E) & \end{array}$$

with $\sigma_N(D_N)(\int \otimes^N) e = \int \otimes^N e$, i.e. a splitting of the symbol sequence. Hence

$$J_N(E) = I \oplus (T^* X \otimes E) \oplus (S^2 T^* X \otimes E) \oplus \dots \oplus (S^N T^* X \otimes E)$$

8

Exercise: Check that in case $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open,
 ∇ the trivial connection on $T^*X = X \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$
 $E = X \times V$, ∇_0 the trivial connection,
 this yields the homogeneous components
 of Taylor expansions of sections.

The decomposition yields intrinsic metrics
 on the Sobolev-spaces $L_N^2(E)$, if E and
 T^*X are metric bundles, by

$$(e, e')_{L_N^2(E)} = (j_N(e), j_N(e'))_{L^2(j_N(E))}$$

$(L_{\mathbb{B}}^2(E) = W_s(E)$ in Denninger's lecture

Remark We get extensions $\text{Diff}^N(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L_s^2(E), L_{s-N}^2(F))$
 (bounded linear operators) $P \mapsto P_s$

II. Basic properties of elliptic operators

The operator $P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, F)$ is called
 elliptic of order N , if for all $x \in X$ and
 all $\xi \in T_x^*(X) \setminus (0)$ the symbol

$\sigma_N(0)(\xi) : E_x \rightarrow F_x$ is an isomorphism.

Examples:

1. $E = F = \wedge^* T^*X$, X oriented Riemannian
 manifold

9

$d : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^\infty(E)$ has symbol

$$G_1(d)(\xi) = \xi \wedge$$

so it is not elliptic.

$$G_1(d^*)(\xi) = -\xi \lrcorner$$

(where $\xi \lrcorner$ denotes contraction with ξ)

$$\xi \lrcorner \alpha_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p = \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j-1} \langle \xi, \alpha_j \rangle \alpha_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p$$

hence $d + d^*$ is elliptic of order 1

$$G_1(d + d^*)(\xi)(\alpha) = \xi \wedge \alpha - \xi \lrcorner \alpha$$

$$\text{and } \Delta = (d + d^*)^2 = dd^* + d^*d$$

is elliptic of order 2 and

$$G_2(\Delta)(\xi^{\otimes 2}) = -2 \|\xi\|^2 \text{Id}$$

2. If ∇ is a connection on E ,
then $\nabla \in \text{Diff}^1(E, T^*X \otimes E)$ and

$$G_1(\nabla)(\xi) = \xi \otimes$$

i.e. a connection is the same as
a splitting of the symbol sequence (for $N=1$)
or the jet sequence for $N \leq 1$

For oriented Riemannian manifolds and
metric bundles E we get an elliptic
operator of order 2

10

$$\nabla^* \circ \nabla, \quad \sigma_2(\nabla^* \circ \nabla) = -2\|\xi\|^2 \text{Id}$$

The basic property of an elliptic operator, invertibility of the symbol, implies that the operator P is almost invertible, i.e. there exist a so called "parametrix"

Theorem Assume X a closed manifold, E, F vector bundles, $P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, F)$ elliptic of order N .

There exist an operator Q of order $-N$ (as explained in Deninger's lecture) such that

$$\text{Id} - P \circ Q = S$$

$$\text{Id} - Q \circ P = S'$$

Both are "infinitely smoothing" operators, i.e. defined by smooth sections $S \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(pr_2^* F, pr_1^* F))$ and

$$(Sf)(x) = \int_X S(x, y) f(y) (*?)_y$$

$S' \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(pr_2^* E, pr_1^* E))$ and

$$(S'e)(x) = \int_X S'(x, y) e(y) (*?)_y$$

References: Consult for example one of the books:

- 1) P.B. Gilkey, Invariant theory. The Heat Equation. And the Atiyah Singer Index Theorem. (Publ. or Perish, Inc. 1984)
- 2) Lawson, Michelsohn, Spin geometry, (Princeton Univ. press 1989)

Consequences: In the following X is compact
 $P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, F)$ elliptic of order N .

(1) Regularity

$P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, F)$ elliptic, $e \in L^2_\Delta(E)$ then

$$Pe \in \Gamma(E) \implies e \in \Gamma(E)$$

(remember: $\Gamma(E)$ denotes smooth $= C^\infty$ sections of E).

Proof: Use $e = Q \circ Pe + S'e$

(2) Fredholm property

The extensions $P_s : L^2_\Delta(E) \rightarrow L^2_{\Delta-N}(F)$

are Fredholm (of index independent of s)

Proof: By Rellick's lemma (see Denninger's Lecture)

$$\text{Id} - Q_{s-N} \circ P_s = S, \quad \text{Id} - P_{s+N} \circ Q_s = S'$$

are compact operators.

$$S|_{\text{Ker}(P)} = \text{Id}|_{\text{Ker}(P)} \Rightarrow \dim \text{Ker}(P) < \infty$$

$$S^*|_{\text{Ker}(P^*)} = \text{Id}|_{\text{Ker}(P^*)} \Rightarrow \dim \text{Ker}(P^*) < \infty$$

(Remember: If Id is a compact operator $\Rightarrow$ the space is finite-dimensional)
 Since $\text{Ker}(P^*) = \text{Im}(P)^\perp$ it remains to show that $\text{Im}(P)$ is closed.

We have to show:

If $e_k \in {}_S(E)$ and $P_S e_k \rightarrow f$ in F
 then $f \in \text{Im}(P_S)$

We can assume $e_k \in \text{Ker}(P_S)^\perp$

Claim: $\{e_k\}$ is bounded

To prove this we may assume that

$S\left(\frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|}\right)$ is convergent (Rellich's Lemma)

~~$$\text{hence } S\left(\frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|}\right) = \frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|} - \frac{1}{\|e_k\|} P_S(e_k)$$~~

~~$$\text{and } \|e_k\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ would}$$~~

If $\|e_k\| \rightarrow \infty$ we could infer that

$$\frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|} = S\left(\frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|}\right) + Q_{S^N}\left(\frac{P_S(e_k)}{\|e_k\|}\right)$$

is convergent to a vector e , $\|e\|_S = 1$,

in contradiction to $P_S e = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_S\left(\frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|}\right) = 0$
 and $e \perp \text{Ker}(P)$.

Thus we can again use Rellich's lemma and assume that $Se_k \rightarrow e'$ in $L^2_S(E)$.

Then $Q_{S-N} P e_k = e_k - S e_k \rightarrow Q(1) - e'$
and $e_k \rightarrow e =: Q_{S-N}(1) + e'$, $P(e) = f$

③ Hodge decomposition

$\Gamma(E) = \text{Ker}(P) \oplus \text{Im}(P^*)$
orthogonal with respect to $L^2_0(E)$

Proof: Clearly $\text{Im}(P^*) \perp \text{Ker}(P)$ and
 $\text{Ker}(P) = \text{Ker}(P^* \circ P)$, $\text{Im}(P^*) \supseteq \text{Im}(P^* \circ P)$,
so we can replace P by $P^* \circ P$.
Hence for the proof we can assume
 $P = P^*$.

Write $L^2(E) = \text{Ker}(P_0) \oplus \text{Ker}(P_0)^\perp$ then

$$\Gamma(E) = \text{Ker}(P) \oplus (\Gamma(E) \cap \text{Ker}(P_0)^\perp)$$

(by Regularity)

Since $L^2_{-N}(E) \stackrel{*}{=} L^2_N(E)$ we get $P_0^* = P_N$

we have $\text{Ker}(P_0)^\perp = \text{Im}(P^*) = P_N(L^2_N(E))$

and by Regularity $\text{Ker}(P_0)^\perp \cap \Gamma(E) =$

$$P(\Gamma(E)) \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

14

④ Spectral properties

$$P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, E), \quad P^* = P, \quad N > 0.$$

Since $P - \lambda \text{Id}$ is elliptic we get finite dimensional subspaces

$$\Gamma_\lambda(E) = \text{Ker}(P - \lambda \text{Id}) \subset \Gamma(E)$$

There holds

(i) $\exists c > 0$ such that for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\Gamma_{\leq \lambda}(E, P) = \sum_{|\lambda| \leq \lambda} \Gamma_\lambda(E) \text{ satisfies}$$

$$\dim \Gamma_{\leq \lambda}(E, P) \leq c \lambda^{n(n+2N+2)/2N}$$

($n = \dim X$)

i.e. if we write the sequence of eigenvalues, counted with multiplicity as

$$0 \leq |\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda_2| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_k| \leq \dots$$

$$\text{then } |\lambda_k| \geq \text{const } k^{2N/(n+2N+2)n}$$

$$(ii) \quad L_0^2(E) = \hat{\bigoplus} \Gamma_\lambda(E)$$

We will sketch the proof of (i).

$$\text{Write } v \in \Gamma_{\leq \lambda} \text{ as } v = \sum_{\lambda} a_\lambda e_\lambda$$

$$P e_\lambda = \lambda e_\lambda, \quad \|e_\lambda\|_0 = 1, \text{ then}$$

$$\|P^R v\|_2^2 \leq \sum |a_\lambda|^2 \lambda^{2k} \leq \|v\|_0^2 \lambda^{2k}$$

15

Using a parametrix Q_k for P^k we find

$$u = Q_k \circ P^k u + S u$$

$$\|u\|_s \leq \|Q_k \circ P^k u\|_s + \|S u\|_s$$

$$\leq C_k (\|P^k u\|_{s-kN} + \|u\|_{s-kN})$$

(C_k a constant)

For $s = kN$ we get

$$\|u\|_{kN} \leq C_k \|u\|_0 (1 + \lambda^k)$$

Using Sobolev's theorem (see Denninger lecture) we get for $kN > \frac{n}{2} + 1$

$$L^2_{kN}(E) \subset C^0(E) \quad (\mathcal{C}^2\text{-sections with uniform } \mathcal{C}^2\text{-norm})$$

$$\sup_X \|Dv\| \leq C'_k (1 + \lambda^k) \|u\|_0$$

If $B_\varepsilon(a)$ denotes the ε -ball in X with ~~radius~~ center a and if $v(a) = 0$ we therefore get

$$\sup_{B_\varepsilon(a)} \|v\| \leq \|v(a)\| + C''_k \varepsilon (1 + \lambda^k) \|u\|_0$$

(C''_k a constant depending on k only)

16

If we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and cover X by say m ε -balls and if $\dim \Gamma_{\leq \Lambda} > m$ we find a section $v \in \Gamma_{\leq \Lambda}$ vanishing in the centers of the balls with $\|v\|_0 = 1$.

$$\text{Then } \sup_X \|v\| \leq \varepsilon C_k'' (1 + \Lambda^k)$$

$$1 = \|v\|_0^2 = \int_X \|v\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 C_k''^2 (1 + \Lambda^k)^2 \text{vol}(X)$$

$$\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{C_k'' (1 + \Lambda^k) \sqrt{\text{vol}(X)}}$$

If $m(\varepsilon)$ denotes the minimal number of ε -balls covering X and

$$\varepsilon_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{C_k'' (1 + \Lambda^k) \sqrt{\text{vol}(X)}}$$

it follows

$$\dim \Gamma_{\leq \Lambda}(E) \leq m(\varepsilon_\Lambda)$$

Exercise If $n = \dim X$ then $\varepsilon^n m(\varepsilon)$ is bounded for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Using the exercise we get

$$\frac{\dim \Gamma_{\leq \Lambda}(E)}{(1 + \Lambda^k)^2} \text{ is bounded.}$$

Choosing $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n + 2N + 2}{2N} \right\rfloor$ the assumption

17

$kN \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ is satisfied and we get the estimate (i).

III. The ξ -function

Suppose $P \in \text{Diff}^N(E, E)$ elliptic of order $N > 0$, $P^* = P$ and P positive (i.e. $(Pe, e)_{L_0(E)} \geq 0$ for all e)

Write the spectrum (with multiplicity) as $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ and fix $\Lambda > 0$.

Then $\lambda_k \geq \text{const.} \cdot k^{\frac{2N}{n(n+2N+2)}}$ and therefore by

$$\xi(s, P) = \sum_{\lambda_k \neq 0} \lambda_k^{-s}$$

$$\xi(s, P, \Lambda) = \sum_{\Lambda \leq \lambda_k} \lambda_k^{-s}$$

we get holomorphic functions on the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{n(n+2N+2)}{2N}$

I quote the following

Theorem The functions $\xi(s, P, \Lambda)$ admit meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$, with simple poles only. The poles are

78

contained in the set

$$\left\{ s = \frac{n-v}{N}, v=0,1,2,\dots \right\} \sim \{0,-1,-2,\dots\}$$

Remark: The meromorphic continuation comes via Mellin transformation from the asymptotic of the heat kernel for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Remember

$$\int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-\lambda t} dt = \lambda^{-s} \Gamma(s)$$

hence

$$\zeta(s, P, \wedge) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \text{Tr}(\Pi_\wedge \exp(-tP)) dt$$

where $\Pi_\wedge$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\Gamma_\wedge(E)^\perp$

The heat operator $\exp(-tP)$ is defined by the smooth kernel

$$K_t(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k} \langle u_k(x), u_k(y) \rangle$$

$$\in \Gamma(X \times X, \text{Hom}(\rho_2^* E, \rho_1^* E))$$

$$\text{as } \exp(-tP)u = \int_X K_t(x,y) u(y) (x)_y$$

There exist $e_v \in \text{End}(E)$ such that

$$K_t(x, x) \sim \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{v-1}{N}} e_v(x)$$

i. e. $\forall r \exists v(r)$ and constant c_r s. t.

$$\|K_t(x, x) - \sum_{v \leq v(r)} t^{\frac{v-1}{N}} e_v(x)\|_{e^r, x} < c_r t^r$$

(Reference: Gilkey, loc cit. p 54)