Inelastic X-ray Scattering from Collective Atom Dynamics

Michael Krisch

Inelastic Scattering Group European Synchrotron Radiation Facility Grenoble, France

Introduction and Motivation

INS and IXS

IXS Instrumentation

High frequency collective dynamics of liquid water

Sound velocities in hcp-iron to 110 GPa

Perspectives

(Trieste, 10 May 2002)

Aim of IXS (INS) Experiments

Study of phonon(-like) excitations in condensed matter.

- interatomic force constants
- sound velocities
- thermodynamical properties
- dynamical instabilities (phonon softening)
- electron-phonon coupling
- relaxation phenomena
-etc., etc.

X-rays and phonon studies ?

Two citations from standard text books

"When a crystal is irradiated with X-rays, the processes of photoelectric absorption and fluorescence are no doubt accompanied by absorption and emission of phonons. The energy changes involved are however so large compared with phonon energies that information about the phonon spectrum of the crystal cannot be obtained in this way."

W. Cochran in Dynamics of atoms in crystals, (1973)

"...In general the resolution of such minute photon frequency is so difficult that one can only measure the total scattered radiation of all frequencies, ... As a result of these considerations x-ray scattering is a far less powerful probe of the phonon spectrum than neutron scattering. "

Ashcroft and Mermin in Solid State Physics, (1975)

Scattering kinematics



• Energy analysis of the scattered phonons: $E_1 - E_2 = E$ • Directional analysis of the scattered phonons: $k_1 - k_2 = Q$

IXS versus INS: scattering kinematics Energy Transfer:

Neutrons: $\lambda_1 = 1 \text{ Å} \Rightarrow E_1 = 82 \text{ meV}$ E = some meV $E_1 \neq E_2$ $=> \text{ moderate energy resolution: } E/E_1 = 0.05$ X-rays: $\lambda_1 = 1 \text{ Å} \Rightarrow E_1 = 12398 \text{ eV}$ E = some meV $E_1 \approx E_2$ $=> \text{ extremely high energy resolution: } E/E_1 = 10^{-7}$

IXS versus INS: scattering kinematics

MomentumTransfer:

Neutrons:
$$Q = \sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2 - 2k_1k_2\cos(9)}$$

- => strong coupling between E and Q inaccessible E-Q region
- X-rays: $Q = 2k_1 \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$
 - \Rightarrow Q only controlled by scattering angle ϑ







IXS versus INS

$$\frac{f^2\sigma}{fEf\Omega} = r_0^2 \frac{k_1}{k_2} (\vec{\varepsilon_1} \approx 2) f(Q)^2 S(\vec{Q}, E)$$

no correlation between momentum- and energy transfer

• $\Delta E/E = 10^{-7}$ to 10^{-8}

- Cross section ~ Z² (for small Q)
- Cross section is dominated by photoelectric absorption (~ $\lambda^3 Z^4$)
- no incoherent scattering
- \bullet small beams: 100 μm or smaller

INS

IXS

$$\frac{f^2\sigma}{fEf\Omega} = b^2 \frac{k_1}{k_2} S(\vec{Q}, E)$$

- strong correlation between momentum- and energy transfer
- ∆E/E = 10⁻¹ to 10⁻²
- Cross section ~ b²
- Weak absorption => multiple scattering
- incoherent scattering contributions
- large beams: several cm

nere do have X-rays an advantage with respect to neutron

• In disordered systems with a high speed of sound ($v_g = E/Q$).

Kinematic limitations for INS

• Samples (only available) in small quantities.

- novel (exotic) materials (MgB₂,)
- samples under very high pressures



Neutron beams: several cm => signal loss SR beams: 10 - 100 μ m, t_{μ} = 10 -100 μ m

large high pressure cell => P < 100 kbar Diamond anvil cell => P > 1 Mbar

Experimental principle



Beamline Layout ID16 and ID28 at the ESRF



High Energy Resolution Monochromator

• Silicon (n,n,n) at
$$\theta_{\rm B} = 89.98^{\circ}$$

•
$$\omega_{\rm D} = \Delta E/E \tan(\theta_{\rm B}) > s_z', s_x'$$

Reflection	Energy [eV]	DE [meV]	D]
(777)	13840	5.3	3.8
(888)	15817	4.4	2.8
(999)	17794	2.2	1.2
(11 11 11)	21747	1.02	4.7
(12 12 12)	23725	0.73	3.0
(13 13 13)	25703	0.5	2.0

Energy Analysis: The Crystal Analyser

Requirements:

- Perfect single crystal properties (as HR mono)
- Collect some solid angle (ΔQ_{app} ; flux)

Solution:

- <u>Spherical crystal in Rowland Geometry ($\Delta \theta = 0$)</u>
 - -R = 2.5 (6.5; 11.5) m
 - elastic deformation $\Rightarrow \Delta E/E =$

Approximation to sphere by 10000 0.6x0.6x3 mm³ cubes

- preservation single crystal properties
- $\theta_{\rm B} = 89.98^{\circ}$ to minimise cube size contribution to $\Delta E/E$

The Analyser in real life





HR Mono: Energy Scans via Temperature Scans

 $\lambda = 2 \cdot d(T) \sin \theta_{\rm B}$ $\Delta d/d = -\alpha(T) \cdot \Delta T \ (\alpha = 2.58 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ at } RT)$

• Temperature controlled by Thermometer bridge $\Delta T_{min} = 0.25 \text{ mK}$ $\Delta T_{typ} = 3, 5, 10, 15 \text{ mK/minute}$

The IXS spectrometers (schematics)



3 mm –



Example of IXS spectrum and of data analysis

Si(11,11,11) $\Delta E = 1.5 \text{ meV}$ at 21747 eV 8 hours accumulation

Data Analysis: Damped Harmonic Oscillator

The high-frequency dynamics of liquid water

1993 - 200?

INS and Molecular Dynamics Results (as of 1993)



Origin and nature of these two excitations?







Damped harmonic oscillator

$$F(Q,\omega) = [n(\omega)+1]I(Q)\frac{\alpha}{[n(Q)^2]}$$

Dispersion relation for water at 5° C



- Confirmation of the existence of the "fast sound".
- Excitation involves the center of mass of the molecule.

No clear evidence for low energy excitations!







Complete dispersion relation for water at 5° C



Existence of two sounds: $v_0 = 1500$ m/s and $v_{\infty} = 3200$ m/s The "fast sound" is the continuation of the "hydrodynamic" sound. The low energy mode only visible once the "fast sound" value is reach

Signature of a structural relaxation process ("fast" α -relaxation)

Low-frequency viscous regime ($\Omega \tau \ll 1$): liquid-like response high-frequency elastic regime ($\Omega \tau \gg 1$): solid-like response

 $2\tau \approx 1$: Coupling of the phonon-like excitations with structural rearrangement

 $E \approx 3.3 \text{ meV}$ $\tau = h/E = 1.3 \text{ ps}$ $Q = 2 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ $\xi = 3.1 \text{ nm}$

Time and length scale can be associated with the formation and breaking of hydrogen bonds.

Sound velocities in hcp-iron to 110 GPa

Determination of sound velocities in iron is essential for:

- elastic properties
- comparison with seismological observations
- understanding the composition of Earth's inner core



- α (bcc) -> ε (hcp) at 13.4 GPa
- study of polycrystalline samples
- averaged longitudinal sound velocity

Alternative techniques

rect

Inelastic neutron scattering Ultrasound measurements Brillouin light scattering < 10 GPa < 16.5 GPa signal too weak

ndirect

ay diffraction

lear resonant inelastic x-ray scattering

uniaxial stress, elasticity tensor c_{ij} $\rho v^2 \sim f(c_{ij})$

Debye law for low energy phonon DOS

$$3v_D^{-3} = v_p^{-3} + 2v_s^{-3}$$

K and ρ from diffraction measuremen

ock wave measurements

T-P correlation

X-ray studies at high pressure using diamond anvil cel



Mega-bar Pressures

pical sample dimensions:

- 200 μm diameter
- 40 μm thickness

(Reasonably) well matched with:

- typical x-ray spot sizes
- x-ray absorption length (for Z=30 50)



Density dependence of sound velocity



- Good agreement with ultrasound and shock wave data.
- hcp iron follows a Birch law for $v_p dv_p/dT = -9.10^{-5} \text{ km/s/K}$
- Indication that the inner core is slightly lighter than pure iron.

A selection of other investigated Systems

Liquids and glasses

- Teflon, Polyethylene
- Na, Si, Ge, Sn

(high presssure)
(high temperature)

- SiO₂, aSi
- H₂, D₂
- glycerol, polybutadiene, salol
- water

Polycrystals

- Ice IX, XII
- Fe, FeS, FeO, FeSi

(high pressure)

Single crystals

- Ice VI,VII
- Co, Zn,Ta
- SrTiO₃
- SmS
- C¹²,C¹³,GaN, SiC (ZB), ZnMgY
- NbSe₃
- $Nd_{1.86}Ce_{0.14}CuO_{4+\delta}$

(high pressure)(high pressure)(high pressure)(high pressure)