



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION



INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS
34100 TRIESTE (ITALY) - P.O.B. 588 - MIRAMARE - STRADA COSTIERA 11 - TELEPHONES: 224981/2/3/4/5/6
CABLE: CENTRATOM - TELEX 480392 I

SMR/92 - 11

AUTUMN COURSE
ON
VARIATIONAL METHODS IN ANALYSIS AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS
20 October - 11 December 1981

DENSITY OF THE RANGE OF POTENTIAL OPERATORS

M. WILLEM
Institut Mathématique
2 Chemin du Cyclotron 2
B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve
Belgium

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants.
Missing or extra copies are available from Room 230.

Michel WILLEN

ABSTRACT

Let L be a self-adjoint operator with a closed range in a Hilbert space H and let ψ be a differentiable convex function on H . Under a non resonance assumption, we prove that the range of $L + \partial\psi$ is dense in H .

INTRODUCTION

Let H be a real Hilbert space, let $L : D(L) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a self-adjoint operator with a closed range and let $\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice Gâteaux-differentiable convex function. In [9] Jawhin showed that if there exists real numbers α, β and γ such that $0 < \beta \leq \gamma < \alpha$, $\sigma(1) \cap 1 - \alpha 0 = \emptyset$ and, for every $u \in H$,

$$\beta I \leq \psi''(u) \leq \gamma I,$$

then $I + \partial\psi$ is one to one and onto. The following weaker condition were introduced by Dolph [5] in his study of Hammerstein equations

$$(0) \quad 0 < \lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(u)}{|u|^2} \leq \overline{\lim}_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(u)}{|u|^2} < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

If (0) is satisfied, under the supplementary assumption that the right inverse of L is compact, $L + \partial\psi$ is onto (see [4] which extends some results of [2]). In the present paper we prove that (0) implies that the range of $L + \partial\psi$ is dense in H . We use the dual least action principle of Clarke and Ekeland [3] and the variational principle of Ekeland [6]. The abstract result is applied to periodic solutions of a nonlinear wave equation with a nonmonotone nonlinearity.

AMS(MOS) subject classification (1980) Primary 49A27; secondary 49A21.

Key words: semi linear equations, non convex duality.

1. A DENSITY THEOREM.

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and corresponding norms $\|\cdot\|$. Let $L : D(L) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a self-adjoint operator with a closed range and let $\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function.

Let α, β, γ and c be real numbers such that $0 < \beta < \gamma < \alpha$ and

(A₁) $\sigma(L) \cap \{\alpha, 0\} = \emptyset$, where $\sigma(L)$ denotes the spectrum of L ,

(A₂) for every $u \in H$,

$$\beta \frac{\|u\|^2}{2} - c \leq \psi(u) \leq \gamma \frac{\|u\|^2}{2} + c.$$

Let us write

$$K = (U D(L) \cap R(L))^{-1},$$

$$\psi^*(v) = \sup_{u \in H} [(v, u) - \psi(u)], \quad v \in H,$$

and

$$\varphi(v) = \frac{1}{2} (Kv, v) + \psi^*(v), \quad v \in R(L).$$

The function ψ^* is the Fenchel transform of ψ .

The present formulation of the "dual action" φ were introduced in [1] for hyperbolic problems and in [7] for hamiltonian systems. See [4] and [8] for other abstract formulations. The following lemma has been widely used in the study of hamiltonian systems (see [7]).

LEMMA. Under assumptions A₁ and A₂, φ is coercive on $R(L)$, i.e. $\varphi(v) \rightarrow \infty$, $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to observe that A₁ and A₂ imply that

$$\forall v \in R(L), \quad \frac{1}{\delta} \|v\|^2 \leq (Kv, v)$$

and

$$\forall v \in H, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} - c \leq \psi^*(v). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 1. Under assumptions A₁ and A₂, if $\partial\psi$ is uniformly continuous, the range of $L + \partial\psi$ is dense in H .

Proof. Since, for every $f \in H$, the function $\psi(u) - (f, u)$ has the same properties as $\psi(u)$, it suffices to prove that

$$0 \in \overline{R(L + \partial\psi)}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. By assumption there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for every $u, v \in H$,

$$\|u - v\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow |\partial\psi(u) - \partial\psi(v)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since φ is coercive by the lemma, it follows from a theorem by Ekeland [6, p. 444] that there exists $v \in R(L)$ such that, for every $h \in R(L)$ and for every $t > 0$,

$$\varphi(v) \leq \varphi(v + th) + \delta t \|h\|.$$

Thus

$$-(Kv, h) \leq \frac{\psi^*(v + th) - \psi^*(v)}{t} + \delta \|h\| + \frac{t}{2}(Kh, h).$$

If $t \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$-(Kv, h) \leq \delta^* \psi^*(v, h) + \delta \|h\|.$$

Since $\delta^* \psi^*(v, \cdot) + \delta \|\cdot\|$ is positively homogeneous and subadditive, the Hahn-Banach theorem insures the existence of $w \in \text{Ker } L$ such that, for every $h \in H$,

$$(w, h) - (Kv, h) \leq \delta^* \psi^*(v, h) + \delta \|h\|.$$

But then

$$(1) \quad -\delta \|h\| \leq \psi^*(v + h) - \psi^*(v) - (u, h)$$

where $u = w - Kv$. We shall now use a classical argument in convex analysis. It follows from (1) that the convex sets

$$C_1 = \{(h, s) \in H \times \mathbb{R} : s \geq \psi^*(v + h) - \psi^*(v) - (u, h)\},$$

$$C_2 = \{(h, s) \in H \times \mathbb{R} : s \leq -\delta \|h\|\}$$

are disjoint. Since C_2 is open there exists a (non vertical) closed hyperplane separating C_1 and C_2 . It is then easy to

verify that there exists $f \in H$ such that, for every $h \in H$,

$$-\delta \|h\| \leq (f, h) \leq \psi^*(v + h) - \psi^*(v) - (u, h).$$

The first inequality implies that $\|f\| \leq \delta$, the second that $(u + f) \in \partial \psi^*(v)$ or $v = \partial \psi(u + f)$. By the definition of u , $Lu + \partial \psi(u + f) = 0$. Since $\|f\| \leq \delta$, $\|Lu + \partial \psi(u)\| = \|\partial \psi(u) - \partial \psi(u + f)\| \leq \epsilon$. \square

Remark. Particular cases of theorem 1 were announced in [11] and [12]. The use of the "dual action" φ were suggested to us by J.L. Lions.

2. PERIODIC SOLUTION OF A NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATION.

This section is devoted to the existence of 2π -periodic solutions in t on x of the nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} - u + \partial j(u) = f(t, x)$$

where $j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable and $f \in H^1([0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R})$.

Let A be the linear operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \{u \in C^2([0, 2\pi]^2) : u(0, \cdot) = u(2\pi, \cdot), u(\cdot, 0) = u(\cdot, 2\pi), u_t(0, \cdot) = u_t(2\pi, \cdot) \\ &\quad = u_x(\cdot, 0) = u_x(\cdot, 2\pi) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$Au = u_{tt} - u_{xx}.$$

Let us write $A = A^*$. Then A is self-adjoint and $\sigma(A) = 2\pi^{-1} \cup 4\pi^{-1}$ consists of eigenvalues which are of finite multiplicity except 0 (see [10]).

Let us define $\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi(u) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} j(u(t, x)) dt dx.$$

THEOREM 2. Assume that there exists $\beta, \gamma, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < \beta \leq \gamma < 1$ and, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\beta \frac{u^2}{2} - c \leq j(u) \leq \gamma \frac{u^2}{2} + c.$$

assume further that ∂j is Lipschitzian, then equation

(2) $Au - u + \partial\psi(u) = f$
 is solvable for f in a dense subset of H .

Proof. It suffices to apply theorem 1 with $L = A - I$ and $\alpha = 1$. □

Remark . Theorem 2 applies for example to

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} - \frac{1}{4}u + \frac{3}{4}\sin u = f(t, x).$$

In this case $\partial j(u) = \frac{3}{4}(u + \sin u)$.

REFERENCES

- 1 H. BREZIS, J.M. CORON and L. NIRENBERG, Free vibrations of a nonlinear wave equation and a theorem of P. RABINOWITZ, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980) 667-689.
- 2 H. BREZIS and L. NIRENBERG, Characterizations of the ranges of some nonlinear operators and applications to boundary value problems, Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa 5(1978) 225-326.
- 3 F.H. CLARKE and I. EKELAND, Hamiltonian trajectories having prescribed minimal period, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980) 103-116.
- 4 J.M. CORON, Résolution de l'équation $Au + Bu = f$ où A est linéaire auto-adjoint et B est un opérateur potentiel non linéaire., C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 288 (1979) A805-808.
- 5 C.L. DOLPH, Nonlinear integral equations of the Hammerstein type, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949) 289-307.
- 6 I. EKELAND, Nonconvex minimization problems, Eull. (New Series) Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1979) 443-474.
- 7 I. EKELAND, Oscillations de systèmes hamiltoniens non linéaires, preprint.
- 8 I. EKELAND and J.M. LASRY, Problèmes variationnels non convexes en dualité, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 291 (1980) A493-496.
- 9 J. MAWHIN, Contraction mappings and periodically perturbed conservative systems, Arch. Math. 12 (1978) 65-73.
- 10 J. MAWHIN, Solutions périodiques d'équations aux dérivées partielles hyperboliques non linéaires, in "Mélanges Vogel", Rybak, Janssens et Jessel éd., Presses Univ. Bruxelles, Bruxelles, 1978, 301-319.
- 11 M. WILLEM, Densité de l'image de la différence de deux opérateurs, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 290 (1980) A881-883
- 12 M. WILLEM, Variational methods and almost solvability of semilinear equations, to appear in Proc. Intern. Congress of Diff. Equ., Dundee, 1980.