

Advanced School in Basic Algebraic Geometry

(7 - 18 July 2003)

Construction of Hilbert and Quot Schemes

Nitin Nitsure

School of Mathematics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road
Mumbai - 400 005
India

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

Construction of Hilbert and Quot Schemes

Nitin Nitsure*

Lectures at the ICTP Summer School, July 2003

Lecture 1:

Definition of Hilbert and Quot functors $\mathcal{H}ilb_{X/S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}$.

Decomposition in terms of Hilbert polynomials.

Elementary examples: $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ as Quot. Grassmannians. Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}_k^n .

Lecture 2:

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.

Semi-continuity complex.

Direct images and base-change.

Lecture 3:

Generic flatness.

Existence theorem for flattening stratification.

Lectures 4 and 5:

Projectivity. Strong projectivity.

Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes.

Lecture 6:

Quot schemes in quasi-projective case.

Scheme of morphisms.

Quotient by flat projective equivalence relation.

*School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India. e-mail: nitsure@math.tifr.res.in

1 Hilbert and Quot functors

Introduction

Any scheme X defines a contravariant functor h_X (called the functor of points of the scheme X) from the category of schemes to the category of sets, which associates to any scheme T the set $Mor(T, X)$ of all morphisms from T to X . The scheme X can be recovered (upto a unique isomorphism) from h_X by the Yoneda lemma.

It is often easier to describe the functor h_X than to give the scheme X . Such is typically the case with various parameter schemes and moduli schemes, where we can directly define a contravariant functor φ from the category of schemes to the category of sets which would be the functor of points of the scheme in question, without knowing whether such a scheme exists.

This raises the problem of representability of contravariant functors from the category of schemes to the category of sets. An important necessary condition for representability come from the fact that the functor h_X satisfies faithfully flat descent including strict descent. This condition is often easy to verify for a given functor φ , but it is not a sufficient condition for representability.

It is therefore a subtle and technically difficult problem in Algebraic Geometry to construct schemes which represent various important functors, such as moduli functors. Grothendieck addressed the issue by proving the representability of certain basic functors, namely, the Hilbert and Quot functors. The representing schemes that he constructed, known as Hilbert and Quot schemes, are the starting points of the representability of most moduli functors (whether as schemes or as algebraic stacks).

The techniques used by Grothendieck are the techniques of descent and cohomology developed by him. In a sequence of talks in the Bourbaki seminar, collected under the title ‘Foundations of Algebraic Geometry’ (see [FGA]) he gave a sketch of the techniques of descent, the construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes, and application to the construction of Picard scheme (and also a sketch of formal schemes and some quotient techniques).

The following notes are devoted to the construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of the language of schemes and cohomology, say at the level of chapters 2 and 3 of Hartshorne’s ‘Algebraic Geometry’ [H]. The lecture course by Vistoli [V] on the theory of descent in this summer school contains in particular the background we need on descent. Certain advanced techniques of projective geometry, namely Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and flattening stratification (to each of which we devote one lecture) are nicely given in Mumford’s ‘Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface’ [M], which can serve as good course on Algebraic Geometry for somebody familiar with the basics at the level of Hartshorne [H]. The book ‘Neron Models’ by Bosch, Lütkebohmert, Raynaud [B-N-R] contains an exposition of descent, quot schemes, and Picard schemes, which I found particularly useful. The reader of these lecture notes is strongly urged to read Grothendieck’s original presentation in [FGA].

The functors $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$

The main problem addressed in this series of lectures, in its simplest form, is as follows. If S is a locally noetherian scheme, a **family of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n parametrised by S** will mean a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_S^n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n \times S$ such that Y is flat over S . If $f : T \rightarrow S$ is any morphism of locally noetherian schemes, then by pull-back we get a family $Y_T = Y \times_S T \subset \mathbb{P}_T^n$ parametrised by T from a family Y parametrised by S . This defines a contravariant functor $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ from the category of all locally noetherian schemes to the category of sets, which associates to any S the set of all such families

$$\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(S) = \{Y \subset \mathbb{P}_S^n \mid Y \text{ is flat over } S\}$$

Question: Is the functor $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ representable?

Grothendieck proved that this question has an affirmative answer, that is, there exists a locally noetherian scheme $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ together with a family $Z \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n \times \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ parametrised by $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$, such that any family Y over S is obtained as the pull-back of Z by a uniquely determined morphism $\varphi_Y : S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. In other words, $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is isomorphic to the functor $\text{Mor}(-, \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$.

The functors $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}$

A family Y of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n parametrised by S is the same as a coherent quotient sheaf $q : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ on \mathbb{P}_S^n , such that \mathcal{O}_Y is flat over S . This way of looking at the functor $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ has the following fruitful generalisation.

Let r be any positive integer. A **family of quotients of $\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ parametrised by a locally noetherian scheme S** will mean a pair (\mathcal{F}, q) consisting of

- (i) a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_S^n which is flat over S , and
- (ii) a surjective $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}$ -linear homomorphism of sheaves $q : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Two such families (\mathcal{F}, q) and (\mathcal{F}', q') parametrised by S will be regarded as equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ which takes q to q' , that is, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{F} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f \\ \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathcal{F}' \end{array}$$

This is the same as the condition $\ker(q) = \ker(q')$. We will denote by $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ an equivalence class. If $T \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of locally noetherian schemes, then pulling back the quotient $q : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ defines a family $q_T : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_T$ over T , which makes sense as tensor product is right-exact and preserves flatness. The operation of pulling back respects equivalence of families, therefore it gives rise to a contravariant functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}$ from the category of all locally noetherian schemes to the category of sets, by putting

$$\mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}(S) = \{ \text{All } \langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle \text{ parametrised by } S \}$$

It is immediate that the functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}$ satisfies faithfully flat descent including strict descent. Grothendieck proved that in fact the above functor is representable on the category of all locally noetherian schemes by a scheme $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}$.

The functors $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X/S}$ and $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}$

The above functors $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ and $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}$ admit the following simple generalisations. Let S be a noetherian scheme and let $X \rightarrow S$ be a finite type scheme over it. Let E be a coherent sheaf on X . Let Sch_S denote the category of all locally noetherian schemes over S . For any $T \rightarrow S$ in Sch_S , a **family of quotients of E parametrised by T** will mean a pair (\mathcal{F}, q) consisting of

- (i) a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_T = X \times_S T$ such that the schematic support of \mathcal{F} is proper over T and \mathcal{F} is flat over T , together with
- (ii) a surjective \mathcal{O}_{X_T} -linear homomorphism of sheaves $q : E_T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ where E_T is the pull-back of E under the projection $X_T \rightarrow X$.

Two such families (\mathcal{F}, q) and (\mathcal{F}', q') parametrised by T will be regarded as equivalent if $\ker(q) = \ker(q')$, and $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ will denote an equivalence class. Then as properness and flatness are preserved by base-change, and as tensor-product is right exact, the pull-back of $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ under an S -morphism $T' \rightarrow T$ is well-defined, which gives a set-valued contravariant functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S} : Sch_S \rightarrow Sets$ under which

$$T \mapsto \{ \text{All } \langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle \text{ parametrised by } T \}$$

When $E = \mathcal{O}_X$, the functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X/X/S} : Sch_S \rightarrow Sets$ associates to T the set of all closed subschemes $Y \subset X_T$ that are proper and flat over T . We denote this functor by $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X/S}$.

Note in particular that we have

$$\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n / \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}} = \mathfrak{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n / \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n} / \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}}$$

It is clear that the functors $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}$ and $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X/S}$ satisfy faithfully flat descent including strict descent, so it makes sense to pose the question of their representability.

Stratification by Hilbert polynomials

Let X be a finite type scheme over a field k , together with a line bundle L . Recall that if F is a coherent sheaf on X whose support is proper over k , then the **Hilbert polynomial** $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ of F is defined by the function

$$\Phi(m) = \chi(F(m)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \dim_k H^i(X, F \otimes L^{\otimes m})$$

where the dimensions of the cohomologies are finite because of the coherence and properness conditions.

Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a finite type morphism of noetherian schemes, and let L be a line bundle on X . Let \mathcal{F} be any coherent sheaf on X whose schematic support is proper

over S . Then for each $s \in S$, we get a polynomial $\Phi_s \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ which is the Hilbert polynomial of the restriction $\mathcal{F}_s = F|_{X_s}$ of \mathcal{F} to the fiber X_s over s , calculated with respect to the line bundle $L_s = L|_{X_s}$. If \mathcal{F} is flat over S then the function $s \mapsto \Phi_s$ from the set of points of S to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ is known to be locally constant on S .

This shows that the functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}$ naturally decomposes as a co-product

$$\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S} = \coprod_{\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]} \mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$$

where for any polynomial $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, the functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ associates to any T the set of all equivalence classes of families $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ such that at each $t \in T$ the Hilbert polynomial of the restriction \mathcal{F}_t , calculated using the pull-back of L , is Φ . Correspondingly, the representing scheme $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}$, when it exists, naturally decomposes as a co-product

$$\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S} = \coprod_{\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]} \mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$$

Note We will generally take X to be (quasi-)projective over S , and L to be a relatively ample line bundle. Then indeed the Hilbert and Quot functors are representable by schemes, but not in general.

Elementary examples, Exercises

(1) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ as a Quot scheme Show that the scheme $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n = \text{Proj } \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ represents the functor φ from schemes to sets, which associates to any S the set of all equivalence classes $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ of quotients $q : \oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is an invertible \mathcal{O}_S -module. As coherent sheaves on S which are S -flat are the same as the locally free sheaves, φ is the functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{\oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}}^1$. This shows that $\mathcal{Q}uot_{\oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}}^1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$. The universal family on $\mathcal{Q}uot_{\oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}}^1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ is the tautological quotient $\oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n}(1)$. More generally, show that if E is a locally free sheaf on a noetherian scheme S , the functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/S/S}^1$ is represented by the S -scheme $\mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbf{Proj} \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(E)$, and the universal family on $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/S/S}^1$ is the tautological quotient $\pi^*(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(E)$.

(2) Grassmannian as a Quot scheme For any integers $r \geq d \geq 1$, an explicit construction the Grassmannian scheme $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ over \mathbb{Z} , together with the tautological quotient $u : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}(r, d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ where \mathcal{U} is a rank d locally free sheaf on $\text{Grass}(r, d)$, has been given at the end of this section. A proof of the properness of $\pi : \text{Grass}(r, d) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is given there, together with a closed embedding $\text{Grass}(r, d) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\pi_* \det \mathcal{U}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ where $m = \binom{r}{d}$.

Show that $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ together with the quotient $u : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}(r, d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ represents the contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{G}rass(r, d) = \mathcal{Q}uot_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} / \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} / \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}}$$

from schemes to sets, which associates to any T the set of all equivalence classes $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ of quotients $q : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{F} is a locally free sheaf on T of rank d . Therefore, $\text{Quot}_{\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} / \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} / \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}}$ exists, and equals $\text{Grass}(r, d)$.

Show that for any ring A , the action of the group $GL_r(A)$ on the free module $\oplus^r A$ induces an action of $GL_r(A)$ on the set $\mathfrak{Grass}(r, d)(A)$, such that for any ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$, the set-map $\mathfrak{Grass}(r, d)(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Grass}(r, d)(B)$ is equivariant with respect to the group homomorphism $GL_r(A) \rightarrow GL_r(B)$. (In schematic terms, this means we have an action of the group-scheme $GL_{r, \mathbb{Z}}$ on $\text{Grass}(r, d)$.)

Using the above show that, more generally, if S is a scheme and E is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank r , the functor $\mathfrak{Grass}(E, d) = \mathcal{Q}uot_{E/S/S}^d$ on all S -schemes which by definition associates to any T the set of all equivalence classes $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ of quotients $q : E_T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{F} is locally free of rank d , is representable. The representing scheme is denoted by $\text{Grass}(E, d)$ and is called the rank d relative Grassmannian of E over S . It parametrises a universal quotient $\pi^* E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ where $\pi : \text{Grass}(E, d) \rightarrow S$ is the projection. Show that the determinant line bundle $\wedge^d \mathcal{F}$ on $\text{Grass}(E, d)$ is relatively very ample over S , and it gives a closed embedding $\text{Grass}(E, d) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\pi_* \wedge^d \mathcal{F}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^d E)$. (The properness of the embedding follows from the properness of $\pi : \text{Grass}(E, d) \rightarrow S$, which follows locally over S by base-change from properness of $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ over \mathbb{Z} – see Exercise (5) or (7) below.)

By locally expressing a coherent sheaf as a quotient of a vector bundle, show that $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/S/S}^d$ is representable even when E is a coherent sheaf on S which is not necessarily locally free.

(3) Grassmannian as a Hilbert scheme Let $\Phi = 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$. Then the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1$ is $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ itself. More generally, let $\Phi_r = \binom{r+\lambda}{r} \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ where $r \geq 0$. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\Phi_r}$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian scheme $\text{Grass}(n+1, r+1)$ over \mathbb{Z} . This can be seen via the following steps, whose detailed verification is left to the reader as an exercise.

(i) The Grassmannian scheme $\text{Grass}(n+1, r+1)$ over \mathbb{Z} parametrises a tautological family of subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n with Hilbert polynomial Φ_r . Therefore we get a natural transformation $h_{\text{Grass}(n+1, r+1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\Phi_r}$.

(ii) Any closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ with Hilbert polynomial Φ_r , where k is any field, is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^r embedded linearly in \mathbb{P}_k^n over k . If V is a vector bundle over a noetherian base S , and if $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ is a closed subscheme flat over S with each schematic fiber Y_s an r -dimensional linear subspace of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V_s)$, then Y defines a rank $r+1$ quotient vector bundle $V = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1) \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow S$ denotes the projection. This gives a natural transformation $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\Phi_r} \rightarrow h_{\text{Grass}(n+1, r+1)}$.

(iii) The above two natural transformations are inverses of each other.

(4) Hilbert scheme of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n Let $\Phi_d = \binom{n+\lambda}{n} - \binom{n-d+\lambda}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ where $d \geq 1$. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\Phi_d}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ where $m = \binom{n+d}{d} - 1$. This can be seen from the following steps, which are left as exercises.

(i) Any closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ with Hilbert polynomial Φ_d , where k is any field,

is a hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}_k^n . *Hint:* If $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ is a closed subscheme with Hilbert polynomial of degree $n - 1$, then show that the schematic closure Z of the hight 1 primary components is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_k^n with $\deg(Z) = \deg(Y)$.

(ii) Any family $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_S^n$ is a Cartier divisor in \mathbb{P}_S^n . It gives rise to a line subbundle $\pi_*(I_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(d)) \subset \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(d)$, which defines a natural morphism $f_Y : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ where $m = \binom{n+d}{d} - 1$. This gives a morphism of functors $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}^{\Phi_d} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ where we denote $h_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m}$ simply by \mathbb{P}^m .

(iii) The scheme $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ parametrises a tautological family of hypersurfaces of degree d , which gives a morphism of functors $\mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}^{\Phi_d}$ in the reverse direction. These are inverses of each other.

(5) Base-change property of Hilbert and Quot schemes Let S be a noetherian scheme, X a finite-type scheme over S , and E a coherent sheaf on X . If $T \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of noetherian schemes, then show that there is a natural isomorphism of functors $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E_T/X_T/T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S} \times_{h_S} h_T$. Consequently, if $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}$ exists, then so does $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E_T/X_T/T}$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S} \times_S T$. One can prove a similar statement involving $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X/S}$ and $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X/S}^{\Phi, L}$, when they exist, base-change correctly.

(6) Descent and effective descent Show that the functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}$ satisfies descent and effective descent in the fpqc topology.

(7) Valuative criterion for properness When $X \rightarrow S$ is proper, show that the morphism of functors $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S} \rightarrow h_S$ satisfies the valuative criterion of properness with respect to discrete valuation rings, that is, if R is a discrete valuation ring together with a given morphism $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow S$ making it an S -scheme, show that the restriction map $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}(\text{Spec } R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}(\text{Spec } K)$ is bijective, where K is the quotient field of R and $\text{Spec } K$ is regarded as an S -scheme in the obvious way.

(8) Counterexample of Hironaka Hironaka constructed a 3-dimensional smooth proper scheme X over complex numbers \mathbb{C} , together with a free action of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$, for which the quotient X/G does not exist as a scheme. (See Example 3.4.1 in Hartshorne [H] Appendix B for construction of X . We leave the definition of the G action and the proof that X/G does not exist to the reader.) In particular, this means the Hilbert functor $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X/\mathbb{C}}$ is not representable by a scheme.

Construction of Grassmannian

Note The following explicit construction of the Grassmannian scheme $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ over \mathbb{Z} is best understood as the construction of a quotient $GL_{d, \mathbb{Z}} \backslash V$, where V is the scheme of all $d \times r$ matrices of rank d , and the group-scheme $GL_{d, \mathbb{Z}}$ acts on V on the left by matrix multiplication. However, we will not use this language here.

The reader can take $d = 1$ in what follows, in a first reading, to get the special case $\text{Grass}(r, 1) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{r-1}$, which has another construction as $\text{Proj } \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.

Construction by gluing together affine patches For any integers $r \geq d \geq 1$, the Grassmannian scheme $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ over \mathbb{Z} , together with the tautological quotient

$u : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}(r,d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ where \mathcal{U} is a rank d locally free sheaf on $\text{Grass}(r,d)$, can be explicitly constructed as follows.

If M is a $d \times r$ -matrix, and $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ with cardinality $\#(I)$ equal to d , the I th minor M_I of M will mean the $d \times d$ minor of M whose columns are indexed by I .

For any subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $\#(I) = d$, consider the $d \times r$ matrix X^I whose I th minor X_I^I is the $d \times d$ identity matrix $1_{d \times d}$, while the remaining entries of X^I are independent variables $x_{p,q}^I$ over \mathbb{Z} . Let $\mathbb{Z}[X^I]$ denote the polynomial ring in the variables $x_{p,q}^I$, and let $U^I = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[X^I]$, which is non-canonically isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{d(r-d)}$.

For any $J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $\#(J) = d$, let $P_J^I = \det(X_J^I) \in \mathbb{Z}[X^I]$ where X_J^I is the J th minor of X^I . Let $U_J^I = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[X^I, 1/P_J^I]$ the open subscheme of U^I where P_J^I is invertible. This means the $d \times d$ -matrix X_J^I admits an inverse $(X_J^I)^{-1}$ on U_J^I .

For any I and J , a ring homomorphism $\theta_{I,J} : \mathbb{Z}[X^J, 1/P_I^J] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X^I, 1/P_J^I]$ is defined as follows. The images of the variables $x_{p,q}^J$ are given by the entries of the matrix formula $\theta_{I,J}(X^J) = (X_J^I)^{-1} X^I$. In particular, we have $\theta_{I,J}(P_I^J) = 1/P_J^I$, so the map extends to $\mathbb{Z}[X^J, 1/P_I^J]$.

Note that $\theta_{I,I}$ is identity on $U_I^I = U^I$, and we leave it to the reader to verify that for any three subsets I, J and K of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ of cardinality d , the cocycle condition $\theta_{I,K} = \theta_{I,J} \theta_{J,K}$ is satisfied. Therefore the schemes U^I , as I varies over all the $\binom{r}{d}$ different subsets of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ of cardinality d , can be glued together by the cocycle $(\theta_{I,J})$ to form a finite-type scheme $\text{Grass}(r,d)$ over \mathbb{Z} . As each U^I is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{d(r-d)}$, it follows that $\text{Grass}(r,d) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is smooth of relative dimension $d(r-d)$.

Separatedness It can be seen that the intersection of the diagonal of $\text{Grass}(r,d)$ with $U^I \times U^J$ is the closed subscheme $\Delta_{I,J} \subset U^I \times U^J$ defined by entries of the matrix formula $X_J^J X^I - X^J = 0$, and so $\text{Grass}(r,d)$ is a separated scheme.

Properness We now show that $\pi : \text{Grass}(r,d) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is proper. It is enough to verify the valuative criterion of properness for discrete valuation rings. Let \mathcal{R} be a dvr, \mathcal{K} its quotient field, and let $\varphi : \text{Spec } \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(r,d)$ be a morphism. This is given by a ring homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}[X^I] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ for some I . Having fixed one such I , next choose J such that $\nu(f(P_J^I))$ is minimum, where $\nu : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ denotes the discrete valuation. As $P_I^I = 1$, note that $\nu(f(P_J^I)) \leq 0$, therefore $f(P_J^I) \neq 0$ in \mathcal{K} and so the matrix $f(X_J^I)$ lies in $GL_d(\mathcal{K})$.

Now consider the homomorphism $g : \mathbb{Z}[X^J] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ defined by entries of the matrix formula

$$g(X^J) = f((X_J^I)^{-1} X^I)$$

Then g defines the same morphism $\varphi : \text{Spec } \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(r,d)$, and moreover all $d \times d$ minors X_K^J satisfy $\nu(g(P_K^J)) \geq 0$. As the minor X_J^J is identity, it follows from the above that in fact $\nu(g(x_{p,q}^J)) \geq 0$ for all entries of X^J . Therefore, the map $g : \mathbb{Z}[X^J] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ factors uniquely via $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{K}$. The resulting morphism of schemes $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R} \rightarrow U^J \hookrightarrow \text{Grass}(r,d)$ prolongs $\varphi : \text{Spec } \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(r,d)$. We have already checked separatedness of $\text{Grass}(r,d)$, so now we see that $\text{Grass}(r,d) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is proper.

Universal quotient We next define a rank d locally free sheaf \mathcal{U} on $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ together with a surjective homomorphism $\oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}(r, d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. On each U^I we define a surjective homomorphism $u^I : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{U^I} \rightarrow \oplus^d \mathcal{O}_{U^I}$ by the matrix X^I . Compatible with the cocycle $(\theta_{I, J})$ for gluing the affine pieces U^I , we give gluing data $(g_{I, J})$ for gluing together the trivial bundles $\oplus^d \mathcal{O}_{U^I}$ by putting

$$g_{I, J} = (X_J^I)^{-1} \in GL_d(U_J^I)$$

This is compatible with the homomorphisms u^I , so we get a surjective homomorphism $u : \oplus^r \mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}(r, d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

Projective embedding As \mathcal{U} is given by the transition functions $g_{I, J}$ described above, the determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{U})$ is given by the transition functions $\det(g_{I, J}) = 1/P_J^I \in GL_1(U_J^I)$. For each I , we define a global section

$$\sigma_I \in \Gamma(\text{Grass}(r, d), \det(\mathcal{U}))$$

by putting $\sigma_I|_{U^J} = P_I^J \in \Gamma(U^J, \mathcal{O}_{U^J})$ in terms of the trivialization over the open cover (U^J) . We leave it to the reader to verify that the sections σ_I form a linear system which is base point free and separates points relative to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, and so gives an embedding of $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ into $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ where $m = \binom{r}{d} - 1$. This is a closed embedding by the properness of $\pi : \text{Grass}(r, d) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\det(\mathcal{U})$ is a relatively very ample line bundle on $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ over \mathbb{Z} .

Note It is possible to show that the sections σ_I in fact form a free basis of $\Gamma(\text{Grass}(r, d), \det(\mathcal{U}))$. The homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ corresponding to σ_I are called as the Plücker coordinates, and it is known that the image of the above closed embedding of $\text{Grass}(r, d)$ into $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ is the subscheme defined by certain quadratic polynomials in the Plücker coordinates known as the Plücker relations. We will not need these facts.

2 Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Mumford's deployment of m -regularity led to a simplification in the construction of Quot schemes. The original construction of Grothendieck had instead relied on Chow coordinates.

Let k be a field and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on the projective space \mathbb{P}^n over k . Let m be an integer. The sheaf \mathcal{F} is said to be **m -regular** if we have

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(m - i)) = 0 \text{ for each } i \geq 1.$$

The definition, which may look strange at first sight, is suitable for making inductive arguments on $n = \dim(\mathbb{P}^n)$ by restriction to a suitable hyperplane. If $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a hyperplane which does not contain any associated point of \mathcal{F} , then we have a short exact sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m - i - 1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(m - i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H(m - i) \rightarrow 0$$

where the map α is locally given by multiplication with a defining equation of H , hence is injective. The resulting long exact cohomology sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(m - i)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}_H(m - i)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(m - i - 1)) \rightarrow \dots$$

shows that if \mathcal{F} is m -regular, then so is its restriction \mathcal{F}_H (with the *same value* for m) to a hyperplane $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ which does not contain any associated point of \mathcal{F} . Note that whenever \mathcal{F} is coherent, the set of associated points of \mathcal{F} is finite, so there will exist at least one such hyperplane H when the field k is infinite.

The following lemma is due to Castelnuovo, according to Mumford's *Curves on a surface*.

Lemma 2.1 *If \mathcal{F} is an m -regular sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *The canonical map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r + 1))$ is surjective whenever $r \geq m$.*
- (b) *We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) = 0$ whenever $i \geq 1$ and $r \geq m - i$. In other words, if \mathcal{F} is m -regular, then it is m' -regular for all $m' \geq m$.*
- (c) *The sheaf $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is generated by its global sections, and all its higher cohomologies vanish, whenever $r \geq m$.*

Proof As the cohomologies base-change correctly under a field extension, we can assume that the field k is infinite. We argue by induction on n . The statements (a), (b) and (c) clearly hold when $n = 0$, so next let $n \geq 1$. As k is infinite, there exists a hyperplane H which does not contain any associated point of \mathcal{F} , so that the restriction \mathcal{F}_H is again m -regular as explained above. As H is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} , by the inductive hypothesis the assertions of the lemma hold for the sheaf \mathcal{F}_H .

When $r = m - i$, the equality $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) = 0$ in statement (b) follows for all $n \geq 0$ by definition of m -regularity. To prove (b), we now proceed by induction on

r where $r \geq m - i + 1$. Consider the exact sequence

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r-1)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \rightarrow H^i(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r))$$

By inductive hypothesis for $r-1$ the first term is zero, while by inductive hypothesis for $n-1$ the last term is zero, which shows that the middle term is zero, completing the proof of **(b)**.

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r)) \otimes H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(1)) \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \tau \\ H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+1)) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{r+1}} & H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r+1)) \end{array}$$

The top map σ is surjective, for the following reason: By m -regularity of \mathcal{F} and using the statement **(b)** already proved, we see that $H^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r-1)) = 0$ for $r \geq m$, and so the restriction map $\nu_r : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r))$ is surjective. Also, the restriction map $\rho : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(1))$ is surjective. Therefore the tensor product $\sigma = \nu_r \otimes \rho$ of these two maps is surjective.

The second vertical map τ is surjective by inductive hypothesis for $n-1 = \dim(H)$.

Therefore, the composite $\tau \circ \sigma$ is surjective, so the composite $\nu_{r+1} \circ \mu$ is surjective, hence $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+1)) = \text{im}(\mu) + \ker(\nu_{r+1})$. As the bottom row is exact, we get $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+1)) = \text{im}(\mu) + \text{im}(\alpha)$. However, we have $\text{im}(\alpha) \subset \text{im}(\mu)$, as the map α is given by tensoring with a certain section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ (which has divisor H). Therefore, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+1)) = \text{im}(\mu)$. This completes the proof of **(a)** for all n .

To prove **(c)**, consider the map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(p)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+p))$, which is surjective for $r \geq m$ and $p \geq 0$ as follows from a repeated use of **(a)**. For $p \gg 0$, we know that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+p))$ is generated by its global sections. It follows that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r))$ is also generated by its global sections for $r \geq m$. We already know from **(b)** that $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ when $r \geq m$. This proves **(c)**, completing the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 2.2 The following fact, based on the diagram used in the course of the above proof, will be useful later: With notation as above, let the restriction map $\nu_r : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r))$ be surjective. Also, let \mathcal{F}_H be r -regular, so that by Lemma 2.1.**(a)** the map $H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(1)) \otimes H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r+1))$ is surjective. Then the restriction map $\nu_{r+1} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r+1)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r+1))$ is again surjective. As a consequence, if \mathcal{F}_H is m regular and if for some $r \geq m$ the restriction map $\nu_r : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(r)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(r))$ is surjective, then the restriction map $\nu_p : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(p)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(p))$ is surjective for all $p \geq r$.

Exercise Find all the values of m for which the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r)$ is m -regular.

Exercise Suppose $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^n . Show that if \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F}'' are m -regular, then \mathcal{F} is also m -regular, if \mathcal{F}' is $(m+1)$ -regular and \mathcal{F} is m -regular, then \mathcal{F}'' is m -regular, and if \mathcal{F} is m -regular and \mathcal{F}'' is $(m-1)$ -regular, then \mathcal{F}' is m -regular.

The use of m -regularity for making Quot schemes is via the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Mumford) *For any non-negative integers p and n , there exists a polynomial $F_{p,n}$ in $n+1$ variables with integral coefficients, which has the following property:*

Let k be any field, and let \mathbb{P}^n denote the n -dimensional projective space over k . Let \mathcal{F} be any coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n , which is isomorphic to a subsheaf of $\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. Let the Hilbert polynomial of \mathcal{F} be written in terms of binomial coefficients as

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}(r)) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \binom{r}{i}$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then \mathcal{F} is m -regular, where m is given by the formula

$$m = F_{p,n}(a_0, \dots, a_n).$$

Proof (From Mumford's *Curves on a surface*.) As before, we can assume that k is infinite. We argue by induction on n . When $n = 0$, clearly we can take $F_{p,0}$ to be any polynomial. Next, let $n \geq 1$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hyperplane which does not contain any of the finitely many associated points of $\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathcal{F}$ (such an H exists as k is infinite). Then we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}^1(\mathcal{O}_H, \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

and so the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ restricts to H to give a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_H/\mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$. This shows that \mathcal{F}_H is isomorphic to a subsheaf of $\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}}$ (under an identification of H with \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}), which is a basic step needed for our inductive argument.

Note that \mathcal{F} is torsion free if non-zero, and so we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$. From the associated cohomology sequence we get $\chi(\mathcal{F}_H(r)) = \chi(\mathcal{F}(r)) - \chi(\mathcal{F}(r-1)) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \binom{r}{i} - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \binom{r-1}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \binom{r-1}{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j \binom{r}{j}$ where the coefficients b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} have expressions $b_j = g_j(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ where the g_j are polynomials with integral coefficients independent of the field k and the sheaf \mathcal{F} . (*Exercise* : Write down the g_j explicitly.)

By inductive hypothesis on $n-1$ there exists a polynomial $F_{p,n-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ such that \mathcal{F}_H is m_0 -regular where $m_0 = F_{p,n-1}(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$. Substituting $b_j = g_j(a_0, \dots, a_n)$, we get $m_0 = G(a_0, \dots, a_n)$, where G is a polynomial with integral coefficients independent of the field k and the sheaf \mathcal{F} .

For $m \geq m_0 - 1$, we therefore get a long exact cohomology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(m-1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(m)) \xrightarrow{\nu} H^0(\mathcal{F}_H(m)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

which for $i \geq 2$ gives isomorphisms $H^i(\mathcal{F}(m-1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\mathcal{F}(m))$. As we have $H^i(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0$ for $m \gg 0$, these equalities show that

$$H^i(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 2 \text{ and } m \geq m_0 - 2.$$

The surjections $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ show that the function $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ is a monotonically decreasing function of the variable m for $m \geq m_0 - 2$. We will in fact show that for $m \geq m_0$, the function $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ is strictly decreasing till its value reaches zero, which would imply that

$$H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0 \text{ for } m \geq m_0 + h^1(\mathcal{F}(m_0)).$$

Next we will put a suitable upper bound on $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m_0))$ to complete the proof of the theorem. Note that $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m-1)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ for $m \geq m_0$, and moreover equality holds for some $m \geq m_0$ if and only if the restriction map $\nu_m : H^0(\mathcal{F}(m)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}_H(m))$ is surjective. As \mathcal{F}_H is m -regular, it follows from Remark 2.2 that the restriction map $\nu_j : H^0(\mathcal{F}(j)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}_H(j))$ is surjective for all $j \geq m$, so $h^1(\mathcal{F}(j-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{F}(j))$ for all $j \geq m$. As $h^1(\mathcal{F}(j)) = 0$ for $j \gg 0$, this establishes our claim that $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ is strictly decreasing for $m \geq m_0$ till its value reaches zero.

To put a bound on $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m_0))$, we use the fact that as $\mathcal{F} \subset \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ we must have $h^0(\mathcal{F}(r)) \leq p h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r)) = p \binom{n+r}{n}$. From the already established fact that $h^i(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $m \geq m_0 - 2$, we now get

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(\mathcal{F}(m_0)) &= h^0(\mathcal{F}(m_0)) - \chi(\mathcal{F}(m_0)) \\ &\leq p \binom{n+m_0}{n} - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \binom{m_0}{i} \\ &= P(a_0, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $P(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ is a polynomial expression in a_0, \dots, a_n , obtained by substituting $m_0 = G(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ in the second line of the above (in)equalities. Therefore, the coefficients of the corresponding polynomial $P(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ are again independent of the field k and the sheaf \mathcal{F} . Note moreover that as $h^1(\mathcal{F}(m_0)) \geq 0$, we must have $P(a_0, \dots, a_n) \geq 0$.

Substituting in an earlier expression, we get

$$H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0 \text{ for } m \geq G(a_0, \dots, a_n) + P(a_0, \dots, a_n)$$

Taking $F_{p,n}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ to be $G(x_0, \dots, x_n) + P(x_0, \dots, x_n)$, and noting the fact that $P(a_0, \dots, a_n) \geq 0$, we see that \mathcal{F} is $F_{p,n}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ -regular.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Exercise Write down such polynomials $F_{p,n}$.

3 Semi-continuity and base-change

Base-change without flatness

The following lemma on base-change does not need any flatness hypothesis. The price paid is that the integer r_0 may depend on ϕ .

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\phi : T \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of noetherian schemes, let \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_S^n , and let \mathcal{F}_T denote the pull-back of \mathcal{F} under the induced morphism $\mathbb{P}_T^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$. Let $\pi_S : \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_T : \mathbb{P}_T^n \rightarrow T$ denote the projections. Then there exists an integer r_0 such that the base-change homomorphism*

$$\phi^* \pi_{S*} \mathcal{F}(r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*} \mathcal{F}_T(r)$$

is an isomorphism for all $r \geq r_0$.

Proof As base-change holds for open embeddings, using a finite affine open cover U_i of S and a finite affine open cover $V_{i,j}$ of each $\phi^{-1}(U_i)$ (which is possible by noetherian hypothesis), it is enough to consider the case where S and T are affine. Note that for all integers i , the base-change homomorphism

$$\phi^* \pi_{S*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(i) \rightarrow \pi_{T*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(i)$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, if a and b are any integers and if $f : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(b)$ is any homomorphism and $f_T : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(b)$ denotes its pull-back to \mathbb{P}_T^n , then for all i we have the following commutative diagram where the vertical maps are base-change isomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi^* \pi_{S*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(a+i) & \xrightarrow{\phi^* \pi_{S*} f^{(i)}} & \phi^* \pi_{S*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(b+i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{T*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(a+i) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{T*} f_T^{(i)}} & \pi_{T*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(b+i) \end{array}$$

As S is noetherian and affine, there exists an exact sequence

$$\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(a) \xrightarrow{u} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(b) \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

for some integers $a, b, p \geq 0, q \geq 0$. Its pull-back to \mathbb{P}_T^n is an exact sequence

$$\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(a) \xrightarrow{u_T} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(b) \xrightarrow{v_T} \mathcal{F}_T \rightarrow 0$$

Let $\mathcal{G} = \ker(v)$ and let $\mathcal{H} = \ker(v_T)$. For any integer r , we get exact sequences

$$\pi_{S*} \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(a+r) \rightarrow \pi_{S*} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(b+r) \rightarrow \pi_{S*} \mathcal{F}(r) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{S*} \mathcal{G}(r)$$

and

$$\pi_{T*} \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(a+r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(b+r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*} \mathcal{F}_T(r) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{T*} \mathcal{H}(r)$$

There exists an integer r_0 such that $R^1\pi_{S*}\mathcal{G}(r) = 0$ and $R^1\pi_{T*}\mathcal{H}(r) = 0$ for all $r \geq r_0$. Hence for all $r \geq r_0$, we have exact sequences

$$\pi_{S*} \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(a+r) \xrightarrow{\pi_{S*}u(r)} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(b+r) \xrightarrow{\pi_{S*}v(r)} \pi_{S*}\mathcal{F}(r) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\pi_{T*} \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(a+r) \xrightarrow{\pi_{T*}u_T(r)} \pi_{T*} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(b+r) \xrightarrow{\pi_{T*}v_T(r)} \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T(r) \rightarrow 0$$

Pulling back the second-last exact sequence under $\phi : T \rightarrow S$, we get the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \phi^*\pi_{S*} \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(a+r) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*\pi_{S*}u} & \phi^* \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(b+r) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*\pi_{S*}v} & \phi^*\pi_{S*}\mathcal{F}(r) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \pi_{T*} \oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(a+r) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{T*}u_T(r)} & \pi_{T*} \oplus^q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_T^n}(b+r) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{T*}v_T(r)} & \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T(r) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which first row is exact by the right-exactness of tensor product. The vertical maps are base-change homomorphisms, the first two of which are isomorphisms for all r . Therefore by the five lemma, $\phi^*\pi_{S*}\mathcal{F}(r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T(r)$ is an isomorphism for all $r \geq r_0$. \square

The following elementary proof of the above result is taken from Mumford [M]: Let M be the graded \mathcal{O}_S -module $\oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{S*}\mathcal{F}(m)$, so that $\mathcal{F} = M^\sim$. Let ϕ^*M be the graded \mathcal{O}_T -module which is the pull-back of M . Then we have $\mathcal{F}_T = (\phi^*M)^\sim$. On the other hand, let $N = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T(m)$, so that we have $\mathcal{F}_T = N^\sim$. Therefore, in the category of graded $\mathcal{O}_T[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ -modules, we get an induced equivalence between ϕ^*M and N , which means the natural homomorphisms of graded pieces $(\phi^*M)_m \rightarrow N_m$ are isomorphisms for all $m \gg 0$. \square

Flatness of \mathcal{F} from local freeness of $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(r)$

Lemma 3.2 *Let S be a noetherian scheme and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_S^n . Suppose that there exists some integer N such that for all $r \geq N$ the direct image $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(r)$ is locally free. Then \mathcal{F} is flat over S .*

Proof Consider the graded module $M = \oplus_{r \geq N} M_r$ over \mathcal{O}_S , where $M_r = \pi_*\mathcal{F}(r)$. The sheaf \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to the sheaf M^\sim on $\mathbb{P}_S^n = \mathbf{Proj}_S \mathcal{O}_S[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ made from the graded sheaf M of \mathcal{O}_S -modules. As each M_r is flat over \mathcal{O}_S , so is M . Therefore for any x_i the localisation M_{x_i} is flat over \mathcal{O}_S . There is a grading on M_{x_i} , indexed by \mathbb{Z} , defined by putting $\deg(v_p/x_i^q) = p - q$ for $v_p \in M_p$ (this is well-defined). Hence the component $(M_{x_i})_0$ of degree zero, being a direct summand of M_{x_i} , is again flat over \mathcal{O}_S . But by definition of M^\sim , this is just $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{F})$, where $U_i = \mathbf{Spec}_S \mathcal{O}_S[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i] \subset \mathbb{P}_S^n$. As the U_i form an open cover of \mathbb{P}_S^n , it follows that \mathcal{F} is flat over \mathcal{O}_S . \square

Exercise Show that the converse of the above lemma holds: if \mathcal{F} is flat then $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(r)$ is locally free for all sufficiently large r .

Grothendieck complex for semi-continuity

The following is a very important basic result of Grothendieck, and the complex K^\bullet occurring in it is called the Grothendieck complex.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes where $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module which is flat over \mathcal{O}_S . Then there exists a finite complex*

$$0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$$

of finitely generated projective A -modules, together with a functorial A -linear isomorphism

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A M)$$

on the category of all A -modules M .

The above theorem is the foundation for all results about direct images and base-change for flat families of sheaves, such as Theorem 3.7.

As another consequence of the above theorem, we have the following.

Proposition 3.4 ([EGA] 7.7.6) *Let S be a noetherian scheme and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X which is flat over S . Then there exists a coherent sheaf \mathcal{C} on S together with a functorial \mathcal{O}_S -linear isomorphism*

$$\theta_{\mathcal{G}} : \pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi^* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G})$$

on the category of all quasi-coherent sheaves \mathcal{G} on S . By its universal property, the pair (\mathcal{C}, θ) is unique upto a unique isomorphism.

Proof If $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, then we can take \mathcal{C} to be the coherent sheaf associated to the A -module C which is the cokernel of the transpose $\partial^\vee : (K^1)^\vee \rightarrow (K^0)^\vee$ where $\partial : K^0 \rightarrow K^1$ is the differential of any chosen Grothendieck complex of A -modules $0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ for the sheaf \mathcal{F} , whose existence is given by Theorem 3.3. For any A -module M , the right-exact sequence $(K^1)^\vee \rightarrow (K^0)^\vee \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with M gives on applying $\operatorname{Hom}_A(-, M)$ a left-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, M) \rightarrow K^0 \otimes_A M \rightarrow K^1 \otimes_A M$$

Therefore by Theorem 3.3, we have an isomorphism

$$\theta_M^A : H^0(X_A, \mathcal{F}_A \otimes_A M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, M)$$

Thus, the pair (C, θ^A) satisfies the theorem when $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$. More generally, we can cover S by affine open subschemes. Then on their overlaps, the resulting pairs (\mathcal{C}, θ) glue together by their uniqueness. \square

A **linear scheme** $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow S$ over a noetherian base scheme S is a scheme of the form $\mathbf{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{C})$ where \mathcal{C} is a coherent sheaf on S . This is naturally a group scheme. Linear schemes generalise the notion of (geometric) vector bundles, which are the special case where \mathcal{C} is locally free of constant rank.

The **zero section** $\mathbf{V}_0 \subset \mathbf{V}$ of a linear scheme $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{C})$ is the closed subscheme defined by the ideal generated by \mathcal{C} . Note that the projection $\mathbf{V}_0 \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism, and \mathbf{V}_0 is just the image of the zero section $0 : S \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ of the group-scheme.

Proposition 3.5 ([EGA] 7.7.8, 7.7.9) *Let S be a noetherian scheme and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism. Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be coherent sheaves on X . Consider the set-valued contravariant functor $\mathfrak{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ on S -schemes, which associates to any $T \rightarrow S$ the set of all \mathcal{O}_{X_T} -linear homomorphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{X_T}(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{F}_T)$ where \mathcal{E}_T and \mathcal{F}_T denote the pull-backs of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} under the projection $X_T \rightarrow X$. If \mathcal{F} is flat over S , then the above functor is representable by a linear scheme \mathbf{V} over S .*

Proof First note that if \mathcal{E} is moreover a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module, then $\mathfrak{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is the functor $T \mapsto H^0(X_T, (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}^\vee)_T)$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}^\vee$ is again flat over S , so we can apply Proposition 3.4 to get a coherent sheaf \mathcal{C} , such that we have $\pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi^* \mathcal{G}) = \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G})$ for all quasi-coherent sheaves \mathcal{G} on S . In particular, if $f : \operatorname{Spec} R \rightarrow S$ is any morphism then taking $\mathcal{G} = f_* \mathcal{O}_R$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Mor}_S(\operatorname{Spec} R, \mathbf{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{C})) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{C}, f_* \mathcal{O}_R) \\ &= H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi^* f_* \mathcal{O}_R) \\ &= H^0(X_R, (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}^\vee)_R) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{X_R}(\mathcal{E}_R, \mathcal{F}_R). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the linear scheme $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{C})$ is the required linear scheme when \mathcal{E} is locally free on X . More generally for an arbitrary coherent \mathcal{E} , over any affine open $U \subset S$ there exist vector bundles E' and E'' on X_U and a right exact sequence $E' \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. (This is where we need projectivity of $X \rightarrow S$. Instead, we could have assumed just properness together with the condition that locally over S we have such a resolution of \mathcal{E} .) Then applying the above argument, we get linear schemes \mathbf{V}' and \mathbf{V}'' over U , with a linear morphism $\phi : \mathbf{V}'' \rightarrow \mathbf{V}'$ induced by $E' \rightarrow E''$. Let $\mathbf{V}_U = \ker(\phi)$, which is the closed subscheme of \mathbf{V}' obtained as the inverse image of the zero section of \mathbf{V}'' . More directly, if \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C}'' are the coherent sheaves on U made as above, we have a homomorphism $\mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$, and take \mathcal{C}_U to be its cokernel, and put $\mathbf{V}_U = \mathbf{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{C}_U)$. The scheme \mathbf{V}_U has the desired universal property over U . Therefore, all such \mathbf{V}_U , as U varies over an affine open cover of S , patch together to give the desired linear scheme \mathbf{V} . \square

Remark 3.6 In particular, note that the zero section $\mathbf{V}_0 \subset \mathbf{V}$ is where the universal homomorphism vanishes. If $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{X_T}(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{F}_T)$ defines a morphism

$\varphi_f : T \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$, then the inverse image $f^{-1}\mathbf{V}_0$ is a closed subscheme T' of T with the universal property that if $U \rightarrow T$ is any morphism of schemes such that the pull-back of f is zero, then $U \rightarrow T$ factors via T' .

Base-change for flat sheaves

The following is the main result of Grothendieck on base change for flat families of sheaves, which is a consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.7 *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module which is flat over \mathcal{O}_S . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *For any integer i , the function $s \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(s)} H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is upper semi-continuous on S .*
- (2) *The function $s \mapsto \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\kappa(s)} H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is locally constant on S .*
- (3) *If for some integer i , there is some integer $d \geq 0$ such that $\dim_{\kappa(s)} H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s) = d$ for all $s \in S$, then $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free of rank d , and $(R^{i-1} \pi_* \mathcal{F})_s \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is an isomorphism for all $s \in S$.*
- (4) *If for some integer i and point $s \in S$ the map $(R^i \pi_* \mathcal{F})_s \rightarrow H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is surjective, then it is an isomorphism and the same is true for all s' in an open neighbourhood of s in S .*
- (5) *If for some integer i and point $s \in S$ the map $(R^i \pi_* \mathcal{F})_s \rightarrow H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is surjective, then the following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:*
 - (a) *The map $(R^{i-1} \pi_* \mathcal{F})_s \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is surjective.*
 - (b) *The sheaf $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free in a neighbourhood of s in S .*

Proof See for example Hartshorne [H] Chapter III, Section 12. □

4 Generic flatness and flattening stratification

Lemma on Generic Flatness

Lemma 4.1 *Let A be a noetherian domain, and B a finite type A algebra. Let M be a finite B -module. Then there exists an $f \in A$, $f \neq 0$, such that the localisation M_f is a free module over A_f .*

Proof Over the quotient field K of A , the K -algebra $B_K = K \otimes_A B$ is of finite type, and $M_K = K \otimes_A M$ is a finite module over B_K . Let n be the dimension of the support of M_K over $\text{Spec } B_K$. We argue by induction on n , starting with $n = -1$ which is the case when $M_K = 0$. In this case, as $K \otimes_A M = S^{-1}M$ where $S = A - \{0\}$, each $v \in M$ is annihilated by some non-zero element of A . Taking a finite generating set, and a common multiple of corresponding annihilating elements, we see there exists an $f \neq 0$ in A with $fM = 0$. Hence $M_f = 0$, proving the lemma when $n = -1$.

Now let $n \geq 0$, and let the lemma be proved for smaller values. As B is noetherian and M is assumed to be a finite B -module, there exists a finite filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$$

where each M_i is a B -submodule of M such that for each $i \geq 1$ the quotient module M_i/M_{i-1} is isomorphic to B/\mathfrak{p}_i for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_i in B .

Note that if $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of B -modules, and if f' and f'' are non-zero elements of A such that $M'_{f'}$ and $M''_{f''}$ are free over respectively $A_{f'}$ and $A_{f''}$, then M_f is a free module over A_f where $f = f'f''$. We will use this fact repeatedly. Therefore it is enough to prove the result when M is of the form B/\mathfrak{p} for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in B . This reduces us to the case where B is a domain and $M = B$.

As by assumption $K \otimes_A B$ has dimension $n \geq 0$ (that is, $K \otimes_A B$ is non-zero), the map $A \rightarrow B$ must be injective. By Noether normalisation lemma, there exist elements $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$, such that $K \otimes_A B$ is finite over its subalgebra $K[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ and the elements b_1, \dots, b_n are algebraically independent over K . (For simplicity of notation, we write $1 \otimes b$ simply as b .) If $g \neq 0$ in A is chosen to be a ‘common denominator’ for coefficients of equations of integral dependence satisfied by a finite set of algebra generators for $K \otimes_A B$ over $K[b_1, \dots, b_n]$, we see that B_g is finite over $A_g[b_1, \dots, b_n]$.

Let m be the generic rank of the finite module B_g over the domain $A_g[b_1, \dots, b_n]$. Then we have a short exact sequence of $A_g[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ -modules of the form

$$0 \rightarrow A_g[b_1, \dots, b_n]^{\oplus m} \rightarrow B_g \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

where T is a finite torsion module over $A_g[b_1, \dots, b_n]$. Therefore, the dimension of the support of $K \otimes_{A_g} T$ as a $K \otimes_{A_g} (B_g)$ -module is strictly less than n . Hence by induction on n (applied to the data A_g, B_g, T), there exists some $h \neq 0$ in A with

T_h free over A_{gh} . Taking $f = gh$, the lemma follows from the above short exact sequence. \square

The above theorem has the following consequence, which follows by restricting attention to a non-empty affine open subscheme of S .

Corollary 4.2 *Let S be a noetherian and integral scheme. Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ be a finite type morphism, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Then there exists a non-empty open subscheme $U \subset S$ such that the restriction of \mathcal{F} to $X_U = p^{-1}(U)$ is flat over \mathcal{O}_U .*

Exercise Show by an example that the above result does not hold without the assumption of integrality of S .

Existence of Flattening Stratification

Theorem 4.3 *Let S be a noetherian scheme, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on the projective space \mathbb{P}_S^n over S . Then the set I of Hilbert polynomials of restrictions of \mathcal{F} to fibers of $\mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is a finite set. Moreover, for each $f \in I$ there exist a locally closed subscheme S_f of S , such that the following conditions are satisfied.*

(i) Point-set: *The underlying set $|S_f|$ of S_f consists of all points $s \in S$ where the Hilbert polynomial of the restriction of \mathcal{F} to \mathbb{P}_s^n is f . In particular, the subsets $|S_f| \subset |S|$ are disjoint, and their set-theoretic union is $|S|$.*

(ii) Universal property: *Let $S' = \coprod S_f$ be the coproduct of the S_f , and let $i : S' \rightarrow S$ be the morphism induced by the inclusions $S_f \hookrightarrow S$. Then the sheaf $i^*(\mathcal{F})$ on $\mathbb{P}_{S'}^n$ is flat over S' . Moreover, $i : S' \rightarrow S$ has the universal property that for any morphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow S$ the pullback $\varphi^*(\mathcal{F})$ on \mathbb{P}_T^n is flat over T if and only if φ factors through $i : S' \rightarrow S$. The subscheme S_f is uniquely determined by the polynomial f .*

(iii) Closure of strata: *Let the set I of Hilbert polynomials be given a total ordering, defined by putting $f < g$ whenever $f(n) < g(n)$ for all $n \gg 0$. Then the closure in S of the subset $|S_f|$ is contained in the union of all $|S_g|$ where $f \leq g$.*

Proof It is enough to prove the theorem for open subschemes of S which cover S , as the resulting strata will then glue together by their universal property.

Special case: Let $n = 0$, so that $\mathbb{P}_S^n = S$. For any $s \in S$, the **fiber** $\mathcal{F}|_s$ of \mathcal{F} over s will mean the pull-back of \mathcal{F} to the subscheme $\text{Spec } \kappa(s)$, where $\kappa(s)$ is the residue field at s . (This is obtained by tensoring the stalk of \mathcal{F} at s with the residue field at s , both regarded as $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -modules.) The Hilbert polynomial of the restriction of \mathcal{F} to the fiber over s is the degree 0 polynomial $e \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, where $e = \dim_{\kappa(s)} \mathcal{F}|_s$.

By Nakayama lemma, any basis of $\mathcal{F}|_s$ prolongs to a neighbourhood U of s to give a set of generators for $\mathcal{F}|_U$. Repeating this argument, we see that there exists a smaller neighbourhood V of s in which there is a right-exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_V^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}_V^{\oplus e} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

Let $I_e \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ be the ideal sheaf formed by the entries of the $e \times m$ matrix $(\psi_{i,j})$ of the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_V^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}_V^{\oplus e}$. Let V_e be the closed subscheme of V defined by I_e . For any morphism of schemes $f : T \rightarrow V$, the pull-back sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{f^*\psi} \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus e} \xrightarrow{f^*\phi} f^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, by right-exactness of tensor products. Hence the pull-back $f^*\mathcal{F}$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_T -module of rank e if and only if $f^*\psi = 0$, that is, f factors via the subscheme $V_e \hookrightarrow V$ defined by the vanishing of all entries $\psi_{i,j}$. Thus we have proved assertions **(i)** and **(ii)** of the theorem.

As the rank of the matrix $(\psi_{i,j})$ is lower semicontinuous, it follows that the function e is upper semicontinuous, which proves the assertion **(iii)** of the theorem, completing its proof when $n = 0$.

General case: We now allow the integer n to be arbitrary. The idea of the proof is as follows: We show the existence of a stratification of S which is a ‘g.c.d.’ of the flattening stratifications for direct images $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(i)$ for all $i \geq N$ for some integer N (where the flattening stratifications for $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(i)$ exist by case $n = 0$ which we have treated above). This is the desired flattening stratification of \mathcal{F} over S , as follows from Lemma 3.2.

As S is noetherian, it is a finite union of irreducible components, and these are closed in S . Let Y be an irreducible component of S , and let U be the non-empty open subset of Y which consists of all points which do not lie on any other irreducible component of S . Let U be given the reduced subscheme structure. Note that this makes U an integral scheme, which is a locally closed subscheme of S . By Corollary 4.2 on generic flatness, U has a non-empty open subscheme V such that the restriction of \mathcal{F} to \mathbb{P}_V^n is flat over \mathcal{O}_V . Now repeating the argument with S replaced by its reduced closed subscheme $S - V$, it follows by noetherian induction on S that there exist finitely many reduced, locally closed, mutually disjoint subschemes V_i of S such that set-theoretically $|S|$ is the union of the $|V_i|$ and the restriction of \mathcal{F} to $\mathbb{P}_{V_i}^n$ is flat over \mathcal{O}_{V_i} . As each V_i is a noetherian scheme, and as the Hilbert polynomials are locally constant for a flat family of sheaves, it follows that only finitely many polynomials occur in V_i in the family of Hilbert polynomials $P_s(m) = \chi(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m))$ as s varies over points of V_i . This allows us to conclude the following:

(A) Only finitely many distinct Hilbert polynomials $P_s(m) = \chi(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m))$ occur, as s varies over all of S .

By the semi-continuity theorem applied to the flat families $\mathcal{F}_{V_i} = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{P}_{V_i}^n}$ parametrised by the finitely many noetherian schemes V_i , we get the following:

(B) There exists an integer N_1 such that $R^r\pi_*\mathcal{F}(m) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ and $m \geq N_1$, and moreover $H^r(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m)) = 0$ for all $s \in S$.

For each V_i , by Lemma 3.1 there exists an integer $r_i \geq N_1$ with the property that for any $m \geq r_i$ the base change homomorphism

$$(\pi_*\mathcal{F}(m))|_{V_i} \rightarrow (\pi_i)_*\mathcal{F}_{V_i}(m)$$

is an isomorphism, where \mathcal{F}_{V_i} denotes the restriction of \mathcal{F} to $\mathbb{P}_{V_i}^n$, and $\pi_i : \mathbb{P}_{V_i}^n \rightarrow V_i$ the projection. As the higher cohomologies of all fibers (in particular, the first cohomology) vanish by **(B)**, it follows by semi-continuity theory for the flat family \mathcal{F}_{V_i} over V_i that for any $s \in V_i$ the base change homomorphism

$$((\pi_i)_* \mathcal{F}_{V_i}(m))|_s \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m))$$

is an isomorphism for $m \geq r_i$. Taking N to be the maximum of all r_i over the finitely many non-empty V_i , and composing the above two base change isomorphisms, we get the following.

(C) There exists an integer $N \geq N_1$ such that the base change homomorphism

$$(\pi_* \mathcal{F}(m))|_s \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m))$$

is an isomorphism for all $m \geq N$ and $s \in S$.

Note We now forget the subschemes V_i but retain the facts **(A)**, **(B)**, **(C)** which were proved using the V_i .

Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ denote the projection. Consider the coherent sheaves E_0, \dots, E_n on S , defined by

$$E_i = \pi_* \mathcal{F}(N + i) \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

By applying the special case of the theorem (where the relative dimension n of \mathbb{P}_S^n is 0) to the sheaf E_0 on $\mathbb{P}_S^0 = S$, we get a stratification (W_{e_0}) of S indexed by integers e_0 , such that for any morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$ the pull-back $f^* E_0$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_T -module of rank e_0 if and only if f factors via $W_{e_0} \hookrightarrow S$. Next, for each stratum W_{e_0} , we take the flattening stratification (W_{e_0, e_1}) for $E_1|_{W_{e_0}}$, and so on. Thus in $n + 1$ steps, we obtain finitely many locally closed subschemes

$$W_{e_0, \dots, e_n} \subset S$$

such that for any morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$ the pull-back $f^* E_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_T -modules of constant rank e_i if and only if f factors via $W_{e_0, \dots, e_n} \hookrightarrow S$.

For any integer N and n where $n \geq 0$, there is a bijection from the set of numerical polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ of degree $\leq n$ to the set \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , given by

$$f \mapsto (e_0, \dots, e_n) \text{ where } e_i = f(N + i).$$

Thus, each tuple $(e_0, \dots, e_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ can be uniquely replaced by a numerical polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ of degree $\leq n$, allowing us to re-designate $W_{e_0, \dots, e_n} \subset S$ as $W_f \subset S$.

Note that at any point $s \in S$, by **(B)** we have $H^r(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m)) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ and $m \geq N$. The polynomial $P_s(m) = \chi(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(m))$ has degree $\leq n$, so it is determined by its $n + 1$ values $P_s(N), \dots, P_s(N + n)$. This shows that at any point $s \in W_f$, the Hilbert polynomial $P_s(m)$ equals f . The desired locally closed subscheme $S_f \subset S$, whose existence is asserted by the theorem, will turn out to be a certain closed subscheme $S_f \subset W_f$ whose underlying subset is all of $|W_f|$. The scheme structure of S_f (which may in general differ from that of W_f) is defined as follows.

For any $i \geq 0$ and $s \in S$, the base change homomorphism

$$(\pi_* \mathcal{F}(N+i))|_s \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_s^n, \mathcal{F}_s(N+i))$$

is an isomorphism by statement **(C)**. Hence each $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(N+i)$ has fibers of constant rank $f(N+i)$ on the subscheme W_f . However, this does not mean $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(N+i)$ restricts to a locally constant sheaf of rank $f(N+i)$. But it means that W_f has a closed subscheme W_f^i , whose underlying set is all of $|W_f|$, such that $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(N+i)$ is locally free of rank $f(N+i)$ when restricted to $W_f^{(i)}$, and moreover has the property that any base-change $T \rightarrow S$ under which $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(N+i)$ pulls back to a locally free sheaf of rank $f(N+i)$ factors via W_f^i . The scheme structure of $W_f^{(i)}$ is defined by a coherent ideal sheaf $I_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{W_f}$. Let $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{W_f}$ be the sum of the I_i over $i \geq 0$. By noetherian condition, the increasing sequence

$$I_0 \subset I_0 + I_1 \subset I_0 + I_1 + I_2 \subset \dots$$

terminates in finitely many steps, showing I is again a coherent ideal sheaf. Let $S_f \subset W_f$ be the closed subscheme defined by the ideal sheaf I . Note therefore that $|S_f| = |W_f|$ and for all $i \geq 0$, the sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(N+i)$ is locally free of rank $f(N+i)$ when restricted to S_f .

It follows that from their definition that the S_f satisfy property (i) of the theorem. We now show that the morphism $\coprod_f S_f \rightarrow S$ indeed has the property (ii) of the theorem. By Lemma 3.1, there exists some $N' \geq N$ such that for all $i \geq N'$, the base-change $(\pi_* \mathcal{F}(i))|_{S_f} \rightarrow (\pi_{S_f})_* \mathcal{F}_{S_f}(i)$ is an isomorphism for each S_f . Therefore \mathcal{F}_{S_f} is flat over S_f by Lemma 3.2, as the direct images $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(i)$ for all $i \geq N'$ are locally free over S_f . Conversely, if $\phi : T \rightarrow S$ is a morphism such that \mathcal{F}_T is flat, then the Hilbert polynomial is locally constant over T . Let T_f be the open and closed subscheme of T where the Hilbert polynomial is f . Clearly, the set map $|T_f| \rightarrow |S|$ factors via $|S_f|$. But as the direct images $(\pi_T)_* \mathcal{F}_T(i)$ are locally free of rank $f(i)$ on T_f , it follows in fact that the schematic morphism $T_f \rightarrow S$ factors via S_f , proving the property (ii) of the theorem.

As by **(A)** only finitely many polynomials f occur, there exists some $p \geq N$ such that for any two polynomials f and g that occur, we have $f < g$ if and only if $f(p) < g(p)$. As S_f is the flattening stratification for $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(p)$, the property (iii) of the theorem follows from the corresponding property in the case $n = 0$, applied to the sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{F}(p)$ on S .

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Exercise What is the flattening stratification of S for the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{S^{red}}$ on S , where S^{red} is the underlying reduced scheme of S ?

5 Construction of Quot schemes

Notions of projectivity

Let S be a noetherian scheme. Recall that as defined by Grothendieck, a morphism $X \rightarrow S$ is called a **projective morphism** if there exists a coherent sheaf E on S , together with a closed embedding of X into $\mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbf{Proj} \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(E)$ over S . Equivalently, $X \rightarrow S$ is projective when it is proper and there exists a relatively very ample line bundle L on X . These conditions are related by taking L to be the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ to X , or in the reverse direction, taking E to be the direct image of L on S . A morphism $X \rightarrow S$ is called **quasi-projective** if it factors as an open embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ followed by a projective morphism $Y \rightarrow S$.

A stronger version of projectivity was introduced by Altman and Kleiman: a morphism $X \rightarrow S$ of noetherian schemes is called **strongly projective** (respectively, **strongly quasi-projective**) if there exists a vector bundle E on S together with a closed embedding (respectively, a locally closed embedding) $X \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ over S .

Finally, the strongest version of (quasi-)projectivity is as follows (used for example in the textbook [H] by Hartshorne): it requires that X admits a (locally-)closed embedding into \mathbb{P}_S^n for some n .

Exercises (i) Gives examples to show that the above three notions of projectivity are in general distinct.

(ii) Show that if $X \rightarrow S$ is projective and flat, where S is noetherian, then $X \rightarrow S$ is strongly projective.

(iii) Note that if every coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_S -modules is the quotient of a vector bundle, then the above three notions of projectivity over S are equivalent to each other. In particular, show that this is the case when L admits an ample line bundle (for example, if S is quasi-projective over an affine base).

Main Existence Theorems

Grothendieck's original theorem on Quot schemes, whose proof is outlined in [FGA] TDTE-IV, is the following.

Theorem 5.1 (Grothendieck) *Let S be a noetherian scheme, $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a projective morphism, and L a relatively very ample line bundle on X . Then for any coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module E and any polynomial $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, the functor $\mathrm{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ is representable by a projective S -scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$.*

Altman and Kleiman gave a complete and detailed proof of the existence of Quot schemes in [A-K 2]. They could remove the noetherian hypothesis, by instead assuming strong (quasi-)projectivity of $X \rightarrow S$ together with an assumption about the nature of the coherent sheaf E , and deduce that the scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ is then strongly (quasi-)projective over S .

For simplicity, in these lecture notes we state and prove the result in [A-K 2] in the noetherian context.

Theorem 5.2 (Altman-Kleiman) *Let S be a noetherian scheme, X a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ for some vector bundle V on S , $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)|_X$, E a coherent quotient sheaf of $\pi^*(W)(\nu)$ where W is a vector bundle on S and ν is an integer, and $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$. Then the functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ is representable by a scheme $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ which can be embedded over S as a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(F)$ for some vector bundle F on S .*

The vector bundle F can be chosen to be an exterior power of the tensor product of W with a symmetric powers of V .

Taking both V and W to be trivial in the above, we get the following.

Corollary 5.3 *If S is a noetherian scheme, X is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_S^n for some $n \geq 0$, $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^n}(1)|_X$, E is a coherent quotient sheaf of $\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_X(\nu)$ for some integers $p \geq 0$ and ν , and $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, then the functor $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ is representable by a scheme $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ which can be embedded over S as a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_S^r for some $r \geq 0$.*

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 5.2, with extra noetherian hypothesis. At the end, we will remark on how the proof also gives us the original version of Grothendieck.

Reduction to the case of $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\pi^*W/\mathbb{P}(V)/S}^{\Phi,L}$

It is enough to prove Theorem 5.2 in the special case that $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ and $E = \pi^*(W)$ where V and W are vector bundles on S , as a consequence of the next lemma.

Lemma 5.4 (i) *Let ν be any integer. Then tensoring by L^ν gives an isomorphism of functors from $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ to $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E(\nu)/X/S}^{\Psi,L}$ where the polynomial $\Psi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ is defined by $\Psi(\lambda) = \Phi(\lambda + \nu)$.*

(ii) *Let $\phi : E \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism of coherent sheaves on X . Then the corresponding natural transformation $\mathfrak{Quot}_{G/X/S}^{\Phi,L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ is a closed embedding.*

Proof The statement (i) is obvious. The statement (ii) just says that given any locally noetherian scheme T and a family $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle \in \mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}(T)$, there exists a closed subscheme $T' \subset T$ with the following universal property: for any locally noetherian scheme U and a morphism $f : U \rightarrow T$, the pulled back homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_{X_U} -modules $q_U : E_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_U$ factors via the pulled back homomorphism $\phi_U : E_U \rightarrow G_U$ if and only if $U \rightarrow T$ factors via $T' \hookrightarrow T$. This is satisfied by taking T' to be the vanishing scheme for the composite homomorphism $\ker(\phi) \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{F}$ of coherent sheaves on X_T (see Remark 3.6), which makes sense here as both $\ker(\phi)$ and \mathcal{F} are coherent on X_T and \mathcal{F} is flat over T . \square

Therefore if $\mathfrak{Quot}_{\pi^*W/\mathbb{P}(V)/S}^{\Phi,L}$ is representable, then for any coherent quotient E of $\pi^*W(\nu)|_X$, we can take $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ to be a closed subscheme of $\text{Quot}_{\pi^*W/\mathbb{P}(V)/S}^{\Phi,L}$.

Use of m -regularity

We consider the sheaf $E = \pi^*(W)$ on $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ where V is a vector bundle on S , and take $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$. For any field k and a k -valued point s of S , we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(V)_s \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ where $n = \text{rank}(V) - 1$, and the restricted sheaf E_s on $\mathbb{P}(V)_s$ is isomorphic to $\oplus^p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)_s}$ where $p = \text{rank}(W)$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that given any $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, there exists an integer m which depends only on $\text{rank}(V)$, $\text{rank}(W)$ and Φ , such that for any field k and a k -valued point s of S , the sheaf E_s on $\mathbb{P}(V)_s$ is m -regular, and for any coherent quotient $q : E_s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}(V)_s$ with Hilbert polynomial Φ , the sheaf \mathcal{F} and the kernel sheaf $\mathcal{G} \subset E_s$ of q are both m -regular. In particular, it follows from the Castelnuovo Lemma 2.1 that for $r \geq m$, all cohomologies $H^i(X_s, E_s(r))$, $H^i(X_s, \mathcal{F}(r))$, and $H^i(X_s, \mathcal{G}(r))$ are zero for $i \geq 1$, and $H^0(X_s, E_s(r))$, $H^0(X_s, \mathcal{F}(r))$, and $H^0(X_s, \mathcal{G}(r))$ are generated by their global sections.

From the above it follows by Theorem 3.7 that if T is an S -scheme and $q : E_T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a T -flat coherent quotient with Hilbert polynomial Φ , then we have the following, where $\mathcal{G} \subset E_T$ is the kernel of q .

(*) The sheaves $\pi_{T*}\mathcal{G}(r)$, $\pi_{T*}E_T(r)$, $\pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}(r)$ are locally free of fixed ranks determined by the data n , p , r , and Φ , the homomorphisms $\pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{G}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(r)$, $\pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(E_T(r)) \rightarrow E_T(r)$, $\pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}(r)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(r)$ are surjective, and the higher direct images $R^i\pi_{T*}\mathcal{G}(r)$, $R^i\pi_{T*}E_T(r)$, $R^i\pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}(r)$ are zero, for all $r \geq m$ and $i \geq 1$.

(**) In particular we have the following commutative diagram of locally sheaves on X_T , in which both rows are exact, and all three vertical maps are surjective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{G}(r)) & \rightarrow & \pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(E_T(r)) & \rightarrow & \pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}(r)) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{G}(r) & \rightarrow & E(r) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}(r) & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Embedding Quot into Grassmannian

We now fix a positive integer r such that $r \geq m$. Note that the rank of $\pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}(r)$ is $\Phi(r)$ and $\pi_*E(r) = W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V)$. Therefore the surjective homomorphism $\pi_{T*}E_T(r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}(r)$ defines an element of the set $\mathfrak{Grass}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))(T)$. We thus get a morphism of functors

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Grass}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$$

It associates to $q : E_T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ the quotient $\pi_{T*}(q(r)) : \pi_{T*}E_T(r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}(r)$.

The above morphism α is injective because the quotient $q : E_T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ can be recovered from $\pi_{T*}(q(r)) : \pi_{T*}E_T(r) \rightarrow \pi_{T*}\mathcal{F}(r)$ as follows.

If $G = \text{Grass}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$ with projection $p_G : G \rightarrow S$, and $u : (p_G)^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ denotes the universal quotient on G with kernel $v : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow (p_G)^*E$, then the homomorphism $\pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{G}(r)) \rightarrow \pi_T^*\pi_{T*}E_T(r)$ can be recovered from the morphism $T \rightarrow G$ as the pull-back of $v : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow (p_G)^*E$. Let h be the composite $\pi_T^*\pi_{T*}(\mathcal{G}(r)) \rightarrow$

$\pi_T^* \pi_{T*}(E_T(r)) \rightarrow E_T(r)$. As a consequence of the properties of the diagram (**), the following is a right exact sequence on X_T

$$\pi_T^* \pi_{T*}(\mathcal{G}(r)) \xrightarrow{h} E_T(r) \xrightarrow{q(r)} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

and so $q(r) : E_T(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(r)$ can be recovered as the cokernel of h . Finally, twisting by $-r$, we recover q , proving the desired injectivity of the morphism of functors $\alpha : \mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}rass(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$.

Use of flattening stratification

We will next prove that $\alpha : \mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}rass(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$ is relatively representable. In fact, we will show that given any locally noetherian S -scheme T and a surjective homomorphism $f : W_T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} \text{Sym}^r(V_T) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ where \mathcal{J} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_T -module of rank $\Phi(r)$, there exists a locally closed subscheme T' of T with the following universal property **(F)** :

(F) Given any locally noetherian S -scheme Y and an S -morphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow T$, let f_Y be the pull-back of f , and let $\mathcal{K}_Y = \ker(f_Y) = \phi^* \ker(f)$. Let $\pi_Y : X_Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection, and let $h : (\pi_Y)^* \mathcal{K}_Y \rightarrow E_Y$ be the composite map

$$(\pi_Y)^* \mathcal{K}_Y \rightarrow (\pi_Y)^*(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V)) = (\pi_Y)^*(\pi_Y)_* E_Y \rightarrow E_Y$$

Let $q : E_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the cokernel of h . Then \mathcal{F} is flat over Y with its Hilbert polynomial on all fibers equal to Φ if and only if $\phi : Y \rightarrow T$ factors via $Y' \hookrightarrow Y$.

The existence of such a locally closed subscheme T' of T is given by Theorem 4.3, which shows that T' is the stratum corresponding to Hilbert polynomial Φ for the flattening stratification over T for the sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_T .

When we take T to be $\text{Grass}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$ with universal quotient $u : (p_G)^* E \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, the corresponding locally closed subscheme T' represents the functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ by its construction.

Hence we have shown that $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ is represented by a locally closed subscheme of $\text{Grass}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$. As $\text{Grass}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V), \Phi(r))$ embeds as a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\Phi(r)} W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V))$, we get a locally closed embedding of S -schemes

$$\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L} \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\Phi(r)} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V)))$$

In particular, the morphism $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L} \rightarrow S$ is separated and of finite type.

Valuative criterion for properness

The original reference for the following argument is EGA IV (2) 2.8.1.

The functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}$ satisfies the following valuative criterion for properness over S : given any discrete valuation ring R over S with quotient field K , the restriction map

$$\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}(\text{Spec } R) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi,L}(\text{Spec } K)$$

is bijective. This can be seen as follows. Given any coherent quotient $q : E_K \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ on X_R which defines an element $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}(\text{Spec } K)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ be the image of the composite homomorphism $E_R \rightarrow j_*(E_K) \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{F}$ where $j : X_K \hookrightarrow X_R$ is the open inclusion. Let $\overline{q} : E_R \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ be the induced surjection. Then we leave it to the reader to verify that $\langle \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{q} \rangle$ is an element of $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}(\text{Spec } R)$ which maps to $\langle \mathcal{F}, q \rangle$, and is the unique such element. (Use the basic fact that being flat over a dvr is the same as being torsion-free.)

As S is noetherian and as we have already shown that $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L} \rightarrow S$ is of finite type, it follows that $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L} \rightarrow S$ is a proper morphism. Therefore the embedding of $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ into $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\Phi(r)}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \text{Sym}^r(V)))$ is a closed embedding.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2. \square

The version of Grothendieck

We now describe how to get Theorem 5.1 from the above proof. As S is noetherian, we can find a common m such that given any field-valued point $s : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow S$ and a coherent quotient $q : E_s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ on X_s with Hilbert polynomial Φ , the sheaves $E_s(r)$, $\mathcal{F}(r)$, $\mathcal{G}(r)$ (where $\mathcal{G} = \ker(q)$) are generated by global sections and all their higher cohomologies vanish, whenever $r \geq m$. This follows from the theory of m -regularity, and semi-continuity.

Because we have such a common m , we get as before an embedding of $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ into the Grassmannian functor $\mathfrak{Grass}(\pi_*E(r), \Phi(r))$. The sheaf $\pi_*E(r)$ is coherent, but need not be the quotient of a vector bundle on S . Consequently, the scheme $\text{Grass}(\pi_*E(r), \Phi(r))$ is projective over the base, but not necessarily strongly projective.

Finally, the use of flattening stratification, which can be made over an affine open cover of S , gives a locally closed subscheme of $\text{Grass}(\pi_*E(r), \Phi(r))$ which represents $\mathfrak{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$, which is in fact a closed subscheme by the valuative criterion. Thus, we get $\text{Quot}_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ as a projective scheme over S .

6 Some variants and applications

Quot scheme in quasi-projective case

Exercise Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes. Let $Y \subset Z$ be a closed subscheme, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on Z . Then there exists an open subscheme $S' \subset S$ with the universal property that a morphism $T \rightarrow S$ factors through S' if and only if the support of the pull-back \mathcal{F}_T on $Z_T = Z \times_S T$ is disjoint from $Y_T = Y \times_S T$.

Exercise As a consequence of the above, show the following: If $\pi : Z \rightarrow S$ is a proper morphism with S noetherian, if $X \subset Z$ is an open subscheme, and if E is a coherent sheaf on Z , then $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E|X/S}$ is an open subfunctor of $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/Z/S}$.

Corollary 6.1 *Let S be a noetherian scheme, X a locally closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ for some vector bundle V on S , $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)|_X$, E a coherent quotient sheaf of $\pi^*(W)(\nu)|_X$ where W is a vector bundle on S and ν is an integer, and $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$. Then the functor $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ is representable by a scheme $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}^{\Phi, L}$ which can be embedded over S as a locally closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(F)$ for some vector bundle F on S . Moreover, the vector bundle F can be chosen to be an exterior power of the tensor product of V with a symmetric powers of W .*

Proof Let $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be the schematic closure of $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$, and let \overline{E} be the coherent sheaf on \overline{X} defined as the image of the composite homomorphism

$$\pi^*(W)(\nu)|_{\overline{X}} \rightarrow j_*(\pi^*(W)(\nu)|_X) \rightarrow j_*E$$

Then we get a quotient $\pi^*(W)(\nu)|_{\overline{X}} \rightarrow \overline{E}$ which restricts on $X \subset \overline{X}$ to the given quotient $\pi^*(W)(\nu)|_X \rightarrow E$. Therefore by the above exercise, $\mathcal{Q}uot_{E/X/S}$ is an open subfunctor of $\mathcal{Q}uot_{\overline{E}/\overline{X}/S}$. Now the corollary follows from the Theorem 5.2. \square

Scheme of morphisms

We recall the following basic facts about flatness.

Lemma 6.2 (1) *Any finite-type flat morphism between noetherian schemes is open.*

(2) *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite-type morphism of noetherian schemes. Then all $y \in Y$ such that π is flat at y (that is, $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{X,\pi(y)}$ -module) form an open subset of Y .*

(3) *Let S be a noetherian scheme, and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $g : Y \rightarrow S$ be finite type flat morphisms. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be any morphism such that $g = f \circ \pi$. Let $y \in Y$, let $x = \pi(y)$, and let $s = g(y) = f(x)$. If the restricted morphism $\pi_s : Y_s \rightarrow X_s$ between the fibers over s is flat at $y \in Y_s$, then π is flat at $y \in Y$.*

Proof See for example Altman and Kleiman [A-K 1] *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory*, Chapter V. The statement (3) is a consequence of what is known as the **local criterion for flatness**. \square

Proposition 6.3 *Let S be a noetherian scheme, and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $g : Y \rightarrow S$ be proper flat morphisms. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be any projective morphism with $g = f \circ \pi$. Then S has open subschemes $S_2 \subset S_1 \subset S$ with the following universal properties:*

(a) *For any locally noetherian S -scheme T , the base change $\pi_T : Y_T \rightarrow X_T$ is a flat morphism if and only if the structure morphism $T \rightarrow S$ factors via S_1 . (This does not need π to be projective.)*

(b) *For any locally noetherian S -scheme T , the base change $\pi_T : Y_T \rightarrow X_T$ is an isomorphism if and only if the structure morphism $T \rightarrow S$ factors via S_2 .*

Proof (a) By Lemma 6.2.(2), all $y \in Y$ such that π is flat at y form an open subset $Y' \subset Y$. Let $S_1 = S - g(Y - Y')$. As g is proper, this is an open subset of S . It follows from the local criterion of flatness (Lemma 6.2.(3)) that S_1 exactly consists of all $s \in S$ such that the restricted morphism $\pi_s : Y_s \rightarrow X_s$ between the fibers over s is flat. Therefore again by the local criterion of flatness, S_1 has the desired universal property.

(b) Let $\pi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ be the pull-back of π under the inclusion $S_1 \hookrightarrow S$ where S_1 is given the open subscheme structure. Let L be a relatively very ample line bundle for the projective morphism $\pi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$. Then by noetherianness there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\pi_{1*}L^m$ is generated by its global sections and $R^i\pi_{1*}L^m = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. By flatness of π_1 , it follows that $\pi_{1*}L^m$ is a locally free sheaf. Let $U \subset X_1$ be the open subschemes such that $\pi_{1*}L^m$ is of rank 1 on U . Finally, let $S_2 = S_1 - f(X_1 - U)$, which is open as f is proper. We leave it to the reader to verify that S_2 has the required universal property (b). \square

If X and Y are schemes over a base S , then for any S -scheme T , an **S -morphism from X to Y parametrised by T** will mean a T -morphism from $X \times_S T$ to $Y \times_S T$. The set of all such will be denoted by $\mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y)(T)$. The association $T \mapsto \mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y)(T)$ defines a contra-functor $\mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y)$ from S -schemes to *Sets*.

Exercise Let k be a field, let $S = \text{Spec } k[[t]]$, $X = \text{Spec } k = \text{Spec}(k[[t]]/(t))$, and let $Y = \mathbb{P}_S^1$. Is $\mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y)$ representable?

Theorem 6.4 *Let S be a noetherian scheme, let X be a projective scheme over S , and let Y be quasi-projective scheme over S . Assume moreover that X is flat over S . Then the functor $\mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y)$ is representable by an open subscheme $\text{Mor}_S(X, Y)$ of $\text{Hilb}_{X \times_S Y/S}$.*

Proof We can associate to each morphism $f : X_T \rightarrow Y_T$ (where T is a scheme over S) its graph $\Gamma_T(f) \subset (X \times_S Y)_T$. This defines a set-map $\Gamma_T : \mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y)(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Hilb}_{X \times_S Y/S}(T)$ which is functorial in T , so we obtain a morphism of functors

$$\Gamma : \mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Hilb}_{X \times_S Y/S}$$

Given any element of $\mathfrak{Hilb}_{X \times_S Y/S}(T)$, represented by a family $Z \subset (X \times_S Y)_T$, it follows by Proposition 6.3.(b) that T has an open subscheme T' with the following universal property: for any base-change $U \rightarrow T$, the pull-back $Z_U \subset (X \times_S Y)_U$ projects isomorphically on X_U under the projection $p : (X \times_S Y)_U \rightarrow X_U$ if and only if $U \rightarrow T$ factors via T' . Then over T' , the scheme $Z_{T'}$ is the same as the graph of a uniquely determined morphism $X_{T'} \rightarrow Y_{T'}$.

This shows that $\Gamma : \mathfrak{Mor}_S(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Hilb}_{X \times_S Y/S}$ is an open embedding, and therefore the scheme $\text{Mor}_S(X, Y)$ exists as an open subscheme of $\text{Hilb}_{X \times_S Y/S}$. \square

Exercise Let S be a noetherian scheme, and let $X \rightarrow S$ a flat projective morphism. Then show that there exists an S -scheme of automorphisms of X . In other words, consider the set-valued contravariant functor $\mathfrak{Aut}_{X/S}$ on locally noetherian S -schemes, which associates to any T the set of all automorphisms of X_T over T . Show that this functor is representable.

Exercise Let S be a noetherian scheme, and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $g : Y \rightarrow S$ be proper flat morphisms. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be any quasi-projective morphism with $g = f \circ \pi$. Consider the set-valued contravariant functor $\Pi_{Y/X/S}$ on locally noetherian S -schemes, which associates to any T the set of all sections of $\pi_T : Y_T \rightarrow X_T$. Show that this functor is representable.

Quotient by a flat projective equivalence relation

Let X be a scheme over a base S . A **schematic equivalence relation** on X over S will mean an S -scheme R together with a morphism $f : R \rightarrow X \times_S X$ over S such that for any S -scheme T the set map $f(T) : R(T) \rightarrow X(T) \times X(T)$ is injective and its image is the graph of an equivalence relation on $X(T)$. (Here, we denote by $Z(T)$ the set $\text{Mor}_S(T, Z) = h_Z(T)$ of all T -valued points of Z , where Z and T are S -schemes.)

We will say that a morphism $q : X \rightarrow Y$ of S -schemes is a **quotient** for a schematic equivalence relation $f : R \rightarrow X \times_S X$ over S if q is a **co-equaliser** for the component morphisms $f_1, f_2 : R \rightarrow X$ of $f : R \rightarrow X \times_S X$. By definition, this means that given any S -scheme Z and an S -morphism $g : X \rightarrow Z$ such that $g \circ f_1 = g \circ f_2$, there exists a unique S -morphism $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $g = h \circ q$. By its universal property, note that a quotient is unique upto a unique isomorphism.

Caution Even if $q : X \rightarrow Y$ is a schematic quotient for R , for a given T the map $q(T) : X(T) \rightarrow Y(T)$ may not be a quotient for $R(T)$ in the category of sets. The map $q(T)$ may fail to be surjective, and moreover it may identify two distinct equivalence classes. **Exercise:** Give examples where such phenomena occur.

We will say that the quotient $q : X \rightarrow Y$ is **effective** if the induced morphism $(f_1, f_2) : R \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is an isomorphism of S -schemes. In particular, it will ensure that distinct equivalence classes do not get identified under $q(T) : X(T) \rightarrow Y(T)$. But $q(T)$ can still fail to be surjective, as can happen in the following example.

Exercise Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, and let $X \subset \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ be the complement of the zero section of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$. Note that for any ring B , a B -valued point of X (element of $X(B)$) is a vector $u \in B^n$ such that at least one component of u is invertible in B . Show that $X \times_S X$ has a closed subscheme R whose B -valued points for any ring B are all pairs $(u, v) \in X(B) \times X(B)$ such that there exists an invertible element $\lambda \in B^\times$ with $\lambda u = v$. Show that a quotient $q : X \rightarrow Y$ exists, and that R is an effective equivalence relation on X over S . Show that $q(Y) : X(Y) \rightarrow Y(Y)$ is not surjective.

The idea of using Hilbert schemes to make quotients of flat projective equivalence relations is due to Grothendieck, who used it in his construction of a relative Picard scheme. (This is much simpler than the GIT construction of moduli of stable vector bundles applied to the rank 1 case.) The following strongly projective form of the theorem was proved by Altman and Kleiman in [A-K 2].

Theorem 6.5 *Let S be a noetherian scheme, and let $X \rightarrow S$ be a strongly quasi-projective morphism. Let $f : R \rightarrow X \times_S X$ be a schematic equivalence relation on X over S , such that the projections $f_1, f_2 : R \rightrightarrows X$ are proper and flat. Then a schematic quotient $X \rightarrow Y$ exists over S . Moreover, the equivalence relation R is effective, the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is faithfully flat and strongly projective, and Y is strongly quasi-projective over S .*

Proof Using the projections f_1 and f_2 , we can regard R as a proper flat family of closed subschemes of X parametrised by X . Let H be the union of components of the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{X/S}$ with the finitely many Hilbert polynomials which occur in this family. Then H is a strongly quasi-projective scheme over S by the basic existence theorem on Hilbert schemes (see Corollary 6.1).

Let $D \subset X \times_S H$ be the universal closed subscheme. Note that the projection $p : D \rightarrow H$ is proper and faithfully flat. The family $f_1 : R \rightarrow X$ corresponds to a classifying morphism $g : X \rightarrow H$, with $(\operatorname{id}_X \times_S g)^* D = R$.

Consider the graph morphism $(\operatorname{id}_X, g) : X \rightarrow X \times_S H$, which is a closed embedding. It factors through $D \subset X \times_S H$, giving a closed subscheme $Z \subset D$. It can be seen from the associated functor of points that the closed subscheme $Z \subset D$ satisfies the condition necessary for the descent of a closed subscheme under the fppf cover $D \rightarrow H$, so there is a closed subscheme $Y \subset H$ such that Z is the pull-back of Y under $D \rightarrow H$. The composite morphism $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \rightarrow Y$ is the required schematic quotient. Being a closed subscheme of H , the scheme Y is strongly quasi-projective over S . It follows from general properties of faithfully flat descent $X \rightarrow Y$ has all the stated properties. \square

References

- [A-K 1] Altman and Kleiman : *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory*, Springer LNM **146**, 1970.
- [A-K 2] Altman and Kleiman : Compactifying the Picard scheme. *Advances in Math.* **35** (1980) 50-112.
- [B-L-R] Bosch, Lütkebohmert, Raynaud : *Néron Models*, Springer 1990.
- [EGA] Grothendieck : *Éléments de Géométrie Algébriques* (written with the collaboration of Dieudonné). *Publ. Math. IHES*, volumes 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32 (1960-67).
- [FGA] Grothendieck : *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébriques*. (Collection of six lectures in Séminaire Bourbaki, delivered during 1957-1962, including [TDTE IV]).
- [TDTE IV] Grothendieck : Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébriques IV : les schémas de Hilbert. *Séminaire Bourbaki* **221**, 1960/61.
- [H] Hartshorne : *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer GTM 52, 1977.
- [M] Mumford : *Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface*. Princeton University Press, 1966.