united nations educational, scientific and cultural organization Que to the science international atomic energy agency the **abdus salam** international centre for theoretical physics

H4.SMR/1503 - 10

WORKSHOP ON NUCLEAR DATA FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: MATERIALS ANALYSIS

(19 - 30 May 2003)

Uncertainty of measurement results in analytical chemistry

Dr. Piotr Robouch Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements European Commission - Joint Research Centre Geel BELGIUM



Uncertainty of Measurement Results in Analytical Chemistry





- Definitions
- Uncertainty what for?
- Limitation of measurements
- GUM procedure for uncertainty evaluation
- Examples



<u>When</u> should you evaluate uncertainties of measurement results ?

- When a procedure is introduced inside your laboratory
- When a critical factor changes in the procedure (instrument, operator, ...)
- During / together with method validation
 - ➔ An individual evaluation process is NOT needed for every individual result produced !



'a parameter associated with the result of a measurement, that characterises the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the *measurand* '

Result = Value ± uncertainty

$(22.7 \pm 4.8) \text{ mg/kg}$

The value is between 17.9 and 27.5 mg/kg (cf. *range, interval*)





- It is required by ISO 17025 Accreditation
- The uncertainty of the result demonstrates the QUALITY of the measurements (not measuring with the smallest achievable uncertainty)
- In laboratory → document in transparent way the measurement procedure
- For end-user \rightarrow give the result with proper confidence
- It improves the knowledge about the measurement procedure
- To allow comparison of results



- A well documented uncertainty statement underpins your results and provides transparency!
- Your best defence in discussions!
- Repeating the measurement 2, 10 or 100 times does not give you all information to have reliable results!
- Identify major uncertainty contributors find out ways to improve the procedure



<u>True Value</u> or <u>best estimate of</u> <u>True Value</u>

- We can approach to measure the true value by measuring the "the best estimate"
 - → aiming to know true value
 - i.e. alcohol content of blood
 - *i.e.* nitrate content of drinking water
 - *i.e.* acrylamide content of crisp bread
 - *i.e.* lead content of wine



Are results different?

No results without uncertainty ! R1 = 10.6 mg/kg R2 = 11.6 mg/kg

• Traditional approach: precision R1 = (10.6 ± 0.2) mg/kg R2 = (11.6 ± 0.3) mg/kg

• GUM approach: <u>uncertainty propagation</u> (combined unc.) to take into account the contribution of all components

R1 = $(10.6 \pm 0.7) \text{ mg/kg}$ R2 = $(11.6 \pm 0.7) \text{ mg/kg}$

No statistical tests required by GUM (almost) …/… cf. Visual comparison → overlapping ranges Y/N ?



Are these results different?





GUM does not require statistical tests unless <u>you</u> need it ...

"If it is deemed useful for the intended users of the measurement result,, one may indicate - the estimated effective degree of freedom..." – [GUM 7.2.1]

- \square when comparing results
- ☑ for legal requirements
- \square requested by customer



repeatability < reproducibility < combined uncertainty



- GUM is guide for a transparent, simple and standardised documentation of the measurement procedure
- Using uncertainty evaluations, such as type A (measured in the lab) and type B (other)

Do NOT use random & systematic errors !

Combined/expanded Uncertainty



Type A evaluation of uncertainty:

statistical analysis of series of observations.

Type A standard uncertainty is measured from repeatability experiments and is quantified in terms of *the standard deviation* of the measured values

Type B evaluation of uncertainty:

by <u>other means</u> than statistical analysis (previous experiments, literature data, manufacturer's information)

[GUM, 1993]



"...The evaluation of uncertainty is neither a routine task nor a purely mathematical one; it depends on detailed <u>knowledge</u> of the nature of the measurand and of measurement..."

[GUM § 3.4.8]



Document the data you used as input for measurement!!

- "...The pool of information may include:
 - ✓ previous measurement data;
 - ✓ validation data
 - ✓ experience with or general knowledge of the behaviour and properties of relevant materials and instruments;
 - ✓ manufacturer's specifications
 - ✓ data provided in calibration and other certificates;
 - ✓ uncertainty assigned to reference data taken from handbooks"

[GUM § 4.3.1]



What do you need to know?

some basic statistics

- average of the set of data;
- standard deviation;
- law of propagation;
- distribution (normal, rectangular, triangular...)

(cf. statistics module)



- 1 Define the Measurand
- 2 Describe the Model Equation (for the measurement procedure)
- 3 Identify (all possible) sources of uncertainty
- 4 Evaluate <u>all</u> input quantities
- 5 Evaluate the standard uncertainty (1s) of each input quantities



The 10-steps GUM Sequence (continued)

- 6 Calculate the value of the measurand (using the equation model)
- 7 Calculate the combined standard uncertainty of the result
- 8 Calculate the expanded uncertainty (with a selected k)
- 9 Analyse the uncertainty contribution index (THINK !!)
- 10- Document all steps in a Report.



Experimental Protocol





<u>Step 1 - Definition of "Measurand"</u>

Measurand = particular quantity subject to measurement [VIM 2.6 / GUM B.2.9]

Example: content of NO_3^- in (mg/g)





The model of the measurement procedure is a functional relation between <u>input</u> quantities and <u>output</u> quantity (result)

$$Y = f(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$$

Measurement MODEL is the equation you use for the calculation of your result ! You have It all the all the



The output quantity *Y* depends on input quantities $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$:

$Y = f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ [GUM 4.1.2]

Input quantities (X_i) may be quantities whose value and uncertainty are directly determined in the current measurement (Type A, statistical analysis of series of observation) or brought into the measurement from external sources (Type B, previous experiments, literature data, information from manufacturer)



Model Equation

$$Q_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}} = C_{\text{st}} \frac{A_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}} \cdot V_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}}}{A_{\text{st}} \cdot m} \times f_{\text{di}} \times \frac{1}{R}$$

nitrate content of the sample (mg/g) Q_{NO3-} C_{st} nitrate concentration in standard solution (mg/l) A_{NO3} intensity of the signal (PA) for sample solution A_{st} intensity of the signal (PA) for standard solution V_{NO3-} volume of sample solution (1) mass of the sample (g) т f_{di} dilution factor (no units); R recovery factor (cf. sample preparation)



<u>Step 3 - Possible Sources of Uncertainty</u>

- \blacksquare recovery of analyte from a complex matrix
- ✓ storage conditions
- ✓ reagent purity
- ☑ assumed stoichiometry
- ✓ sampling
- measurement conditions
- ☑ instrument response
- ☑ bias of instrument
- ☑ instrument resolution
- ☑ uncertainty of standards and CRM's
- \blacksquare variations in repeated observations



Step 3 - Possible Sources of Uncertainty





<u>Step 4 - Input Quantities Uncertainty</u> (type A & B)

- repeated observation (A)
- validation experiments (A and/or B)
- manufacturers' specifications (B)
- calibration certificates (B)
- results of interlaboratory method validations (B)
- from experience and/or literature (B)



<u>Before</u> combining, all uncertainty contributions must be expressed/converted as "estimated" standard uncertainty

when available as:

 standard deviation: 	use as is
 confidence intervals: 	convert
 stated range: 	convert
 expanded uncertainties: 	convert

See Module "Statistics"



Use model equation to calculate the value of output quantity Y (c_{NO3-})

$$Q_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}} = C_{\text{st}} \frac{A_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}} \cdot V_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}}}{A_{\text{st}} \cdot m} \times f_{\text{di}} \times \frac{1}{R}$$

$$P_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}} = 0.801 \times \frac{0.0131 \times 0.1000}{0.0232 \times 1.142} \times 10 \times \frac{1}{0.78}$$

$$Q_{NO_{3}} = 0.508 mg / g$$



When there is <u>no</u> correlation between input quantities the combined standard uncertainty is evaluated as the square root of the combined variance according to:

$$u_c^2(Y) = \sum \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}\right)^2 \cdot \left(u(X_i)\right)^2$$

Law of Uncertainty propagation

where

- $u_c(Y)$ = combined standard uncertainty
- $u(X_i)$ = standard uncertainty of each input quantity

Can be done by spreadsheet or by dedicated software!

See Module "Statistics"



Combined Standard Uncertainty

$$u_{c,r}(Q_{NO_{3}^{-}}) = \sqrt{\frac{RSu(C_{st})^{2} + RSu(A_{NO_{3}^{-}})^{2} + RSu(A_{st})^{2} + RSu(V_{NO_{3}^{-}})^{2} + RSu(V_{NO_{3}^{-}})^{2} + RSu(M)^{2} + RSu(f_{di})^{2} + RSu(R)^{2}}$$

where $RSu(X_i) = u(X_i)/X_i$ (relative standard uncertainty)

$$u_{c,r}(Q_{NO_{3}^{-}}) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{0.00058}{0.801}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{0.0003}{0.0131}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{0.0006}{0.0232}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{0.004}{0.003}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{0.00058}{1.1420}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{0.023}{10.000}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{0.04}{0.78}\right)^{2}}$$
$$u_{c}(Q_{NO_{3}^{-}}) = u_{c,r}(Q_{NO_{3}^{-}}) \times Q_{NO_{3}^{-}} = 0.032 \text{ mg/g}$$



The expanded uncertainty, U, is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$ by a coverage factor k:

$$U = k * u_c$$

The result is then expressed as:

Result =
$$y \pm U (k = ??)$$

For the example: $Q_{\text{NO3-}} = (0.51 \pm 0.06) \text{ mg/g}, k = 2$

- \succ the best estimate of the value attributed to the measurand is "y",
- ➢ the interval [y U, y + U] is the range that may be expected to encompass a large fraction of the distribution of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand.



- Expanded uncertainty gives a more realistic range of possible values.
- > The coverage factor usually used is k = 2, representing a coverage of about 95%, if the distribution is normal

Standard uncertainty should be used inside the <u>laboratory</u> (to apply uncertainty propagation)

Expanded uncertainty is more realistic range given for the <u>end-users</u> of the results



Step 9 - Uncertainty Contributions



Major Contributor :

- Type B? 🛞
- Type A? 🙂
- Replicates?
- Much work?
- Control Charts?



See Module "Statistics"



Step 10 - Reporting Results



 $Q_{NO3-} = (0.51 \pm 0.06) \text{ mg/g}(*)$

(*) the reported uncertainty is an expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of k = 2, which gives a level of confidence of approximately 95%





Metrologists obsessed by small uncertainties ?



Certified range $[U=k \cdot u_c (k=2)]$: 1.226 - 1.294 mmol·kg⁻¹ 50 Cd 3 values 1.83 above 50% Deviation from middle of certified range in %40 1.73 30 1.63 1.53 20 1.43 10 mmol-kg⁻¹ 1.33 0 1.23 ပ 1.13 -10 1.03 -20 0.93 -30 0.83 -40 0.73 -irm 9 values below -50% 0.63 -50

Results from all participants.

Learning how to apply GUM: Better sell your results with reliable uncertainty statement !



- Uncertainty of measurement results evaluation according to the GUM is a useful and accepted concept to evaluate results of a measurement;
- It allows others (e.g. assessors) to understand what & how things were done
- It allows the analyst to combine prior knowledge and observations in a consistent and well defined way;
- It doesn't requires to measure with smallest achievable uncertainty



- This concept is adopted and accepted by international institutions, such as NMIs and BIPM
- ✤ Is required under ISO 17025 for accreditation
- IUPAC, OIML and accreditation community such as EA and ILAC have accepted this concept
- CEN is working on incorporating typical uncertainties for each procedure