



the  
**abdu salam**  
international centre for theoretical physics

SMR.1508 - 21

*SUMMER SCHOOL ON PARTICLE PHYSICS*

16 June - 4 July 2003

LECTURES ON SUPERSYMMETRY

Lecture II

G. WEIGLEIN  
Dept. of Physics. IPPP  
University of Durham  
Durham  
U.K.



# Lectures on Supersymmetry

*Georg Weiglein*

*IPPP Durham*

Trieste, 06/2003

## Lecture 2:

- Construction of SUSY field theories
- $N > 1$  SUSY
- Soft SUSY breaking
- The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)

## More on spinors:

The components of the spinors are **Grassmann variables**, i.e. anticommuting c-numbers

Raising and lowering of indices through the totally antisymmetric  $\epsilon$ -tensor:

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_{\alpha} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\psi^{\beta}; \quad \psi^{\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi_{\beta}; \quad \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}; \quad \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}};$$

In particular

$$\epsilon^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The product of two Grassmann spinors is defined through

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\zeta &\equiv \theta^{\alpha}\zeta_{\alpha} = \theta^{\alpha}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\zeta^{\beta} = -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\zeta^{\beta}\theta^{\alpha} = \zeta^{\beta}\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}\theta^{\alpha} = \zeta^{\beta}\theta_{\beta} = \zeta\theta \\ \bar{\theta}\bar{\zeta} &\equiv \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\zeta}\bar{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

The  $\gamma$ -matrices are defined by

$$\gamma^\mu \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\ (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

have the usual commutation relation,  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$ ; follows from

$$(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\sigma}^\nu)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \text{Tr}(\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu) = 2g^{\mu\nu}$$

The Lorentz covariant expressions involving 4-component Dirac spinors can be written in two-component notation using

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_\alpha \\ \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \bar{\Psi} = (\chi^\alpha \quad \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}})$$

$$\bar{\Psi}\Phi = \chi\lambda + \bar{\psi}\bar{\phi} = \chi^\alpha\lambda_\alpha + \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}\gamma^5\Phi = \bar{\psi}\bar{\phi} - \chi\lambda = \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \chi^\alpha\lambda_\alpha$$

$$\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Phi = \chi\sigma^\mu\bar{\phi} - \lambda\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi} = \chi^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\Phi = \chi\sigma^\mu\bar{\phi} + \lambda\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi} = \chi^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\Phi = \chi\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu\lambda + \bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\phi} = \chi^\alpha(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\sigma}^\nu)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}\lambda_\beta + \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}(\sigma^\nu)_{\beta\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}}$$

## Superspace and superfields

For compact representation of SUSY transformations

⇒ 'fermionic variables'  $\theta^\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$  introduced: anticommuting c-numbers (Grassmann variables)

E.g.: translations, generators  $P_\mu$ , parameters of transformation  $x^\mu$

$N = 1$  SUSY, generators  $Q_\alpha$ ,  $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ , parameters of transformation  $\theta^\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$

⇒ Extension of 4-dim. space-time by coordinates  $\theta^\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ : **superspace**

Point in superspace:  $X = (x^\mu, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$

**Superfield:  $\phi(x^\mu, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$**

Taylor expansion in Grassmann variable:  $\theta^\alpha \theta^\beta \theta^\gamma = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  Taylor expansion terminates after second term, i.e.  $\phi(\theta) = a + \theta\psi + \theta\theta f$   
( $\theta\theta \equiv \theta^\alpha \theta_\alpha$ )

Integration:  $\int d\theta = 0$ ,  $\int d\theta \theta = 1$

$$d^2\theta = -\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}d\theta^\alpha d\theta^\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow \int d^2\theta \phi(\theta) = \int d^2\theta (a + \theta\psi + \theta\theta f) = f$$

With Grassmann variables:

SUSY algebra can be written in terms of commutators only

$$[\theta Q, \bar{\theta}\bar{Q}] = 2\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}P_\mu$$

$$[\theta Q, \theta Q] = [\bar{\theta}\bar{Q}, \bar{\theta}\bar{Q}] = 0$$

$$[P^\mu, \theta Q] = [P^\mu, \bar{\theta}\bar{Q}] = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  can be treated like Lie-group with anticommuting parameters

## SUSY transformations

Group element of finite SUSY transformation:

$$S(y, \xi, \bar{\xi}) = \exp i (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q} - y^\mu P_\mu)$$

in analogy to group elements for Lie-groups

$\xi, \bar{\xi}$  are independent of  $x^\mu$ : **global SUSY transformation**

Transformation of superfield:  $S(y, \xi, \bar{\xi})\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$

Use Hausdorff's formula ( $e^A e^B = \dots$ ) and SUSY algebra

$$\Rightarrow S(y, \xi, \bar{\xi})\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = \phi(x^\mu + y^\mu - i\xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta} + i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}, \xi + \theta, \bar{\xi} + \bar{\theta})$$

representations of generators obtained from infinitesimal transformation of superfield

$$\Rightarrow P_\mu = i\partial_\mu, \quad Q_\alpha = -i\partial_\alpha + (\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})_\alpha\partial_\mu, \quad \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} = i\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - (\theta\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu$$



Definition of covariant derivatives:

$$D_\alpha = -i\partial_\alpha - (\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})_\alpha\partial_\mu, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = i\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} + (\theta\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu$$

Anticommutation relations of  $Q_\alpha, D_\alpha$ :

$$\{Q_\alpha, D_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \{Q_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0$$

$$\{D_\alpha, D_\beta\} = \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2i(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu = 2(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}P_\mu$$

$$\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu = -2(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}P_\mu$$

$\Rightarrow D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}$  anticommute with SUSY generators

$\Rightarrow$  are invariant under SUSY transformations

$$[(\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q}), D_\alpha] = 0, \quad [(\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q}), \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}] = 0$$

## General superfield in component form

Most general form of field depending on  $x, \theta, \bar{\theta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = & \varphi(x) + \theta\psi(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\chi}(x) + \theta\theta F(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}H(x) + \theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A_\mu(x) \\ & + (\theta\theta)\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(x) + (\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\theta\xi(x) + (\theta\theta)(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})D(x)\end{aligned}$$

Further terms vanish because of  $\theta\theta\theta = 0$

Components (can be complex):

$\phi, F, H, D$ : scalar fields

$A_\mu$ : vector field

$\psi, \bar{\chi}, \bar{\lambda}, \xi$ : Weyl-spinorfields

⇒ Too many components in 4-dim. for irreducible representation of SUSY with spin  $\leq 1$  (chiral or vector multiplet)

⇒ representation is reducible

(not all component fields mix with each other under SUSY transf.)

⇒ Irreducible superfields (smallest building blocks) from imposing conditions on general superfield conditions need to be invariant under SUSY transformations:

$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0$ : left-handed chiral superfield (LH $\chi$ SF)

$D_{\alpha}\Phi = 0$ : right-handed chiral superfield (RH $\chi$ SF)

$\Phi = \Phi^{\dagger}$ : vector superfield

Usefulness of two-component spinors:

SM fermions: left-handed and right-handed components transform differently

⇒ need superfields with only two fermionic degrees of freedom

⇒ chiral superfields describe left- or right-handed component of SM fermion + scalar partner

LH $\chi$ SF in components:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = & \varphi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(x) - i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}\partial_\mu\varphi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta\theta)(\partial_\mu\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}(\theta\theta)(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi(x) - (\theta\theta)F(x)\end{aligned}$$

$\varphi, F$ : scalar fields,  $\psi$ : Weyl-spinor field

$F$ : auxiliary field, unphysical (has mass dimension 2)

RH $\chi$ SF:

$$\bar{\phi}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = \left(\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})\right)^\dagger$$

Transformation of component fields under infinitesimal SUSY transf.:

$$\delta\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = i(\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q})\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$$

Comparison with

$$\delta\phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = \delta\varphi + \sqrt{2}\theta\delta\psi - \dots - (\theta\theta)\delta F$$

⇒ determination of  $\delta\varphi$ ,  $\delta\psi$ ,  $\delta F$ :

$$\delta\varphi = \sqrt{2}\xi\psi \quad \text{boson} \rightarrow \text{fermion}$$

$$\delta\psi_\alpha = -\sqrt{2}F\xi_\alpha - i\sqrt{2}(\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi})_\alpha\partial_\mu\varphi \quad \text{fermion} \rightarrow \text{boson}$$

$$\delta F = \partial_\mu(-i\sqrt{2}\psi\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}) \quad F \rightarrow \text{total derivative}$$

## Vector superfield in components:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= c(x) + i\theta\chi(x) - i\bar{\theta}\bar{\chi}(x) + \theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}v_\mu(x) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}(\theta\theta)(M(x) + iN(x)) - \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})(M(x) - iN(x)) \\ &+ i(\theta\theta)\bar{\theta}\left(\bar{\lambda}(x) + \frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu\chi(x)\sigma^\mu\right) - i(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\theta\left(\lambda(x) - \frac{i}{2}\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\chi}(x)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\left(D(x) - \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\partial_\mu c(x)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$v_\mu(x)$ : real vector field  $\rightarrow$  describes gauge boson

( $V$  must transform as adjoint representation of gauge group)

Number of components can be reduced by SUSY gauge transformation:

$$e^{gV} \longrightarrow e^{-ig\Lambda^\dagger} e^{gV} e^{ig\Lambda},$$

where  $\Lambda(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$  is a chiral superfield and  $g$  is the gauge coupling

can perform a transformation such that  $\chi(x) = c(x) = M(x) = N(x) \equiv 0$ :

“Wess–Zumino gauge”

Wess–Zumino gauge removes many unphysical degrees of freedom

still leaves “ordinary” gauge freedom, e.g.  $A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu\lambda(x)$  for abelian theory

Wess–Zumino gauge not preserved under SUSY transformations

Transformation of component fields under infinitesimal SUSY transf.:

$$\delta\lambda = \dots, \quad \delta v_\mu = \dots, \dots, \quad \delta D = -\xi\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\lambda}(x) - \partial_\mu\lambda(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}$$

$\Rightarrow D$  transforms into a total derivative

## Supersymmetric Lagrangians

**Aim:** construct an action that is invariant under SUSY transformations:

$$\delta \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(x) = 0$$

Satisfied if  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} + \text{total derivative}$

$F$  and  $D$  terms (the terms with the largest number of  $\theta$  and  $\bar{\theta}$  factors) of chiral and vector superfields transform into a total derivative under SUSY transformations

$\Rightarrow$  Use  $F$ -terms and  $D$ -terms to construct an invariant action:

$$S = \int d^4x \left( \int d^2\theta \mathcal{L}_f + \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \mathcal{L}_d \right)$$

If  $\Phi$  is a LH $\chi$ SF  $\Rightarrow \Phi^n$  is also a LH $\chi$ SF (since  $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^n = 0$  for  $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi = 0$ )

$\Rightarrow$  products of chiral superfields are chiral superfields, products of vector superfields are vector superfields



*F*-term Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \int d^2\theta \sum_{ijk} \left( a_i \Phi_i + \frac{1}{2} m_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j + \frac{1}{3} \lambda_{ijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Terms of higher order in  $\Phi_i$  lead to non-renormalizable Lagrangians

$\Rightarrow$  *F*-term Lagrangian contains mass terms, scalar–fermion interactions  
( $\rightarrow$  superpotential), but no kinetic terms

*D*-term Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} V$$

$\Rightarrow$  *D*-term Lagrangian contains kinetic terms

## Example: the Wess–Zumino Lagrangian

Construction of Lagrangian from chiral superfields  $\Phi_i$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi_i, \Phi_i\Phi_j, \Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k$$

$$\Phi_i^\dagger\Phi_i: \text{vector superfield, } (\Phi_i^\dagger\Phi_i)^\dagger = \Phi_i^\dagger\Phi_i$$

$$\left[\Phi_i^\dagger\Phi_i\right]_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} = F^\dagger F + (\partial_\mu\varphi^*)(\partial^\mu\varphi) + \frac{i}{2}(\psi\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi} - \partial_\mu\psi\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi}) + \partial_\mu(\dots)$$

Auxiliary field  $F$  can be eliminated via equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = & \frac{i}{2}(\psi_i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}_i - (\partial_\mu\psi_i)\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi}_i) - \frac{1}{2}m_{ij}(\psi_i\psi_j + \bar{\psi}_i\bar{\psi}_j) \\ & + (\partial_\mu\varphi_i^*)(\partial^\mu\varphi_i) - \sum_i \left| a_i + \frac{1}{2}m_{ij}\varphi_j + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{ijk}\varphi_j\varphi_k \right|^2 \\ & - \lambda_{ijk}\varphi_i\psi_j\psi_k - \lambda_{ijk}^\dagger\varphi_i^*\bar{\psi}_j\bar{\psi}_k \end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian for scalar fields  $\varphi_i$  and spinor fields  $\psi_i$  with the **same mass**  $m_{ii}$  contains couplings of type  $hf\bar{f}$  and  $\tilde{h}\tilde{h}\tilde{f}$  with the **same strength**

$\Rightarrow$  **SUSY implies relations between masses and couplings**

$\mathcal{L}$  can be rewritten as kinetic part + contribution of **superpotential**  $\mathcal{V}$ :

$$\mathcal{V}(\varphi_i) = a_i\varphi_i + \frac{1}{2}m_{ij}\varphi_i\varphi_j + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{ijk}\varphi_i\varphi_j\varphi_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = & \frac{i}{2}(\psi_i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}_i - (\partial_\mu\psi_i)\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi}_i) + (\partial_\mu\varphi_i^*)(\partial^\mu\varphi_i) \\ & - \sum_i \left| \frac{\partial\mathcal{V}}{\partial\varphi_i} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{V}}{\partial\varphi_i\partial\varphi_j} \psi_i\psi_j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{V}^*}{\partial\varphi_i^*\partial\varphi_j^*} \bar{\psi}_i\bar{\psi}_j \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{V}$  determines all interactions and mass terms

Special case  $a_i = 0$ : Wess–Zumino model

In order to include vector bosons need to construct Lagrangian containing also vector superfields

Further requirement: gauge invariance

$$\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \Phi^\dagger \Phi \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \Phi^\dagger e^{2gV} \Phi$$

Kinetic terms for gauge fields from field strength

⇒ Supersymmetric Lagrangian in the Wess–Zumino gauge for chiral superfields  $\phi_i$  (with component fields  $\varphi_i, \psi_i$ ) and vector superfields  $V^a$  (with component fields  $v_\mu^a, \lambda^a$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & (D_\mu \varphi)_i^* (D^\mu \varphi)_i + \frac{i}{2} \psi_i \sigma^\mu (D_\mu \bar{\psi})_i - \frac{i}{2} (D_\mu \psi)_i \sigma^\mu \bar{\psi}_i \\ & - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda^a \sigma^\mu (D_\mu \bar{\lambda})^a - \frac{i}{2} (D_\mu \lambda)^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\lambda}^a \\ & + \sqrt{2} i g \bar{\psi}_i \lambda^a T_{ij}^a \varphi_j - \sqrt{2} i g \varphi_i^* T_{ij}^a \psi_j \lambda^a \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial \varphi_i \partial \varphi_j} \psi_i \psi_j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}^*}{\partial \varphi_i^* \partial \varphi_j^*} \bar{\psi}_i \bar{\psi}_j - V(\varphi_i, \varphi_j^*) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{V}(\varphi_i) = a_i \varphi_i + \frac{1}{2} m_{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j + \frac{1}{3} \lambda_{ijk} \varphi_i \varphi_j \varphi_k$ : holomorphic function of chiral sf.

The potential  $V$  is the sum of the  $F$ -terms and  $D$ -terms and reads

$$V(\varphi_i, \varphi_j^*) = \sum_i \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \varphi_i} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \sum_a (\varphi_i^* T_{ij}^a \varphi_j + \xi^a)^2$$

All couplings are determined either by gauge couplings or the superpotential

E.g.:  $D$ -term in potential  $V$ : quartic scalar interaction is given by the gauge coupling (in contrast to the SM case)

The  $\xi^a$ -term (called the Fayet-Iliopoulos term) can be present only for  $U(1)$  gauge fields

The requirement of gauge invariance imposes constraints on the coefficients  $a_i$ ,  $m_{ij}$  and  $\lambda_{ijk}$  of the superpotential  $\mathcal{V}$

The (gauge) covariant derivatives are ( $f^{abc}$  are the structure constants of the gauge group)

$$(D_\mu \varphi)_i = \partial_\mu \varphi_i + ig v_\mu^a T_{ij}^a \varphi_j, \quad (D_\mu \psi)_i = \partial_\mu \psi_i + ig v_\mu^a T_{ij}^a \psi_j, \quad (D_\mu \lambda)^a = \partial_\mu \lambda^a - g f^{abc} v_\mu^b \lambda^c$$

## Summary on construction of SUSY Lagrangians:

Construct Lagrangians for  $N = 1$  SUSY from chiral superfields and vector superfields:

multiplets containing pairs of fields with the same mass, spin differs by  $\frac{1}{2}$

Fermion Yukawa interactions and scalar quartic self-interactions are determined by the superpotential

Gauge interactions determine couplings of the gauge fields

⇒ Many relations between couplings

## $N > 1$ SUSY

So far:  $N = 1$  SUSY, simplest case, only one fermionic generator and its hermitean adjoint:  $Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}^\beta$

$\Rightarrow$  one superpartner for photon: photino

$N$ -extended SUSY:  $N$  generators  $Q_\alpha^A, \bar{Q}_B^{\dot{\beta}}, A = 1, \dots, N$

$\Rightarrow N$  superpartners for the photon, ...

Generalization of anticommutator relation:

$$\{Q_\alpha^A, Q_\beta^B\} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} X^{AB}$$

$X^{AB} = -X^{BA}$ : “central charges”

## Problem:

helicity  $+\frac{1}{2}$  and helicity  $-\frac{1}{2}$  fermions are in same supermultiplet  
(e.g.: hypermultiplet for  $N = 2$  SUSY)

$\Rightarrow$  helicity  $+\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}$  fermions need to transform in the same way under gauge transformations

not possible for chiral fermions of electroweak theory

$\Rightarrow N > 1$  SUSY theories are 'non-chiral'

$\Rightarrow N = 1$  SUSY theories are the best candidates for a realistic low-energy theory (extension of the SM)

However:  $N > 1$  SUSY have interesting properties

e.g.:  $N = 4$  SUSY field theory (flat space) is **finite**

Seiberg–Witten solution in  $N = 2$  SUSY, ...



## Soft SUSY breaking

Exact SUSY:  $m_f = m_{\tilde{f}}, \dots$

⇒ in a realistic model: SUSY must be broken

Only satisfactory way for model of SUSY breaking:  
spontaneous SUSY breaking

Specific SUSY-breaking schemes (see below) in general yield effective Lagrangian at low energies, which is supersymmetric except for explicit soft SUSY-breaking terms

Soft SUSY-breaking terms: do not alter dimensionless couplings  
(i.e. dimension of coupling constants of soft SUSY-breaking terms  $> 0$ )

⇒ no quadratic divergences (in all orders of perturbation theory)

scale of SUSY-breaking terms:  $M_{\text{SUSY}} \lesssim 1 \text{ TeV}$

## Classification of possible soft breaking terms:

[L. Girardello, M. Grisaru '82]

- scalar mass terms:  $m_{\phi_i}^2 |\phi_i|^2$
- trilinear scalar interactions:  $A_{ijk} \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k + \text{h.c.}$
- gaugino mass terms:  $\frac{1}{2} m \bar{\lambda} \lambda$
- bilinear terms:  $B_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j + \text{h.c.}$
- linear terms:  $C_i \phi_i$

⇒ relations between dimensionless couplings unchanged

no additional mass terms for chiral fermions

## Unconstrained MSSM:

no particular SUSY breaking mechanism assumed, parameterization of possible soft SUSY-breaking terms

⇒ relations between dimensionless couplings unchanged  
no quadratic divergencies

most general case:

⇒ 105 new parameters: masses, mixing angles, phases

Good phenomenological description for universal breaking terms  
(FCNC, ...)

Scenarios for SUSY breaking ⇒ prediction for soft SUSY-breaking terms in terms of small set of parameters

Experimental determination of SUSY parameters

⇒ Patterns of SUSY breaking

## The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)

MSSM: superpartners for SM fields

SM matter fermions are in different representation of gauge group than gauge bosons

⇒ need to be placed in different superfields

⇒ no SM fermion is a gaugino

Fermions, sfermions:

use definition of chiral superfields via left-handed fermions  
(⇒ the conjugates of right-handed ones appear)

LH $\chi$ SF  $Q$ : quark, squark SU(2) doublets

LH $\chi$ SF  $U$ : up-type quark, squark singlets

LH $\chi$ SF  $D$ : down-type quark, squark singlets

LH $\chi$ SF  $L$ : lepton, slepton SU(2) doublets

LH $\chi$ SF  $E$ : lepton, slepton singlets

$\Rightarrow$  one generation of SM fermions and their superpartners described by five LH $\chi$ SFs

Gauge bosons, gauginos:

Vector superfields:

gluons  $g$  and gluinos  $\tilde{g}$

W bosons  $W^\pm, W^0$  and winos  $\tilde{W}^\pm, \tilde{W}^0$

B boson  $B^0$  and bino  $\tilde{B}^0$

Higgs bosons, higgsinos:

LH $\chi$ SF

In MSSM: two Higgs doublets needed  $\Rightarrow$  two LH $\chi$ SFs

Comparison with SM case:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} = \underbrace{m_d \bar{Q}_L H d_R}_{\text{d-quark mass}} + \underbrace{m_u \bar{Q}_L \tilde{H} u_R}_{\text{u-quark mass}}$$

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \tilde{H} = i\sigma_2 H^\dagger, \quad H \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{H} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In SUSY: term  $\bar{Q}_L H^\dagger$  not allowed

Superpotential is holomorphic function of chiral superfields, i.e. depends only on  $\varphi_i$ , not on  $\varphi_i^*$

No soft SUSY-breaking terms allowed for chiral fermions

$\Rightarrow H_d$  and  $H_u$  needed to give masses to down- and up-type fermions

Furthermore: two doublets also needed for cancellation of anomalies, quadratic divergences

## Chiral supermultiplets of the MSSM:

		spin 0	spin $\frac{1}{2}$	$(\text{SU}(3)_c, \text{SU}(2), \text{U}(1)_Y)$
squarks and quarks	$Q$	$(\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L)$	$(u_L, d_L)$	$(3, 2, \frac{1}{6})$
	$U$	$\tilde{u}_R^*$	$u_R^+$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$
	$D$	$\tilde{d}_R^*$	$d_R^+$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$
sleptons and leptons	$L$	$(\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{e}_L)$	$(\nu, e_L)$	$(1, 2, -\frac{1}{2})$
	$E$	$\tilde{e}_R^*$	$e_R^+$	$(1, 1, 1)$
higgs and higgsinos	$H_u$	$(h_u^+, h_u^0)$	$(\tilde{h}_u^+, \tilde{h}_u^0)$	$(1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$
	$H_d$	$(h_d^0, h_d^-)$	$(\tilde{h}_d^0, \tilde{h}_d^-)$	$(1, 2, -\frac{1}{2})$

## Vector supermultiplets:

	spin $\frac{1}{2}$	spin 1	$(\text{SU}(3)_c, \text{SU}(2), \text{U}(1)_Y)$
gluinos and gluons	$\tilde{g}$	$g$	$(8, 1, 0)$
winos and $W$ -bosons	$\tilde{W}^\pm, \tilde{W}^0$	$W^\pm, W^0$	$(1, 3, 0)$
bino and $B$ -boson	$\tilde{B}$	$B$	$(1, 1, 0)$

## Superpotential:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{MSSM}} = U \mathbf{y}_u \mathbf{Q} H_u + D \mathbf{y}_d \mathbf{Q} H_d + E \mathbf{y}_e \mathbf{L} H_d + \mu H_u H_d$$

$\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{a}_i$ : Yukawa couplings,  $3 \times 3$  matrices in family space

All terms have to be invariant under all gauge groups,  $\text{SU}(3)_c, \text{SU}(2), \text{U}(1)_Y$

$\Rightarrow$  need  $Y = 0$  for all terms

$$H_u H_d \equiv (H_u)_a (H_d)_b \epsilon^{ab} \quad (a, b: \text{weak isospin indices}), \dots$$



$\mathcal{V}_{\text{MSSM}}$  is not the most general gauge-invariant superpotential  
contains only terms that are necessary to build a realistic model

Gauge interactions introduce only terms with **even number of superpartners**

The same holds for minimal version of  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{MSSM}}$

**$\Rightarrow$  MSSM has further symmetry: “R-parity”**

all SM-particles and Higgs bosons: even R-parity,  $P_R = +1$

all superpartners: odd R-parity,  $P_R = -1$

## Soft breaking terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = & -\frac{1}{2}\left(M_1\tilde{B}\tilde{B} + M_2\tilde{W}\tilde{W} + M_3\tilde{g}\tilde{g}\right) + \text{h.c.} \\ & - m_{H_u}^2 H_u^\dagger H_u - m_{H_d}^2 H_d^\dagger H_d - (bH_u H_d + \text{h.c.}) \\ & - \left(\tilde{u}_R \mathbf{a}_u \tilde{Q} H_u - \tilde{d}_R \mathbf{a}_d \tilde{Q} H_d - \tilde{e}_R \mathbf{a}_e \tilde{L} H_d\right) + \text{h.c.} \\ & - \tilde{Q}^\dagger \mathbf{m}_Q^2 \tilde{Q} - \tilde{L}^\dagger \mathbf{m}_L^2 \tilde{L} - \tilde{u}_R \mathbf{m}_u^2 \tilde{u}_R^* - \tilde{d}_R \mathbf{m}_d^2 \tilde{d}_R^* - \tilde{e}_R \mathbf{m}_e^2 \tilde{e}_R^*\end{aligned}$$

Most general parameterization of SUSY-breaking terms that keep relations between dimensionless couplings unchanged  $\Rightarrow$  no quadratic divergences

Gaugino mass terms, scalar mass terms, and terms like in superpotential for all scalars

$\mathbf{m}_i^2$ :  $3 \times 3$  matrices in family space

$\Rightarrow$  many new parameters

## Particle content of the MSSM:

### Superpartners for Standard Model particles:

$$\left[ u, d, c, s, t, b \right]_{L,R} \quad \left[ e, \mu, \tau \right]_{L,R} \quad \left[ \nu_{e,\mu,\tau} \right]_L \quad \text{Spin } \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\left[ \tilde{u}, \tilde{d}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{b} \right]_{L,R} \quad \left[ \tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\tau} \right]_{L,R} \quad \left[ \tilde{\nu}_{e,\mu,\tau} \right]_L \quad \text{Spin } 0$$

$$g \quad \underbrace{W^\pm, H^\pm}_{\text{Spin } 1} \quad \underbrace{\gamma, Z, H_1^0, H_2^0}_{\text{Spin } 0}$$

$$\tilde{g} \quad \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^\pm \quad \tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3,4}^0 \quad \text{Spin } \frac{1}{2}$$

### Enlarged Higgs sector:

Two Higgs doublets, physical states:  $h^0, H^0, A^0, H^\pm$

Breaking of  $SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$  (electroweak symmetry breaking)

$\Rightarrow$  fields with different  $SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$  quantum numbers can mix if they have the same  $SU(3)_c, U(1)_{em}$  quantum numbers

## Squark mixing:

Stop, sbottom mass matrices ( $X_t = A_t - \mu/\tan\beta$ ,  $X_b = A_b - \mu\tan\beta$ ):

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{t}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\tilde{t}_L}^2 + m_t^2 + DT_1 & m_t X_t \\ m_t X_t & m_{\tilde{t}_R}^2 + m_t^2 + DT_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow m_{\tilde{t}_1}, m_{\tilde{t}_2}, \theta_{\tilde{t}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{b}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\tilde{b}_L}^2 + m_b^2 + DB_1 & m_b X_b \\ m_b X_b & m_{\tilde{b}_R}^2 + m_b^2 + DB_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{b}_2}, \theta_{\tilde{b}}$$

off-diagonal element prop. to mass of partner quark ( $\tan\beta \equiv v_u/v_d$ )

$\Rightarrow$  mixing important in stop sector (also in sbottom sector for large  $\tan\beta$ )

gauge invariance  $\Rightarrow m_{\tilde{t}_L} = m_{\tilde{b}_L}$

$\Rightarrow$  relation between  $m_{\tilde{t}_1}, m_{\tilde{t}_2}, \theta_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{b}_2}, \theta_{\tilde{b}}$

Neutralinos and charginos:

Higgsinos and electroweak gauginos mix

charged:

$$\tilde{W}^+, \tilde{h}_u^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+, \tilde{\chi}_2^+, \quad \tilde{W}^-, \tilde{h}_d^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_2^-$$

⇒ charginos: mass eigenstates

mass matrix given in terms of  $M_2, \mu, \tan \beta$

neutral:

$$\underbrace{\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{h}_u^0, \tilde{h}_d^0}_{\tilde{W}^0, \tilde{B}^0} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_3^0, \tilde{\chi}_4^0$$

mass matrix given in terms of  $M_1, M_2, \mu, \tan \beta$

⇒ only one new parameter

⇒ MSSM predicts mass relations between neutralinos and charginos

## Summary of Lecture 2:

- Lagrangians for  $N = 1$  SUSY are constructed from chiral superfields and vector superfields (multiplets containing pairs of fields with spin differing by  $\frac{1}{2}$ )
- $N = 1$  SUSY theories are the best candidates for a realistic low-energy theory (extension of the SM)  
 $N > 1$  SUSY theories are 'non-chiral'
- Soft SUSY-breaking terms: do not alter dimensionless couplings,  
 $M_{\text{SUSY}} \lesssim 1 \text{ TeV}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  no quadratic divergences
- Unconstrained MSSM: no particular SUSY breaking mechanism assumed, parameterization of possible soft SUSY-breaking terms