united nations educational, scientific and cultural organization

nternational atomic energy agency the **abdus salam** international centre for theoretical physics

SMR.1508 - 29

SUMMER SCHOOL ON PARTICLE PHYSICS

16 June - 4 July 2003

QDC PHASE TRANSITIONS

Lectures III & IV

K. RAJAGOPAL Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA U.S.A.

EXPLORING the PHASES of QCD



LARGE M; SMALL T

whereas at high T entropy wiks -> quark-gluon plosma with symmetries of the OCD Lagrangian manifest.... At large µ with small T we find quark matter with new patterns of order: · Color superconductivity · Color - Flovor Locking · Crystalline Color Superconductivity How can we use astrophysical observations of compact stars to determine the QCD phase diagram?

THE DIFFICULTY WITH DENSITY
why are we still asking basic questions
about QCD at high M, low T, like
"What is symmetry of ground state?"
NO LATTICE CALCULATIONS
Mto - complex Euclideon action
-D sign problem that makes difficulty
of standard Monte Carlo ~ e.
Equally nasty sign problems can be solved
in simpler systems. Chambrackhoom livese
Sign problem may also be evaded:
(at small V, small µ/T Hands Karsch et al
Lo calculate at Imps; continue observables.
Works at M/T < TT/3. V can be large.
a forcrand Hylipsen's d'Elia Lombart
· modify the theory . (color superconductivi
studied on lattice for NJL & QCD WN2=2
NO EVASION POSSIBLE FOR QLD at M>>T
• use smallness of g at 11-200
• use models at accessible m.

WHY COLOR SUPERCONDUCTIVITY?
Large m -> quarks filling Fermi sea
up to a large rermi energy. (CF)
interactions between quarks
at Fermi surface.
BUT any attractive interaction, no
COOPER PAIRS; <99>
One gluon exchange (d'instanton interaction)
attractive in color 3.
superconductivity more robust in QCD
than in metals. Higher Tc/EF.)
1997, ie Cooper pairs of quarks,
= p-clectric & color currents superconduce - mass for photon & (some) gluons (?
- Meissner effects. (Hagnetic +
color magnetic fields excluded.)
E string Production and a string of the stri

INTRODUCTION TO SUPERIONDUCTIVITY I will sketch the original BCS derivation of Cooper instability of the resulting BCS state. Consider quarks interacting via a 4-fermion interaction. Je replace grands by XG. Drop all indices (L, R, color, flavor) I you and indices will be restored later. BCS did the calculation using the variational method. Make an ansatz for the ground state and vary the parameters specifying the ansate so as to minimize the free energy SC.

R=H-uN where $N = \int d^3p \ \psi^{\dagger}(p) \ \psi(p)$ $H = \int d^3 \times \left(\overline{\psi} \, \overline{\chi} \, \psi - G \, \overline{\psi} \, \overline{\psi} \, \overline{\psi} \, \psi \right)$ important indices dropped. Often, use 4-fermi interaction with quantum numbers of one-gluon exchange, but not momentum structure. Ie s-wave scuffering vs. forward scuttering dominated. Or, use 4-fermion interaction with quantum numbers of 't Hooft vertex, induced by instanton.

Variational ansatz: $|\Psi_{BCS}\rangle = \prod_{p} \left[\cos \Theta_{p} + \sin \Theta_{p} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{p}^{\dagger}\right]|0\rangle$ where Op is the variational parameter. 10ne per p (and per helicity, color, flovor) · With probability sin Op, the states with nomenta \$ and -\$ are both filled. With prob. cos207. both empty. No probability that one filled + other empty. • If $\theta_{\vec{p}} = \frac{1}{2}$ for $|\vec{p}| < P_F$ and $D_{\vec{p}} = 0$ for $|\vec{p}| > P_{\vec{p}}$ Then state is simply a filled Fermi sea, with Fermi nomentum PF. • Relative to this, apap makes Cooper pairs of particles or holes. • Ansate was motivated by Cooper's Prior analysis of F.S. + one pair.

In this state,

$$\langle \Psi \otimes | \Psi \otimes | \Psi \otimes \rangle \equiv T \sim \int d^{3}p \sin \Theta \cos P$$

 $C | \exp(y, T = 0)$ for Eermi see.
 $T \neq 0$ is one of the hallmarks of
superconductivity. Breaks
symmetries - eg U(1) B. Need to
restore all the missing indices to
see what symmetries are broken.
Now, evaluate
 $| \Psi \otimes | \Psi \otimes \rangle \sim \int d^{3}p(p-\mu) \sin^{3}\Theta p - GT^{3}$
Now, evaluate
 $| \Psi \otimes | \Psi \otimes \rangle \sim \int d^{3}p(p-\mu) \sin^{3}\Theta p - GT^{3}$
Now, vary with respect to Θp :
 $\Rightarrow 2 \sin \Theta p \cos \Theta p(P-\mu) = 2GT^{3}(\cos^{3}\Theta p - \sin^{3}\Theta p)$
 $\Rightarrow [\tan 2\Theta p = \frac{\Delta}{P-\mu} \text{ where } \Delta \equiv GT^{3}$
State is now fully specified in Ψ
terms of a quantity Δ that
we must interpret and determine.

Interpreting D:

Evaluate energy cost of an <u>elementary</u> <u>excitation</u> with momentum p relative to the energy of the BCS state. (Ie: "remove the pair" with momentum P, -P and just fill one of the two states.)

Find: Quasiparticle energy = $(p-\mu)^2 + \Delta^2$ $\therefore \quad \Delta \equiv Gap + hall mark of supercond.$ Takes energy $\gg \Delta$ to excite a single Takes energy $\gg \Delta$ to excite a single excitation above the correlated BCS ground state. [Note: $\Delta = 0$ for F.S.]

Also, $\sin^2 \Theta_p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{\pi$

Determining <u>A</u> :
Equations (and (are consistent
only if:
$\Delta = G \int d^3 p \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2 + \Delta^2}}$
so, A=0 or
$1 = G \int d^{3}p \frac{1}{\sqrt{(p-\mu)^{2} + \Delta^{2}}}$
"the gap equation"
If GRO (repulsive) then 1=0 is only
Solution.
13 670 (attractive) then gap equanon
mas A 70 solution no matter now
Small & 15, Decouse (113 10 Juni Jean
$a + p = \mu as 0 = 0$
Cooper's Justa Dility
Solution: A~Me MG Solution A

Can rederive gap equation diagrammatically: $\Delta = G \int d^{4}p \frac{\Delta}{P_{0}^{2} + (p - \mu)^{2} + \Delta^{2}} = G \int d^{2}p \frac{\Delta}{V(p - \mu)^{2} + \Delta^{2}}$ Can now sketch how gap equation (and its solution) change when 6X -> g/m/g, which is the correct interaction at asymptotically high densities, where g(n) is small. $\Delta \sim g^2 \int de \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{e^2 + \Delta^2}} \int \sin \theta \, d\theta \frac{\mu^2}{\theta \mu^2 + \theta^2}$ $\theta^2\mu^2 + \Delta^2$ fermion prop. gluon prop. $\rightarrow \Delta \sim g^2 \Delta ln \stackrel{\Delta}{=} ln \stackrel{\Delta}{=} n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} - 2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{\Delta}{\mu} \sim e^{-const/g}$ E=p-j

GAP AND TC

Much work (that I will not review)

suggests that @ M~500 MeV Two x nuclear density] \$\$ 100 MeV

Note: Tc/E=~ VIO > THIS is high Tc S.C.! Two classes of methods ~ agree: i) models normalized to µ=0 physics

(Alford, K.R. Wilczet, Parp, Schäfer, Shungde, Velkousky, Berges, Corter, Diakonov, Evans, Hen, Schnetz,

ii) weak-coupling QCD calculations, valid

for $\mu \rightarrow \infty$; g $\rightarrow 0$. (Quantitatively, valid for $g \leq 1$ which means $\mu \gtrsim 10^{9} \text{ MeV KR}, \text{ Sheater}$) $\frac{\Delta}{\mu} \sim 256 \pi^{4} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}+4}{5}} (\frac{N_{s}}{z})^{5/2} \frac{1}{g^{5}} exp(-\frac{3\pi^{2}}{\sqrt{z}g})$

schaeter, Wikeels; Pirarski, Rischke; Hong, Hironsky, Sch Shortory, Wijewardhans; Evans, Hen, Schnietts; Brown, Lin, Ran; Beans, Bedague, Savage; K.R., Shuster; Rische, Wong;.... [A~ exp(-Vg) comes from divergence in small angle scattering via exchange of unscreened magnetic gluons: -x-= M -> 1=g² ln & ln &

Bis collineer divergence

COLOR - FLAVOR LOCKED QUARK MATTER Alford, KE, Wilczels, Schuefer, Luikzels, • occurs for 1 - > 00, and at any 1 if Ms = Mu, d • all 9 quarks pair, and .: are gapped · Cooper pairs antisymmetric in color, spin, and i flovor * : the factors making CFL most Savorable superfluid. (<qq>≠∞) · chiral symmetry spontaneously broken -o pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone mesons · unbroken gauged u(i) -> massless photon • <u>transparent insulator</u> (neutral without electron - index of refroction and Litim transferred reflection/refraction coeffs. known tund the • OCCURS in quark matter in the ford burges the nature wherever M> M3/40, we have Possibly augmented by Ko-condensate Bedaque Schederstander • Ms and & both u-dependent and uncertain · could be single nuclear / CFL + rousition -> sharp interface with charged boundary layers Alford K.R. Redly without • OR less symmetricully paired quark matter may intervene, between nuclear and CFL matter. To this we now turn

FUTTING THE INVICES BACKIN



NS=3: COLOR-FLAVOR LOCKING
Condensate pairs quarks of all KR colors & flavors:
<ud-du-ud+du+ds-ds-sd+sd+su-us-su+us> #0</ud-du-ud+du+ds-ds-sd+sd+su-us-su+us>
Locks SU(3) color to SU(3).
ie Su(3) _{color+L} is a symmetry.
Similarly, condensate of R-quarks
locks SU(3) color to SU(3)R.
Result: SU(3) color + L+R un broken. +> Use these
Chiral symmetry broken. "EM" + "isospin" to
All that agues symmetries broken.
U(1)B broken: superfluid.

NS=3: COLOR-FLAVOR LOCKING (q^d, q^g,) = - (q^d, q^g, q^g, f = A E^{NPA} E_{abA} flavor¹ (symmetries correct. (symmetries correct.) Locks SU(3) Lodis SU(3)R to Sul3) color to sul3) udor Results SU(3) color × SU(3), × SU(3), × U(1) contains U(1) EM -> SU(3) color+L+R, contains unbroken gauged U(1)à ie gauge symmetries: SU(3) alor × U(1) == U(1) : Clossify excitations using Q charge (unbroken, but modified, "photon") and "isospin".

CFL IN PICTURES Goal: to understand what SU(3) * SU(3) * SU(3) -> SU(3) + B+C means by understanding U(1) × U(1) × U(1) -> U(1) in pictures

s.

.

U(1) - nothing. First, understand

イトラン

U(1) associated with rotation of 's is unbroken.

cool the system. system orders, or condenses



U(1) spontaneously broken by a condensate. T's ordered

Goldstone boson: because 1's could equally well have ordered in another direction, long navelength oscillations are massless.

long wavelength oscillations of the angle between C. Ie I could have chosen any angle. I broke the symmetry by choosing 90° angle s.

Now ... Ull) × Ull) × Ull) -> Ull) S+++p



UCI) UCI) Uli) all unbroken



Two angles (to and M) locked. . Uli), Uli), (11) not symmetries U(1) is an unbroken symmetry

Describe the two goldstone bosons ...



Q IN THE CFL PHASE $\langle q_a^{\mu} q_b^{\mu} \rangle \sim \Delta, \delta_a^{\mu} \delta_b^{\mu} + \Delta_2 \delta_b^{\mu} \delta_a^{\mu}$ Q = QEM + 1/3 To $\begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \text{ for } u \\ -\frac{1}{3} \text{ for } d \\ -\frac{1}{3} \text{ for } d \\ -\frac{1}{3} \text{ for } f \\ -\frac{1}{3} \text{ for } f$ Q charges of quarks: Similarly, & charges 4 +) u 0 of gluons all 000555 0 integer-valued. D Also for & charges of Goldstone basons. -) 00-1

EXCITATIONS Alter Schaofer Wilcon

•9 massless Nambu-Goldstone bosons - 8 "pions"; 1 singlet (: superfluid) • 8 gluons - D octet of <u>massive</u> vector bosons. (Meissner/Higgs) • 9 quarks form "isospin" octof + singlet Lo or, are they baryons? -all have a gap. ALL excitations have INTECER Q! · Dense quark matter with some symmetries and similar excitations as superfluid MUBER NUCLEAR MATTER. · QUARK MATTER and NUCLEAR MATTER may be continuously connected. (Us=s) · BROKEN CHIRAL SY MMETRY; "CONFINEMENT" (described complementarily) arising at arbitrarily WEAK COUPLING. =) a weak coupling understanding of what were thought to be strong coupling phenome



By "minimal" I mean: · We know nuclear matter is the phase at nuclear matter deasity.* · We know CFL is the stable phase at asymptotic density.** *Assume just a single phase transition between them. *** *: Almost certainly correct. We will not discuss the (improbable) alternatives. **: CERTAINLY Correct. *** Quite possibly incorrect, as we will discuss later. Minimal phase diagram leads +0



NEUTRON STAR WITH CFL CORF One example below. Varying parameters + varying nuclear E.O.S. - D varying position

The profiles of the maximum mass superconducting stars for different values of \mathcal{L}_{1} the bag constant, $\Delta = 100$ MeV and $m_s = 200$ MeV are shown in Fig. 4. For $B^{1/4} = 185$ MeV results for the sharp interface (denoted as (s)) and the mixed \mathcal{L}_{2} phase (denoted as (m)) scenario are shown. Here the maximum masses correspond to 1.33 M_{\odot} and 1.35 M_{\odot} , respectively. The maximum mass for $B^{1/4} = 175$ MeV and $B^{1/4} = 170$ MeV are $M_{\text{max}} = 1.44 M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\text{max}} = 1.52 M_{\odot}$, respectively. Fig. 4 shows that the typical density discontinuity in the sharp interface scenario is $\approx 3\rho_o$. It also shows that for smaller values of B, the $NM \rightleftharpoons QM$ phase transition occurs very close to the surface of the star (at lower density as discussed earlier). The denser exterior regions of these stars (despite a less dense inner core) are primarily responsible for the increase in the maximum mass observed as one decreases B.



Figure 4: Profile of the maximum mass star for bag constant $B^{1/4} =$ 185, 175, 170 MeV with $m_s = 200$ MeV and $\Delta = 100$ MeV. The mixed phase (dashed) and the sharp interface curves are shown. The Walecka model was used to describe the nuclear part of the equation of state.

Fig. 4 indicates that in the mixed phase scenario there are no discontinuities in the density profile of the star. However, this is not true in general. It is interesting to note that even when mixed phases are allowed, there can still be discontinuities in energy density within them. In a small range of parameters, we find stars that have a crust of nuclear matter surrounding a mixed NM-QM core, but the mixed phase has an outer part which is a mixture of unpaired QM with NM, and an inner part that is a mixture of CFL QM with NM. At the interface between the two there



ILLUMINATING CFL QUARE MATTER Manuel, KR In CFL quark matter SU(3) + SU(3) * SU(3) color -> SU(3) + R+ c But: : one uli) & Su(3) _ × Su(3) R is gauged (electromagnetism) is gauged. We have seen Q=Q+ to To 日、手、子、子、かいし、 吉下言子子子子の「、男、日 we have seen: condensate is &-neutrol. Now, let's find the Q-photon. Analyze $\left| \left(\partial_{\mu} + e A_{\mu} Q + g G_{\mu}^{8} T_{g} \right) \left\langle q_{a}^{*} q_{b}^{B} \right\rangle \right|^{2}$ and find combination of An and Gn for which this is zero. (=> No Meissaer effect / Higgs mechanism for that "photon")

• finds... ordinary photon $\frac{3}{2}$ $A_{\mu} = \cos \theta A_{\mu} + \sin \theta G_{\mu}^{8}$ One finds ... gluon An = -sin @ An + cos @ Gn where Am is massless. This Q-photon satisfies Maxwell's equations with dielectric const E = Eo. (medium is poleriable.) To the Q-photon, CFL matter is a transparent dielectric medium An is massive. Like 2-boson. O is analogue of Weinberg angle $\sin \theta = \frac{e/\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{g^2 + e^2/3}} \sim \frac{e}{g\sqrt{3}} \sim \frac{1}{20}$ Q-photon is "mostly or dinary photon" Alford KR Wilczelc; Alford Berges KR

A TRANSPARENT INSULATOR

what can the Q-photon scatter oft? · the CFL condensate itself is Q - neutral. once you include nous ero quark masses, all excitations with & #0 are massive + · .: Sor TLL Mlightest excitation , with & to likely a kaon the CFL phase is transparout to the & - photon. It is a &-insulator, with some index of refraction $N_{CEL} \neq l$.



Its fun to think of 10 km lenses in space, but more likely applicable version of this 15 in the static limit: Suppose core of a neutron star is CFL. How does it respond to the large static B it finds itself in ? ANSWER: (Alford, Berges, KR) & (found via magnetic b.c.'s ...) Partial Meissner effect ...

Magnetic field solution (sharp boundary)

Stitching together the inside and outside solutions, we find the solution. For $\cos \alpha_0 = 0.5$



In the real world α_0 is small, so the field is mostly converted into \tilde{Q} flux by the supercurrents and monopoles, and penetrates the interior. Only a weak field is excluded.

Alford, Berges, K.R.

INTERMEDIATE DENSITY QUARK MATTE

- . Ms important
- For orientation, consider noninteracting quarks, Mu = Md =0 Ms =0, impose electrical neutrality and weak equal:



LESS SYMMETRIC PAIRING Recall: < 4ª CX54 b Eagy Eabe >~ 1 x where the CFL phase has $\Delta'_{y} = \Delta(0)$ In response to effects of Ms, try: • $\Delta_x^c = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ - only pairs u,d and r,g - called "2sc" because - this is only option this is only option in hy=2 acd - stable phase, but seems always (ie at all Ms) to be less Savorable than either CFL or D=0. Alford, KR X: model dependent, : Not certain · If not that, given the pattern of Pp's why not try

THE KOUVARIS PHASE*
x: we'll call it "gapters CFL" in
the literature
$\Delta x = \Delta (610)$ but no d,s
· same asymmetries as CFL, including
untroken U(1)8
• two gapless quarks: d,s
-both these have $0=0$, so
still a Q-insulator
• we have to date not succeeded in
solving the coupled gep and
neutrolity equations for such
a phase, indicating that it is
not stable. However, we are
unsure why we cannot find
a solution. So, stay tuned.
Kouveris, Alford, KR, work in program

CRYSTALLINE COLOR SUPER CONDUCTIVITY Alford Bowers K.R.; Bowers Kunder K.R. Slunster; heibovich K.R. Shuster; Casalbioni Gutto Mannerelli Nurdulli; Gauncehis Lin Ren; Bowers K.R.; As MU, if CFL "breaks" before you get to hadronic matter, quark matter at intermediate density may have: Pairing between quarks with different PE GOAL: both quarks in a pair on respective Farmi surfaces IDEA: Cooper pairs with momentum! $(\vec{p}+\vec{q},-\vec{p}+\vec{q})$ for any \vec{P} , Each pair has total momentum 23 • [] ~ 1.2 Sp determined energetically · "pattern" of {q;} " Bowers till <44> ~ & Zeiqi." · spontaneous breaking of rotational and LOFF: Larkin Ovchinnikov Fulde Ferrell (1964) considered thirs state for <ere preiving with Zeeman splitting. State not seen in condensed matter. Problem is that "B-> orbital effects, not just Zeeman. QCD, with its "flower Zeeman splitting" turns out to be the natural context for LOFF's idea!

SIMPLIFICATIONS, FOR NOW
· two flavors, with Fermi surfaces
split by a Sy introduced by
hand: $\mu_n = \mu + \delta_\mu$
Marya - Smith Fis.
(instead of 3 flavors withality)
splitting from Ms, neurious
See work by Kundu + KK for now to use Ms instead of Su,
· point-like 4-fermion interaction
between quarks, m -> ×
with quantum #s of 3.
See Leibovich KR, Shusters
Gaunakis, Lin, Ren
for Jul
· D ke pe

ала -

Basic LOFF idea

Try Cooper pairs $(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}+2\mathbf{q})$

- total momentum 2q for each and every pair
- each quark at its Fermi surface, even with $p_F^u \neq p_F^d$
- \hat{q} chosen spontaneously, $|\mathbf{q}|$ determined variationally (result is $|\mathbf{q}| = q_0 \approx 1.20 \delta \mu$)
- condensate forms a ring on each Fermi surface, with opening angle $\psi_u \approx \psi_d \approx 2 \cos^{-1}(\delta \mu/q_0) \approx 67.1^\circ$



- Typeset by FoilTEX -



MULTIPLE PLANE WAVES
If system unstuble to formation of
1 plane wave, this allows quarks
lying on one ring on each F.S.
to pair. Much of F.S. remains
Why not multiple q's? i.e. multiple
Want to compare many different
possible $\{\hat{q}_i\}$; $i2\hat{q}_i$; \vec{x}
くやいかやいう 之口と
and for each {q:3 Glulate 1 and SL
Eq.3, ie crystal structure, with lowest SL wins.

. .

GINZBURG - LANDAU For A << Do, ie for Sy = Sy2, the free energy IC can be evaluated order by order in 1, for mong crystal structures. Order D2: 17;1 = 1.2 Su for all qi's - each q; gives pairing on a ring with opening angle 67. · the more q's, the better. Order 14 and 16: "interaction between rings" • intersecting rings costs a lot => at most 9 plane waves • "regularity" (lots of different ways of making closed 4., 6-, ... sided figures from q's) strongly favored. • indicates that best choice is.....

Favoi ed according to Gintburg FCC Crystal Landau en elysis, that is not yet quantitatively reliable. Bowes 16.7 • The cube structure is the favored ground state: eight

 The cube structure is the favored ground state: eight wave vectors pointing towards the corners of a cube, forming the eight shortest vectors in the reciprocal lattice of a face-centered-cubic crystal. The gap function is

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = 2\Delta \left[\cos \frac{2\pi}{a} (x+y+z) + \cos \frac{2\pi}{a} (x-y+z) + \cos \frac{2\pi}{a} (x-y+z) + \cos \frac{2\pi}{a} (x+y-z) + \cos \frac{2\pi}{a} (-x+y+z) \right]$$



with contours $\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = +4\Delta$ (black), 0 (gray), -4Δ (white). Lattice constant is $a = \sqrt{3}\pi/|\mathbf{q}| \simeq 6.012/\Delta_0$.

- Typeset by FoilTEX -

Crystal structures

Candidate crystal structures with P plane waves, specified by their symmetry group \mathcal{G} and Föppl configuration. Bars denote dimensionless equivalents: $\bar{\beta} = \beta \, \delta \mu^2$, $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma \, \delta \mu^4$, $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega/(\delta \mu_2^2 N_0)$ with $N_0 = 2\bar{\mu}^2/\pi^2$. $\bar{\Omega}_{\rm min}$ is the (dimensionless) minimum free energy at $\delta \mu = \delta \mu_2$. The phase transition (first order for $\bar{\beta} < 0$ and $\bar{\gamma} > 0$, second order for $\bar{\beta} > 0$ and $\bar{\gamma} > 0$) occurs at $\delta \mu_*$.

Structure	P	$\mathcal{G}(F \ddot{o} ppl)$	β	$ar{m{\gamma}}$	$ar{\Omega}_{min}$	$\delta \mu_* / \Delta_0$
point	1	$C_{\infty v}(1)$	0.569	1.637	0	0.754
antipodal pair	2	$D_{\infty v}(11)$	0.138	1.952	. 0	0.754
triangle	3	$D_{3h}(3)$	-1.976	1.687	-0.452	0.872
tetrahedron	4	$T_{d}(13)$	-5.727	4.350	-1.655	1.074
square	4	D ₄₄ (4)	-10.350	-1.538		
pentagon	5	$D_{5h}(5)$	-13.004	8.386	-5.211	1.607
trigonal bipyramid	5	$D_{3h}(131)$	-11.613	13.913	-1.348	1.085
square pyramid	° 5 , 1	C. (14)	-22.014	-70.442		
octahedron	6	O ₄ (141)	-31.466	19.711	-13.365	3.625
trigonal prism	6	D ₃₆ (33)	-35 018	-35.202		
hexagon	6	Den(6)	23,669	6009,225	0	9 754
pentagonal	7	$D_{5h}(151)$	-29.158	54.822	-1.375	1.143
bipyramid						
capped trigonal	7	$C_{3o}(133)$	-65.112	-195.592		
antiprism						
cube	8	O _h (44)	-110.757	-459.242		
square antiprism	8	$D_{4d}(4\bar{4})$	-57.363	-6.866		
hexagonal	8	Den (161)	-8.074	5595.528	- 2.8 × 10 ⁻⁹	0.755
bipyramid						
augmented	9	$D_{3h}(3\bar{3}\bar{3})$	-69.857	129.259	-3.401	1.656
trigonal prism						
- capped	9	C _{fr} (144)	-95.529	7771.152	-0.0024	0.773
square prism						
capped	9	$C_{4v}(14\bar{4})$	-68.025	106.362	-4.637	1.867
square antiprism			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, and the second se	And the second	and a second second second
bicapped	10	$D_{44}(1441)$	-14,298	7318.885	9.1 × 10	0.755 ,
square antiprism						
icosahedron	12	L _b (1551)	204.873	145076,754		0.754
cuboctahedron	12	O _h (444)	-5,296	97086.514		0.754
dodecahedron	20	In (5555)	-527.357	114166.566	-0.0019	0.772

- Typeset by FoilTFX -





Varying the "twist" of a square prism



CONCLUSIONS



Unstable structures?

- Ginzburg-Landau instability guarantees a strong firstorder transition at some $\delta \mu = \delta \mu_* \gg \delta \mu_2$
- $\Delta_*,\ \Omega_{min}$ are large, but cannot be predicted by the Ginzburg-Landau method
- Larger instability ⇒ more robust ground state (cube has the most unstable Ginzburg-Landau free energy)



– Typeset by FoilT $_{\rm E} X$ –

OUTLOOK AND IMPLICATIONS
 Variational calculation for FCC crystel, now that we know this is the favoral one three - flavor analysis
CRYSTALLINE SUPERFLUIDITY
othis phase may be created in gosos of ultracold fermionic etoms (lombescot)
+ trap 2 hypertine states of the information
· arrange strong attractive
between 2 "species densities
. arrange ditterent number
FOR 2 "Species VORTEX PINNING & PULSAR GLITCHES:
· rotate crystal; what happens?
vortices? Pinned at intersections
of crystal places?
• it so, presence of a layer of
gnork matter within neutron star sylitch
۲۲. ۲

IMPLICATIONS FOR COMPACT STARS

or, flipping that around, ... How can we use observations of compact stars to determine the high density region of the phase diagram? • If core of "neutron" star is quark matter, then it IS a color superconductor. Tstar ~ keV KKTc

Not known whether neutron stors have quark matter cores. Goal: understand observational consequences, so we can find out.
FIRST: Can we discover whether thore is a crystalline color superconductivity whether?
As a function of increasing depth, Ms/µA decreases.

LOFF WINDOW - LOFF SHELL

THEN: List other examples of ways to answer this question.

.



GLITCHES





ASTROPHYSICAL CONSEQUENCES IF NEUTRON STARS HAVE CEL CORES

• For given M, R a little smaller. But, uncertainty in R still Kills (CFL). dominated by nucleor outer layer. dominated by nucleor outer layer. • At a sharp interface, big Redely control KR density step. -> LIGO signal • If spherical stars have CFL cores but oblate stars do not, -> unusual spin-up history. I endeming, We berg Blaschke, Grigericu, Frank Transparent insulator. -> B in core not in Slux tubes; not frozen. -> B evolution governed by outer layer. • very small specific heat, neutrino lettimer Steve • For T < few MeV: emissivity, neutrino opacity. Jeikumer Prestor Stor to wy Ellig · superfluidity - pvery large thermal conductivity P cooling of star controlled by nuclear outer · During supernova, Thtens of MeV > meson mus > mesons emit and scatter neutrinos where • and, also, may be phase transitions carter have 3 signals in time distribution of supernova y · Bare quark star would be nice. Not seen...

EQUATION OF STATE: Quark matter has effects; color superconductivity offects pressure only at order $\Delta^2/\mu^2 \sim 5-10\%$. BUT: sharp density 9 Alford, KR Reddy, discontinuity may be wilczek seen in gravitational nuclear CFL waves emitted during inspiral? (a [160 signal?) NEUTRON STAR COOLING: rapid U-emission if some quarks have BST. Fully Could gapped quark matter (ieCFL) is ident. put This can touch us value of smeellost gap. X-tal (Page Prakosh Lattimer Steiner) Phase? SUPERNOVA NEUTRINOS: T Kawaris Transition to c.s. during first seconds of cooling of proto-Transition to C.S. Quering first neutron star - suddan V-transparancy - Suddan burst of D's tistribute distribution @ SNO, Superk? (larter, Redly)

CFL EFFECTIVE THEORY

- an important, and well-developed, aspect of the subject that I have not had time to treat.
- CFL phase has bioken chirel symmetry and : light bidstone bosons. And yet, for large m the coupling is weak and so we can calculate, eg, parameters in the e.f.t. describing the goldstone bosons. Thus, M_T, K, M & S_T, K, M NOW
 - calculated from first principles

for goo, µ > 0. (asulbuoni, butto; Son, Stephanou; Kho, wisebe, Zuched; Hong Lee, Min; Manuel, Tytyit; Zorembo; Beane, Beeligeo, Senege; Bedague, Schüfer; Keplon, Kally; OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS

"LITTLE" = people are working on them · construct vortices in crystelline phase · crystalline phase beyond bintburg-London · is the Kouvaris phase stoke? favorable? · astrophysics of signatures I described · microscopic understanding of Kenthy of CFL condensate lattice calculation of analogue thenomena in Ne=2000 or Ng=2 QCD at large Misaspin. "BIG" (= new ideas needed before work • new astrophysical signatures · reformulate weak coupling calculation of gap to make it systematic and, perhaps, better convergent. · solve the sign problem ! then, answer all questions on lattice. [Neal to reorganise lattice path integral to avoid need for huge cancellations at 140.] Solving this would revolutioning CHT 100