

the **abdus salam** international centre for theoretical physics

ICTP 40th Anniversary

SMR 1564 - 3

SPRING COLLEGE ON SCIENCE AT THE NANOSCALE (24 May - 11 June 2004)

TDDFT THEORY:

APPLICATIONS TO NANO AND BIO-STRUCTURES

Optical Properties of Nanostructures: Motivation. Basic Concepts. Foundations TDDFT

A. RUBIO

Dept. de Fisica de Materiales, Univ. del Pais Vasco and Centro Mixto CSIC-UPV/EHU, Donostia, San Sebastian, Spain

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants.



Optical properties of nanostrutures Angel Rubio

Dpto. de Física de Materiales, Universidad del País Vasco, Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), and Centro Mixto CSIC-UPV/EHU, Donostia, Spain

http://dipc.ehu.es/arubio E-mail: arubio@sc.ehu.es

I. Motivation. Basic concepts. Foundations TDDFT.

II. Illustration of the physics for nano- and bio structures

III. Extended systems: problems and new developments



ICTP Spring College on Science at the Nanoscale, Trieste May 24th -June 11th 2004

Optical properties of nanostrutures

I. Motivation. Basic concepts. Foundations TDDFT.

Introduction: experimental motivation quasiparticle concept quantum control of states: Quantum information non linear response and time resolved properties Techniques: Hartree-Fock, CI, DFT...... TDDFT octopus project

TDDFT foundations: basic theorems, excitation energies, nuclear motion, excited state electron-ion dynamics

ICTP Spring College on Science at the Nanoscale, Trieste May 24th -June 11th 2004

Nanoscience: different scales need to be bridged (multicompnent simulations)

- spatial scale: 0D - 1D - 2D from molecule-nano-bio-meso-wires-leads

 time scale: femtosecond (electron excitations) picoseconds (fonon) nanosecond (charge-transfer) milisecond >>> bio-structural organisation growth; self assembly

FIRST PRINCIPLES TOOLS: SPECTROSCOPIES

Characterisation tools of the "nanoworld" Need of the knowledge of response functions!!!!!



The spatial resolution depends on the wavelength, the spatial localization (STM, SNOM), the inelastic attenuation (PES, PD),

the range of the interaction (EELS, MARPE)

Photochemistry:

Phonon- versus electron- mediated surface reactions:



Electronic coupling between a solid and an adsorbate governs chemical dynamics at surfaces

The dynamics of electronic excitations play an important role in moleculesurface interactions and reactivity, as in laser-driven surface reactions, and are also critical to technological applications of electronic materials

Motivation: non-linear phenomena and chemical reactivity

Quantum optimally controlled landscapes: time resolved dynamics



QUASIPARTICLES

- a fundamental concept in condensed-matter physics -



Lifetime: relevance



- screening and localisation
- electron-phonon coupling
- surface photochemistry
- electron transfer across interfaces
- electron dynamics and energy transfer



Introduding a negative bare charge q=-1 in a nanostructure: monitorise the time evolution of the electronic density (TDDFT calculation)

$$\delta n(\vec{r}) = \int d\vec{r}_1 \chi(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \delta v(\vec{r}_1)$$

where $\chi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$ is the *density-response function*. In general, the external perturbation can be time-dependent. Using the frequency representation, we have that

$$\delta n(\vec{r};\omega) = \int d\vec{r}_1 \chi(\vec{r},\vec{r}_1;\omega) \delta v(\vec{r}_1;\omega)$$

Nucleus α (fixed)



The Basic Problem and Formalism:

Hamiltonian of the coupled electron-ion system:

N ions, coordinates $\vec{R}_1, \ldots, \vec{R}_N \equiv \vec{R}$, momenta $\vec{P}_1, \ldots, \vec{P}_N \equiv \vec{P}$, charges Z_1, \ldots, Z_N , masses M_1, \ldots, M_n

 N_e electrons, coordinates $\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_N \equiv \vec{r}$, momenta $\vec{p}_1, \ldots, \vec{p}_N \equiv \vec{p}$, mass m

$$H = \sum_{I=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{P}_{I}^{2}}{2M_{I}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{e}} \frac{\vec{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m} + \sum_{i>j} \frac{e^{2}}{|\vec{r}_{i} - \vec{r}_{j}|} + \sum_{I>J} \frac{Z_{I}Z_{J}e^{2}}{|\vec{R}_{i} - \vec{R}_{J}|} - \sum_{i,I} \frac{Z_{I}e^{2}}{|\vec{R}_{I} - \vec{r}_{i}|}$$

$$= T_{N} + T_{e} + V_{ee}(\vec{r}) + V_{NN}(\vec{R}) + V_{Ne}(\vec{r}, \vec{R})$$

Schrödinger equation

$$[T_N + T_e + V_{ee}(\vec{r}) + V_{NN}(\vec{R}) + V_{Ne}(\vec{r},\vec{R})]\Phi(x,\vec{R}) = E\Phi(x,\vec{R})$$

 $x \equiv (\vec{r}, s)$ full set of electronic positions and spin variables

Quantum chemistry: Hartree, Hartree-Fock and post HF techniques

Hartree approximation $\Phi(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, ..., \vec{x}_N) = \phi_1(\vec{x}_1)\phi_2(\vec{x}_2)...\phi_N(\vec{x}_N)$

• Pauli's exclusion principle is taken into account.

Does it really make sense to work with the many-body wavefunction????

come nom me presence or an interaction. It is a pure quantum property, caned

exchange. The density matrix:

$$\gamma(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n^*(\vec{x}_1) \phi_n(\vec{x}_2)$$
 (note that $n(\vec{x}) = \gamma(\vec{x}, \vec{x})$)

Example of Fock operator:

$$\Sigma_{x}(\vec{x}_{1}, \vec{x}_{2}) = -\frac{\gamma(\vec{x}_{1}, \vec{x}_{2})}{|\vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}|}$$

The total energy for the Slater derminant is

$$E(\Phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int d\vec{x} \phi_n^* (\vec{x}) \left[-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}^2 + v_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) \right] \phi_n (\vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{x}_1 d\vec{x}_2 \left(\frac{n(\vec{x}_1)n(\vec{x}_2)}{|\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1|} + \Sigma_X (\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) \gamma(\vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_1) \right)$$

The essence of DFT:

Hohenberg-Kohn (1964)

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{R}_{ions}] = \min_{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \langle \boldsymbol{\Psi} | \mathbf{H}_{e} | \boldsymbol{\Psi} \rangle$$

•The ground state energy of a many body system is a unique functional of the density i.e, $n(r) = n[\Psi] = \langle \sum_{i} \delta(r - r_{i}) \rangle$

can be inverted

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{N}) = \Psi[\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})] \to E[\mathbf{R}_{\text{ions}}] = \min_{\mathbf{n}} \langle \Psi \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{e}} \Psi \rangle \equiv \min_{\mathbf{n}} E[\mathbf{n}]$$

•The functional has a minimum at the 'equilibrium' density

Total-energy functional

$$E[n] = T[n] + E^H[n] + E^{xc}[n] + \int V(\vec{r})n(\vec{r})d^3r$$

Kohn-Sham approach (1965):



Exc includes now the correlation contribution to T

$$\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \underbrace{V(\vec{r}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d^3r + \mu_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]}_{V_{eff}(\vec{r})}\right\} \phi_i(\vec{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\vec{r})$$

with the exchange-correlation potential

$$\mu_{xc}[n(\vec{r})] = \frac{\delta E_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]}{\delta n(\vec{r})} = \frac{\delta \{n(\vec{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]\}}{\delta n(\vec{r})}$$

KS-equations

Some summary of DFT results for finite and extended systems

<u>LSDA</u>	<u>GGA</u>
5% (not negative enough)	0.5%
100% (too negative)	5%
1% (short)	1% (long)
favours close packing	improves
100% (too low)	30% (low)
	LSDA 5% (not negative enough) 100% (too negative) 1% (short) favours close packing 100% (too low)

<u>Approx</u> <u>Mean absolute error in the atomisation energy for 20 molecules</u>

Unrestricted HF	3.1 eV (underbinding)
LSDA	1.3 eV (overbinding)
GGA	0.3 eV (mostly overbinding)

Chemical accuray

0.05 eV

J.P. Perdew and S. Kurth in A chemist's guide to density functional theory, W. Koch and M.C. Holthausen, (Wiley-VCH, 2000)

Some Pathologies of the KS-DFT functional

Ban-gap problem is discontinuous by a constant when an electron is added to the system (Sham, Schlüter and Perdew, Levy (1983)

Widely separated open-shell atoms Almbladh and von Barth 1985

Exchange correlation electric field in insulators

Godby and Sham 1984, Gonze, Ghosez and Godby 1995 (<u>next Lecture for extended systems</u>)

Time Dependent Density Functional Theory (Runge and Gross 1984)

Electron-dynamics first, then e-ion problem

HK-like theorem: $v(r,t) < \dots > (r,t)$ The time dependent density determines uniquely the time-dependentexternal potential and therefore all physical observables

Kohn-Sham formalism:

The time dependent density of the interacting system can be calculated as the density of an auxilary non-interacting system

$$i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\Phi = H\Phi \quad \rightarrow \quad i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\psi_{i} = H_{KS}\left[\left[\psi_{j}\right]\right]\psi_{i}, \quad i=1,\cdots N$$
$$H_{KS} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\left(i\nabla - \frac{e}{c\hbar}\left(A + A_{xc}\right)\right)^{2} + V_{external} + V_{hartree} + V_{exchange} + V_{correlation}$$

Linear Response Theory:

$$\chi(r,r';\omega) = \lim_{\eta \to 0^{+}} \sum_{m} \left(\frac{\left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{\rho}(r) \middle| m \right\rangle \left\langle m \middle| \hat{\rho}(r') \middle| 0 \right\rangle}{\omega - (E_{m} - E_{0}) + i\eta} - \frac{\left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{\rho}(r') \middle| m \right\rangle \left\langle m \middle| \hat{\rho}(r) \middle| 0 \right\rangle}{\omega + (E_{m} - E_{0}) + i\eta} \right)$$

The exact linear density response has poles at the exact excitation energies

$$() = V_{ext}($$
$$= E_m - E_n$$

TDDFT does the job for you!!!

$$() = \bigvee_{ext} () \text{ however the KS system } () =_{0} () \bigvee_{eff} ()$$
$$\chi(\omega) = \chi_{0}(\omega) + \chi_{0}(\omega) (v + f_{xc}(\omega)) \chi(\omega)$$
$$\chi_{0}(r, r', w) = \sum_{ij} (f_{j} - f_{i}) \frac{\psi_{i}^{c}(r)\psi_{j}(r)\psi_{i}(r')\psi_{j}^{c}(r')}{\epsilon_{i} - \epsilon_{j} - w} \qquad f_{xc}(r, r', \omega) = \frac{\delta V_{xc}(r, \omega)}{\delta n(r, \omega)}$$

By virtue of time-dependent Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, <u>ALL</u> observables are funtionals of the TD density

some observables are easily expressed in terms of the density (no approximations involved)

e.g. TD dipole moment $d(t) = \int \rho(r, t) z d^3 r$ photon spectrum $\sim |d(\omega)|^2$

other observables are more difficult to express in terms of the density (involving further approximation)

e.g. ionization yields

Excited state electron-ion dynamics

Introduction: How to simulate excited state dynamics?



- 1. No need of level assignment for a hole and an excited electron except at the beginning.
- 2. Automatic monitoring of the nonradiative decay (lifetime, decay path) without experiences.

Time dependent multicomponent KS theorem transformation to a body-fixed coordinate frame required T.Kreibig and E.K.U Gross PRL (2001)

The densities , N of the interacting system can be calculated as densities of a non-interacting (KS) system

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{j} \left| \phi_{j}(\mathbf{r},t) \right|^{2}$$
$$N(\mathbf{R},t) = \left| \chi(\mathbf{R},t) \right|^{2}$$

$$i\partial_{t}\phi_{j}(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2\mu_{e}}\nabla_{r}^{2} + v_{s}[\rho,N](\mathbf{r},t)\right)\phi_{j}(\mathbf{r},t)$$
$$i\partial_{t}\chi(\mathbf{R},t) = \left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2\mu_{n}}\nabla_{R}^{2} + W_{s}[\rho,N](\mathbf{R},t)\right)\chi(\mathbf{R},t)$$
$$v_{s}(\mathbf{r},t) = v_{laser}(\mathbf{r},t) + v_{ee}^{H}(\mathbf{r},t) + v_{en}^{H}(\mathbf{r},t) + \mathbf{v}_{xc}[\rho,N](\mathbf{r},t)$$

$$W_{s}(\mathbf{R},t) = W_{laser}(\mathbf{R},t) + \frac{Z_{1}Z_{2}}{R} + W_{en}^{H}(\mathbf{R},t) + \mathbf{W}_{xc}[\rho,\mathbf{N}](\mathbf{R},t)$$

Nuclear Dynamics of H_2^+ in 1D in a 770 nm Laser pulse



T.Kreibig and E.K.U Gross PRL (2001)

The octopus project is aim to the first principle description of the excite state electron-ion dynamics of nanostructres and extended systems within TDDFT

Implementation:

✓ Numerical description of functions: <u>real space</u> discretization (1D-3D).

- ✓ Auxiliary use of LCAO/ FFTs. QM/MM for biomolecular structures
- ✓ Electon-ion coupling: <u>pseudopotentials</u>. Spin-Orbit



http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

M.A.L. Marques, A. Castro, G. Bertsch, AR Comp. Phys. Comm. (2002) C. Rozzi, M.A.L. Marques, A. Castro, E.K.U. Gross A. R. (to be published)

Implementation

✓ *High-order discretization* for the kinetic energy operator

$$\nabla^{2} \Psi_{i}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} C_{N,n}^{2} \left[\Psi_{i}(x+nh, y, z) + \Psi_{i}(x, y+nh, z) + \Psi_{i}(x, y, z+nh) \right]$$

✓ Classical description of electromagnetic field.

$$\boldsymbol{E}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{I_0}{(8\pi)}} f(t) \sin(\omega t) \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{D}$$



(Absence of radiative-decay channel!)

✓ Classical description of nuclei (point particles): Ehrenfest path:

$$F_{a}(t) = -\langle \Phi(t) | \nabla_{a} H | \Phi(t) \rangle \qquad \Phi(t) = Det \{ \Psi_{i}(t) \}$$

✓ Solution of the TD-KS equations by unitary propagation schemes.

$$\Psi_{:}(t+\Delta t)=e^{-iH_{KS}(t+\Delta t)\Delta t/2}e^{-iH_{KS}(t)\Delta t/2}\Psi_{:}(t)$$



<u>Femtosecond dynamics</u>: test photodissociation of a dimer (Na_2^+) .



Time resolved Vibrational Spectroscopy: Raman

250

A. Castro, M.L. Marques. J.A. Alonso, G.F. Bertsch and AR (2003)

Femtosecond dynamics (ongoing work!!!!)

Time resolved Vibrational Spectroscopy: Raman & IR.



The Electron Localisation Function (ELF) : Seeing Bonds!!!

Definition:

0 < elf < 1

$$elf_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{1 + \left[\frac{C_{\sigma}(r)}{C_{\sigma}^{uni}(r)}\right]^{2}}$$

Becke, Edgecombe, JCP92, 5397 (1990)

$$C_{\sigma}^{uni}(r) = \frac{3}{5} (6 \pi^2)^{2/3} \rho_{\sigma}^{5/3}(r)$$



When the wavefunction is a Slater determinant (in the time dependent case)

$$C_{\sigma}^{det}(r,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\sigma}} |\nabla \varphi_{i\sigma}(r,t)|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left[\nabla \rho_{\sigma}(r,t)\right]^2}{\rho_{\sigma}(r,t)} - \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)}{(\mathbf{r},t)}$$







Time-dependet case: acetilene C_2H_2 in a strong laser field $\omega = 17eV, T = 8fs, I = 1.2x10^{14} Wcm^{-2}$



to *

T. Burnus, M.A.L.Marques, E.K.U Gross, (2004)

fast ionisation

Femtosecond dynamics: *Time-resolved photoelectron spectoscopy*

Region B: $\Psi_B(\mathbf{p})$

Region A:

 $\Psi_A(\mathbf{r})$

The simulation region is divided in two parts:
A and B, separated by a smooth mask function *Electrons are not allowed to come back from B to A*

We write the photoelectron spectum as:



D. Varsano, M.A.L. Marques, H. Appel, E.K.U Gross and AR (to be published)