

the **abdus salam** international centre for theoretical physics

ICTP 40th Anniversary

H4.SMR/1574-31

"VII School on Non-Accelerator Astroparticle Physics"

26 July - 6 August 2004

Magnetic Monopole Searches

Giorgio Giacomelli

University of Bologna and INFN Italy

# Magnetic Monopole Searches

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Classical MMs
- 3. Searches for classical MMs
- 4. GUT MMs
- 5. Searches for GUT MMs
- 6. Catalysis of p-decay
- 7. Intermediate mass MMs
- 8. Nuclearites. Q-balls
- 9. Conclusions. Outlook

G. Giacomelli University of Bologna and INFN 7<sup>th</sup> School, ICTP, Trieste, 26/7-6/8, 04



1931 Dirac: Quantization of electric charge Proc. R. Soc. London, 133 (1931) 60

eg = 
$$n \frac{\hbar c}{2}$$
,  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$  Dirac relation  
 $g_D = \frac{\hbar c}{2e} = \frac{137}{2}e$ ,  $g = n g_D$ 

1974 GUT of Strong and Electroweak interactions

## 2. Classical ("Dirac" ) MMs

- Mass : No prediction. Estimate: if  $R_M = R_e \quad m_M \sim g_D^2 m_e/e^2 \sim 4700 m_e \sim 2.4 \text{ GeV}$
- Magnetic Charge

If n = 1 and e = electron charge  $g_D = \_c /2e = e/2\alpha = 68.5 e = 3.3 \times 10^{-8} esu$ If  $|e|=1/3 \rightarrow 3 g_D$ 

•Electric charge = 0 MM , ≠ 0 Dyon

Systems M-p, M-Al<sup>27</sup> (Monopole-Dipole Interaction)

Coupling constant

 $\alpha_{M} = g^{2}_{D}/c = 34.25$ 

• Energy gain in a magnetic field

 $W = n g_D B L = n 20.5 \text{ keV/G cm}$ 

If L= 1 kpc and B =  $3 \mu G$ 

$$W \cong 1.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$$

## MM Energy Losses





#### 3. Searches for classical MMs at accelerators /1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow MM$ , $\overline{p}p \rightarrow M\overline{M}$ , $pp \rightarrow ppM\overline{M}$ •Direct experiments: poles produced - detected immediately (large dE/dx) p Searches with scintillation counters nuclear track detectors Limits (95 % CL) $\sigma(e^+e^-) < 5 \times 10^{-37} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ m}_M < 102 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma(pp) < 2 \times 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ m}_M < 850 \text{ GeV}$ [ Produced •Indirect expts: M Stopped Trapped MMs **→** M р Extracted Accelerated Later { M $\overline{M}_{-}$ Detected

### Limits for classical MMs at accelerators



## Searches for classical MMs at accelerators /2

#### •Multi-y events

-At ISR pp $\rightarrow$ multi- $\gamma$  at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 53 GeV  $\sigma$  < 2×10<sup>-37</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>

-At Fermilab (D0 Coll.) search for  $\gamma$ -pairs of high transverse energies in pp collisions M> 870 GeV for spin 1/2 Dirac MMs (95 % CL)

-At LEP (L3 Coll.) search for Z  $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$  events M>510 GeV (95 % CL)

#### Searches in bulk matter

- -Moon rocks
- -Meteorites
- -Terrestrial magnetic materials
- •Searches in the cosmic radiation
  - -with counters
  - -with emulsions + Lexan
  - -fossil tracks in mica

Superconducting loop



## 4. GUT Monopoles (Gauge, Cosmic,..)

Gauge theories of unified interactions predict MMs

SU(5)  $\xrightarrow{10^{15} \text{ GeV}}_{10^{-35} \text{ s}}$  SU(3)<sub>c</sub> × [SU(2)<sub>L</sub> × U(1)<sub>y</sub>]  $\xrightarrow{10^2 \text{ GeV}}_{10^{-9} \text{ s}}$  SU(3)<sub>c</sub> × U(1)<sub>EM</sub>

\* Mass  $m_M \ge m_X/G > 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \sim 0.02 \ \mu g \rightarrow 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ 

(Kaluza - Klein poles  $\rightarrow$  > 10<sup>19</sup> GeV , SUSY  $\rightarrow$  > 10<sup>17</sup> GeV )





## GUT MMs

\* Magnetic charge  $g = n g_D$  several models predict n>1 (2,3)

\* Production: In the Early Universe at G.U.T. phase transition

- as topological defects  $G \rightarrow U(1) \times ... (t \sim 10^{-35} \text{ s})$ 





monopole

**Frozen domains** 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow M \overline{M}$  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow M M$ 

- in high energy collisions (t~ 10<sup>-34</sup> s)

MMs follow "history" of the Universe ⇒ slowed down ⇒ formation of galaxies
⇒ magnetic fields ⇒ poles accelerated

## GUT MMs



Cosmological limits on MM flux

$$\rho_{M} < \rho_{c} \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Uniform} & \longrightarrow & F < 5 \times 10^{-12} \ \beta \ (\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}) \\ \text{Clumped} & \longrightarrow & \times 10^{5} \end{array} \right.$$

Astrophysical limits on the GUT MM flux

Survival of galactic magnetic fields $\Rightarrow$  the Parker boundF< 10<sup>-15</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>for  $\beta$ <3 10<sup>-3</sup>F< 10<sup>-15</sup> ( $\beta$ /3 10<sup>-3</sup>) cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>for  $\beta$  >3 10<sup>-3</sup>



gg - ICTP 2004 - MMs

## 5. Searches for GUT Magnetic Monopoles

#### Induction devices

Method depends only on long range E.M. interaction



Superconducting solenoid  $\Delta i = \frac{4\pi N}{L} g_{D} = 2\Delta i_{0}$ 

Early experiments : 1 loop, 10 cm<sup>2</sup>, no coincidence arrangements Stanford, 1982: the "Cabrera" event

Later detectors:

coincidence arrangements+accelerometers, cosmic ray and R.F. monitors

Present combined limit:

```
F < 2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} (90 % CL)
```



Different analysis techniques were used for various  $\beta$  regions, by using the three subdetectors

Redundancy & Complementarity

## MACRO: Scintillation counters



Calibration tools: cosmic muons, LED's, UV laser



- $\checkmark$  Study of the PMT pulse
- ✓ Measurement of the light yield

 $\checkmark$  Consistency check between the box crossing time and the ToF across MACRO

For slow monopoles : the PMT pulse might reduce to a train of single photoelectrons For fast monopoles : look for large energy deposits Dedicated hardware: 200 MHz WFD + ADC/TDC system + independent triggers

### MACRO: Streamer Tubes



Look for time alignments in a ~ 500 ms window with 150 ns resolution Require single track in wire, strip and time view

### MACRO: The Nuclear Track Subdetector



### Restricted Energy Loss vs $\beta$ for MMs in CR39



## MACRO final results

EPJ C25 (2002) 511



 $g = g_D$  $\sigma_{cat} < 1 mb$ 



## 6. Catalysis of proton decay

GUT MM - p interaction may violate baryon and lepton number conservation  $M + p \longrightarrow M + e^+ + \pi^0$ 

If  $\sigma_{\!\Delta B \neq 0} \thicksim \sigma_{\!\rm core} \thicksim 10^{\text{-}56} \, \mathrm{cm}^2 \ \thicksim \ \mathrm{negligible}$ 

#### Rubakov-Callan mechanism

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{If $\sigma_{\Delta B \neq 0} \sim \sigma_{strong}$} & \mbox{could see a string of $p$ decays along MM trajectory} \\ \sigma_{\Delta B \neq 0} \sim \sigma_0 / \beta & (\mbox{or $\sigma_0/\beta^2$}) \\ \mbox{p-decay detectors} & \end{array}$ 

IMB $\Phi < 1 \div 3 \ 10^{-15} \ cm^{-2} \ sr^{-1} \ s^{-1}$  $10^{-5} < \beta < 10^{-1}$ Kamiokande $5 \ 10^{-5} < \beta < 10^{-3}$ 

#### v-telescopes

Lake Baikal  $\Phi < 6 \ 10^{-17} \ cm^{-2} \ sr^{-1} \ s^{-1} \qquad \beta \sim 10^{-5}$ 

## MACRO: dedicated search for MM induced p decay

using the streamer tube system

 $S\Omega = 4250 \text{ m}^2 \text{sr}$ , t = 70,000 hours



## 7. Intermediate mass MMs (10<sup>5</sup> - 10<sup>12</sup> GeV)

1994 De Rujula CERN-TH 7273/94 E. Huguet & P. Peter hep-ph/ 901370 Shafi - Talk at the Neutrino Workshop, Venice, 2001 Wick et al. Astropart. Phys. 18, 663 (2003)

Produced in the Early Universe in later phase transitions

SO(10) 
$$\frac{10^{15} \text{ GeV}}{10^{-35} \text{ s}}$$
 SU(4) × SU(2) × SU(2)  $\frac{10^9 \text{ GeV}}{10^{-23} \text{ s}}$  SU(3) × SU(2) × U(1)

ex. (Shafi)  $M \sim 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$  ,  $g = 2 g_D$  , no p-decay catalysis

IMMs can be accelerated in the galactic B field to relativistic velocities

$W = g_D B L \sim 6 \times 10^{-19} e$	eV (B/3x10 <sup>-6</sup> G)(L/300pc)
Galaxy	$W \sim 6 \times 10^{19} eV$
Neutron stars	$W \sim 10^{20}$ - $10^{24} eV$
AGN	$W \sim 10^{23}$ - $10^{24}$ eV

Could they produce highest energy cosmic ray showers E > 10<sup>20</sup> eV ?

## IMM searches in the cosmic radiation : the present situation



## IMM searches at high altitudes

#### SLIM

Chacaltaya, Bolivia 5290 m asl 440 m<sup>2</sup> of nuclear track detectors

Koksil, Himalaya, 4275 m asl 100 m² of nuclear track detectors





Accessible regions in the plane (mass,  $\beta$ ) for MMs coming from above for an experiment at high altitudes and underground.

#### The SLIM detector layout @ Chacaltaya



90 % C.L. flux upper limits vs MM mass for SLIM (expected, in absence of candidates) and MACRO Modules  $24 \times 24$  cm<sup>2</sup>





10-13

## IMM searches: Under-ice, Underwater experiments

AMANDA, Lake Baikal, ANTARES

Direct \_ light  $\beta_{MM} > 1/n \sim 0.75$   $\delta$ -rays \_ light  $\beta_{MM} > 0.6$   $M > 10^{10-11}$  GeV from below ( $M > 10^{6-9}$  GeV from above)



## 8. NUCLEARITES

E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D30 (1984) 272 A. De Rujula, S. L. Glashow, Nature 312 (1984) 734

• Aggregates of u, d, s quarks + electrons ,  $n_e = 2/3 n_u - 1/3 n_d - 1/3 n_s$ 

•Ground state of nuclear matter; stable for any barion number A :~300 < A <  $10^{57}$ 



Produced in Early Universe: candidates for cold Dark Matter

Searched for in CR reaching the Earth [black points are electrons]



### Nuclearites: Interaction with matter



 $dE/dx = -\sigma \rho_{medium} v_N^2 \qquad \sigma \sqrt{\pi 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2} \quad R_N < 1\text{\AA}$  $\sigma \sim \pi \times R_N^2 \qquad R_N > 1\text{\AA}$ 

In scintillators and track-etch detectors: signal similar to that of a MM

In water: part of the lost energy is radiated as visible light





Accessible regions in the plane (mass,  $\beta$ ) for nuclearites coming from above for an experiment at high altitudes and underground.

## High Mass Nuclearites: present situation:



## Low mass nuclearites





Predicted Flux @ Chacaltaya :  $7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$  for  $m_N > 3 \times 10^3$ SLIM: ~ 100 events in 4 y

## 8. Supersymmetric Q-balls

- S. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B262 (1985), 263 - A. Kusenko et al., Phys. Lett. B 404 (1997) 285; Phys. Lett. B 405 (1997) 108;

Q-balls : coherent states of squarks, sleptons and Higgs fields

 $Q \le 10^{30}$  10 <sup>8</sup> <  $M_Q$  10 <sup>25</sup> GeV

- Produced in the Early Universe
- Candidates for Cold Dark Matter , concentrated in the galactic halos,  $\beta$  ~ 10^-3 SECS : Supersym. Electrically Charged Solitons

SENS : Supersym. Electrically Neutral Solitons



 $R_Q$  : dimension of the Q-ball core;

the black points indicate electrons, open circles indicate s-electrons.

Supersymmetric electrically charged solitons (SECS) should essentially behave in detectors like nuclearites



#### Charged Q- balls: current /near future situation



AKENO, KEK : ground level MACRO : 3700 hg/cm<sup>2</sup> undg. AMS: Space Station SLIM: 500 g/cm<sup>2</sup> atm depth

## 9. Conclusions - Outlook

- Dirac MMs at accelerators  $m_M > 0.9 \text{ TeV}$ In the future : at LHC probe  $0.9 < m_M < 7 \text{ TeV}$
- Flux of GUT MMs in the cosmic radiation:  $MACRO : \Phi < 1.4 \ 10^{-16} \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \ sr^{-1}$  for  $4 \times 10^{-5} < \beta < 1$ For the future: one would need new detectors with much larger surfaces
- IMMs:

Experiments at mountain altitudes  $\Phi < 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ For the future: need much larger detectors Experiments with neutrino telescopes for  $\beta > 0.6$  from below For the future: need measurements from above

- Nuclearites: None found, limits ~ as for GUT MMs
- Q-balls "