



The Abdus Salam  
International Centre for Theoretical Physics



SMR: 1643/5

**WINTER COLLEGE ON OPTICS ON OPTICS AND PHOTONICS  
IN NANOSCIENCE AND NANOTECHNOLOGY**

( 7 - 18 February 2005)

***"Optical Properties  
of Plasmonic Nanosystems"- II***

presented by:


**M. Stockman**  
Georgia State University  
Atlanta  
U.S.A.

**These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants.**



Department of Physics and Astronomy  
Georgia State University  
Atlanta, GA 30303-3083

Support:



# Theory of Nanoplasmonics 2: Optical Properties of Plasmonic Nanosystems

Mark I. Stockman

Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA  
30303, USA

2/14/2005

Web: <http://www.phy-astr.gsu.edu/stockman>  
E-mail: [mstockman@gsu.edu](mailto:mstockman@gsu.edu)


ITCP, Trieste, Italy  
02/10/2005 1

## LECTURE 2

# Nanoplasmonics of Nanosystems

1. Introduction; Local fields of a sphere
2. Quasistatic eigenmodes; Theorem on Anderson localization
3. Giant SERS in fractals
4. Nanospheres and their aggregates; Efficient nanolens made of nanospheres
5. Enhancement, depolarization and dephasing of SHG

## PROBLEMS IN NANOOPTICS



## Quasistatic Approximation and Eigenmodes

For a nanosystem, size is much less than the radiation wavelength. In this case, one can neglect retardation, and describe the system by quasistatic equations for electrostatic potential.

Quasistatic approximation does not imply that the excitation processes are slow. Just to the opposite, the neglectable retardation allows one to use and study ultrafast processes in nanostructures.

Example: Quasistatic fields as expansion over eigenmodes for spherical particles

$$j_{l+1}(ka) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) - \frac{j_l(ka)}{ka} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{j_l(ka)}{ka} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) - j_{l+1}(ka) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$$


Multipolar  $(l, m)$  polarizability:

$$\frac{1}{e_2 + 1} \frac{e_1 - e_2}{e_1 + e_2}$$


Coefficients of eigenmodes expansion:

$$a_{lm} = \frac{j_l(ka) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) - \frac{j_{l+1}(ka)}{ka} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)}{j_l(ka) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) - \frac{j_{l+1}(ka)}{ka} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)}, \quad b_{lm} = \frac{j_{l+1}(ka) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) - \frac{j_l(ka)}{ka} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)}{j_l(ka) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) - \frac{j_{l+1}(ka)}{ka} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)}$$


## Quasistatic local field intensity for silver sphere



$$\omega < \omega_{SP}$$



$$\omega = \omega_{SP}$$



$$\omega > \omega_{SP}$$

We will follow the spectral theory that allows one to *separate the material and geometric properties of the system.*

1. M. I. Stockman, S. V. Faleev, and D. J. Bergman, *Anderson Localization vs. Delocalization of Surface Plasmons in Nanosystems: Can One State Have Both Characteristics Simultaneously?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 167401-1-4 (2001).
2. M. I. Stockman, D. J. Bergman, and T. Kobayashi, *Coherent Control of Nanoscale Localization of Ultrafast Optical Excitation in Nanosystems*, Phys. Rev. B. **69**, 054202-1-10 (2004).



## Quasistatic field equations and boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \varphi(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad \varphi(x, y, L_z) = 1,$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y, z) \right|_{x=0, L_x} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y, z) \right|_{y=0, L_y} = 0.$$

## Eigenmode problem (depending only on geometry, material independent!)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \theta(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) = s_n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}),$$

where  $\theta(\mathbf{r} \in \text{inclusion}) = 1$  and  $\theta(\mathbf{r} \in \text{host}) = 0$ ;

$$\varphi_n(x, y, 0) = \varphi_n(x, y, L_z) = 0,$$

and

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_n(x, y, z) \right|_{x=0, L_x} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi_n(x, y, z) \right|_{y=0, L_y} = 0.$$

Physical surface plasmons are elementary excitation whose complex frequency can be found from an equation  $s(\omega_n + i\gamma_n) = s_n$ ,

where the spectral parameter  $s(\omega) = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_{\text{metal}}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{\text{host}}(\omega)}\right)^{-1}$

Green's function as an eigenmode expansion:

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) = \sum_n \frac{s_n}{s(\omega) - s_n} \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_n^*(\mathbf{r}')$$

Local fields on nanoscale for harmonic excitation  
with external field  $\varphi_0(\mathbf{r})$  at frequency  $\omega$ :

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}) - \int \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}') \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}^2 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) d^3 r',$$

Local fields on nanoscale for short-pulse  
excitation with pulse field  $\varphi_0(\mathbf{r}, t)$


$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) - \int \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}', t') \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}^2 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t - t') d^3 r' dt',$$

## Theorem on Localization of Surface Plasmons:

**Any Anderson-localized (or, strongly localized) mode is dark.**

Corollary:

**It is impossible that all surface-plasmon modes of any system are Anderson-localized (or, strongly localized).**




## Geometry of Random Planar Composite

Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 167401 (2001).

2/14/2005






Web: <http://www.phy-astr.gsu.edu/stockman>  
E-mail: [mstockman@gsu.edu](mailto:mstockman@gsu.edu)



## Distribution of Eigenmodes over their Oscillator Strength $f_i$ and Localization Radius $L_i$

ITCP, Trieste, Italy  
02/10/2005 13

# Local Field Intensities for Four Eigenmodes Representative of Each Class of Eigenmodes









2/14/2005

Web: <http://www.phy-astr.gsu.edu/stockman>  
E-mail: [mstockman@gsu.edu](mailto:mstockman@gsu.edu)

ITCP, Trieste, Italy  
02/10/2005 14

# Distribution of Eigenmodes over their Localization Length and Spectral Parameter



2/14/2005

Web: <http://www.phy-astr.gsu.edu/stockman>  
E-mail: [mstockman@gsu.edu](mailto:mstockman@gsu.edu)

ITCP, Trieste, Italy  
02/10/2005 15




## Giant Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering

M. I. Stockman, V. M. Shalaev, M. Moskovits, R. Botet, and T. F. George,  
*Enhanced Raman Scattering by Fractal Clusters: Scale Invariant Theory*,  
Phys. Rev. B **46**(5), 2821-2830 (1992).


## Single-molecule Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering:

- K. Kneipp, Y. Wang, H. Kneipp, L. T. Perelman, I. Itzkan, R. Dasari, and M. S. Feld, *Single Molecule Detection Using Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS)*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1667-1670 (1997).
- S. M. Nie and S. R. Emery, *Probing Single Molecules and Single Nanoparticles by Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering*, Science **275**, 1102-1106 (1997).
- Z. J. Wang, S. L. Pan, T. D. Krauss, H. Du, and L. J. Rothberg, *The Structural Basis for Giant Enhancement Enabling Single-Molecule Raman Scattering*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100**, 8638-8643 (2003).

## Enhancement of Optical Responses in Fractals



Self-similar fractal geometry



Local optical fields in fractal cluster [MIS, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1011 (2000)].

SERS enhanced by a factor  $10^{12} - 10^{14}$





Fig. 6. Raman images of a single area on selected fractal and nonfractal surfaces for different excitation wavelengths. (Upper) The Raman images of immersion oil on the 100-nm-thick silver film. (Lower) The Raman images of 4-mercaptopyridine adsorbed on duster-cluster aggregated films. The size of each image is  $5\ \mu\text{m} \times 5\ \mu\text{m}$ . Note that each image is internally normalized to its maximum so that relative intensities between images cannot be compared. In particular, the hot spots on fractal surfaces have orders of magnitude more Raman scattering than counterparts of similar color on nonfractal surfaces.


PNAS | July 22, 2003 | vol. 100 | no. 15 | 8641




Comparison of theoretical predictions and experimental data for the SERS enhancement coefficient from silver colloid clusters.

## Efficient Self-Similar Nanolens of Nanospheres

K. Li, M. I. Stockman, and D. J. Bergman, *Self-Similar Chain of Metal Nanospheres as an Efficient Nanolens*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 227402 (2003).



## Underlying physics of local field enhancement in efficient nanolens: Cascade enhancement





Giant local fields in the minimum gap:  
Nanoscale localization of optical energy

Optical Electric Field


$d=0.3R$

## Local Fields for Silver 3-Sphere Nanolens


K. Li, M. I. Stockman, and D. J. Bergman, *Self-Similar Chain of Metal Nanospheres as an Efficient Nanolens*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 227402 (2003).



2/14/2005




Web: <http://www.phy-astr.gsu.edu/stockman>  
 E-mail: [mstockman@gsu.edu](mailto:mstockman@gsu.edu)




ITCP, Trieste, Italy  
 02/10/2005 23





FDTD computations. C. Oubre and P. Nordlander (Private Communication).



### 5 Evidence of gap modes

Very highly confined near-fields are expected to exist in gaps between resonant metal particles. Such hot spots were conjectured to explain the extremely high Raman cross-section of a single molecule bridging two plasmon-resonant grains [11]. Calculations indicated that the resonant fields would be several hundred-fold enhanced for the narrowest assumed gap width of 1 nm [34]. To search for gap mode plasmons in very narrow spaces, we chose to use uncoated Si tips because they are sharper than the PtIr coated tips. This experiment yielded evidence of localized, very sharp light spots between Au clusters, clearly confirming the existence of gap modes (Fig. 5). The sample used contains particles of different sizes and shapes in close proximity. Some, as for example the two in the upper right part of Fig. 5b, have an optical near-field amplitude signal at least twofold enhanced and phase gradients (not shown), indicative of plasmon resonance. Compared to these, optical amplitude signals tenfold higher are observed in gaps between particles, with spot sizes between 10 and 50 nm in diameter. Where these bright spots occur is not determined by the local shape of a gap alone but also by the constellation of neighboring particles, otherwise there would be more than one hot spot at similar gap locations, e.g. in Fig. 5b. Figure 5e shows a cross-sectional image taken between the two marks in Fig. 5d. Clearly, the near-field maximum is at the lowest point that the probe tip can reach into the gap. As sketched in Fig. 5f, we suggest that even higher fields exist further down. These could be explored by a s-SNOM equipped with a narrower tip, possibly a carbon nanotube.

### 6 Conclusions

Our microscope has opened a window to nanoscale light fields bound to material objects and structured by details of their surface. We have for the first time spatially resolved the near-field patterns of optically resonant plasmon particles, and in particular viewed their optical phase structures and field singularities. The highest field confinement observed in gap modes (10 nm) could be improved by optimizing optical antennae and by spectral tuning, and should be useful for the microscopy of single nano-objects and the spectroscopy of single molecules [12]; the achievable brightness is a key to exploiting non-linear optical interactions for nanoscience. The

**R. Hillenbrand and F. Keilmann, *Optical oscillation modes of plasmon particles observed in direct space by phase-contrast near-field microscopy*, Appl. Phys. B 73, 239–243 (2001)**

## CONCLUSIONS


- A self-similar chain of metal nanospheres makes an efficient nanolens focusing energy of optical field, concentrating it a nanoscale gap between the smallest nanosphere
- The optical field in the nanofocus is enhanced by more than three orders of magnitude
- A molecule adsorbed in this nanofocus will exhibit Raman scattering enhanced by a factor on order or greater than  $10^{13}$ .

## DEPOLARIZATION AND DEPHASING IN SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION IN RANDOM METAL NANOSTRUCTURED SYSTEMS



Mark I. Stockman, David J. Bergman, Cristelle Anceau, Sophie Brasselet, and Joseph Zyss, *Enhanced Second Harmonic Generation By Metal Surfaces with Nanoscale Roughness: Nanoscale Dephasing, Depolarization, and Correlations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 057402-1-4 (2004).

## Experimental evidence of strong depolarization, dephasing, and giant fluctuations of SHG:

C. Anceau, S. Brasselet, J. Zyss, and P. Gadenne, *Local second harmonic generation enhancement on gold nanostructures probed by 2-photon microscopy*, *Opt. Lett.* **28**, 713 (2003).



S. I. Bozhevolnyi, J. Beermann, and V. Coello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 197403 (2003)



$$P_{NL}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) = \chi^{(2)} E^2(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial \theta(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}}$$






The SH potential is calculated as:

$$\varphi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{4\pi}{\epsilon_{host}} \int \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}'} \mathbf{P}_{NL}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}') \right] \cdot G^r(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; 2\omega) d^3 r'$$

The total SH Polarization is found as:

$$P_{total}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) = P_{NL}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{r}, 2\omega) - 1}{4\pi} \nabla \varphi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$\text{where } \epsilon(\mathbf{r}, 2\omega) = \epsilon_{host} \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta(\mathbf{r})}{s(2\omega)} \right]$$



Geometry of the system

Local linear field

SH Polarization


2/14/2005

Web: <http://www.phy-astr.gsu.edu/stockman>  
 E-mail: [mstockman@gsu.edu](mailto:mstockman@gsu.edu)

ITCP, Trieste, Italy  
 02/10/2005 31




## Depolarization of SH



# Dephasing of SH

## Polarized component


$$\text{Im}P_z^{\text{H2L}}$$



$$\text{Re}P_z^{\text{H2L}}$$

## Depolarized component


$$\text{Im}P_x^{\text{H2L}}$$



$$\text{Re}P_x^{\text{H2L}}$$

# Correlation Functions for Different Fill Fractions $p$ (Color Coded):


$$P = 0.35, 0.50, 0.60, 0.75, 0.95$$



Giant fluctuations of local optical fields introduced:

M.I.Stockman, L.N.Pandey, L.S.Muratov, and T.F.George, *Giant Fluctuations of Local Optical Fields in Fractal Clusters*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**(15), 2486-2489 (1994).

The universal index  $-1.5$  for fundamental and  $-2.5$  for SH



Giant fluctuations of SHG local fields (new result)

## CONCLUSIONS

- Second harmonic polarization  $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$  in a nonlinear random planar composite is a highly singular function concentrated in hot spots.  $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$  is significantly different from local fields  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})$ .
- SHP  $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r})$  is both dephased and depolarized at the *nanoscale*. SHG from a random composite on any microscopic scale is incoherent, i.e., hyper-Rayleigh scattering
- The local intensity of SHG undergoes giant (non-Gaussian) fluctuations with scaling distribution function whose index is not universal

## Extreme Nanoplasmonics: Fundamental limit on the minimum scale of the energy concentration/spatial resolution

Ivan A. Larkin and Mark I. Stockman, *Imperfect Perfect Lens*, Phys. Nano Lett. **5**, 339 – 343 (2005)


At small distances  $a$ , interactions transfer large momentum  $p \sim \frac{\hbar}{a}$   
 or wave vector  $k \sim \frac{1}{a}$

For large enough  $k$ ,  $\frac{\omega}{k} \sim \omega a \leq v_F \sim 10^8 \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{s}}$  interacting electron system exhibits strong spatial dispersion and Landau damping. These effects are described by Klimontovich-Silin-Lindhart (RPA) formula

$$\varepsilon(\omega, k) = 1 + \frac{3\Omega_e^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\omega}{2k v_F} \ln \frac{\omega + k v_F}{\omega - k v_F} \right]$$

Pendry's Perfect Lens in *near field*  $a, b \ll \lambda$

It is required that the relative dielectric permittivity  $\epsilon = -1$





Estimate of the ultimate spatial resolution of the “perfect lens”

$$\delta \sim \frac{2b}{|\ln [Q^{-2} + |c(\omega, 0)/b|^2]|}$$

Function  $c(\omega, 0) \sim v_F/\omega$  dominates  $\delta$  for  $b \lesssim 5$  nm,

where  $Q \sim 10-100$  is the surface plasmon resonance quality factor

## Imaging




Image of a point charge produced by a 5 nm silver slab in GaAs environment, photon energy: 2.2 eV




Image of a washer as produced by a silver slab of 5 nm thickness in GaAs host: (a) without spatial dispersion, (b) with spatial dispersion. Photon energy: 2.2 eV.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. At small distances (on order of a few nanometers), the conventional local electrostatics is no more applicable.
2. Nonlocality of permittivity and Landau damping become important.
3. These effects limit the spatial resolution of the “perfect lens” to no better than 5 nm
4. Other resonant, plasmonic effects are also limited by these effects and die out at the scale of a few nanometers.