## Part 0. Terminology

All 3-manifolds are compact, connected, and oriented, all knots are in $S^{3}$, all maps are proper, and all surfaces are embedded.

A surface in a 3-manifold is incompressible if the inclusion induces an injective map on $\pi_{1}$; A 3-manifold $M$ is: irreducible if every embedded 2-sphere in $M$ bounds a ball $M$; $\partial$-irreducible if every proper disc in $M$ separates a ball from $M$; atoroidal if every $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ subgroup in $\pi_{1} M$ is conjugate into $\pi_{1} \partial M$, is a Seifert manifold, if it is finitely covered by a circle bundle over a surface.
A closed orientable 3-manifold is called geometric if it admits one of the following geometries: $H^{3}$ (hyperbolic), $\widehat{P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R}), H^{2} \times E^{1} \text {, }}$ Sol, Nil, $E^{3}$ (Euclidean), $S^{2} \times E^{1}$, $S^{3}$ (spher-
ical).
The JSJ-decomposition of a irreducible 3manifold $M$ is the canonical splitting of $M$ along a finite (possibly empty) collection $\mathcal{T}$ of disjoint and non-parallel, incompressible, tori into maximal Seifert fibered or atoroidal compact sub-manifolds. We call the components of $M \backslash \mathcal{T}$ the JSJ-pieces of $M$.

Thurston's geometrization conjecture claims that each JSJ-piece of any closed, irreducible 3 -manifold is geometric. A compact irreducible 3-manifold is called geometrizable if it verifies Thurston's geometrization conjecture.

Say a 3-manifold $M$ dominates (1-dominates) a 3-manifold $N$ if there is a non-zero degree (degree one) proper map $f: M \rightarrow N$.

Let $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ be two knot. Say $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$, or equivalently say that $k_{1} 1$-dominates $k_{2}$, if $E\left(k_{1}\right) 1$-dominates $E\left(k_{2}\right)$, where $E\left(k_{i}\right)$ is the knot exterior of $k_{i}$. If $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$ but $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, we often write $k_{1}>k_{2}$. Then
(1) $k \geq O$ for each knots.
(2) The relation $\geq$ on knots is a partial order. Say a knot is small if each incompressible surfaces in $E(k)$ is boundary parallel.

## Part M. On finiteness on domina-

 tion of 3-manifoldsBoileau-Rubinstein-Wang
With Thurston's conjectural picture of 3manifolds, the following simple and natural question was raised in the 1980's (and formally appeared in the 1990's, see [Ki, 3.100 (Y.Rong)]).

Question 1. Does every closed orientable 3-manifold 1-dominates at most finitely many closed geometrizable 3-manifolds.

If we allow any degree, 3 -manifolds supporting one of the geometries $\mathbb{S}^{3}, \widehat{P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})}$, Nil can dominate infinitely many 3 -manifolds. The following generalization of Question 1 makes sense:

Question 2. Let $M$ be a closed 3-manifold. Does $M$ dominate at most finitely many closed geometrizable 3-manifolds $N$ not supporting the geometries of $\mathbb{S}^{3}, \widehat{P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})}$, Nil.? In this setting, known results are
Theorem 0. [Soma, Porti-Reznikov, ZhouW, Hayat-Zieschang-W]
(1) Any closed 3-manifold 1-dominates at most finitely many geometric 3-manifolds.
(2) A compact 3-manifold dominates at most finitely many geometric 3-manifolds supporting geometries of either $H^{3}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times$ $\mathbb{E}^{1}$.
By Theorem 0, positive answer to Question 2 implies positive answer to Question 1, and Question 2 reduces to the following:

Question 3 Let $M$ be a closed 3-manifold. Does $M$ dominate at most finitely many, closed, irreducible 3-manifolds $N$ with nontrivial JSJ decomposition?

Question 3 is divided into 2 steps:

1. Finiteness of JSJ-pieces: show that there is a finite set $\mathcal{H S}(M)$ of compact orientable 3-manifolds such that each JSJ-piece of a 3-manifold $N$ dominated by $M$ belongs to $\mathcal{H S}(M)$.
2. Finiteness of gluing: For a given finite set $\mathcal{H S}(M)$ of Seifert manifolds and of complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds with finite volume, there are only finitely many ways of gluing elements in $\mathcal{H S}(M)$ to get closed 3-manifolds dominated by $M$.

Remark. (1) Derbez Show that every graph manifold 1-dominates at most finitely many geometrizable 3-manifolds.
(2) By derree one map produced by null- th $\xlongequal{\overline{\text { homos}}}$ opy surgery (Boileau-W), we may as- $M, N$. homotopy surgery (Boileau-W), we may assume that $M$ is irreducible in the questions.

Soma proved the finiteness of hyperbolic JSJpieces. Now we complete the first Step:

Theorem 1. [Finiteness of JSJ pieces] Let $M$ be a closed, orientable, 3-manifold. Then there is a finite set $\mathcal{H S}(M)$ of compact 3-manifolds, such that the JSJ-pieces of any geometrizable 3-manifold $N$ dominated by $M$ belong to $\mathcal{H S}(M)$, provided that $N$ is not supporting the geometries of $\mathbb{S}^{3}, P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R}), N i l$.

Theorem 1 is derived from a finiteness result for the Thurston norm.

Let $X$ be a compact, orientable 3-manifold and $Y \subset \partial X$ be a subsurface.

For an oriented surface $(F, \partial F) \hookrightarrow(X, Y)$. Set $\chi_{-}(F)=\max \{0,-\chi(F)\}$ if $F$ is connected, otherwise let $\chi_{-}(F)=\sum \chi_{-}\left(F_{i}\right)$, where $F_{i}$ are the components of $F$.

Then for $z \in H_{2}(X, Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ the Thurston norm $\|z\|$ of $z$ is defined as minimum of $\chi_{-}(F)$, where $F$ runs over all surfaces representing $z$ in $H_{2}(X, Y ; \mathbb{Z})$.

Then extends it to $H_{2}(X, Y ; \mathbb{R})$.
Definition. For a finite set of elements $\alpha=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ of $H_{2}(X, Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ define

$$
T N(\alpha)=\max \left\{\left\|a_{i}\right\|, i=1, \ldots, k\right\} .
$$

Then define $T N(X, Y)$, Thurston norm of the pair $(X, Y)$, to be the minimum of $T N(\alpha)$, where $\alpha$ runs over all finite generating set of $\left.H_{2}(X, Y ; \mathbb{Z})\right\}$.

Theorem 2. [Finiteness of the Thurston norm] Let $M$ be an irreducible, closed, orientable 3-manifold. Then $T N\left(M_{S}, \partial M_{S}\right)$ picks only finitely many values when $S$ runs over all closed, incompressible surfaces embedded in $M$.

Theorem 2 is derived from the finiteness of a version of "patterned guts".

In 3-manifold topology, the term "guts" has several different interpretations. However, finiteness of guts is a basic principle, which originated from Kneser's work. For some recent applications related to guts in 3-manifold theory, see [A], [Ga2], [JR]. We now discuss the precise definition of patterned guts needed for our study of non-zero degree maps.

Suppose $X$ is a $\partial$-irreducible and irreducible, compact, orientable 3-manifold. According to Jaco-Shalen-Johannson theory, there is a unique decomposition, up to proper isotopy:

$$
X=(X \backslash \text { Seifert pairs }) \cup \text { Seifert pairs. }
$$

Furthermore the Seifert pairs have unique decompositions, up to proper isotopy:

Seifert pairs $=\left(\right.$ Seifert pairs $\left.\backslash I B_{X}^{-}\right) \cup I B_{X}^{-}$, where $I B_{X}^{-}$is formed by the components of the Seifert pairs which are $I$-bundles over surfaces $F$ with negative Euler characteristic $\chi(F)$. Hence we have a decomposition

$$
X=\left(X \backslash I B_{X}^{-}\right) \cup_{A_{X}} I B_{X}^{-}=G_{X} \cup_{A_{X}} I B_{X}^{-}
$$ where $A_{X}$ is the collection of frontier annuli of $I B_{X}^{-}$in $X$. We call $G_{X}=X \backslash I B^{-}$the guts of $X$, and the decomposition above the GI- decomposition for $X$.

Suppose $S$ is a closed, incompressible surface in an irreducible 3-manifold $M$. For such
a surface $S$, we write the $G I$ decomposition of $M_{S}$ as

$$
M_{S}=G_{S} \cup_{A_{S}} I B_{S}^{-}
$$

Definition. Suppose $X$ is a 3 -manifold. A $\partial$-pattern for $X$ is a finite collection of disjoint annuli $A \subset \partial X$, and given $A$ we say that $X$ is $\partial$-patterned.

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a closed, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold. Then there is a finite set $\mathcal{G}(M)$ of connected, compact, orientable, $\partial$-patterned 3-manifolds such that for each closed, incompressible (not necessarily connected) surface $S \subset M$, all patterned guts components of $\left(G_{S}, G_{S} \cap A_{S}\right)$ belong to $\mathcal{G}(M)$.

We also prove the finiteness of gluing when the targets are integral homology 3 -spheres.

Theorem 4. Any closed orientable 3manifold dominates only finitely many geometrizable integral homology 3-spheres.

By Haken's finiteness theorem, there is a maximum number $h(M)$ of pairwise disjoint, non-parallel, closed, connected, incompressible surfaces embedded in $M$.

Lemma 1. Let $M$ and $N$ be two closed, irreducible and orientable 3-manifolds. If $M$ dominates $N$, then $h(M) \geq h(N)$.
The dual graph $\Gamma(N)$ to the JSJ-decomposition of an irreducible homology sphere $N$ is a tree. By Lemma 1 , the number of edges of $\Gamma(N)$ is $\leq h(M)$, the Haken number of $M$.

## Lemma 2. Only finitely many Seifert fibered

 integral homology 3-spheres are dominated $M$.For a given graph $\Gamma$, let $\mathcal{D}(M, \Gamma)$ be the set of geometrizable closed integer homology 3spheres $N$ such that:

1. $N$ is dominated by $M$.
2. The JSJ-graph $\Gamma(N)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma$.
3. Each vertex manifold has a fixed topological type.

The Finiteness of JSJ pieces, and Lemmas 1,2 reduce the proof of Theorem 4 to the following proposition:

Prop 1. The set $\mathcal{D}(M, \Gamma)$ is finite.
The proof of Proposition 1 is by induction on the number $n_{\Gamma}$ of edges of $\Gamma$. If $n_{\Gamma}=$ 0 , Proposition 1 is true by Theorem 0 . We
assume the result to be true for $n_{\Gamma} \leq n-1$ and prove it for $n_{\Gamma}=n$.
Let $N \in \mathcal{D}(M, \Gamma)$. Let $w$ be a leaf of $\Gamma$ and let $e$ be the attached edge. Denote by $W$ the geometric submanifold in $\mathcal{H S}(M)$ corresponding to $w$ and let $V=\mathbb{N} \backslash W$. The compact 3-manifolds $V$ and $W$ are both integral homology solid tori with boundary an incompressible torus corresponding to the edge $e$. Notice that the topological type of $W$ is fixed by definition of $\mathcal{D}(M, \Gamma)$, while the topological type of $V$ may depend on $N$.

Since $V$ and $W$ are integral homology solid tori, one can fix on each torus $\partial V$ and $\partial W$ a basis for the first homology group: $\left\{\mu_{V}, \lambda_{V}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{W}, \lambda_{W}\right\}$ such that:


1. $\mu_{V} \subset \partial V$ and $\mu_{W} \subset \partial W$ each bounds a properly embedded surface $F_{V}$ and $F_{W}$ respectively in $V$ and $W$.
2. Intersection $\mu_{V} \cdot \lambda_{V}=\mu_{W} \cdot \lambda_{W}=1$

Lemma 3. The gluing map $\phi: \partial V \rightarrow$ $\partial W$ satisfies the following equations, where $\varepsilon= \pm 1, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}:$
(1) $\phi\left(\mu_{V}\right)=p \mu_{W}+\epsilon \lambda_{W}$,
(2) $\phi\left(\lambda_{V}\right)=\varepsilon(p q+1) \mu_{W}+q \lambda_{W}$.

By pinching $V$ to a solid torus to gets a degree-one map $f_{V}: N \rightarrow W(p / \varepsilon)$, where the homology sphere $W(p / \varepsilon)$ is obtained by Dehn filling $W$ with a solid torus. Hence $W(p / \varepsilon)$ is dominated by $M$ and we can show The integer $p$ takes only finitely many values.

By pinching $W$ to a solid torus, one gets a degree-one map $f_{W}: N \rightarrow V(-q / \varepsilon)$. Hence $V(-q / \varepsilon)$ is dominated by $M$ and we can show The manifold $V=\mathbb{N} \backslash \operatorname{int}(W)$ takes only finitely many topological types and the integer $q$ takes only finitely many values.
The argument for integral homology spheres can be modified to prove the following

Corollary 1. Any compact orientable 3manifold dominates at most finitely many knot complements in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$.
Let $E(k)$ be the exterior of a knot $k$ in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. The dual graph $\Gamma(k)$ to the JSJ-decomposition of $E(k)$ is a rooted tree, where the root corresponds to the unique vertex manifold containing $\partial E(k)$.

Let $w$ be a leaf of $\Gamma$ which is not the root. Recall that $S^{3}=E(k) \cup N(k)$. Let $W$ be the JSJ-piece of $E(k)$ corresponding to $w$ and let $V=\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash \operatorname{int}(W)$. Then $V$ is a solid torus such that $V \backslash \operatorname{int}(N(k))=E(k) \backslash \operatorname{int}(W)$, which we will denote by $U$. Then we have $E(k)=U \cup_{\phi} W$, where $\phi: \partial V \rightarrow \partial W$ is the gluing map.

In the proof of Theorem 4, we proved the finiteness of both integers $p$ and $q$ by pinching first $V$, then $W$. In the case of a knot complement $E(k)$ we can only pinch $W$. However in this case, only one integer is involved in determining the gluing due to the fact that $W$ is the exterior of a non-trivial knot $k_{W}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ which is determined by its exterior [GL].


## Conjecture [Ki, Problem 1.12 (J. Simon)]

 Given a knot $k \subset \mathbb{S}^{3}$, there are only finitely many knots $k_{i} \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$ for which there is an epimorphism $\phi_{i}: \pi_{1}(E(k)) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E\left(k_{i}\right)\right)$.The Conjecture is true if $k$ is small and each epimorphism $\phi_{i}$ is $\partial$-preserving [Reid-W].
Corollary 1 gives another answer to Simon's Conjecture.

Corollary 2. There are only finitely many knots $k_{i} \subset \mathbb{S}^{3}$ for which there is an epimorphism $\phi_{i}: \pi_{1}(E(k)) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E\left(k_{i}\right)\right)$ such that the image of the longitude is non-trivial.

## Part K. 1-domination on knots

Boilaeu-Boyer-Rolfsen-Wang-Lackenby Let $g(k), \Lambda(k), \Delta_{k}, V(k)$ be the genus, Alexander module, Alexander polynomial, and Gromov volume of $k$ respectively.
If $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$, then
(1) $g\left(k_{1}\right) \geq g\left(k_{2}\right)$ (Gabai);
(2) $V\left(k_{1}\right) \geq V\left(k_{2}\right)$ (Gromov);
(3) $\Lambda_{k_{1}}=\Lambda_{k_{2}} \oplus \Lambda$, in particular $\Delta_{k_{2}} \mid \Delta_{k_{1}}$;

Alexander polynomial is easy to calculate and (3) above already gives some interesting applications.

## Example 1.

(1) Figure 1 is a band connected sum $k$ of the trefoil knot $3_{1}$ and the trivial knot with $\Delta_{k}(t)=1-t^{2}+t^{4}$, which contains no $\Delta_{3_{1}}(t)=$ $1-t+t^{2}$ as a factor. It follows that band connected sum does not 1-dominates its factors in general.

(2) Figure 2 is a Murasugi sum $k$ of $5_{2}$ and $4_{1}$ with $\Delta_{k}(t)=2-3 t+3 t^{2}-3 t^{3}+2 t^{4}$, which contain no either $\Delta_{4_{1}}(t)=1-3 t+t^{2}$ or $\Delta_{5_{2}}(t)=2-3 t+2 t^{2}$ as a factor. It follows that Murasugi sum does not 1-dominates its factors in general.


## Corollary 1．（1）Any non－fiber knot with

 $\Delta_{k}(t)$ leading coefficient $\neq 1$ does not 1 － dominate any fiber knots of the same genus．及星是达（2）Suppose $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ of the same genus， $k_{1}$ is an alternating knot and $k_{2}$ is a fiber $k n o t$ ．Then $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$ implies $k_{1}=k_{2}$ ．
Rigidity results about 1－dominations on knots is＂$k \geq k^{\prime}$ implies that $k=k^{\prime}$ ，if ．．．．．＂
Some previous rigidity results are：$k \geq k^{\prime}$ implies that $k=k^{\prime}$ ，
（1）if $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ have the same Gromov volume and $k$ is hyperbolic［Gromov－Thurston］；or （2）if $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ have the same Alexander poly－ nomial and $k$ is fibred；or
（3）if $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ have the same genus and $k$ is Seifert［Rong］．

Example 2. Non-trivial 1-dominations $k \rightarrow$ $k_{1}$ of the same genus, the same Alexander polynomial, and the same Gromov volume. Moreover all those invariants are non-vanishing.


Let $k=h\left(k_{1}\right)$ be a satellite of $k_{2}$ indicated by Figure below. Then we have 1-domination $k \rightarrow k_{1}$ given by dis-satellization.
The JSJ-piece of $E(k)$ consists of three components: two Seifert pieces and one hyper-
bolic piece $H$, which is homeomorphic to the Hopf link complement; and the JSJ-piece of $E\left(k_{1}\right)$ consists of two components: one Seifert piece and one hyperbolic piece $H$. It become clear that both $k$ and $k_{1}$ are of genus 1 , and have the same Gromov volume which equal to the hyperbolic volume of the Hopf link complement. They also have the same Alexander polynomials, since the $h$ is longitude preserving.

There are arbitrary long 1-domination sequences of knots with the genus, Alexander polynomials and Gromov volumes are all same.

In Example 2, the fact that the winding number of $k$ with $k_{2}$ is zero is essential in order to construct non-trivial 1-domination $k>k_{1}$ with many invariants the same.

Indeed we have the following rigidity result.
Theorem. Suppose that any companion of $k$ has non-zero winding number. If $k \geq$ $k^{\prime}$ with the same Gromov volume and the same genus, then $k=k^{\prime}$.

Closely related rigidity results, we will study the bound of the length $n$ of 1-domination sequences of knots $k_{0}>k_{1}>k_{2}>\ldots .>k_{n}$ with given $k_{0}$.
Theorem. [Rong, Soma] Any 1-domination sequence $M_{0}>M_{1}>\ldots>M_{i}>\ldots$. of 3-manifolds in Thurston's picture has a bounded length for given $M_{0}$.

## Definition.

(1) Say a Seifert surface $S$ of a knot $k$ is free if $E(k) \backslash S$ is a handlebody. Say a knot $k$ is free, if all its incompressible Seifert surfaces are free.
(2) Define $\hat{g}(k)$ be the maximum $g(S)$ for all incompressible Seifert surfaces $S$ of $k$.
(1) There are examples of $\hat{g}(k)=\infty$,
(2) $\hat{g}(k)=g(k)$ for fiber knots and 2-bridge knots (Hatcher-Thurston).
(3) $\hat{g}(k)$ is bounded for alternating knots (Menasco-Thistlethwaite) and for small knots (Lackenby).
(4) alternating knots (Menasco), Montesinos knots (Oertel) Small knots, fiber knots are free knots;
(5) If a knot $k$ has a companion of winding number zero, then $k$ is not free.
Proposition. Suppose $k_{0}$ is a free knot with bounded $\hat{g}\left(k_{0}\right)$. Then any 1-domination sequence $k_{0}>k_{1}>\ldots .>k_{n}$ of knots has $n \leq \hat{g}\left(k_{0}\right)$.

Proof. The core of the proof is the following simple fact.
Let $k$ be a free knot, $f: E(k) \rightarrow E\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ be a degree one map, and $S^{\prime}$ be a Seifert surface of $k^{\prime}$ with genus $g\left(k^{\prime}\right)$. By classical argument in 3-manifold topology, $f$ can be properly homotoped so that $S=f^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is a connected incompressible Seifert surface of $k$.

Then $f$ induces a proper degree one

$$
f^{*}: H=E(k) \backslash S \rightarrow E\left(k^{\prime}\right) \backslash S^{\prime}=H^{\prime}
$$

Since $k$ is free, $H$ is a handlebody, then $H^{\prime}$ is a handlebody.
One can easy to argue that if $g(S)=g\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, then $k=k^{\prime}$.
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$$
2 \text {-triage but. }
$$

Cor. I. If $k_{0}$ is 2 -bridge knot, then the length

$$
k_{0}>k_{1}>k_{2}>\cdots>k_{n}
$$

is bounded by $g(k$.
Cor 2. twisted knots are Minimal


