

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization



Workshop on "Technology and Applications of Accelerator Driven Systems (ADS)"

17 - 28 October 2005

1677/6

Examples of ADS Design I: Spallation Target for TRADE

Y. Kadi European Organization for Nuclear Research Geneva, Switzerland



#### **LECTURES OUTLINE**

- ✓ LECTURE 1: Physics of Spallation and Sub-critical Cores, Fundamentals (Monday 17/10/05, 16:00 – 17:30)
- ✓ LECTURE 2: Nuclear Data & Methods for ADS Design I (Tuesday 18/10/03, 08:30 - 10:00)
- LECTURE 3: Nuclear Data & Methods for ADS Design II (Tuesday 18/10/03, 10:30 – 12:00)
- ✓ LECTURE 4: ADS Design Exercises I & II (Tuesday 18/10/03, 14:00 - 17:30)
- LECTURE 5:Examples of ADS Design I (Thursday 20/10/03, 08:30 - 10:00)
- ✓ LECTURE 6: Examples of ADS Design II (Thursday 20/10/03, 10:30 - 12:00)
- ✓ LECTURE 7: ADS Design Exercises III & IV (Thursday 20/10/03, 14:00 - 17:30)



#### **Examples of ADS Design I : Spallation Target for TRADE**

Y. Kadi CERN, Switzerland

20 October 2005, ICTP, Trieste, Italy



#### **LECTURE OUTLINE**

#### **TRADE - TRIGA Accelerator Driven Experiment: A Pilot Experiment for the Global Demonstration of the ADS Concept**

- Motivations
- Reference Configuration
- Experimental Programme
- Global Neutronic Parameters
- Spallation Target Studies



#### The Three Levels of ADS Validation

Three different levels of validation of an ADS can be specified:

- First, validation of the different component concepts, taken separately (accelerator, target, subcritical core, dedicated fuels and fuel processing methods). In Europe: The FEAT, TARC, MUSE & YALINA experimental programs and the MEGAPIE project are significant examples.
- Second, validation of the coupling of the different components in a significant environment, e.g. in terms of power of the global installation, using as far as possible existing critical reactors, to be adapted to the objectives.
- □ Third, validation in an installation explicitly designed for demonstration (e.g. the ADS installation described in the **European roadmap** established by the Technical Working Group, chaired by prof. Rubbia). This third step should evolve to a **demonstration of transmutation fuels**, after a first phase in which the subcritical core could be loaded with "standard" fuel.



#### **ADS Programs Worldwide**

Project	Neutron Source	Core	Purpose	
MUSE	DT	Fast	Reactor physics of fast subcritical system	
(France)	(~10 <sup>10</sup> n/s)	(< 1 kW)		
TRADE	Proton (140 MeV)	Thermal	Demonstration of ADS with thermal feedback	
(Italy)	+ Ta (40 kW)	(200 kW)		
TEF-P	Proton (600 MeV)	Fast	Coupling of fast subcritical system with spallation source including MA fueled configuration	
(Japan)	+ Pb-Bi (10W, ~10 <sup>12</sup> n/s)	(< 1 kW)		
SAD	Proton (660 MeV)	Fast	Coupling of fast subcritical system with spallation source	
(Russia)	+ Pb-Bi (1 kW)	(20 kW)		
MYRRHA	Proton (350 MeV)	Fast	Experimental ADS	
(Belgium)	+ Pb-Bi (1.75 MW)	(35 MW)		
MEGAPIE (Switzerland)	Proton (600 MeV) + Pb-Bi (1MW)		Demonstration of 1MW target for short period	
TEF-T (Japan)	Proton (600 MeV) + Pb-Bi (200 kW)		<b>Dedicated facility</b> for demonstration and accumulation of material data base for long term	
Reference ADS	Proton ( ≈ 1 GeV) + Pb-Bi (≈ 10 MW)	Fast (1500 MW)	Transmutation of MA and LLFP	



#### **R&D Activities in Europe**



Vast R&D activity in Europe over last 10 years: 12 countries, 43 institutions

EU 🗯 31 MEuros

Member States ⇒100 MEuros



#### **TRADE Project**

- The TRADE experiment suggested by C. Rubbia, first worked-out in an ENEA/CEA/CERN feasibility study and presently assessed by a wider international group (lead: ENEA, CEA, DOE, FZK), is a significant step towards the ADS demonstration, i.e. within the second phase of ADS validation
- Coupling of a proton accelerator to a power TRIGA Reactor via a spallation target, inserted at the centre of the core.
- □ Range of power :
  - in the core : 200 1000 KW,
  - in the target : 20 100 KW.
- □ The main interest of TRADE, as compared to the MUSE experiments, is the ability of incorporating the power feedback effects into the dynamics measurements in ADS and to address ADS operational, safety and licensing issues.



#### The TRADE Facility - Reactor and Accelerator Buildings





#### **TRIGA MARK II REACTOR**







#### **TRIGA MARK II REACTOR**



Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005

#### Overall Lay-out of the TRADE Facility





1954-2004

**ERN** 

Globe of

# Top view with bending magnets

Core cross-section

Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005



#### The accelerator

#### 220 MeV-H2<sup>+</sup> Superconducting Cyclotron

Based on the concepts developed in the Cyclotron Laboratory of CAL for compact superconducting fixed-frequency cyclotrons for hadrontherapy (EULIMA for fully stripped Carbon of 340 MeV/nucleon and PRIAM for 230 MeV protons) a 220 MeV H2+ superconducting cyclotron is being investigated to produce 110 MeV protons by stripping.

The following Table gives a list of the preliminary parameters of the proposed superconducting cyclotron.

Injection energy	50/60 KeV
Extraction energy for protons after stripping	110 MeV
Accelerated Beam intensity of H2+	2mA
RF frequency	70.4 MHz
Harmonic	4
External diameter	4.44 m
Number of sectors	4
Magnet vertical gap	0.06 m
Sector angle at smaller/larger pole radius	31/23 deg.
Sector spiral angle at pole maximal radius	30 deg.
Inner/outer coil radius	1.38/1.52 m
Average current density	4464 A/cm2
Total iron weight	200 tons
Number of cavities	4
Type of cavity	delta, 2 gaps
Peak voltage (Injection/Extraction)	70/200 kV
Extraction	Stripping
RF Power Losses per cavity	70 KWatt
Total electric power for the cavities	1.0MWatt
(2 mA-H2+ beam+wall losses)	
Estimated Total Power required for extracting 4 mA-110 MeVprotons (beam power 440 KWatt)	1.10/1.15 MWatt







#### **Types of Experiments**

- The planned experiments would have allowed to validate different operational modes of a full power ADS:
  - start-up and shut down procedures,
  - operation and monitoring of the system at steady state,
  - monitoring of the time evolution of the reactivity, and
  - practical coupling of an accelerator, a spallation target and the subcritical core.
- Most of these features are largely independent on the type of neutron spectrum in the core and on the type of proton accelerator.
- Of course, no significant demonstration of waste transmutation in a fast neutron spectrum was foreseen.



### Monitoring of the Time Evolution of the Reactivity

- Steady state measurements of the reactivity by the <u>Modified Source Multiplication method</u> (MSM). The core was equipped with several in-core and ex-core detectors. First measurements already performed in Nov. 2002 in a critical configuration.
- **Dynamic** measurements:
  - <u>P</u>ulsed <u>N</u>eutron <u>S</u>ource.
  - Noise measurement.
- These methods enable the response of the system to flux perturbations and to the intrinsic neutron fluctuations to be evaluated
- These methods will allow to measure simultaneously ρ (reactivity), β(effective delayed neutron fraction) and Λ (neutron generation time).



#### Monitoring of the Time Evolution of the Reactivity

- Specifically, it would have been possible to experimentally validate theoretical models in the cases where :
  - core dynamics regulates the system's response to external perturbations,
  - core power levels are high enough for core dynamics feedback to be important, and
  - external source behaviour determines the core dynamics behaviour.
- □ This type of behaviour and the transition between the **source dominated** and the **core dominated** modes, can happen in the same core during the irradiation cycle due to burn-up reactivity swing and should be carefully investigated, in order to develop appropriate **monitoring and control systems** for the power distribution in the core and in order to choose appropriate reference sub-criticality levels.
- ADS-oriented simplified dynamics methods are being developed at FZK, ENEA and ANL.
- □ Also, use of well established, but adapted, tools like RELAP-5.



#### **Main achievements**

- ❑ Start of the Phase IA Experimental Programme in TRIGA aimed at characterizing the TRADE core reference configuration → winter 2003
  - Chamber check-out → detectors for estimating subcritical reactivity by source multiplication
  - Neutron flux measures
  - ■ Reactivity worths of fuel elements → help in the decision regarding purchase of fresh fuel
  - Control rod calibrations
  - Temperature feedback measurements → evaluation of reactivity temperature coefficients



- □ A proton cyclotron delivering a beam of 140 MeV protons (option investigated  $\rightarrow$  300 MeV).
- A three sections beam transport line: Matching section/Straight transfer line/Final bending line.
- □ A solid Ta target (back-up : W clad in Ta).
- □ Forced convection of the target cooling with a separate loop.
- Natural convection for the core cooling.
- □ Range of sub-criticality levels :  $k = 0.90 \div 0.99$



### **TRADE Neutronic Simulation**

FLUKA and EA-MC Monte Carlo codes were used to perform these studies





#### Sub-criticality Levels and main Reactor Parameters: 200 kW

Global Parameters	Symbol	Reference case	Critical	High K <sub>s</sub>	Low K <sub>s</sub>	
Proton Beam Energy	E <sub>p</sub> (MeV)	110		110		
Spallation Neutr. Yield	$N_{(n/p)}(n/p)$	0.451	-	0.4	451	
Multiplic. Coefficient	K <sub>s</sub>	0.977	1.000	0.988	0.903	
Energetic Gain	G	15.1		28.1	4.0	
Accelerator Current	I <sub>p</sub> (mA)	0.13		0.07	0.61	
Beam Power	P <sub>beam</sub> (kW)	14.2		7.4	66.9	
Core Power Distributions						
Av. fuel power density	$P_{th}/V_{fuel}$ (W/cm <sup>3</sup> )	4.9	4.8	4.7	6.5	
Max. linear power	$P_1$ (W/cm)	75.6	70.2	70.5	118.2	
Radial peaking factor	P <sub>max</sub> /P <sub>ave</sub>	1.48	1.45	1.46	1.76	
Linear peaking factor	P <sub>max</sub> /P <sub>ave</sub>	1.51	1.45	1.48	1.78	



## Core Neutronic Analysis at 200 kW

Table 2. Integrated flux, heating and damage in the reactor internal structures due toneutrons with energy below 20 MeV as computed by EA-MC.

gion	Flux $(n/cm^2.s)$	Heat (W/cm <sup>3</sup> )	DPA/yr
Reactor vessel	$6.6 \times 10^7$	_	_
Neutronic protection	$1.3 \times 10^{12}$	$6.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.3 \mathrm{x} 10^{-4}$
Graphite reflector	$1.0 \times 10^{13}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$
Water coolant	$1.2 x 10^{11}$	8.8x10 <sup>-4</sup>	$2.3 \mathrm{x} 10^{-5}$
Top support grid	$3.9 \times 10^{11}$	_	_
Bottom support grid	$3.7 \times 10^{11}$	_	_
Unfuelled ring B	$2.5 \times 10^{13}$	0.224	$2.9 \times 10^{-2}$
Fuel ring C	$2.6 \times 10^{13}$	38.44	$5.9 \times 10^{-2}$
Fuel ring D	$2.6 \times 10^{13}$	34.71	$5.7 \times 10^{-2}$
Fuel ring E	$2.4 \times 10^{13}$	33.28	$5.3 \times 10^{-2}$
Fuel ring F	$1.9 \times 10^{13}$	29.25	$4.2 \times 10^{-3}$
Unfuelled ring G	$1.1 \times 10^{13}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-2}$
Av. AISI-304 cladding	$1.4 \times 10^{12}$	0.215	$2.7 \times 10^{-3}$
B4C absorber rods	$7.6 \times 10^{12}$	1.356	0.180



### Core Neutron Balance at 200 kW

utron Absorption Inventory	Ne		
Reactor vessel	.002 %	Absorber rods	6.44 %
Neutron shield	1.04 %	Cladding	10.47 %
Aluminiun lining	1.46 %	Fuel ring C	7.91 %
Upper grid	0.05 %	Fuel ring D	14.55 %
Lower grid	0.08~%	Fuel ring E	18.45 %
Spallation target	0.18 %	Fuel ring F	18.64 %
Primary coolant	19.82 %	Fuel total	59.55 %
Core radial reflector	0.79 %	Escapes	0.05 %
Core axial reflector	0.07~%	Total	100 %
in Nuclear Reactions	Ma	ain Nuclear Reactions	
Capture	53.06 %	Others	7.00 %
Fission	38.85 %	Escapes	0.05 %
n,Xn	1.04 %	Total	100 %

Table 3. Neutron reaction inventory at several locations of the TRIGA reactor.

Table 4. Neutron reaction inventory in the fuel core of the TRIGA reactor.

utron Absorption Inventory	Ne		
LEUZrH fuel	68.85 %	Central Zr bar	0.09 %
AISI-304 cladding	12.01 %	Coolant	19.05 %
in Nuclear Reactions	Ma	ain Nuclear Reactions	
Capture	53.48 %	n,Xn	1.16 %
Fission	44.91 %	Others	0.45 %



#### **Neutron Energy Spectra**



•High-energy peak in the Ta spallation target where capture resonances can be clearly seen

•Thermal spectrum, reaching highest values for sub-critical modes in ring C (except for low k's where the flux in higher in the target)

•Fast thermalisation process beyond the target; spallation neutron reach ~5 cm

•Clear domination of fission neutron vs spallation neutrons in all the core, even for  $k_{src}=0.90$ 

#### **Power Distribution**





•Energy deposition in the hottest fuel pin:  $\sim 25 \text{ W/cm}^3$  for 200 kW

Energy depositon (W/mA/cm<sup>3</sup>)

 $10^{-4}$ 

50

X(cm)

#### Three alternative concepts for the target



1954-2004

**FRN** 

Globe of

Some preliminary target concepts were designed under the geometrical constraint of the central fuel space. A conical cavity was envisaged as the most promising solution. Apart from the material choice, three different solutions are presented: thin walls, thick walls and thick walls with cladding.



#### Target reference design

A reference design of a Ta Target and its cooling system has been selected on the basis of deep inhouse neutronic, thermal-hydraulic thermo-mechanical, beam characteristics and safety analyses, as well as extensive interactions with the LANL and ISIS communities. Further studies on fabricability,

target lifetime and needed qualification tests are in progress



The annular channel has a constant gap to improve the cooling flow in the bottom region

Solution 7



#### **Beam Shape**



Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005



#### Spallation Neutron Axial Distribution







Energy of the source neutrons, log10



#### Angular Distribution of Spallation Neutrons







Multiplicity of Source Neutrons

1954-2004



#### **Neutronic Characteristics**

#### Table 1 - Main parameters of the TRIGA sub-critical configurations

<b>Global Parameters</b>	Symbol		Reference Case				
Initial fuel mixture	LEU		UZrH				
Initial fuel mass	m <sub>fuel</sub> (kg)	235.2					
Initial U concentration	m <sub>U</sub> /m <sub>fuel</sub> (wt.%)		8.5				
Initial Fissile enrichment	U <sup>235</sup> /U (at.%)		20				
Thermal Power Output	P <sub>th</sub> (kWatt)		200				
Spallation target			Tantalum				
Proton Beam Energy	E <sub>p</sub> (MeV)	110	140	300			
Spallation Neutron Yield	N <sub>(n/p)</sub> (n/p)	0.451	0.74	3.11			
Net neutron multiplication	М	44.1	43.4	28.7			
Multiplication Coefficient	k=(M-1)/M	0.977	0.977	0.965			
Energetic Gain	G	15.1	18.8	23.8			
Gain coefficient	G <sub>0</sub>	0.34	0.44	0.83			
Accelerator Current	l <sub>p</sub> (mA)	0.13	0.08	0.03			
Beam Power	P <sub>beam</sub> (kWatt)	14.2	11.2	8.8			
Escapes	%	0.01	0.02	0.06			
Core Power Distributions							
Av. fuel power density	P <sub>th</sub> /V <sub>fuel</sub> (W/cm <sup>3</sup> )		4.9				
Spec. fuel power density	$P_{th}/m_U$ (W/g HM)		10.0				
Max. heat flux	P <sub>h</sub> (W/cm <sup>2</sup> )	9.3	6.0	5.9			
Max. linear power	P <sub>I</sub> (W/cm)	75.5	68.0	67.5			
Radial peaking factor	P <sub>max</sub> /P <sub>ave</sub>	1.51	1.30	1.40			
Linear peaking factor	P <sub>max</sub> /P <sub>ave</sub>	1.28	-	-			

## The Spallation Target System





Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005

#### **TARGET LAYOUT**





Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005



Thick Ta Target (protons/cm2/s) per

mA

- 140 MeV -





Thick Ta Target (protons/cm2/s) per

mA

- 170 MeV -





Thick Ta Target (protons/cm2/s) per

 $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{A}$ 

- 200 MeV -







mA

- 240 MeV -





#### Thick Ta Target (protons/cm2/s) per

mA

- 300 MeV -



#### Neutron Flux Distribution at 140 MeV





## Neutron Flux Distribution at 300 MeV







#### H-E Neutron Flux @ 140 MeV



Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005

#### H-E Neutron Flux @ 300 MeV



Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005

1954-2004

CERN



#### **Radiation Damage**

#### Gas production and the displacement rates per kW of beam

<b>Target</b> (Ta)	Average Prot. Ener (MeV)	Average Neut. Ener (MeV)	H <sub>3</sub> Production (appm/dpa)	He Production (appm/dpa)	HE proton (dpa/yr)	HE neutron (dpa/yr)
					Max	Ave
140 MeV	90	51	0.99	54.8	0.6	0.07
200 MeV	115	65	2.92	130.	0.5	0.05
300 MeV	155	88	6.93	275.	0.4	0.04

Dose in TRIGA @ 140 MeV



Neutron dose distribution in TRADE, (microSv/h per mA)

1954-2004

CERN





1954-2004

CERN







1954-2004

CERN







1954-2004

CERN



#### Radio-activity of the SpallationTarget: Spallation products (1)











Y. Kadi / October 17-28, 2005







## Target cooling system in forced convection





#### **Thermo-hydraulics Characteristics**







#### **Critical Heat Flux**

In presence of the design mass flow-rate of water (2.24 Kg/s), the maximum thermal flux at the outer wall of the target is 135 w/cm2 thus assuring a margin large enough to prevent the occurrence of Critical Heat Flux. Moreover the maximum temperature is 80°C which is significantly lower than the TRIGA saturation temperature











#### **Multi-MW Target Challenges**

### High-Power issues

- Thermal management
  - Target melting
  - Target vaporization
- Radiation
  - Radiation protection
  - Radioactivity inventory
  - Remote handling
- Thermal shock
  - Beam-induced pressure waves
- Material properties



- Transmutation of nuclear waste is establishing the case for the development of new high-power proton drivers.
- High-power targets are necessary for the exploitation of these new machines.
- Target systems have been developed for the initial 1MW class machines, but are as yet unproven.
- No convincing solution exists as yet for the envisioned 4 MW class machines.
- A world wide R&D effort is under way to develop new high-power targets.