

International Centre for Theoretical Physics



International Atomic Energy Agency

()

SMR1735/8

Advanced School and Conference on Representation Theory and Related Topics

(9 - 27 January 2006)

Integral Quadratic Forms and the Representation Type of an Algebra

(Lecture 3)

José Antonio de la Peña Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico - UNAM Instituto de Matemáticas Mexico City D.F., Mexico

INTEGRAL QUADRATIC FORMS AND THE REPRESENTATION TYPE OF AN ALGEBRA

LECTURE 3

Dr. José Antonio de la Peña Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM

The Tits form of an algebra.

Let A = kQ/I be a triangular algebra, that is, Q has no oriented cycles. Choose R a minimal set of generators of I, such that $R \subset \bigcup_{i,j \in Q_0} I(i,j)$. We have:

- $\dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(S_i, S_j) = \#$ arrows from *i* to *j*
- $r(i,j) = |R \cap I(i,j)|$ is independent of the choice of R
- $r(i,j) = \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^2_A(S_i, S_j)$

The *Tits form* of A is the quadratic form

$$q_A \colon \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \to \mathbb{Z},$$

given by $q_A(v) = \sum_{i \in Q_0} v(i)^2 - \sum_{i \to j} v(i)v(j) + \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} r(i,j)v(i)v(j).$



Proposition. Assume A = kQ/I is triangular. Let $z \in N^{Q_0}$. Then for any $X \in mod_A(z)$.

$$q_A(z) \ge \dim_k \operatorname{End}_A(X) - \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X, X).$$

Proof: Let $X \in \text{mod}_A(z)$. The *local dimension* $\dim_X \mod_A(z)$ is the maximal dimension of the irreducible components of $\text{mod}_A(z)$ containing X. By Krull's Hauptidealsatz, we have

$$\dim_X \mod_A(z) \ge \sum_{(i-j)\in Q_1} z(i)z(j) - \sum_{ij\in Q_0} r(i,j)z(i)z(j).$$

Therefore, we get the following inequalities,

$$q_A(z) \geq \dim G(z) - \dim_X \operatorname{mod}_A(z) \geq \dim G(z) - \dim T_X \geq \\ \geq \dim_k \operatorname{End}_A(X) - \dim_k \operatorname{End}_A^1(X, X).$$



In 1975, Brenner observed certain connections between properties of q_A and the representation type of A. She wrote about her remarks: "... is written in the spirit of experimental science. It reports some regularities and suggests that there should be a theory to explain them".

Theorem. Let A = kQ/I be a triangular algebra. [Bongartz]: If A is representation-finite, then q_A is weakly positive [de la Peña]: If A is tame, then q_A is weakly non-negative

Proof. In general, for $v \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$

$$\dim \operatorname{mod}_{A}(v) \geq \sum_{i \to j} v(i)v(j) - \sum_{i,j \in Q_{0}} r(i,j)v(i)v(j)$$
$$\dim G(v) = \sum_{i \in Q_{0}} v(i)^{2}$$

 $q_A(v) \ge \dim G(v) - \dim \operatorname{mod}_A(v)$

If A is tame, then $q_A(v) \ge 0$.

If A is representation-finite, $\operatorname{mod}_A(v) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m G(v)X_i$ where X_1, \ldots, X_m are representatives of the isoclasses of A-modules of $\dim v$. Hence $\dim \operatorname{mod}_A(v) = \dim G(v)X_j = \dim G(v) - \dim \operatorname{Stab}_{G(v)}X_j \leq \dim G(v) - 1$ and $q_A(v) \geq 1$. \Box

AND THE REPRESENTATION TYPE OF AN ALGEBRA

Consider the algebra A given by the quiver



with relations $\gamma \alpha \alpha' = \beta \beta'$ and $\alpha \beta' = 0$. The Tits form q_A is

$$q_A(x) = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_2x_4 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_3 - x_1x_4$$

= $\left(x_1 - x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_4\right)^2$.

and therefore (weakly) non-negative. We shall see later that A is wild.



Modules on preprojective components.

Recall that a component \mathcal{P} of the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of A is called **preprojective** if it does not contain oriented cycles and for every $X \in \mathcal{P}$ there is a translate $\tau_A^n X$ which is projective. If $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and Y is an indecomposable such that $\operatorname{Hom}_A(Y, X) \neq 0$, then $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.

We give some examples of algebras with preprojective components:

- a) Let $A = k[\Delta]$ be a hereditary algebra. Then Γ_A has a preprojective component \mathcal{P} , and the indecomposable projective modules form a slice.
- b) **Tree algebras** have preprojective components (an algebra A = k[Q]/I is a tree algebra if the underlying graph |Q| of Q has no cycles). This is a particular case of the following situation.



c) An indecomposable projective P_i is said to have **separated radical** whenever the supports of any two non-isomorphic direct summands of $rad P_i$ are contained in different components of the subquiver $Q^{(i)}$ of Q obtained by deleting all vertices in $[\rightarrow i] = \{j \in Q_0 : \{j \in Q_0 : j \rightsquigarrow i\}$. If for every vertex $i \in Q_0$, P_i has separated radical, then A satisfies the **separation condition**. Note that tree algebras satisfy the separation condition. If A satisfies the separation condition, then Γ_A has a preprojective component.





A representation-finite algebra A such that Γ_A is a preprojective component is said to be **representation-directed**.

Let Q' be a subquiver of Q, we say that Q' is **convex** in Q if Q' is path closed in Q (that is, whenever $i_0 \to i_1 \to \ldots \to i_m$ is a path in Q with $i_0, i_m \in Q'$ then $i_j \in Q'$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$).

Remark: Suppose that X is an indecomposable lying in a preprojective component \mathcal{P} of Γ_A . Then supp X is convex in Q.

Proof: Suppose that $i_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} i_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-1}} i_m$ is a path in Q such that $X(i_1) \neq 0 \neq X(i_m)$ but $X(i_j) = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq m-1$. Let I' be the ideal of k[Q] generated by all paths of the form: $\epsilon \gamma$ with $\epsilon, \gamma \in Q_1$ where either $i_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} i_2$ and ϵ starts at i_2 or γ ends at i_{m-1} and $i_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} i_m$. Let A' = k[Q]/(I + I'). Then X is a A'-module and there is a chain of non-zero morphisms

 $X \longrightarrow I'_{i_m} \longrightarrow S_{m-1} \longrightarrow M^{i_{m-2}}_{i_{m-1}} \longrightarrow S_{m-2} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow S_{i_2} \longrightarrow P'_{i_1} \longrightarrow X$

where M_i^j denotes the indecomposable module $k_i \to k_j$ and I'_{i_m} is the A'-module associated with i_m . Since $X \in \mathcal{P}$, this cycle should lie in \mathcal{P} . A contradiction.

Corollary. Let X be a preprojective A-module. Then $q_A(\dim X) = 1$.

Proof. We may assume that X is omnipresent in A. Then $p\dim_A X \leq 1$: otherwise there are non-zero maps a contradiction. Similarly, $gl\dim A \leq 2$. Hence $q_A(\dim X) =$



 $\dim_k \operatorname{End}_A(X) - \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X, X) = 1.$

Lemma:

A weakly positive quadratic form $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has only finitely many positive roots.

Proof. Consider q as a function $q : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By continuity $q(z) \ge 0$ in the positive cone $K = (\mathbb{R}^n)^+$. By induction on n, it can be shown that q(z) > 0 for any $0 \ne z \in K$. Let $0 < \gamma$ be the minimal value reached by q on $\{z \in K : || z || = 1\}$ (a compact set). Then a positive root z of q satisfies $\gamma \le q\left(\frac{z}{||z||}\right) = \frac{1}{||z||^2}$, that is $||z|| \le \sqrt{1/\gamma}$.

Theorem: [Bongartz]

Let A = k[Q]/I be an algebra such that Q has no oriented cycles. Assume that Γ_A has a preprojective component. Then A is representation-finite if and only if the Tits form q_A is weakly positive. In that case, there is a bijection $X \mapsto \dim X$ between the isoclasses of indecomposable A-modules and the positive roots of q_A .

Proof: Assume that q_A is weakly positive. Let \mathcal{P} be a preprojective component of Γ_A . Let $X \in \mathcal{P}$ then $\dim X$ is a root of q_A . Moreover, the map $X \to \dim X$, for $X \in \mathcal{P}$, is injective. Indeed, let $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$ be such that $\dim X = \dim Y$. We may assume that X is omnipresent. Then, we get

 $1 = q_A(\operatorname{dim} X) = \dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_A(X, Y) - \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X, Y).$

In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}_A(X, Y) \neq 0$. By symmetry, $\operatorname{Hom}_A(Y, X) \neq 0$ and X = Y. It follows that \mathcal{P} is a finite component of Γ_A and $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma_A$.

Finally, let $z \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ be a root of q_A . Then there is a module $X \in \text{mod}_A(z)$ with the orbit G(z)X of dimension dim G(z) - 1. Since dim $G(z)X = \text{dim } G(z) - \text{dim End}_A(X)$, we obtain that $\text{End}_A X = k$.

We give some **examples**.

a) The statement of (2.3) may be false if A has no preprojective component. Consider the algebras A_i given by the quiver Q with relations $I_i = \langle \rho_i \rangle$:

$$Q: \overset{\alpha_1}{\overset{\alpha_2}{\overset{\alpha_3}{\overset{\alpha_3}{\overset{\alpha_3}{\overset{\alpha_4}{\overset{\alpha_4}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha_5}{\overset{\alpha}}{\overset{\alpha}}{\overset{\alpha}{}}}}}}}}}}}}}$$

Clearly, they have the same Tits form

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^2 - x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_4 - x_1 x_5 - x_4 x_5 - x_5 x_6 - x_6 x_7 - x_7 x_8 + x_1 x_4$$

$$= \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_4 - \frac{1}{2}x_5\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4}\left(x^2 - \frac{2}{3}x_3 + \frac{1}{3}x_4 - \frac{1}{3}x_5\right)^2 + \frac{2}{3}\left(\left(x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_4 - \frac{1}{4}x_5\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(x_4 - \frac{1}{2}x_5\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_5 - x_6)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_6 - x_7)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_7 - x_8)^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_8^2.$$

which is positive.

The algebra A_1 satisfies the separation condition and Bongartz theorem applies. The algebra A_2 is not representation-finite: $mod A_2$ contains the representations of the Euclidean quiver



Critical forms and critical algebras.

We recall some important facts of linear algebra

- a) Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ -matrix. Let $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_s \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq m$. Form the $s \times s$ -matrix $A\begin{pmatrix} i_{1} & i_{2} & \dots & i_{s} \\ j_{1} & j_{2} & \dots & j_{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_{1}j_{1}} & a_{i_{1}j_{2}} & \dots & a_{i_{1}j_{s}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_{i_{1}} & a_{i_{2}} & \dots & a_{i_{s}} \end{pmatrix}$ The determinant det $A\begin{pmatrix}i_1...i_s\\i_1...i_s\end{pmatrix}$ is called a **minor** of A. If $i_1 = j_1, ..., i_s = j_s$, then $A\begin{pmatrix} i_1 ... i_s \\ j_1 ... j_s \end{pmatrix}$ is called a **principal submatrix** and det $A\begin{pmatrix}i_1...i_s\\j_1...j_s\end{pmatrix}$ a principal minor. If $s = n - 1, \{i_1, ..., i_s\} = \{1, ..., \hat{i}, ..., n\}$ and $\{j_1, ..., j_s\} = \{1, ..., \hat{j}, ..., n\},$ then $A\begin{pmatrix}i_1 \dots i_s\\i_1 \dots i_s\end{pmatrix}$ is denoted by $A^{i,j}$. b) The matrix ad(A) whose (i, j) entry is $(-1)^{i+j} det A^{(i,j)}$, is called the **adjoint**
 - **matrix** of A. It has the property that $A \ ad(A) = (det A) \ E_n = \ ad(A)A$.

c) Let q be the quadratic form associated with a symmetrical real matrix A, that is $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}xAx^{t}$.

The form q is **positive** if and only if the determinants of the principal submatrices $A\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, A\begin{pmatrix} 1&2\\1&2 \end{pmatrix}, ..., A\begin{pmatrix} 12...n\\12...n \end{pmatrix} = A$ are positive, or equivalently, if all principal minors are positive.

The form q is **non-negative** if and only if all principal minors of A are non-negative det $A\begin{pmatrix}i_1...i_s\\j_1...j_s\end{pmatrix} \ge 0$ for all $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_s \le n, x = 1, ..., n$.

d) **Perron-Frobenious theorem:** Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a real matrix with $a_{ij} \ge 0$. Then for the **spectral radius** $\rho = max \{ || \lambda || : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A \}$, there is a vector y with non-negative coordinates such that $yA = \rho y$. Moreover, if $a_{ij} > 0$ for every i, j, then $0 < \rho$ and the coordinates of y are positive.

We say that an integral quadratic form $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} q_{ij} x_i x_j$ is a *unit* form.

Theorem: [Zeldich]

Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a unit form and let A be the associated symmetric matrix. The following are equivalent:

a) q is weakly positive.

b) For each principal submatrix B of A either det B > 0 or ad (B) is not positive (that is, it has an entry ≤ 0).

Proof: a) \Rightarrow b): Let *B* be a principal submatrix of *A*. Suppose that ad(B) is positive. Then there is a positive vector *v* and a number of $\rho > 0$ such that $v \ ad(B) = \rho v$. Then $0 < q(v) = vBv^t = \rho^{-1} \ ad(B)Bv^t = \rho^{-1}(det \ B)vv^t$. Thus $det \ B > 0$.

b) \Rightarrow a). Let A be a $n \times n$ -matrix satisfying (b). We show that q is weakly positive by induction on n.

Since property (b) is inhereted to principal submatrices, we can assume that the quadratic form, $q^{(i)}$ associated with each principal submatrix $A^{(i,i)}$ is weakly positive.

Claim: $q^{(i)}$ is positive, $1 \le i \le n$.

Assume that q is not weakly positive. Therefore, we get a vector $0 \ll y \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $q(y) \leq 0$.

In particular, every proper principal submatrix B of A has det B > 0. Since A is not positive, $det A \leq 0$. By hypothesis, ad(A) is not positive. Suppose that the j-th row v of ad(A) has some non positive coordinate. Therefore, there exists a number $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq \lambda y + v$ is not omnipresent. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < q(\lambda y + v) &= \lambda^2 q(y) + \lambda v A y^t + q(v) \le \lambda (\det A) y(j) + (\det A) v(j) \\ &\le (\det A) (\det A^{(j,j)}) \le 0, \end{aligned}$$

since by the claim $q^{(j)}$ is positive.

A unit form $q: \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ is *critical* if q is not weakly positive but all its restrictions $q^{(i)}$ (i = 1, ..., n) are weakly positive.

Corollary:

If q is critical, then the set

 $C_q = \{ v \in \mathbb{Z}^n : v(i) \ge 0 \text{ and } v(j) < 0 \text{ for some } 1 \le i, j \le n \text{ and } q(v) = 1 \}$ is finite.

Theorem [Ovsienko].

Let q be a critical form. Then there exists a Euclidean quiver Δ and an invertible transformation qT of q such that $q_{\Delta} = qT$. In particular, q is non negative and there is a vector $0 \ll z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that rad $q = \mathbb{Z}z$.

Proof: Since $n \ge 3, 0 < q(e_s \pm e_t) = 2 \pm a_{st}$. Choose q' = qT an invertible transformation of q such that the set $C_{q'}$ has minimal cardinality.

Therefore, $q' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a'_{ij} x_i x_j$ is critical and $-1 \leq a'_{ij} \leq 0$ for every pair i, j with $i \neq j$. Thus $q' = q_{\Delta}$ for some quiver Δ . Since q' is critical, Δ is Euclidean. Then rad $q' = \mathbb{Z}u$ with $u \gg 0$ and $z = T^{-1}(u)$.

Let A = k[Q]/I be a k-algebra. We say that A is **minimal representation-infinite** it it is representation-infinite but every quotient A/AeA is representation-finite for any idempotent $0 \neq e$ of A.

A minimal representation-infinite algebra A with preprojective component is called **critical**. Observe that a preprojective component of a critical algebra contains all the indecomposable projective modules (and therefore is unique).

Lemma.

Let A be an algebra with a preprojective component containing all projective modules. If e is an idempotent of A, then A/AeA has preprojective components such that their union contains all indecomposable projective A/AeA-modules.

Theorem [Happel-Vossieck].

Let A = k[Q]I be an algebra with preprojective component. Assume that Q has al least 3 vertices. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) A is critical;

- (b) The Tits form q_A is critical;
- (c) A is tame concealed.

Proof: Let \mathcal{P} be a preprojective component of Γ_A .

b) \Rightarrow c): Assume that q_A is critical. Therefore, A is representation-infinite. A preprojective component \mathcal{P} of Γ_A should contain all indecomposable projective modules. Moreover, this component \mathcal{P} does not contain injective modules. Therefore, A is tilted.

Assume that $A = \operatorname{End}_B(T)$ where $B = k[\Delta]$ is an hereditary algebra and B^T is a tilting module. Therefore the Euler forms χ_A and χ_B are equivalent. Since $gl.dim A \leq 2$, then $\chi_A = q_A$. Therefore, Δ is a tame quiver.

By a dual argument, A has a preinjective component with all injectives. Hence A is tame concealed. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem [Bongartz].

Let A = k[Q]/I be an algebra with preprojective component. Then A is representation-finite if and only if there is no convex subalgebra A_0 of A such that A_0 is critical.





AND THE REPRESENTATION TYPE OF AN ALGEBRA











 $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \downarrow \\ 2 \\ \downarrow \\ 2 \\ \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array}$





