

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics



() International/ Energy Agency

H4.5MR/1747-9

"Workshop on the Conduct of Seismic Hazard Analyses for Critical Facilities"

15 - 19 May 2006

Isoseismals: Mapping of Uncertainty and Comparison with Synthetic Data

G.M. Molchan

International Institute of Earthquake Prediction Theory & Mathematical Geophysics, Russia Workshop on the Conduct of Seismic Hazard Analyses for Critical Facilities, Trieste, 2006



Isoseismals: mapping of uncertainty and comparison with synthetic data



G.M. Molchan

Co-authors: T.L. Kronrod, G.F.Panza

References: Pure Appl. Geophys, 159 (2002), 1229-1251 161 (2004), 1725-1747 Bolletino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata, 41 (2002), 243-313



Motivation of the work

I-data point map of an earthquake Source parametrisation Kovesligethy (1907), Blake (1941), Shebalin (1959-1997), Gasperini et al. (1999), Musson (1996), Sirovich (2002)

- Magnitude
- Hypocenter

• Length (for large events)

Intensity Data Point map for 26/04/1917, Monterchi-Citerna earthquake, M_L =5.6, #133 points, Italy

Strike, dip and rake (for $M \le 6$) **??**

Question: Does *I*-data contain a useful information on the source geometry (specifically the case $M \le 6$)?

Subproblems:

- reconstruction of the isoseismal shape
- modeling of synthetic isoseismals in terms of peak ground acceleration, a_p
- calibration of a_p in terms of I
- comparison of real and synthetic isoseismals for $M \le 6^{*}$ events

*) Similar attempts of comparison using the higher isoseismals are known in the case of large earthquakes $(M_L \ge 6)$ with extended sources

Isoseismal shape

Ist method: smoothing filtering





26/04/1917, Monterchi-Citerna earthquake Modified Polynomial filtering method by Molchan et al. (2002)

Disadvantage: no information about isoseismal uncertainty (a point estimate has little meaning without an associated confidence interval, *stat*.)

Mapping of the isoseismal uncertainty

Idea, 1-D case

• no errors:



Solution: ■ the Diffused Boundary (DB) of level *I*=6

♦ I-data with errors:



 ε - the error of classification of *I*-data (here $I \ge 6$)

Diffused Boundary: 2-D case

Monterchi-Citerna earthquake



DB = Ų■(*l*): uni

union of 1-D solutions for all cross-sections of I-data

Parameters: $\varepsilon \sim 5\%$, H = 20 - 40 km

Property: DB is stable although composed of unstable elements

Atlas of isoseismals (#55) of good quality for Italian earthquakes selected for analysis of isoseismal shape, 1688-1997 yrs, M ≥ 4
Bolletino di Geofisica teorica ed Applicata v. 41, N3-4, 243-313 (2002)

(*I-data*: catalogues by Boschi et al. (1997) and Monachesi & Stucchi (1997))



Synthetic isoseismals, I_a

Input (from publications)

- plane-stratified earth model, i.e. velocity of *P* and *S* waves, quality factor and density are functions of depth
- the double-couple point source model with parameters: hypocenter, moment magnitude M_w , fault plane solution (strike, dip, rake)

Synthetic accelerograms in the frequency range ≤ 1 Hz for all points of the observed *I* -map using the modal summation technique by Panza (1990) $\Delta f=0.5-10$ Hz is a characteristic frequency range for *I*=IV-X (MSK scale), [Sokolov & Chernov, 1998]

Peak acceleration, \hat{a}_p

Theoretical intensity I_a: $\hat{a}_p = c2^{(I_a-6)}$ [sm/sec²]

 $c = \hat{a}_6 = 3$ for $f \le 1$ Hz (empirical estimate)

Data quality and limitations:

- *I*-data point map: ~100 points or more
- depth low accuracy
- magnitude, M_W , $\Delta M=0.3 0.5$
- structure parameters are not uniform horizontally





Complicated cases

В

*

• the point source model is reasonable at distance R > 1.5L, L is the rupture length: $\lg L(M) = 0.5 M - 2$

I vs I_a

- I_a -data does not exclude a modification of the parameters, e.g. *H*, *M* or choice of structure zone (in complicated cases)
- Isoseismals of the rank I_0 -I > 1 are most preferable for comparison with synthetic data

13/09/1989, Pasubio earthquake, M₁=5.1^{ISC}, I₀=VIII, FPS: 145,85,-180



I=5 isoseismal

Synthetic I_a-data: with its uncertainty isoseismals of I=5, 6



27/03/1928, Carnia earthquake, M_L =5.6, I_0 =VIII

I-data

I=6, 5 isoseismals with their uncertainties

Synthetic I_a-data: isoseismals of I=6



15/05/1951, Lodigiano earthquake, M=5.0, I_0 =VI



10/10/1995, Lunigiana earthquake, $M_L=5.1$, $I_0=VI$

I-data

I=6, 5, 4 isoseismals Synthetic I_a -data: with their uncertainties isoseismals of I=6,5,4



5/09/1950, Gran Sasso earthquake, M_L =5.6, I_0 =VIII

I=8, 7, 6 isoseismals Synthetic I_a -data: I-data with their uncertainties isoseismals of I=8, 7 Structure F 43.5 43.5 VIII Н VII-VIII M=5.6+0.4, h=3-0.5 ۲ G VII . FPS=(207-10, 40, 262+20) VI-VII ٥ VI. 4 Δ V V IV,IV-V III,III-IV ∇ 43.0 43.0 o ۸ 42.5 42.5 ^^ 42.0 42.0 VIII VII F VI 12 12 12 13 14 13 14 13 14

15/01/1968, Valle del Belice earthquake, $M_L=6.0, I_0=X$

I-data

I=8, 7, 6 isoseismals Synthetic I_a -data: with their uncertainties isoseismals of I=8,7,6



All events



16 of 55 earthquakes with known fault plane solution; $M_L = 4.5-6$; number of *I*-data points ~100 and more

Isoseismals of interest: I=IV-VI, rank *I*₀-*I*>1 *Fitting:* minimal (1-2 parameters)

- 6 of 16 (■) well reproduce radiation patterns of sources in the point approximation
- For 10 of 16 (\bigcirc •) no explanation of thin structure of *I*-field in terms of the models used
- For 4 of 10 (\bullet) the velocity models are too crude

Results

- the *original technique* for visualization of local uncertainty of isoseismal boundaries. It is objective tool for *I*-data analysis.
- isoseismals (I_{MCS} =IV-VII) of rank >1 provide *information*

on source geometry of moderate earthquakes ($M \le 6$);

comparison of real and synthetic *I*-data can be useful in *testing of crustal structure* (H < 15 km) and *source* models.