



The Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics


United Nations
Educational, Scientific
and Cultural Organization


International Atomic
Energy Agency

SMR1757/9

Summer School on Resolution of Singularities

(12 - 30 June 2006)

Introduction to Singularity Theory

Lê Dung Tráng

ICTP

Mathematics Group

Trieste, Italy

Introduction to Singularity Theory

by Lê Dũng Tráng

Trieste, June, 2006

What is an algebraic singularity?

Let \mathbb{K} be a field (commutative). It is often called the base field.

An **\mathbb{K} -algebraic set defined by the polynomials** $P_1, \dots, P_k \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is the subset of the affine space \mathbb{K}^n of the points (x_1, \dots, x_n) , such that $P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

When the base field is clear, we speak of algebraic set instead of \mathbb{K} -algebraic set. The polynomials P_i , with $1 \leq i \leq k$, are also called the **equations** of the algebraic set. We also write these equations $P_i = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The first obvious fact is that algebraic subsets of \mathbb{K}^n are very particular subsets of \mathbb{K}^n . For instance, when $n = 1$, the algebraic subsets of \mathbb{K} are the finite subsets.

However, the study of algebraic sets is not easy in general.

One of the first observations is that points of algebraic sets are of two types:

- **regular points or non-singular points;**
- **singular points.**

To understand the difference let consider the case of algebraic sets defined by one equation.

Let assume that the base field is the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

A \mathbb{C} -algebraic set defined by one equation is called a **complex hypersurface**.

Let $x \in X$ be a point of a complex hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Let P be an equation of X . Suppose that the differential $dP(x)$ of P at x is a linear form $\neq 0$. It is known that at x , the hypersurface X has a tangent space defined by

$$dP(x) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_1^n \partial P / \partial X_j(x) X_j = 0.$$

In fact the linear form $dP(x)$ is $\neq 0$ iff there is j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $\partial P / \partial X_j(x) \neq 0$.

The implicit function theorem implies that there is an open neighbourhood U of x in \mathbb{C}^n , such that $X \cap U$ is a complex analytic submanifold of U .

Example: Consider a linear form ℓ of \mathbb{C}^n . It is the equation of a complex hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n . It is easy to show that at every point x of H , $d\ell(x) \neq 0$.

Now the same set H is defined by the equation $\varphi = \ell^2 = 0$, in which case at every point $x \in H$, we have $d\varphi(x) = 0$.

So the fact that the differential of the equation vanishes at a point x of X depends on the equation.

Since \mathbb{C} is an algebraically closed field, Hilbert Nullstellensatz shows that if a hypersurface X is defined by an equation P , it is also defined by the reduced polynomial P_0 defined by P .

Let X be a complex hypersurface defined by a reduced polynomial P_0 .

A point x of the hypersurface X is called a **non-singular point** of X or, also, a **regular point** of X , if $dP_0(x) \neq 0$.

A point of X is called a **singular point** of X if $dP_0(x) = 0$.

Singular points of X make an algebraic subset of X defined by the equations

$$P_0 = \partial P_0 / \partial X_1 = \dots = \partial P_0 / \partial X_n = 0.$$

More generally, let E be a complex algebraic subset of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $I(E)$ the ideal of all polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ which vanish on E .

Hilbert finiteness theorem shows that the ideal $I(E)$ is finitely generated

$$I(E) = (P_1, \dots, P_k)$$

Now consider the Jacobian matrix $J(x)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial P_1 / \partial X_1(x), & \dots, & \partial P_1 / \partial X_n(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial P_k / \partial X_1(x), & \dots, & \partial P_k / \partial X_n(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote $\rho(x)$ the rank of this matrix at $x \in E$.

Let $\rho_E := \max_{x \in E} \rho(x)$.

In Ann. Math., 66 (1957), H. Whitney proved:

Theorem 1 *The subset E^0 of points x of E where $\rho(x) = \rho_E$ is a complex analytic manifold of dimension $n - \rho_E$. The subset of $E_1 := E \setminus E_0$ of E is a proper algebraic subset of E .*

Example: Consider the complex algebraic subset V of \mathbb{C}^3 defined by

$$XY = XZ = 0.$$

One can check that V is the union of the plane $X = 0$ and of the line $Y = Z = 0$. The Jacobian matrix $J(x)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y(x) & X(x) & 0 \\ Z(x) & 0 & X(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

So, $\rho_V = 2$. In this case V_1 is the plane $X = 0$.

This example leads us to notice that an algebraic set E is the finite union of irreducible subsets $E(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that for $i \neq j$, $E(i) \not\subset E(j)$.

These subsets are called the (irreducible) **components** of E .

A singular point of an irreducible complex algebraic set E is a point $x \in E$ where $\rho(x) \neq \rho_E$. One can prove that the set of non-singular points of an irreducible complex algebraic set E is connected.

A singular point of a complex algebraic set

$$E = \cup_1^r E(i)$$

is a point $x \in E$ where either $\rho(x) \neq \rho_{E(i)}$, for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, or x belongs to two distinct irreducible components $E(i)$ and $E(j)$ ($i \neq j$) of E .

A non-singular point or a regular point of E is a point which is not singular.

In the preceding example the only singular point of V is the origin of \mathbb{C}^3 .

How do we recognize a singular point?

In the case of a complex hypersurface, it is rather easy from the reduced equation: Let P_0 be a reduced equation of the hypersurface X , the point $x \in X$ is singular iff $dP_0(x) = 0$.

Another way to check it is to consider the Taylor expansion of P_0 at x and notice that $x := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is singular if and only if

$$P_0(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P_0(x)$$

+ terms in $X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n$ of degree ≥ 2 .

The lowest degree of the non-zero non-constant terms in this Taylor expansion is called the **multiplicity** $m_{X,x}$ of X at x .

Therefore $x \in X$ is singular iff the multiplicity $m_{X,x}$ is ≥ 2 .

There is an algebraic way to compute the multiplicity.

Consider the \mathbb{C} -algebra

$$A[X] := \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(P_0)$$

quotient of the \mathbb{C} -algebra of complex polynomials in n variables by the principal ideal generated by the reduced equation P_0 .

The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of X at x is the **localization** of $A[X]$ at the maximal ideal generated by $X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n$.

Consider the following function on \mathbb{N} :

$$\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \quad F(\nu) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} / \mathfrak{M}_{X,x}^{\nu+1},$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{X,x}$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.

Exercise: For $\nu \gg 0$, the function F is a polynomial in ν of degree $n - 1$ and the term of highest degree is

$$\frac{m_{X,x}}{(n-1)!} \nu^{n-1}.$$

There is also a geometric way to understand the multiplicity of a hypersurface:

Let ℓ a “general” line through x . The line ℓ intersects X at the isolated point x . Consider an open neighbourhood U such that

$$\ell \cap X \cap U = \{x\}$$

For “general” lines ℓ_t parallel to ℓ , ℓ_t intersects X transversally and the number of points of $\ell_t \cap X \cap U$ is the multiplicity $m_{X,x}$.

Let E be a complex algebraic subset of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $E(1), \dots, E(r)$ be the irreducible components of E . We define

$$\dim_x E := \max_{\{i, x \in E(i)\}} \{n - \rho_{E(i)}\}.$$

At a point $x \in E$ one considers $\mathcal{O}_{E,x}$ the localization at x of the complex algebra

$$A[E] := \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I(E).$$

We have the following results

Theorem 2 *For $\nu \gg 0$, the function defined by*

$$\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \quad F(\nu) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} / \mathfrak{M}_{X,x}^{\nu+1},$$

is a polynomial of ν of degree $\dim_x E$.

For $\nu \gg 0$, the coefficient of the term of degree $\dim_x E$ is $e/(\dim_x E)!$

By definition the multiplicity $m_{E,x}$ of E at x equals e .

We have

Theorem 3 *The point $x \in E$ is singular iff $m_{E,x} \geq 2$.*

As above we have the following interpretation of the multiplicity for complex irreducible algebraic sets (see R. Draper, Math. Ann. 180 (1969)):

Let ℓ a “general” affine subspace of \mathbb{C}^n of dimension ρ_E through x . The affine space ℓ intersects E at the isolated point x . Consider an open neighbourhood U such that

$$\ell \cap E \cap U = \{x\}$$

For “general” affine spaces ℓ_t parallel to ℓ , ℓ_t intersects E transversally and the number of points of $\ell_t \cap E \cap U$ is the multiplicity $m_{E,x}$.

There are other algebraic characterizations of non-singular points:

Theorem 4 *A point $x \in E$ is non-singular iff the $\mathfrak{M}_{E,x}$ -completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{E,x}$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{C} -algebra of formal series $\mathbb{C}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$, where $d = \dim_x E$.*

An important notion is the following.

Let \mathcal{O} be a local noetherian ring. Call \mathfrak{M} its maximal ideal. We can define the dimension $\dim \mathcal{O}$ of \mathcal{O} as the degree of the polynomial defined by

$$F(\nu) := \text{length} \mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{M}^{\nu+1}$$

for $\nu \gg 0$.

The local ring \mathcal{O} is **regular** if there $\dim \mathcal{O}$ elements of \mathcal{O} which generate the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of \mathcal{O} .

Then:

Theorem 5 *A point $x \in E$ is non-singular iff the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{E,x}$ is regular.*

This type of theorem will allow us to define regular or non-singular points for any algebraic sets over any field \mathbb{K} .