



**The Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics**



SMR/1840-5

School and Conference on Algebraic K-Theory and its Applications

14 May - 1 June, 2007

Algebraic K-theory and algebraic geometry

Eric Friedlander
Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

4. ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY AND ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

4.1. Schemes. Although our primary interest will be in the K-theory of smooth, quasi-projective algebraic varieties, for completeness we briefly recall the more general context of schemes. A quasi-projective variety corresponds to a globalization of a finitely generated commutative algebra over a field; a scheme similarly corresponds to the globalization of a general commutative ring.

Recall that if A is a commutative ring we denote by $\text{Spec } A$ the set of prime ideals of A . The set $X = \text{Spec } A$ is provided with a topology, the **Zariski topology** defined as follows: a subset $Y \subset X$ is closed if and only if there exists some ideal $I \subset A$ such that $Y = \{p \in X; I \subset p\}$. We define the **structure sheaf** $\mathcal{O}_X$ of commutative rings on $X = \text{Spec } A$ by specifying its value on the basic open set $X_f = \{p \in \text{Spec } A, f \notin p\}$ for some $f \in A$ to be the ring A_f obtained from A by adjoining the inverse to f . (Recall that $A \rightarrow A_f$ sends to 0 any element $a \in A$ such that $f^n \cdot a = 0$ for some n). We now use the sheaf axiom to determine the value of $\mathcal{O}_X$ on any arbitrary open set $U \subset X$, for any such U is a finite union of basic open subsets. The stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ of the structure sheaf at a prime ideal $p \subset A$ is easily computed to be the local ring $A_p = \{f \notin p\}^{-1}A$.

Thus, $(X = \text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_X)$ has the structure of a **local ringed space**: a topological space with a sheaf of commutative rings each of whose stalks is a local ring. A map of local ringed spaces $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is the data of a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces and a map of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ on Y , where $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$ for any open $V \subset Y$.

If M is an A -module for a commutative ring A , then M defines a sheaf $\tilde{M}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on $X = \text{Spec } A$. Namely, for each basic open subset $X_f \subset X$, we define $\tilde{M}(X_f) \equiv A_f \otimes_A M$. This is easily seen to determine a sheaf of abelian groups on X with the additional property that for every open $U \subset X$, $\tilde{M}(U)$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules with structure compatible with restriction to smaller open subsets $U' \subset U$.

Definition 4.1. A local ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is said to be an **affine scheme** if it is isomorphic (as local ringed spaces) to $(X = \text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_X)$ as defined above. A **scheme** $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a local ringed space for which there exists a finite open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X such that each $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{X|U_i})$ is an affine scheme.

If k is a field, a **k -variety** is a scheme $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with the property there is a finite open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ by affine schemes with the property that each $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{X|U_i}) \simeq (\text{Spec } A_i, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A_i})$ with A_i a finitely generated k -algebra without nilpotents. The $(\text{Spec } A_i, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A_i})$ are affine varieties admitting a locally closed embedding in $\mathbb{P}^N$, where $N + 1$ is the cardinality of some set of generators of A_i over k .

Example 4.2. The scheme $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ is a non-affine scheme defined by patching together two copies of the affine scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[t]$. So $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ has a covering $\{U_1, U_2\}$ corresponding to rings $A_1 = \mathbb{Z}[u]$, $A_2 = \mathbb{Z}[v]$. These are “patched together” by identifying the open

subschemes $\text{Spec}(A_1)_u \subset \text{Spec} A_1$, $\text{Spec}(A_2)_v \subset \text{Spec} A_2$ via the isomorphism of rings $(A_1)_u \simeq (A_2)_v$ which sends u to v^{-1} .

Note that we have used $\text{Spec} R$ to denote the local ringed space $(\text{Spec} R, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} R})$; we will continue to use this abbreviated notation.

Definition 4.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a scheme. We denote by $\mathcal{V}ect(X)$ the exact category of sheaves F of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules with the property that there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X by affine schemes $U_i = \text{Spec} A_i$ and free, finitely generated A_i -modules M_i such that the restriction $F|_{U_i}$ of F to U_i is isomorphic to the sheaf $\tilde{M}_i$ on $\text{Spec} A_i$. In other words, $\mathcal{V}ect(X)$ is the exact category of coherent, locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules (i.e., of vector bundles over X).

We define the algebraic K -theory of the scheme X by setting

$$K_*(X) = K_*(\mathcal{V}ect(X)).$$

4.2. Algebraic cycles. For simplicity, we shall typically restrict our attention to quasi-projective varieties. In some sense, the most intrinsic objects associated to an algebraic variety are the (algebraic) vector bundles $E \rightarrow X$ and the algebraic cycles $Z \subset X$ on X . As we shall see, these are closely related.

Definition 4.4. Let X be a scheme. An *algebraic r -cycle* on X is a formal sum

$$\sum_Y n_Y [Y], \quad Y \text{ irreducible of dimension } r, \quad n_Y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with all but finitely many n_Y equal to 0.

Equivalently, an algebraic r -cycle is a finite integer combination of (not necessarily closed) points of X of dimension r . (This is a good definition even for X a quite general scheme.)

If $Y \subset X$ is a reduced subscheme each of whose irreducible components $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ is r -dimensional, then the algebraic r -cycle

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^m [Y_i]$$

is called the *cycle associated* to Y .

The group of (algebraic) r -cycles on X will be denoted $Z_r(X)$.

For example, if X is an integral variety of dimension d (i.e., the field of fractions of X has transcendence d over k), then a Weil divisor is an algebraic $d - 1$ -cycle. In the following definition, we extend to r -cycles the equivalence relation we impose on locally principal divisor when we consider these modulo principal divisors. As motivation, observe that if C is a smooth curve and $f \in \text{frac}(C)$, then f determines a morphism $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and

$$(f) = f^{-1}(0) - f^{-1}(\infty),$$

where $f^{-1}(0), f^{-1}(\infty)$ are the scheme-theoretic fibres of f above $0, \infty$.

Definition 4.5. Two r -cycles Z, Z' on a quasi-projective variety X are said to be *rationaly equivalent* if there exist algebraic $r + 1$ -cycles $W_0, \dots, W_n$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for some $n > 0$ with the property that each component of each W_i projects onto an open subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and that $Z = W_0[0], Z' = W_n[\infty]$, and $W_i[\infty] = W_{i+1}[0]$ for $0 \leq i < n$. Here, $W_i[0]$ (respectively, $W_i[\infty]$) denotes the cycle associated to the scheme theoretic fibre above $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ (resp., $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$) of the restriction of the projection $X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ to (the components of) W_i .

The *Chow group* $CH_r(X)$ is the group of r -cycles modulo rational equivalence.

Observe that in the above definition we can replace the role of $r + 1$ -cycles on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and their geometric fibres over $0, \infty$ by $r + 1$ -cycles on $X \times U$ for any non-empty Zaristik open $U \subset X$ and geometric fibres over any two k -rational points $p, q \in U$.

Remark 4.6. Given some $r + 1$ dimensional irreducible subvariety $V \subset X$ together with some $f \in k(V)$, we may define $(f) = \sum_S \text{ord}_S(f)[S]$ where S runs through the codimension 1 irreducible subvarieties of V . Here, $\text{ord}_S(-)$ is the valuation centered on S if V is regular at the codimension 1 point corresponding to S ; more generally, $\text{ord}_S(f)$ is defined to be the length of the $O_{V,S}$ -module $O_{V,S}/(f)$.

We readily check that (f) is rationaly equivalent to 0: namely, we associate to (V, f) the closure $W = \Gamma_f \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of the graph of the rational map $V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ determined by f . Then $(f) = W[0] - W[\infty]$.

Conversely, given an $r + 1$ -dimensional irreducible subvariety W on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which maps onto $\mathbb{P}^1$, the composition $W \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{pr_2} \mathbb{P}^1$ determines $f \in \text{frac}(W)$ such that

$$(f) = W[0] - W[\infty].$$

Thus, the definition of rational equivalence on r -cycles of X can be given in terms of the equivalence relation generated by

$$\{(f), f \in \text{frac}(W); W \text{ irreducible of dimension } r + 1\}$$

In particular, we conclude that the subgroup of principal divisors inside the group of all locally principal divisors consists precisely of those locally principal divisors which are rationaly equivalent to 0.

4.3. Chow Groups. One should view $CH_*(X)$ as a homology/cohomology theory. These groups are covariantly functorial for proper maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and contravariantly functorial for flat maps $W \rightarrow X$, so that they might best be viewed as some sort of “Borel-Moore homology theory”.

Construction 1. Assume that X is integral and regular in codimension 1. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be a locally free sheaf of rank 1 (i.e., a “line bundle” or “invertible sheaf”) and assume that $\Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$. Then any $0 \neq s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ determines a well

defined locally principal divisor on X , $Z(s) \subset X$. Namely, if $\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X|U}$ is trivial when restricted to some open $U \subset X$, then $s_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ determines an element of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ well defined up to a unit in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ (i.e., an element of $\mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$) so that the valuation $v_x(s)$ is well defined for every $x \in U^{(1)}$. We define $Z(s)$ by the property that $Z(s)_U = (s_U)|_U$ for any open $U \subset X$ restricted to which $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial, and where (s_U) denotes the divisor of an element of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ corresponding to s_U under any $(\mathcal{O}_X)|_U$ isomorphism $\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq (\mathcal{O}_X)|_U$.

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that X is an integral variety regular in codimension 1. Let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ denote the group of locally principal divisors on X modulo principal divisors. Then the above construction determines a well defined isomorphism*

$$\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X).$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a unique factorization domain for every $x \in X$, then $\mathcal{D}(X)$ equals the group $CH^1(X)$ of codimension 1 cycles modulo rational equivalence.

Proof. If $s, s' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ are non-zero global sections, then there exists some $f \in K = \text{frac}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ such that with respect to any trivialization of $\mathcal{L}$ on some open covering $\{U_i \subset X\}$ of X the quotient of the images of s, s' in $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ equals f . A line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X$ which is the case if and only if it has a global section $s \in \Gamma(X)$ which never vanishes if and only if $(s) = 0$. If $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are two such line bundles with non-zero global sections s_1, s_2 , then $(s_1 \otimes s_2) = (s_1) + (s_2)$.

Thus, the map is a well defined homomorphism on the monoid of those line bundles with a non-zero global section. By Serre's theorem concerning coherent sheaves generated by global sections, for any line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ there exists a positive integer n such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is generated by global sections (and in particular, has non-zero global sections), where we have implicitly chosen a locally closed embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ and taken $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ to be the pull-back via this embedding of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(n)$. Thus, we can send such an $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ to $(s) - (w)$, where $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n))$ and $w \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(n))$.

The fact that $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$ is an isomorphism is an exercise in unravelling the formulation of the definition of line bundle in terms of local data.

Recall that a domain A is a unique factorization domain if and only every prime of height 1 is principal. Whenever $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a unique factorization domain for every $x \in X$, every codimension 1 subvariety $Y \subset X$ is thus locally principal, so that the natural inclusion $\mathcal{D}(X) \subset CH^1(X)$ is an equality. $\square$

Remark 4.8. This is a first example of relating bundles to cycles, and moreover a first example of duality. Namely, $\text{Pic}(X)$ is the group of rank 1 vector bundles; the group $CH^1(X)$ is a group of cycles. Moreover, $\text{Pic}(X)$ is contravariant with respect X whereas $Z^1(X)$ is covariant with respect to equidimensional maps. To relate the two as in the above theorem, some smoothness conditions are required.

Example 4.9. Let $X = \mathbb{A}^N$. Then any $N - 1$ -cycle (i.e., Weil divisor) $Z \in CH_{N-1}(\mathbb{A}^N)$ is principal, so that $CH_{N-1}(\mathbb{A}^N) = 0$.

More generally, consider the map $\mu : \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{A}^1$ which sends $(x_1, \dots, x_n), t$ to $\langle t \cdot x_1, \dots, t \cdot x_n, 1 \rangle, t$. Consider an irreducible subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^N$ of dimension $r > N$ not containing the origin and $\bar{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be its closure. Let $W = \mu^{-1}(\bar{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1)$. Then $W[0] = \emptyset$ whereas $W[1] = Z$. Thus, $CH_r(\mathbb{A}^N) = 0$ for any $r < N$.

Example 4.10. Arguing in a similar geometric fashion, we see that the inclusion of a linear plane $\mathbb{P}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ induces an isomorphism $CH_r(\mathbb{P}^{N-1}) = CH_r(\mathbb{P}^N)$ provided that $r < N$ and thus we conclude by induction that $CH_r(\mathbb{P}^N) = \mathbb{Z}$ if $r \leq N$. Namely, consider $\mu : \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{A}^1$ sending $\langle x_0, \dots, x_N \rangle, t$ to $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{N-1}, t \cdot x_N \rangle, t$ and set $W = \mu^{-1}(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ for any Z not containing $\langle 0, \dots, 0, 1 \rangle$. Then $W[0] = pr_{N*}(Z)$, $W[1] = Z$.

Example 4.11. Let C be a smooth curve. Then $Pic(C) \simeq CH_0(X)$.

Definition 4.12. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper map of quasi-projective varieties, then the proper push-forward of cycles determines a well defined homomorphism

$$f_* : CH_r(X) \rightarrow CH_r(Y), \quad r \geq 0.$$

Namely, if $Z \subset X$ is an irreducible subvariety of X of dimension r , then $[Z]$ is sent to $d \cdot [f(Z)] \in CH_r(Y)$ where $[k(Z) : k(f(Z))]$ is d if $\dim Z = \dim f(Z)$ and is sent to 0 otherwise.

If $g : W \rightarrow X$ is a flat map of quasi-projective varieties of relative dimension e , then the flat pull-back of cycles induces a well defined homomorphism

$$g^* : CH_r(X) \rightarrow CH_{r+e}(W), \quad r \geq 0.$$

Namely, if $Z \subset X$ is an irreducible subvariety of X of dimension r , then $[Z]$ is sent to the cycle on W associated to $Z \times_X W \subset W$.

Proposition 4.13. Let Y be a closed subvariety of X and let $U = X \setminus Y$. Let $i : Y \rightarrow X, j : U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusions. Then the sequence

$$CH_r(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} CH_r(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} CH_r(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $r \geq 0$.

Proof. If $V \subset U$ is an irreducible subvariety of U of dimension r , then the closure of V in X , $\bar{V} \subset X$, is an irreducible subvariety of X of dimension r with the property that $j^*([\bar{V}]) = [V]$. Thus, we have an exact sequence

$$Z_r(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} Z_r(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} Z_r(U) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $Z = \sum_i n_i [Y_i]$ is a cycle on X with $j^*(Z) = 0 \in CH_r(U)$, then $j^*Z = \sum_{W,f} (f)$ where each $W \subset U$ is an irreducible subvariety of U of dimension $r+1$ and $f \in k(W)$. Thus, $Z' = \sum_i n_i [\bar{Y}_i] - \sum_{W,f} (f)$ is an r -cycle on Y with the property that $i_*(Z')$ is rationally equivalent to Z . Exactness of the asserted sequence of Chow groups is now clear. □

Corollary 4.14. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a hypersurface of degree d . Then $CH_{N-1}(\mathbb{P}^N \setminus H) = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.*

The following “examples” presuppose an understanding of “smoothness” briefly discussed in the next section.

Example 4.15. Mumford shows that if S is a projective smooth surface with a non-zero global algebraic 2-form (i.e., $H^0(S, \Lambda^2(\Omega_S)) \neq 0$), then $CH_0(S)$ is *not finite dimensional* (i.e., must be very large).

Bloch’s Conjecture predicts that if S is a projective, smooth surface with geometric genus equal to 0 (i.e., $H^0(S, \Lambda^2(\Omega_S)) = 0$), then the natural map from $CH_0(S)$ to the (finite dimensional) Albanese variety is injective.

4.4. Smooth Varieties. We restrict our attention to quasi-projective varieties over a field k .

Definition 4.16. A quasi-projective variety X is smooth of dimension n at some point $x \in X$ if there exists an open neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ and k polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k$ in $n+k$ variables (viewed as regular functions on $\mathbb{A}^{n+k}$) vanishing at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{n+k}$ with Jacobian $|\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}|(0)$ of rank k and an isomorphism of U with $Z(f_1, \dots, f_k) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+k}$ sending x to 0.

In more algebraic terms, a point $x \in X$ is smooth if there exists an open neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ and a map $p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ sending x to 0 which is flat and unramified at x .

Definition 4.17. Let X be a quasi-projective variety. Then $K'_0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of coherent sheaves on X , where the equivalence relation is generated pairs $([\mathcal{E}], [\mathcal{E}_1] + [\mathcal{E}_2])$ for short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

Example 4.18. Let $A = k[x]/x^2$. Consider the short exact sequence of A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow A \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

where k is an A -module via the augmentation map (i.e., x acts as multiplication by 0), where the first map sends $a \in k$ to $ax \in A$, and the second map sends x to 0. We conclude that the class $[A]$ of the rank 1 free module equals $2[k]$.

On the other hand, because A is a local ring, $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$, generated by the class $[A]$. Thus, the natural map $K_0(\text{Spec } A) \rightarrow K'_0(\text{Spec } A)$ is not surjective. The map is, however, injective, as can be seen by observing that $\dim_k(-) : K'_0(\text{Spec } A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is well defined.

Theorem 4.19. *If X is smooth, then the natural map $K_0(X) \rightarrow K'_0(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Smoothness implies that every coherent sheaf has a finite resolution by vector bundles. This enables us to define a map

$$K'_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X)$$

by sending a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ to the alternating sum $\sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^i \mathcal{E}_i$, where $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_N \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{F}$ by vector bundles.

Injectivity follows from the observation that the composition

$$K_0(X) \rightarrow K'_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X)$$

is the identity. Surjectivity follows from the observation that $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^i \mathcal{E}_i$ so that the composition

$$K'_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X) \rightarrow K'_0(X)$$

is also the identity. □

Perhaps the most important consequence of this is the following observation. Grothendieck explained to us how we can make $K'_0(-)$ a *covariant* functor with respect to proper maps. (Every morphism between projective varieties is proper.) Consequently, restricted to smooth schemes, $K_0(-)$ is not only a contravariant functor but also a covariant functor for proper maps.

“Chow’s Moving Lemma” is used to give a ring structure on $CH^*(X)$ on smooth varieties as made explicit in the following theorem. The role of the moving lemma is to verify for an r -cycle Z on X and an s -cycle W on X that Z can be moved within its rational equivalence class to some Z' such that Z' meets W “properly”. This means that the intersection of any irreducible component of Z' with any irreducible component of W is either empty or of codimension $d - r - s$, where $d = \dim(X)$.

Theorem 4.20. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension d . Then there exists a pairing*

$$CH_r(X) \otimes CH_s(X) \xrightarrow{\bullet} CH_{d-r-s}(X), \quad d \geq r + s,$$

with the property that if $Z = [Y]$, $Z' = [W]$ are irreducible cycles of dimension r, s respectively and if $Y \cap W$ has dimension $\leq d - r - s$, then $Z \bullet Z'$ is a cycle which is a sum with positive coefficients (determined by local data) indexed by the irreducible subvarieties of $Y \cap W$ of dimension $d - r - s$.

Write $CH^s(X)$ for $CH_{d-s}(X)$. With this indexing convention, the intersection pairing has the form

$$CH^s(X) \otimes CH^t(X) \xrightarrow{\bullet} CH^{s+t}(X).$$

4.5. Chern classes and Chern character. The following construction of Chern classes is due to Grothendieck; it applies equally well to topological vector bundles (in which case the Chern classes of a topological vector bundle over a topological space T are elements of the singular cohomology of T).

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank $r + 1$ vector bundle on a quasi-projective variety X , we define $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ to be the projective bundle of lines in $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ comes equipped with a canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$; for X a point, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{P}^r$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$.

Construction 2. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank r vector bundle on a smooth, quasi-projective variety X of dimension d . Then $CH^*(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ is a free module over $CH^*(X)$ with generators $1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{r-1}$, where $\zeta \in CH^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ denotes the divisor class associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$.

We define the i -th Chern class $c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in CH^i(X)$ of $\mathcal{E}$ by the formula

$$CH^*(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = CH^*(X)[\zeta] / \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \pi^*(c_i(\mathcal{E})) \cdot \zeta^{r-i}.$$

We define the total Chern class $c(\mathcal{E})$ by the formula

$$c(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i(\mathcal{E})$$

and set $c_t(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i(\mathcal{E}) t^i$. Then the *Whitney sum* formula asserts that $c_t(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}) = c_t(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_t(\mathcal{F})$.

We define the *Chern roots*, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ of $\mathcal{E}$ by the formula

$$c_t(\mathcal{E}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \alpha_i t)$$

where the factorization can be viewed either as purely formal or as occurring in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$. Observe that $c_k(\mathcal{E})$ is the k -th elementary symmetric function of these Chern roots.

In other words, the Chern classes of the rank r vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ are given by the expression for $\zeta^r \in CH^r(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ in terms of the generators $1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{r-1}$. Thus, the Chern classes depend critically on the identification of the first Chern class ζ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and the multiplicative structure on $CH^*(X)$. The necessary structure for such a definition of Chern classes is called an *oriented multiplicative cohomology theory*. The splitting principle guarantees that Chern classes are uniquely determined by the assignment of first Chern classes to line bundles.

We refer the interested reader to [?] for the definition of “operational Chern classes” defined for bundles on a non necessarily smooth variety.

Grothendieck introduced many basic techniques which we now use as a matter of course when working with bundles. The following *splitting principle* is one such technique, a technique which enable one to frequently reduce constructions for arbitrary vector bundles to those which are a sum of line bundles.

Proposition 4.21. (*Splitting Principle*) Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank $r + 1$ vector bundle on a quasi-projective variety X . Then $p_1^* : CH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_{*+r}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ is split injective and $p_1^*(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}_1$ is a direct sum of a rank r bundle and a line bundle.

Applying this construction to $\mathcal{E}_1$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, we obtain $p_2 : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$; proceeding inductively, we obtain

$$p = p_r \circ \cdots \circ p_1 : \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{r-1}) \rightarrow X$$

with the property that $p^* : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}))$ is split injective and $p^*(\mathcal{E})$ is a direct sum of line bundles.

One application of the preceding proposition is the following definition (due to Grothendieck) of the Chern character.

Construction 3. Let X be a smooth, quasi-projective variety, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank r vector bundle over X , and let $\pi : \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ be the associated bundle of flags of $\mathcal{E}$. Write $\pi^*(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_r$, where each $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a line bundle on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$. Then $c_t(\pi^*(\mathcal{E})) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + c_1(\mathcal{L}_i))t$.

We define the Chern character of $\mathcal{E}$ as

$$ch(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \{1 + c_1(\mathcal{L}_i) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)^3 + \cdots\} = \sum_{i=1}^r \exp(c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)),$$

where this expression is verified to lie in the image of the injective map $CH^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. (Namely, one can identify $ch_k(\mathcal{E})$ as the k -th power sum of the Chern roots, and therefore expressible in terms of the Chern classes using Newton polynomials.)

Since $\pi^* : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}))$, $\pi^* : CH^*(X) \rightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}))$ are ring homomorphisms, the splitting principle enables us to immediately verify that ch is also a ring homomorphism (i.e., sends the direct sum of bundles to the sum in $CH^*(X)$ of Chern characters, sends the tensor product of bundles to the product in $CH^*(X)$ of Chern characters).

4.6. Riemann-Roch. Grothendieck's formulation of the Riemann-Roch theorem is an assertion of the behaviour of the Chern character ch with respect to push-forward maps induced by a proper smooth map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties. It is not the case that ch commutes with these push-forward maps; one must modify the push forward map in K-theory by multiplication by the Todd class.

This modification by multiplication by the Todd class is necessary even when consideration of the push-forward of a divisor. Indeed, the Todd class

$$td : K_0(X) \rightarrow CH^*(X)$$

is characterized by the properties that

- i. $td(L) = c_1(L)/(1 - \exp(-c_1(L))) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(L) + \cdots$;
- ii. $td(E_1 \oplus E_2) = td(E_1) \cdot td(E_2)$; and

- iii. $td \circ f^* = f^* \circ td$.

The reader is recommended to consult [?] for a very nice overview of Grothendieck's Riemann-Roch Theorem.

Theorem 4.22. (*Grothendieck's Riemann-Roch Theorem*)

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective map of smooth varieties. Then for any $x \in K_0(X)$, we have the equality

$$ch(f_!(x)) \cdot td(T_Y) = f_*(ch(x) \cdot td(T_X))$$

where T_X, T_Y are the tangent bundles of X, Y and $td(T_X), td(T_Y)$ are their Todd classes.

Here, $f_! : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(Y)$ is defined by identifying $K_0(X)$ with $K'_0(X)$, $K_0(Y)$ with $K'_0(Y)$, and defining $f_! : K'_0(X) \rightarrow K'_0(Y)$ by sending a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X to $\sum_i (-1)^i R^i f_*(\mathcal{F})$. The map $f_* : CH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_*(Y)$ is proper push-forward of cycles.

Just to make this more concrete and more familiar, let us consider a very special case in which X is a projective, smooth curve, Y is a point, and $x \in K_0(X)$ is the class of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. (Hirzebruch had earlier proved a version of Grothendieck's theorem in which the target Y was a point.)

Example 4.23. Let C be a projective, smooth curve of genus g and let $f : C \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ be the projection to a point. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on C with first Chern class $D \in CH^1(C)$. Then

$$f_!([\mathcal{L}]) = \dim \mathcal{L}(C) - \dim H^1(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and $ch : K_0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A^*(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. Let $K \in CH^1(C)$ denote the “canonical divisor”, the first Chern class of the line bundle Ω_C , the dual of T_C . Then

$$td(T_C) = \frac{-K}{1 - (1 + K + \frac{1}{2}K^2)} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}K.$$

Recall that $\deg(K) = 2g - 2$. Since $ch([\mathcal{L}]) = 1 + D$, we conclude that

$$f_*(ch([\mathcal{L}]) \cdot td(T_C)) = f_*((1 + D) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2}K)) = \deg(D) - \frac{1}{2}\deg(K).$$

Thus, in this case, Riemann-Roch tell us that

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(C) - \dim H^1(C, \mathcal{L}) = \deg(D) + 1 - g.$$

For our purpose, Riemann-Roch is especially important because of the following consequence.

Corollary 4.24. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Then

$$ch : K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow CH^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Proof. The essential ingredient is the Riemann-Roch theorem. Namely, we have a natural map $CH^*(X) \rightarrow K'_0(X)$ sending an irreducible subvariety W to the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{O}_W$. We show that the composition with the Chern character is an isomorphism by applying Riemann-Roch to the closed immersion $W \setminus W_{\text{sing}} \rightarrow X \setminus W_{\text{sing}}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- A. Borel and J-P Serre, Le Th'eorème de Riemann-Roch, Bull Soc. Math France 36 (1958), 97 - 136.