



SMR/1840-25

# School and Conference on Algebraic K-Theory and its Applications

14 May - 1 June, 2007

Noncommutative locally affine "spaces" and schemes

Alexander Rosenberg IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, France Lecture 1. Noncommutative locally affine 'spaces' and schemes.

## 1. Noncommutative 'spaces' represented by categories and morphisms between them. Continuous, affine and locally affine morphisms.

1.1. Categories and 'spaces'. As usual, Cat, or  $Cat_{\mathfrak{U}}$ , denotes the bicategory of categories which belong to a fixed universum  $\mathfrak{U}$ . We call objects of  $Cat^{op}$  'spaces'. For any 'space' X, the corresponding category  $C_X$  is regarded as the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X. For any  $\mathfrak{U}$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $|\mathcal{A}|$  the corresponding object of  $Cat^{op}$  (the underlying 'space') defined by  $C_{|\mathcal{A}|} = \mathcal{A}$ .

We denote by  $|Cat|^o$  the category having same objects as  $Cat^{op}$ . Morphisms from X to Y are isomorphism classes of functors  $C_Y \longrightarrow C_X$ . For a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ , we denote by  $f^*$  any functor  $C_Y \longrightarrow C_X$  representing f and call it an *inverse image functor* of the morphism f. We shall write f = [F] to indicate that f is a morphism having an inverse image functor F. The composition of morphisms  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  and  $Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  is defined by  $g \circ f = [f^* \circ g^*]$ .

1.2. Localizations and conservative morphisms. Let Y be an object of  $|Cat|^o$ and  $\Sigma$  a class of arrows of the category  $C_Y$ . We denote by  $\Sigma^{-1}Y$  the object of  $|Cat|^o$  such that the corresponding category coincides with (the standard realization of) the quotient of the category  $C_Y$  by  $\Sigma$  (cf. [GZ, 1.1]):  $C_{\Sigma^{-1}Y} = \Sigma^{-1}C_Y$ . The canonical *localization functor*  $C_Y \xrightarrow{p_{\Sigma}^*} \Sigma^{-1}C_Y$  is regarded as an inverse image functor of a morphism,  $\Sigma^{-1}Y \xrightarrow{p_{\Sigma}} Y$ .

For any morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  in  $|Cat|^o$ , we denote by  $\Sigma_f$  the family of all arrows s of the category  $C_Y$  such that  $f^*(s)$  is invertible (notice that  $\Sigma_f$  does not depend on the choice of an inverse image functor  $f^*$ ). Thanks to the universal property of localizations,  $f^*$  is represented as the composition of the localization functor  $p_f^* = p_{\Sigma_f}^* : C_Y \longrightarrow \Sigma_f^{-1} C_Y$ 

and a uniquely determined functor  $\Sigma^{-1}C_Y \xrightarrow{f_{\mathfrak{c}}^*} C_X$ . In other words,  $f = p_f \circ f_{\mathfrak{c}}$  for a uniquely determined morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f_{\mathfrak{c}}} \Sigma_f^{-1} Y$ .

A morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is called *conservative* if  $\Sigma_f$  consists of isomorphisms only, or, equivalently,  $p_f$  is an isomorphism.

A morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is called a *localization* if  $f_{\mathfrak{c}}$  is an isomorphism, i.e. the functor  $f_{\mathfrak{c}}^*$  is an equivalence of categories.

Thus,  $f = p_f \circ f_{\mathfrak{c}}$  is a unique decomposition of a morphism f into a localization and a conservative morphism.

1.3. Continuous, flat, and affine morphisms. A morphism is called continuous if its inverse image functor has a right adjoint (called a direct image functor), and flat if, in addition, the inverse image functor is left exact (i.e. preserves finite limits). A continuous morphism is called 'affine' if its direct image functor is conservative (i.e. reflects isomorphisms) and has a right adjoint.

**1.4.** Categoric spectrum of a unital ring. For an associative unital ring R, we define the *categoric spectrum* of R as the object  $\mathbf{Sp}(R)$  of  $|Cat|^o$  such that  $C_{\mathbf{Sp}(R)} = R - mod$ .

Let  $R \xrightarrow{\phi} S$  be a unital ring morphism and  $R - mod \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}^*} S - mod$  the functor  $S \otimes_R -$ . The canonical right adjoint to  $\bar{\phi}^*$  is the pull-back functor by the ring morphism  $\phi$ . A right adjoint to  $\phi_*$  is given by

$$\phi^! : S - mod \longrightarrow R - mod, \quad L \longmapsto Hom_R(\phi_*(S), L).$$

The map

$$\left(R \xrightarrow{\phi} S\right) \longmapsto \left(\mathbf{Sp}(S) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \mathbf{Sp}(R)\right)$$

is a functor

$$\mathbf{Sp}: Rings^{op} \longrightarrow |Cat|^{o}$$

which takes values in the subcategory formed by affine morphisms.

The image  $\mathbf{Sp}(R) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \mathbf{Sp}(T)$  of a ring morphism  $T \xrightarrow{\phi} R$  is flat (resp. faithful) iff  $\phi$  turns R into a flat (resp. faithful) right T-module.

1.4.1. Continuous, flat, and affine morphisms from  $\mathbf{Sp}(S)$  to  $\mathbf{Sp}(R)$ . Let R and S be associative unital rings. A morphism  $f : \mathbf{Sp}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(R)$  with an inverse image functor  $f^*$  is continuous iff

$$f^* \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_R : L \longmapsto \mathcal{M} \otimes_R L \tag{1}$$

for an (S, R)-bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  defined uniquely up to isomorphism. The functor

$$f_* = Hom_S(\mathcal{M}, -) : N \longmapsto Hom_S(\mathcal{M}, N)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

is a direct image of f.

The morphism f with an inverse image functor (1) is conservative iff  $\mathcal{M}$  is *faithful* as a right *R*-module, i.e. the functor  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_R -$  is faithful.

The direct image functor (2) is conservative iff  $\mathcal{M}$  is a cogenerator in the category of left S-modules, i.e. for any nonzero S-module N, there exists a nonzero S-module morphism  $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow N$ .

The morphism f is flat iff  $\mathcal{M}$  is flat as a right R-module.

The functor (2) has a right adjoint,  $f^{!}$ , iff  $f_{*}$  is isomorphic to the tensoring (over S) by a bimodule. This happens iff  $\mathcal{M}$  is a projective S-module of finite type. The latter is equivalent to the condition: the natural functor morphism  $\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*} \otimes_{S} - \longrightarrow Hom_{S}(\mathcal{M}, -)$  is an isomorphism. Here  $\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*} = Hom_{S}(\mathcal{M}, S)$ . In this case,  $f^{!} \simeq Hom_{R}(\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}, -)$ .

**1.5.** Example. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a monoid and R a  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded unital ring. We define the 'space'  $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  by taking as  $C_{\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)}$  the category  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod$  of left  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded R-modules. There is a natural functor  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod \xrightarrow{\phi_*} R_0 - mod$  which assigns to each graded R-module its zero component ('zero' is the unit element of the monoid  $\mathcal{G}$ ). The functor  $\phi_*$  has a left adjoint,  $\phi^*$ , which maps every  $R_0$ -module M to the graded R-module  $R \otimes_{R_0} M$ . The adjunction arrow  $Id_{R_0-mod} \longrightarrow \phi_*\phi^*$  is an isomorphism. This means that the functor  $\phi^*$  is fully faithful, or, equivalently, the functor  $\phi_*$  is a localization.

The functors  $\phi_*$  and  $\phi^*$  are regarded as respectively a direct and an inverse image functor of a morphism  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathcal{G}}(R) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{Sp}(R_0)$ . It follows from the above that the morphism  $\phi$  is affine iff  $\phi$  is an isomorphism (i.e.  $\phi^*$  is an equivalence of categories).

In fact, if  $\phi$  is affine, the functor  $\phi_*$  should be conservative. Since  $\phi_*$  is a localization, this means, precisely, that  $\phi_*$  is an equivalence of categories.

1.6. The cone of a non-unital ring. Let  $R_0$  be a unital associative ring, and let  $R_+$  be an associative ring, non-unital in general, in the category of  $R_0$ -bimodules; i.e.  $R_+$  is endowed with an  $R_0$ -bimodule morphism  $R_+ \otimes_{R_0} R_+ \xrightarrow{m} R_+$  satisfying the associativity condition. Let  $R = R_0 \oplus R_+$  denote the augmented ring described by this data. Let  $\mathcal{T}_{R_+}$  denote the full subcategory of the category R - mod whose objects are all R-modules annihilated by  $R_+$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{R_+}^-$  be the Serre subcategory (that is a full subcategory closed by taking subquotients, extensions, and arbitrary direct sums) of the category R - mod spanned by  $\mathcal{T}_{R_+}$ .

We define the 'space' cone of  $R_+$  by taking as  $C_{\mathbf{Cone}(R_+)}$  the quotient category  $R - mod/\mathcal{T}_{R_+}^-$ . The localization functor  $R - mod \xrightarrow{u^*} R - mod/\mathcal{T}_{R_+}^-$  is an inverse image functor of a morphism of 'spaces'  $\mathbf{Cone}(R_+) \xrightarrow{u} \mathbf{Sp}(R)$ . The functor  $u^*$  has a (necessarily fully faithful) right adjoint, i.e. the morphism u is continuous. If  $R_+$  is a unital ring, then u is an isomorphism (see C3.2.1). The composition of the morphism u with the canonical affine morphism  $\mathbf{Sp}(R) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(R_0)$  is a continuous morphism  $\mathbf{Cone}(R_+) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(R_0)$ . Its direct image functor is (regarded as) the global sections functor.

1.7. The graded version:  $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a monoid and  $R = R_0 \oplus R_+$  a  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded ring with zero component  $R_0$ . Then we have the category  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded Rmodules and its full subcategory  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{T}_{R_+} = \mathcal{T}_{R_+} \cap gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod$  whose objects are graded modules annihilated by the ideal  $R_+$ . We define the 'space'  $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  by setting

$$C_{\mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)} = gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod/gr_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{T}_{R_{+}}^{-}.$$

Here  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{T}_{R_{+}}^{-}$  is the Serre subcategory of the category  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod$ spanned by  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{T}_{R_{+}}$ . One can show that  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{T}_{R_{+}}^{-} = gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod \cap \mathcal{T}_{R_{+}}^{-}$ . Therefore, we have a canonical projection

$$\operatorname{\mathbf{Cone}}(R_+) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{\mathbf{Proj}}_{\mathcal{G}}(R).$$

The localization functor  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}R - mod \longrightarrow C_{\mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R_+)}$  is an inverse image functor of a continuous morphism  $\mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{v}} \mathbf{Sp}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ . The composition  $\mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{v}} \mathbf{Sp}(R_0)$  of the morphism  $\mathfrak{v}$  with the canonical morphism  $\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathcal{G}}(R) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{Sp}(R_0)$  defines  $\mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  as a 'space' over  $\mathbf{Sp}(R_0)$ . Its direct image functor is called the global sections functor.

**1.7.1. Example: cone and Proj of a**  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded ring. Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} R_n$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded ring,  $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} R_n$  its 'irrelevant' ideal. Thus, we have the *cone* of  $R_+$ , **Cone** $(R_+)$ , and **Proj** $(R) = \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ , and a canonical morphism  $\operatorname{Cone}(R_+) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Proj}(R)$ .

#### 2. Noncommutative schemes and locally affine 'spaces'. Descent.

**2.1. Locally affine morphisms of 'spaces'.** We call a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} S$  of 'spaces' *locally affine* if there exists a family  $\{U_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X \mid i \in J\}$  of morphisms such that

– all inverse image functors  $u_i^*$  are *exact* (i.e. the functors  $u_i^*$  preserve finite limits and colimits),

- the family  $\{u_i^* \mid i \in J\}$  is *conservative* (i.e. if  $u_i^*(s)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i \in J$ , then s is an isomorphism),

– all the compositions  $f \circ u_i$  are affine.

**2.2. Weak locally affine schemes over** *S*. These are locally affine morphisms which have a cover  $\{U_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X \mid i \in J\}$  formed by *localizatios*. The latter means that each inverse image functor  $u_i^*$  is the composition of a localization functor (at  $\Sigma_{u_i^*} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{s \in HomC_X \mid u_i^*(s) \text{ is invertible }\}$ ) and an equivalence of categories.

#### 2.3. Descent.

**2.3.1.** The Beck's Theorem. Let  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  be a continuous morphism in with inverse image functor  $f^*$ , direct image functor  $f_*$ , and adjunction morphisms

$$Id_{C_Y} \xrightarrow{\eta_f} f_*f^*$$
 and  $f^*f_* \xrightarrow{\epsilon_f} Id_{C_X}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_f$  denote the monad  $(F_f, \mu_f)$  on Y, where  $F_f = f_* f^*$  and  $\mu_f = f_* \epsilon_f f^*$ . There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_X & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}_*} & (\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod \\ f_* \searrow & \swarrow & f_* \\ C_X & \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Here  $\widetilde{f}_*$  is the canonical functor

$$C_X \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod, \quad M \longmapsto (f_*(M), f_*\epsilon_f(M)),$$

and  $\mathfrak{f}^*$  is the forgetful functor  $(\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod \longrightarrow C_Y$ .

The following assertion is one of the versions of Beck's theorem.

**2.3.1.1. Theorem.** Let  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  be a continuous morphism.

(a) If the category  $C_Y$  has cohernels of reflexive pairs of arrows, then the functor  $\bar{f}_*$  has a left adjoint,  $\bar{f}^*$ ; hence  $\bar{f}_*$  is a direct image functor of a continuous morphism  $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{F}_f/Y)$ .

(b) If, in addition, the functor  $f_*$  preserves cohernels of reflexive pairs, then the adjunction arrow  $\bar{f}^*\bar{f}_* \longrightarrow Id_{C_X}$  is an isomorphism, i.e.  $\bar{f}_*$  is a localization.

(c) If, in addition to (a) and (b), the functor  $f_*$  is conservative, then  $\overline{f}_*$  is a category equivalence.

*Proof.* See [MLM], IV.4.2, or [ML], VI.7.  $\blacksquare$ 

**2.3.1.2. Corollary.** Let  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  be an affine morphism (cf. 1.5). If the category  $C_Y$  has cokernels of reflexive pairs of arrows (e.g.  $C_Y$  is an abelian category), then the canonical morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{F}_f/Y)$  is an isomorphism.

**2.3.1.3.** Monadic morphisms. A continuous morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  such that the functor

$$C_X \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathcal{F}_f - mod, \quad M \longmapsto (f_*(M), f_*\epsilon_f(M)),$$

is an equivalence of categories.

**2.3.2.** Continuous monads and affine morphisms. A functor F is called *continuous* if it has a right adjoint. A monad  $\mathcal{F} = (F, \mu)$  on Y (i.e. on the category  $C_Y$ ) is called *continuous* if the functor F is continuous.

**2.3.2.1.** Proposition. A monad  $\mathcal{F} = (F, \mu)$  on Y is continuous iff the canonical morphism  $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/Y) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} Y$  is affine.

*Proof.* A proof in the case of a continuous monad can be found in [KR2, 6.2], or in [R3, 4.4.1] (see also [R4, 2.2]).  $\blacksquare$ 

**2.3.2.2. Corollary.** Suppose that the category  $C_Y$  has cokernels of reflexive pairs of arrows. A continuous morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is affine iff its direct image functor  $C_X \xrightarrow{f_*} C_Y$  is the composition of a category equivalence

$$C_X \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod$$

for a continuous monad  $\mathcal{F}_f$  on Y and the forgetful functor  $(\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod \longrightarrow C_Y$ . The monad  $\mathcal{F}_f$  is determined by f uniquely up to isomorphism.

*Proof.* The conditions of the Beck's theorem are fullfiled if f is affine, hence  $f_*$  is the composition of an equivalence  $C_X \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod$  for a monad  $\mathcal{F}_f = (f_*f^*, \mu_f)$  in  $C_Y$  and the forgetful functor  $(\mathcal{F}_f/Y) - mod \longrightarrow C_Y$  (see (1)). The functor  $F_f = f_*f^*$  has a right adjoint  $f_*f^!$ , where  $f^!$  is a right adjoint to  $f_*$ . The rest follows from 2.3.2.1.

2.4. The category of affine schemes over a 'space' and the category of monads on this 'space'.

2.4.1. Proposition. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} & Y \\ f \searrow \swarrow & g \\ S \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram in  $|Cat|^{\circ}$ . Suppose  $C_Z$  has colimits of reflexive pairs of arrows. If f and g are affine, then h is affine.

Let  $Aff_S$  denote the full subcategory of the category  $|Cat|^o/S$  of 'spaces' over S whose objects are pairs  $(X, X \xrightarrow{f} S)$ , where f is an affine morphism. On the other hand,

we have the category  $\mathfrak{Mon}_{\mathfrak{c}}(S)$  of continuous monads on the 'space' S (i.e. on the category  $C_S$ ) and the functor

$$\mathfrak{Mon}_{\mathfrak{c}}(S)^{op} \longrightarrow Aff_S \tag{1}$$

which assigns to every continuous monad  $\mathcal{F}$  the object  $(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/S, \mathfrak{f}), \text{ where } \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/S)$  is the 'space' represented by the category  $\mathcal{F} - mod$  and the morphism  $\mathfrak{f}$  has the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{F} - mod \longrightarrow C_S$  as a direct image functor. It follows from 2.4.1 and 2.3.2.2 that this functor is essentially full (that is its image is equivalent to the category  $Aff_S$ ).

For every endofunctor  $C_S \xrightarrow{G} C_S$ , let |G| denote the set  $Hom(Id_{C_S}, G)$  of elements of G. If  $\mathcal{F} = (F, \mu)$  is a monad, then the set of elements of F has a natural monoid structure; we denote this monoid by  $|\mathcal{F}|$ . And we denote by  $|\mathcal{F}|^*$  the group of the invertible elements of the monoid  $|\mathcal{F}|$ . We say that two monad morphisms  $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\phi}_{\psi} \mathcal{G}$  are conjugate to each other of  $\phi = t \cdot \psi \cdot t^{-1}$  for some  $t \in |\mathcal{G}|^*$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{Mon}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\mathfrak{c}}(S)$  denote the category whose objects are continuous monads on  $C_S$  and morphisms are *conjugacy classes* of morphisms of monads.

**2.4.2.** Proposition The functor (1) induces an equivalence between the category  $\mathfrak{Mon}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\mathfrak{r}}(S)$  and the category  $Aff_S$  of affine schemes over S.

**2.4.3.** Example. Let  $S = \mathbf{Sp}(R)$  for an associative ring R. Then the category  $\mathfrak{Mon}_{\mathfrak{c}}(S)$  of monads on  $C_S = R - mod$  is naturally equivalent to the category  $R \setminus Rings$  of associative rings over R. The conjugacy classes of monad morphisms correspond to conjugacy classes of ring morphisms. Let  $\mathfrak{Ass}$  denote the category whose objects are associative rings and morphisms the conjugacy classes of ring morphisms.

One deduces from 2.4.2 the following assertion:

**2.4.3.1.** Proposition. The category  $Aff_S$  of affine schemes over  $S = \mathbf{Sp}(R)$  is naturally equivalent to the category  $(R \setminus \mathfrak{Ass})^{op}$ .

2.5. Descent: "covers", comonads, and glueing.

**2.5.1. Comonads associated with "covers".** Let  $\{U_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X \mid i \in J\}$  be a family of continuous morphisms and  $\mathfrak{u}$  the corresponding morphism  $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{i \in J} U_i \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{u}} X$  with the

inverse image functor

$$C_X \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{u}^*} \prod_{i \in J} C_{U_i} = C_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad M \longmapsto (u_i^*(M) | i \in J).$$

It follows that the family of inverse image functors  $\{C_X \xrightarrow{u_i^*} C_{U_i} \mid i \in J\}$  is conservative iff the functor  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  is conservative.

Suppose that the category  $C_X$  has products of |J| objects. Then the morphism  $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{i \in J} U_i \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{u}} X \text{ is continuous: its direct image functor assigns to every object } (L_i | i \in J)$ 

of the category  $C_{\mathcal{U}} = \prod_{i \in J} C_{U_i}$  the product  $\prod_{i \in J} u_{i*}(L_i)$ .

The adjunction morphism  $Id_{C_X} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathfrak{u}}} \mathfrak{u}_*\mathfrak{u}^*$  assigns to each object M of  $C_X$  the morphism  $M \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} u_{i*}u_i^*(M)$  determined by adjunction arrows  $Id_{C_X} \xrightarrow{\eta_{u_i}} u_{i*}u_i^*$ .

The adjunction morphism  $\mathfrak{u}^*\mathfrak{u}_* \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u}}} Id_{C_{\mathcal{U}}}$  assigns to each object  $\mathcal{L} = (L_i | i \in J)$  of  $C_{\mathcal{U}}$  the morphism  $(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u},i}(\mathcal{L}) | i \in J)$ , where

$$u_i^*(\prod_{j\in J} u_{j*}(L_j)) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u},i}(\mathcal{L})} L_i$$

is the composition of the image

$$u_i^*(\prod_{j\in J} u_{j*}(L_j)) \xrightarrow{u_i^*(p_i)} u_i^*u_{i*}(L_i)$$

of the image of the projection  $p_i$  and the adjunction arrow  $u_i^* u_{i*}(L_i) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{u_i}(L_i)} L_i$ .

**2.5.2. Beck's theorem and glueing.** Suppose that for each  $i \in J$ , the category  $C_{U_i}$  has kernels of coreflexive pairs of arrows and the functor  $u_i^*$  preserves them. Then the inverse and direct image functors of the morphism  $\mathfrak{u}$  satisfy the conditions of Beck's theorem, hence the category  $C_X$  is equivalent to the category of comodules over the comonad  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{u}} = (\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{u}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{u}}) = (\mathfrak{u}^*\mathfrak{u}_*, \mathfrak{u}^*\eta_{\mathfrak{u}}\mathfrak{u}_*)$  associated with the choice of inverse and direct image functors of  $\mathfrak{u}$  together with an adjunction morphism  $Id_{C_X} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathfrak{u}}} \mathfrak{u}_*\mathfrak{u}^*$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ -comodule is a pair  $(\mathcal{L}, \zeta)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an object of  $C_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\zeta$  a morphism  $\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathcal{L}) \circ \zeta = id_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $G_{\mathfrak{u}}(\zeta) \circ \zeta = \delta_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathcal{L}) \circ \zeta$ . Beck's theorem says that if the category  $C_{\mathcal{U}}$  has kernels of coreflexive pairs of arrows and the functor  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  preserves and reflects them, then the functor  $C_X \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathfrak{u}}^*} (\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{u}}) - comod$  which assigns to each object M of  $C_X$  the  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ -comodule  $(\mathfrak{u}^*(M), \delta_{\mathfrak{u}}(M))$  is an equivalence of categories.

In terms of our local data – the "cover"  $\{U_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X \mid i \in J\}$ , a  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ -comodule  $(\mathcal{L}, \zeta)$  is the data  $(L_i, \zeta_i \mid i \in J)$ , where  $(L_i \mid i \in J) = \mathcal{L}$  and  $\zeta_i$  is a morphism

$$L_i \longrightarrow u_i^* \mathfrak{u}_*(\mathcal{L}) = u_i^*(\prod_{j \in J} u_j^*(L_j))$$

which equalizes the pair of arrows

$$u_i^*\mathfrak{u}_*(\mathcal{L}) = u_i^*(\prod_j u_{j*}(L_j)) \xrightarrow[u_i^*(u_{j*}\zeta_j)]{} u_i^*(\prod_m u_m u_m^*(\prod_j u_{j*}(L_j))) = u_i^*\mathfrak{u}_*\mathfrak{u}^*(\mathcal{L})$$

and such that  $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u},i}(\mathcal{L}) \circ \zeta_i = id_{L_i}, \ i \in J.$ 

The exactness of the diagram

$$\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\zeta} G_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathcal{L})} G_{\mathfrak{u}}^{2}(\mathcal{L})$$

is equivalent to the exactness of the diagram

$$L_i \xrightarrow{\zeta_i} u_i^*(\prod_{j \in J} u_{j*}(L_j)) \xrightarrow{u_i^* \eta_{\mathfrak{u}} \mathfrak{u}_*(\mathcal{L})} u_i^*(\prod_{m \in J} u_{m*}u_m^*(\prod_{j \in J} u_{j*}(L_j)))$$
(1)

for every  $i \in J$ . If the functors  $u_k^*$  preserve products of J objects (or just the products involved into (1)), then the diagram (1) is isomorphic to the diagram

$$L_i \xrightarrow{\zeta_i} \prod_{j \in J} u_i^* u_{j*}(L_j) \xrightarrow{u_i^* \eta_{\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{u}*}(\mathcal{L})} \prod_{j,m \in J} u_i^* u_{m*} u_m^* u_{j*}(L_j)$$
(2)

**2.5.3. Remark.** The exactness of the diagram (1) might be viewed as a sort of sheaf property. This interpretation looks more plausible (or less streched) when the diagram (1) is isomorphic to the diagram (2), because  $u_i^* u_{j*}(L_j)$  can be regarded as the section of  $L_j$  over the 'intersection' of  $U_i$  and  $U_j$  and  $u_i^* u_{m*} u_m^* u_{j*}(L_j)$  as the section of  $L_j$  over the intersection of the elements  $U_j$ ,  $U_m$ , and  $U_i$  of the "cover".

**2.5.4.** The condition of the continuity of the comonad associated with a "cover". Suppose that each direct image functor  $C_{U_i} \xrightarrow{u_{i^*}} C_X$ ,  $i \in J$ , has a right adjoint,  $u_i^!$ ; and let  $\mathfrak{u}^!$  denote the functor  $C_X \longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{U}} = \prod_{i \in J} C_{U_i}$  which maps every object M to  $(u_i^!(M)|i \in J)$ . If the category  $C_X$  has coproducts of |J| objects, then the functor  $\mathfrak{u}^!$  has a left adjoint which maps every abject  $(I \mid i \in J)$  of  $C_i$  to the convert  $\mathbf{U}_i = (I_i)$ 

a left adjoint which maps every object  $(L_i | i \in J)$  of  $C_{\mathcal{U}}$  to the coproduct  $\prod_{i \in J} u_{i*}(L_i)$ .

Therefore, if the canonical morphism  $\prod_{i \in J} u_{i*}(L_i) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in J} u_{i*}(L_i)$  is an isomorphism for every object  $(L_i | i \in J)$  of the category  $C_{\mathcal{U}}$ , then (and only then) the functor  $\mathfrak{u}^!$  is a right adjoint to the functor  $\mathfrak{u}_*$ .

In particular,  $\mathfrak{u}^!$  is a right adjoint to  $\mathfrak{u}_*$ , if the category  $C_X$  is additive and J is finite.

**2.5.5.** Note. If, in addition, the functors  $u_{i^*}$  are conservative for all  $i \in J$ , then the functor  $\mathfrak{u}_*$  is conservative, and the category  $C_{\mathcal{U}}$  is equivalent to the category of modules over the continuous monad  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{u}} = (F_{\mathfrak{u}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$ , where  $F_{\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{u}_*\mathfrak{u}^*$  and  $\mu_{\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{u}_*\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u}}\mathfrak{u}^*$  for an adjunction morphism  $\mathfrak{u}^*\mathfrak{u}_* \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathfrak{u}}} Id_{C_{\mathcal{U}}}$ .

### 3. Some motivating examples.

3.1. Noncommutative schemes related with quantized enveloping algebras: quantum flag variety and associated quantum D-scheme.

3.1.1. The base affine 'space' and the flag variety of a reductive Lie algebra from the point of view of noncommutative algebraic geometry. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a reductive Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  the enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the group of integral weights of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_+$  the semigroup of nonnegative integral weights. Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_+} R_{\lambda}$ , where  $R_{\lambda}$  is the vector space of the (canonical) irreducible finite dimensional representation with the highest weight  $\lambda$ . The module R is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded algebra with the multiplication determined by the projections  $R_{\lambda} \otimes R_{\nu} \longrightarrow R_{\lambda+\nu}$ , for all  $\lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{G}_+$ . It is well known that the algebra R is isomorphic to the algebra of regular functions on the *base affine space* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that G/U, where G is a connected simply connected algebraic group with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and U is its maximal unipotent subgroup.

The category  $C_{\text{Cone}(R)}$  is equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the base affine space Y of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The category  $Proj_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  is equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the flag variety of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**3.1.2.** The quantized base affine 'space' and quantized flag variety of a semisimple Lie algebra. Let now  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over a field k of zero characteristic, and let  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  be the quantized enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define the  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded algebra  $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_+} R_{\lambda}$  the same way as above. This time, however, the algebra R is not commutative. Following the classical example (and identifying spaces with categories of quasi-coherent sheaves on them), we call  $\mathbf{Cone}(R)$  the quantum base affine 'space' and  $\mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  the quantum flag variety of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**3.1.2.1.** Canonical affine covers of the base affine 'space' and the flag variety. Let W be the Weyl group of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Fix a  $w \in W$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_+$ , choose a nonzero w-extremal vector  $e_{w\lambda}^{\lambda}$  generating the one dimensional vector subspace of  $R_{\lambda}$  formed by the vectors of the weight  $w\lambda$ . Set  $S_w = \{k^* e_{w\lambda}^{\lambda} | \lambda \in \mathcal{G}_+\}$ . It follows from the Weyl character formula that  $e_{w\lambda}^{\lambda} e_{w\mu}^{\mu} \in k^* e_{w(\lambda+\mu)}^{\lambda+\mu}$ . Hence  $S_w$  is a multiplicative set. It was proved by Joseph [Jo] that  $S_w$  is a left and right Ore subset in R. The Ore sets  $\{S_w | w \in W\}$  determine a conservative family of affine localizations

$$\mathbf{Sp}(S_w^{-1}R) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cone}(R), \quad w \in W,$$
 (4)

of the quantum base affine 'space' and a conservative family of affine localizations

$$\mathbf{Sp}_{\mathcal{G}}(S_w^{-1}R) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(R), \quad w \in W,$$

of the quantum flag variety. We claim that the category  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}S_w^{-1}R - mod$  is naturally equivalent to  $(S_w^{-1}R)_0 - mod$ . By 1.5, it suffices to verify that the canonical functor  $gr_{\mathcal{G}}S_w^{-1}R - mod \longrightarrow S_w^{-1}R)_0 - mod$  which assigns to every graded  $S_w^{-1}R$ -module its zero component is faithful; i.e. the zero component of every nonzero  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded  $S_w^{-1}R$ -module is nonzero. This is, really, the case, because if z is a nonzero element of  $\lambda$ -component of a  $\mathcal{G}$ -graded  $S_w^{-1}R$ -module, then  $(e_{w\lambda}^{\lambda})^{-1}z$  is a nonzero element of the zero component of this module.

**3.2.** Noncommutative Grassmannians. Fix an associative unital k-algebra R. Let  $R \setminus Alg_k$  be the category of associative k-algebras over R (i.e. pairs  $(S, R \to S)$ , where S is a k-algebra and  $R \to S$  a k-algebra morphism). We call them for convenience R-rings. We denote by  $R^e$  the k-algebra  $R \otimes_k R^o$ . Here  $R^o$  is the algebra opposite to R.

**3.2.1. The functor**  $Gr_{M,V}$ . Let M, V be left R-modules. Consider the functor,  $Gr_{M,V} : R \setminus Alg_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ , which assigns to any R-ring  $(S, R \xrightarrow{s} S)$  the set of isomorphism classes of epimorphisms  $s^*(M) \longrightarrow s^*(V)$  (here  $s^*(M) = S \otimes_R M$ ) and to any R-ring morphism  $(S, R \xrightarrow{s} S) \xrightarrow{\phi} (T, R \xrightarrow{t} T)$  the map  $Gr_{M,V}(S, s) \longrightarrow Gr_{M,V}(T, t)$  induced by the inverse image functor  $S - mod \xrightarrow{\phi^*} T - mod$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \longmapsto T \otimes_S \mathcal{N}$ .

**3.2.2.** The functor  $G_{M,V}$ . Denote by  $G_{M,V}$  the functor  $R \setminus Alg_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$  which assigns to any R-ring  $(S, R \xrightarrow{s} S)$  the set of pairs of morphisms  $s^*(V) \xrightarrow{v} s^*(M) \xrightarrow{u} s^*(V)$  such that  $u \circ v = id_{s^*(V)}$  and acts naturally on morphisms. Since V is a projective module, the map

$$\pi = \pi_{M,V} : G_{M,V} \longrightarrow Gr_{M,V}, \ (v,u) \longmapsto [u], \tag{1}$$

is a (strict) functor epimorphism.

**3.2.3. Relations.** Denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_{M,V}$  the "functor of relations"  $G_{M,V} \times_{Gr_{M,V}} G_{M,V}$ . By definition,  $\mathfrak{R}_{M,V}$  is a subfunctor of  $G_{M,V} \times G_{M,V}$  which assigns to each *R*-ring,  $(S, R \xrightarrow{s} S)$ , the set of all 4-tuples  $(u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2) \in G_{M,V} \times G_{M,V}$  such that the epimorphisms  $u_1, u_2$  are equivalent. The latter means that there exists an isomorphism  $s^*(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi} s^*(V)$  such that  $u_2 = \varphi \circ u_1$ , or, equivalently,  $\varphi^{-1} \circ u_2 = u_1$ . Since  $u_i \circ v_i = id$ , i = 1, 2, these equalities imply that  $\varphi = u_2 \circ v_1$  and  $\varphi^{-1} = u_1 \circ v_2$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{R}_{M,V}(S,s)$  is a subset of all  $(u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2) \in G_{M,V}(S, s)$  satisfying the following relations:

$$u_2 = (u_2 \circ v_1) \circ u_1, \quad u_1 = (u_1 \circ v_2) \circ u_2 \tag{2}$$

in addition to the relations describing  $G_{M,V}(S,s) \times G_{M,V}(S,s)$ :

$$u_1 \circ v_1 = id_{S \otimes_R V} = u_2 \circ v_2 \tag{3}$$

Denote by  $p_1, p_2$  the canonical projections  $\mathfrak{R}_{M,V} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} G_{M,V}$ . It follows from the surjectivity of  $G_{M,V} \longrightarrow Gr_{M,V}$  that the diagram

$$\mathfrak{R}_{M,V} \xrightarrow{p_1} G_{M,V} \xrightarrow{\pi} Gr_{M,V} \tag{4}$$

is exact.

**3.2.4.** Proposition. If both M and V are projective modules of a finite type, then the functors  $G_{M,V}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{M,V}$  are corepresentable.

*Proof.* See [KR2, 10.4.3]. ■

**3.2.5.** Quasi-coherent presheaves on  $Gr_{M,V}$ . Suppose that M and V are projective modules of a finite type, hence the functors  $G_{M,V}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{M,V}$  are corepresentable by R-rings resp.  $(\mathfrak{G}_{M,V}, R \to \mathfrak{G}_{M,V})$  and  $(\mathcal{R}_{M,V}, R \to \mathcal{R}_{M,V})$ . Then the category  $Qcoh(G_{M,V})$  (resp.  $Qcoh(\mathfrak{R}_{M,V})$ ) is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{G}_{M,V} - mod$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_{M,V} - mod$ ), and the category  $Qcoh(Gr_{M,V})$  of quasi-coherent presheaves on  $Gr_{M,V}$  is equivalent to the kernel of the diagram

$$Qcoh(G_{M,V}) \xrightarrow[p_2^*]{p_1^*} Qcoh(\mathfrak{R}_{M,V})$$
(5)

This means that, after identifying categories of quasi-coherent presheaves in (5) with corresponding categories of modules, quasi-coherent presheaves on  $Gr_{M,V}$  can be realized as pairs  $(L, \phi)$ , where L is a  $\mathfrak{G}_{M,V}$ -module and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism  $p_1^*(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*(L)$ . Morphisms  $(L, \phi) \longrightarrow (N, \psi)$  are given by morphisms  $L \xrightarrow{g} N$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} p_1^*(L) & \xrightarrow{p_1^*(g)} & p_1^*(N) \\ \phi \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \psi \\ p_2^*(L) & \xrightarrow{p_2^*(g)} & p_2^*(N) \end{array}$$

commutes. The functor

is an inverse image functor of the projection  $G_{M,V} \xrightarrow{\pi} Gr_{M,V}$  (see 3.2.3(4)).

**3.2.6.** Quasi-coherent presheaves on presheaves and sheaves of sets. Consider the category  $\operatorname{Aff}_k$  of affine k-schemes which we identify with the category of representable functors on the category  $Alg_k$  of k-algebras, and the fibered category with the base  $\operatorname{Aff}_k$  whose fibers are categories of left modules over corresponding algebras. Let X be a presheaf of sets on  $\operatorname{Aff}_k$ . Then we have a fibered category  $\widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}}_k/X$  with the base  $\operatorname{Aff}_k/X$  induced by the forgetful functor  $\operatorname{Aff}_k/X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aff}_k$ . The category  $\operatorname{Qcoh}(X)$  of quasi-coherent presheaves on X is the opposite to the category of cartesian sections of  $\widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}}_k/X$ . Given a (pre)topology  $\tau$  on  $\operatorname{Aff}_k/X$ , we define the subcategory  $\operatorname{Qcoh}(X, \tau)$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $(X, \tau)$  [KR4].

**3.2.7.** Theorem ([KR4]). (a) A topology  $\tau$  on Aff<sub>k</sub> is subcanonical (i.e. all representable presheaves are sheaves) iff  $Qcoh(X) = Qcoh(X,\tau)$  for every presheaf of sets X on Aff<sub>k</sub> (in other words, 'descent' topologies on Aff<sub>k</sub> are precisely subcanonical topologies). In this case,  $Qcoh(X) = Qcoh(X,\tau) \hookrightarrow Qcoh(X^{\tau}) = Qcoh(X^{\tau},\tau)$ , where  $X^{\tau}$  is the sheaf associated to X and  $\hookrightarrow$  is a natural full embedding.

(b) If  $\tau$  is a topology of effective descent [KR4] (e.g. the **fpqc** or smooth topology [KR2]), then the categories  $Qcoh(X,\tau)$  and  $Qcoh(X^{\tau})$  are naturally equivalent.

This theorem says, roughly speaking, that the category Qcoh(X) of quasi-coherent presheaves knows which topologies to choose. A topology that seems to be the most plausible for Grassmannians, in particular, for  $N\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , is the *smooth* topology introduced in [KR2]. It is of effective descent, and the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $N\mathbb{P}_k^n$ defined in [KR1] is naturally equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent sheaves of the projective space defined via smooth topology on  $\mathbf{Aff}_k$ .

#### References

[KR1] M. Kontsevich, A. L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative smooth spaces, in "The Gelfand Mathematical Seminar 1996–1999" (2000), 87–109.

[KR2] M. Kontsevich, A. L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative spaces and flat descent, preprint MPI, 2004(36), 108 pp.

[KR3] M. Kontsevich, A. L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative spaces, preprint MPI, 2004(35), 79 pp.

[KR4] M. Kontsevich, A.L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative stacks, preprint MPI, 2004(37), 55 pp.

[LR] V. Lunts, A. L. Rosenberg, Localization for quantum groups, Selecta Mathematica, New Series, 5 (1999), 123–159.

[ML] S. Mac-Lane, Categories for the working mathematicians, Springer - Verlag; New York - Heidelberg - Berlin (1971)

[MLM] S. Mac-Lane, L. Moerdijk, Sheaves in Geometry and Logic, Springer - Verlag; New York - Heidelberg - Berlin (1992)

[R1] A. L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative algebraic geometry and representations of quantized algebras, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Mathematics and Its Applications, v.330 (1995), 328 pages.

 $[\mathrm{R2}]$  A. L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative schemes, Compositio Mathematica 112 (1998), 93-125

[R3] A. L. Rosenberg, Spectra of 'spaces' represented by abelian categories, preprint MPIM, 2004(115), 73 pp.

[R4] A. L. Rosenberg, Spectra, associated points, and representations, preprint MPIM, 2007(10), 71 pp.

[T] Tanisaki, T., The Beilinson-Bernstein correspondence for quantized enveloping algebras, arXiv. Math. QA/0309349, v1(2003).