



*The Abdus Salam  
International Centre for Theoretical Physics*



**SMR/1840-19**

**School and Conference on Algebraic K-Theory and its Applications**

*14 May - 1 June, 2007*

**Mixed motives and triangulated categories of motives**

Marc Levine

*Universitaet Duisburg-Essen, Germany*

## Recall from last time:

The category  $\text{Cor}_{\text{fin}\sim}(k)_F$ : objects  $h(X)$  for  $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ .  
Morphisms (for  $X$  irreducible) are

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Cor}_{\text{fin}\sim}(k)_F}(X, Y) := \mathcal{Z}_{\sim}^{d_X}(X \times Y)_F$$

with composition the composition of correspondences.

The category  $\text{Cor}_{\text{fin}\sim}^*(k)_F$ : objects are direct sums of  $h(X)(r)$ ,  
for  $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Morphisms (for  $X$  irreducible)

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Cor}_{\text{fin}\sim}^*(k)_F}(h(X)(r), h(Y)(s)) := \mathcal{Z}_{\sim}^{d_X+s-r}(X \times Y)_F$$

with composition as correspondences.

The category of *pure effective motives* with  $F$ -coefficients is

$$M_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}(k)_F := \text{Cor}_{fin_{\sim}}(k)_F^{\natural}$$

We have  $\mathbb{L} := (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times 0) \in M_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ .

The category of *pure motives* with  $F$ -coefficients is

$$M_{\sim}(k)_F := \text{Cor}_{fin_{\sim}^*}(k)_F^{\natural} \cong M_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}(k)_F[\mathbb{L}^{\otimes -1}].$$

These are all tensor categories (over  $F$ ).  $M_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}(k)_F$  and  $M_{\sim}(k)_F$  are pseudo-abelian.

Sending  $h(X)$  to  $h(X)(0)$  extends to a faithful embedding

$$i : M_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}(k)_F \rightarrow M_{\sim}(k)_F.$$

*Example.*  $i(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{1}(-1) = h(\text{Spec } k)(-1)$ .

Sending  $X$  to  $h(X)$  or  $h(X)(0)$  gives symmetric monoidal functors

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\sim} : \mathbf{SmProj}/k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow M_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}(k)_F; \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\sim} : \mathbf{SmProj}/k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow M_{\sim}(k)_F$$

For a Weil cohomology theory  $H^*$ , the functor  $H^* : \mathbf{SmProj}/k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\geq 0}\text{Vec}_K$  extends canonically to tensor functors

$$H^* : M_{\text{hom}}^{\text{eff}}(k)_K \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\geq 0}\text{Vec}_K; \quad H^* : M_{\text{hom}}(k)_K \rightarrow \text{GrVec}_K$$

In  $M_{\sim}(k)_F$ , each object  $M = (h(X)(r), \alpha)$  has a dual:

$$(h(X)(r), \alpha)^{\vee} := (h(X)(d_X - r), {}^t\alpha)$$

The unit  $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow M^{\vee} \otimes M$  and trace  $M \otimes M^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$  (for  $M = \mathfrak{h}(X)$ ) are given by the diagonal

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_X]_{\sim} &\in \mathcal{Z}_{\sim}^{d_X}(X \times X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{M_{\sim}(k)}(\mathbb{1}, \mathfrak{h}(X)(d_X) \otimes \mathfrak{h}(X)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{M_{\sim}(k)}(\mathfrak{h}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{h}(X)(d_X), \mathbb{1}). \end{aligned}$$

This makes  $M_{\sim}(k)_F$  a rigid tensor category.

## Chow motives and numerical motives

If  $\sim \succ \approx$ , the surjection  $\mathcal{Z}_{\sim} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\approx}$  yields functors  $\mathrm{Cor}_{fin_{\sim}}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cor}_{fin_{\approx}}(k)$ ,  $\mathrm{Cor}_{fin_{\sim}}^*(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cor}_{fin_{\approx}}^*(k)$  and thus

$$M_{\sim}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k) \rightarrow M_{\approx}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k); \quad M_{\sim}(k) \rightarrow M_{\approx}(k).$$

Thus the category of pure motives with the most information is for the finest equivalence relation  $\sim = \sim_{\mathrm{rat}}$ .

Set  $CHM(k)_F := M_{\mathrm{rat}}(k)_F$ .

For example  $\mathrm{Hom}_{CHM(k)}(\mathbb{1}(-r), \mathfrak{h}(X)) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X)$ .

The coarsest equivalence is  $\sim_{\mathrm{num}}$ , so  $M_{\mathrm{num}}(k)$  should be the most simple category of motives.

Set  $NM(k)_F := M_{\mathrm{num}}(k)_F$ .

## Jannsen's semi-simplicity theorem

**Theorem (Jannsen)** Fix  $F$  a field,  $\text{char } F = 0$ .  $NM(k)_F$  is a semi-simple abelian category. If  $M_{\sim}(k)_F$  is semi-simple abelian, then  $\sim = \sim_{\text{num}}$ .

*Proof.*  $d := d_X$ . We show  $\text{End}_{NM(k)_F}(\mathfrak{h}(X)) = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{num}}^d(X^2)_F$  is a finite dimensional semi-simple  $F$ -algebra for all  $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ . We may extend  $F$ , so can assume  $F = K$  is the coefficient field for a Weil cohomology on  $\mathbf{SmProj}/k$ .

Consider the surjection  $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_{\text{hom}}^d(X^2)_F \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{num}}^d(X^2)_F$ .  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{hom}}^d(X^2)_F$  is finite dimensional, so  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{num}}^d(X^2)_F$  is finite dimensional.

Also, the radical  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{hom}}^d(X^2)_F$  is nilpotent and it suffices to show that  $\pi(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ .

Take  $f \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $f \circ {}^t g$  is in  $\mathcal{N}$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\text{hom}}^d(X^2)_F$ , and thus  $f \circ {}^t g$  is nilpotent. Therefore

$$\text{Tr}(H^+(f \circ {}^t g)) = \text{Tr}(H^-(f \circ {}^t g)) = 0.$$

By the LTF

$$\deg(f \cdot g) = \text{Tr}(H^+(f \circ {}^t g)) - \text{Tr}(H^-(f \circ {}^t g)) = 0$$

hence  $f \sim_{\text{num}} 0$ , i.e.,  $\pi(f) = 0$ .



**Chow motives**  $CHM(k)_F$  has a nice universal property extending the one we have already described:

**Theorem** *Giving a Weil cohomology theory  $H^*$  on  $\mathbf{SmProj}/k$  with coefficient field  $K \supset F$  is equivalent to giving a tensor functor*

$$H^* : CHM(k)_F \rightarrow \mathbf{GrVec}_K$$

*with  $H^i(\mathbb{1}(-1)) = 0$  for  $i \neq 2$ .*

“Weil cohomology”  $\rightsquigarrow H^*$  because  $\sim_{\text{rat}} \succsim \sim_H$ .

$H^* \rightsquigarrow$  Weil cohomology:  $\mathbb{1}(-1)$  is invertible and  $H^i(\mathbb{1}(-1)) = 0$  for  $i \neq 2 \implies H^2(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong K$ .

$\mathfrak{h}(X)^\vee = \mathfrak{h}(X)(d_X) \rightsquigarrow H^*(\mathfrak{h}(X))$  is supported in degrees  $[0, 2d_X]$

Rigidity of  $CHM(k)_F \rightsquigarrow$  Poincaré duality.

## Adequate equivalence relations revisited

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category. The *Kelly radical*  $\mathcal{R}$  is the collection

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \mid \forall g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X), 1 - gf \text{ is invertible}\}$$

$\mathcal{R}$  forms an *ideal* in  $\mathcal{C}$  (subgroups  $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  closed under  $- \circ g, g \circ -$ ).

**Lemma**  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{R}$  is conservative, and  $\mathcal{R}$  is the largest such ideal.

*Note.* If  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{C}$  is an ideal such that  $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$  is a nil-ideal in  $\text{End}(X)$  for all  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition**  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  a tensor category. A ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\otimes$  *ideal* if  $f \in \mathcal{I}, g \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow f \otimes g \in \mathcal{I}$ .

$\otimes$  descends to  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}$  iff  $\mathcal{I}$  is a tensor ideal.  $\mathcal{R}$  is *not* in general a  $\otimes$  ideal.

**Theorem** *There is a 1-1 correspondence between adequate equivalence relations on  $\mathbf{SmProj}/k$  and proper  $\otimes$  ideals in  $CHM(k)_F$ :  $M_{\sim}(k)_F := (CHM(k)_F/\mathcal{I}_{\sim})^{\natural}$ .*

In particular: Let  $\mathcal{N} \subset CHM(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the tensor ideal defined by numerical equivalence. Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is the largest proper  $\otimes$  ideal in  $CHM(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Mixed motives  
and  
triangulated categories of motives

School on Algebraic  $K$ -theory  
and its applications  
ICTP-May 14-26, 2007

Marc Levine

## Outline:

- Mixed motives
- Triangulated categories
- Geometric motives

**Mixed motives**

## Why mixed motives?

Pure motives describe the cohomology of smooth projective varieties

Mixed motives should describe the cohomology of *arbitrary* varieties.

Weil cohomology is replaced by *Bloch-Ogus* cohomology: Mayer-Vietoris for open covers and a purity isomorphism for cohomology with supports.

## An analog: Hodge structures

The cohomology  $H^n$  of a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  has a natural pure Hodge structure.

Deligne gave the cohomology of an arbitrary variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  a natural *mixed* Hodge structure.

The category of (polarizable) pure Hodge structures is a semi-simple abelian rigid tensor category. The category of (polarizable) mixed Hodge structures is an abelian rigid tensor category, but has non-trivial extensions. The semi-simple objects in MHS are the pure Hodge structures.

MHS has a natural exact finite *weight filtration* on  $W_*M$  on each object  $M$ , with graded pieces  $\mathrm{gr}_n^W M$  pure Hodge structures.



There is a functor

$$RHdg : \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D^b(MHS)$$

with  $R^n Hdg(X) = H^n(X)$  with its MHS, lifting the singular cochain complex functor

$$C^*(-, \mathbb{Z}) : \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{Ab}).$$

In addition, all natural maps involving the cohomology of  $X$ : pull-back, proper pushforward, boundary maps in local cohomology or Mayer-Vietoris sequences, are maps of MHS.

## Beilinson's conjectures

Beilinson conjectured that the semi-simple abelian category of pure motives  $M_{\text{hom}}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  should admit a full embedding as the semi-simple objects in an abelian rigid tensor category of *mixed motives*  $MM(k)$ .

$MM(k)$  should have the following structures and properties:

- a natural finite exact weight filtration  $W_*M$  on each  $M$  with graded pieces  $\text{gr}_n^W M$  pure motives.
- For  $\sigma : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a *realization functor*  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma : MM(k) \rightarrow MHS$  compatible with all the structures.
- A functor  $R\mathfrak{h} : \text{Sch}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D^b(MM(k))$  such that  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma(R^n\mathfrak{h}(X))$  is  $H^n(X)$  as a MHS.

- A natural isomorphism  $(\mathbb{Q}(n)[2n] \cong \mathbb{1}(n))$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(MM(k))}(\mathbb{Q}, R\mathfrak{h}(X)(q)[p]) \cong K_{2q-p}^{(q)}(X),$$

in particular  $\mathrm{Ext}_{MM(k)}^p(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(q)) \cong K_{2q-p}^{(q)}(k)$ .

- All “universal properties” of the cohomology of algebraic varieties should be reflected by identities in  $D^b(MM(k))$  of the objects  $R\mathfrak{h}(X)$ .

## Motivic sheaves

In fact, Beilinson views the above picture as only the story over the generic point  $\mathrm{Spec} k$ .

He conjectured further that there should be a system of categories of “motivic sheaves”

$$S \mapsto MM(S)$$

together with functors  $Rf_*$ ,  $f^*$ ,  $f^!$  and  $Rf_!$ , as well as  $\mathcal{H}om$  and  $\otimes$ , all satisfying the yoga of Grothendieck’s six operations for the categories of sheaves for the étale topology.

## A partial success

The categories  $MM(k)$ ,  $MM(S)$  have not been constructed.

However, there are now a number of (equivalent) constructions of *triangulated tensor categories* that satisfy all the structural properties expected of the derived categories  $D^b(MM(k))$  and  $D^b(MM(S))$ , except those which exhibit these as a derived category of an abelian category ( $t$ -structure).

There are at present various attempts to extend this to the triangulated version of Beilinson's vision of motivic sheaves over a base  $S$ .

We give a discussion of the construction of various versions of triangulated categories of mixed motives over  $k$  due to Voevodsky.

# Triangulated categories

## Translations and triangles

A *translation* on an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$  is an equivalence  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . We write  $X[1] := T(X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with translation. A *triangle*  $(X, Y, Z, a, b, c)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is the sequence of maps

$$X \xrightarrow{a} Y \xrightarrow{b} Z \xrightarrow{c} X[1].$$

A morphism of triangles

$$(f, g, h) : (X, Y, Z, a, b, c) \rightarrow (X', Y', Z', a', b', c')$$

is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & Y & \xrightarrow{b} & Z & \xrightarrow{c} & X[1] \\ f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & h \downarrow & & f[1] \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{a'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{b'} & Z' & \xrightarrow{c'} & X'[1]. \end{array}$$

Verdier has defined a *triangulated category* as an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$  with translation, together with a collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of triangles, called the *distinguished triangles* of  $\mathcal{A}$ , which satisfy

### TR1

$\mathcal{E}$  is closed under isomorphism of triangles.

$A \xrightarrow{\text{id}} A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A[1]$  is distinguished.

Each  $X \xrightarrow{u} Y$  extends to a distinguished triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{u} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$$

### TR2

$X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1]$  is distinguished

$\Leftrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \xrightarrow{w} X[1] \xrightarrow{-u[1]} Y[1]$  is distinguished



### TR3

Given a commutative diagram with distinguished rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{u} & Y & \xrightarrow{v} & Z & \xrightarrow{w} & X[1] \\
 f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & & & \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{u'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{v'} & Z' & \xrightarrow{w'} & X'[1]
 \end{array}$$

there exists a morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow Z'$  such that  $(f, g, h)$  is a morphism of triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{u} & Y & \xrightarrow{v} & Z & \xrightarrow{w} & X[1] \\
 f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & h \downarrow & & \downarrow f[1] \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{u'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{v'} & Z' & \xrightarrow{w'} & X'[1]
 \end{array}$$

## TR4

If we have three distinguished triangles  $(X, Y, Z', u, i, *)$ ,  $(Y, Z, X', v, *, j)$ , and  $(X, Z, Y', w, *, *)$ , with  $w = v \circ u$ , then there are morphisms  $f : Z' \rightarrow Y'$ ,  $g : Y' \rightarrow X'$  such that

- $(\text{id}_X, v, f)$  is a morphism of triangles
- $(u, \text{id}_Z, g)$  is a morphism of triangles
- $(Z', Y', X', f, g, i[1] \circ j)$  is a distinguished triangle.

A graded functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of triangulated categories is called *exact* if  $F$  takes distinguished triangles in  $\mathcal{A}$  to distinguished triangles in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Remark** Suppose  $(\mathcal{A}, T, \mathcal{E})$  satisfies (TR1), (TR2) and (TR3). If  $(X, Y, Z, a, b, c)$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $A$  is an object of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the sequences

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \xrightarrow{c[-1]_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, X) \xrightarrow{a_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, Y) \xrightarrow{b_*} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, Z) \xrightarrow{c_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, X[1]) \xrightarrow{a[1]_*} \dots \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \xrightarrow{a[1]^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X[1], A) \xrightarrow{c^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, A) \xrightarrow{b^*} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, A) \xrightarrow{a^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, A) \xrightarrow{c[-1]^*} \dots \end{array}$$

are exact.

This yields:

- (five-lemma): If  $(f, g, h)$  is a morphism of triangles in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and if two of  $f, g, h$  are isomorphisms, then so is the third.
- If  $(X, Y, Z, a, b, c)$  and  $(X, Y, Z', a, b', c')$  are two triangles in  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is an isomorphism  $h : Z \rightarrow Z'$  such that

$$(\text{id}_X, \text{id}_Y, h) : (X, Y, Z, a, b, c) \rightarrow (X, Y, Z', a, b', c')$$

is an isomorphism of triangles.

If (TR4) holds as well, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under taking finite direct sums.

## The main point

A triangulated category is a machine for generating natural long exact sequences.

**An example** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category,  $C^?(A)$  the category of cohomological complexes (with boundedness condition  $? = \emptyset, +, -, b$ ), and  $K^?(A)$  the homotopy category.

For a complex  $(A, d_A)$ , let  $A[1]$  be the complex

$$A[1]^n := A^{n+1}; \quad d_{A[1]}^n := -d_A^{n+1}.$$

For a map of complexes  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have the *cone sequence*

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{i} \text{Cone}(f) \xrightarrow{p} A[1]$$

where  $\text{Cone}(f) := A^{n+1} \oplus B^n$  with differential

$$d(a, b) := (-d_A(a), f(a) + d_B(b))$$

$i$  and  $p$  are the evident inclusions and projections.

We make  $K^?(A)$  a triangulated category by declaring a triangle to be exact if it is isomorphic to the image of a cone sequence.

## Tensor structure

**Definition** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is both a triangulated category and a tensor category (with tensor operation  $\otimes$ ) such that  $(X \otimes Y)[1] = X[1] \otimes Y$ .

Suppose that, for each distinguished triangle  $(X, Y, Z, a, b, c)$ , and each  $W \in \mathcal{A}$ , the sequence

$$X \otimes W \xrightarrow{a \otimes \text{id}_W} Y \otimes W \xrightarrow{b \otimes \text{id}_W} Z \otimes W \xrightarrow{c \otimes \text{id}_W} X[1] \otimes W = (X \otimes W)[1]$$

is a distinguished triangle. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *triangulated tensor category*.

**Example** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a tensor category, then  $K^?(\mathcal{A})$  inherits a tensor structure, by the usual tensor product of complexes, and becomes a triangulated tensor category. (For  $? = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  must admit infinite direct sums).



## Thick subcategories

**Definition** A full triangulated subcategory  $\mathcal{B}$  of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{A}$  is *thick* if  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under taking direct summands.

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the set of morphisms  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  which fit into a distinguished triangle  $X \xrightarrow{s} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$  with  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  forms a *saturated multiplicative system* of morphisms.

The intersection of thick subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . So, for each set  $\mathcal{T}$  of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a smallest thick subcategory  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $\mathcal{T}$ , called the thick subcategory *generated* by  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Remark** The original definition (Verdier) of a thick subcategory had the condition:

Let  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$  be a distinguished triangle in  $\mathcal{A}$ , with  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $f$  factors as  $X \xrightarrow{f_1} B' \xrightarrow{f_2} Y$  with  $B'$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

This is equivalent to the condition given above, that  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under direct summands in  $\mathcal{A}$  (*cf.* Rickard).

## Localization of triangulated categories

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a thick subcategory of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the saturated multiplicative system of map  $A \xrightarrow{s} B$  with “cone” in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Form the category  $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  with the same objects as  $\mathcal{A}$ , with

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]}(X, Y) = \varinjlim_{s: X' \rightarrow X \in \mathcal{S}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Y).$$

Composition of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g} Z \\ & \downarrow t & \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow s & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

is defined by filling in the middle

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X'' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\
 s' \downarrow & & \downarrow t & & \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & \\
 s \downarrow & & & & \\
 X & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

One can describe  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]}(X, Y)$  by a calculus of left fractions as well, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]}(X, Y) = \varinjlim_{s: Y \rightarrow Y' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y').$$

Let  $Q_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  be the canonical functor.

**Theorem (Verdier)** (i)  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  is a triangulated category, where a triangle  $T$  in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  is distinguished if  $T$  is isomorphic to the image under  $Q_{\mathcal{B}}$  of a distinguished triangle in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) The functor  $Q_{\mathcal{B}}$  is universal for exact functors  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $F(B)$  is isomorphic to 0 for all  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(iii)  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to the collection of maps in  $\mathcal{A}$  which become isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the subcategory of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  which becomes isomorphic to zero in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$ .

**Remark** If  $\mathcal{A}$  admits some infinite direct sums, it is sometimes better to preserve this property. A subcategory  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *localizing* if  $\mathcal{B}$  is thick and is closed under direct sums which exist in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For instance, if  $\mathcal{A}$  admits arbitrary direct sums and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a localizing subcategory, then  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  also admits arbitrary direct sums.

Localization with respect to localizing subcategories has been studied by Thomason and by Ne'eman.

**Localization of triangulated tensor categories** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a triangulated tensor category, and  $\mathcal{B}$  a thick subcategory, call  $\mathcal{B}$  a *thick tensor subcategory* if  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  implies that  $A \otimes B$  and  $B \otimes A$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The quotient  $Q_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  by a thick tensor subcategory inherits the tensor structure, and the distinguished triangles are preserved by tensor product with an object.

**Example** The classical example is the *derived category*  $D^?(\mathcal{A})$  of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{D}^?(\mathcal{A})$  is the localization of  $K^?(\mathcal{A})$  with respect to the multiplicative system of *quasi-isomorphisms*  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , i.e.,  $f$  which induce isomorphisms  $H^n(f) : H^n(A) \rightarrow H^n(B)$  for all  $n$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian tensor category, then  $D^-(\mathcal{A})$  inherits a tensor structure  $\otimes^L$  if each object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a surjection  $P \rightarrow A$  where  $P$  is *flat*, i.e.  $M \mapsto M \otimes P$  is an exact functor on  $\mathcal{A}$ . If each  $A$  admits a finite flat (right) resolution, then  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$  has a tensor structure  $\otimes^L$  as well. The tensor structure  $\otimes^L$  is given by forming for each  $A \in K^?(\mathcal{A})$  a quasi-isomorphism  $P \rightarrow A$  with  $P$  a complex of flat objects in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and defining

$$A \otimes^L B := \text{Tot}(P \otimes B).$$



## Geometric motives

Voevodsky constructs a number of categories: the category of geometric motives  $DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$  with its effective subcategory  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ , as well as a sheaf-theoretic construction  $DM_{-}^{\text{eff}}$ , containing  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  as a full dense subcategory. In contrast to almost all other constructions, these are based on *homology* rather than cohomology as the starting point, in particular, the motives functor from  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$  to these categories is covariant.

**Finite correspondences** To solve the problem of the partially defined composition of correspondences, Voevodsky introduces the notion of *finite* correspondences, for which all compositions are defined.

**Definition** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be in  $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ . The group  $c(X, Y)$  is the subgroup of  $z(X \times_k Y)$  generated by integral closed subschemes  $W \subset X \times_k Y$  such that

1. the projection  $p_1 : W \rightarrow X$  is finite
2. the image  $p_1(W) \subset X$  is an irreducible component of  $X$ .

The elements of  $c(X, Y)$  are called the *finite* correspondences from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

The following basic lemma is easy to prove:

**Lemma** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be in  $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ ,  $W \in c(X, Y)$ ,  $W' \in c(Y, Z)$ . Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are irreducible. Then each irreducible component  $C$  of  $|W| \times Z \cap X \times |W'|$  is finite over  $X$  and  $p_X(C) = X$ .*

Thus: for  $W \in c(X, Y)$ ,  $W' \in c(Y, Z)$ , we have the composition:

$$W' \circ W := p_{XZ*}(p_{XY}^*(W) \cdot p_{YZ}^*(W')),$$

This operation yields an associative bilinear *composition law*

$$\circ : c(Y, Z) \times c(X, Y) \rightarrow c(X, Z).$$

## The category of finite correspondences

**Definition** The category  $\mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k)$  is the category with the same objects as  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ , with

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k)}(X, Y) := c(X, Y),$$

and with the composition as defined above.

**Remarks** (1) We have the functor  $\mathbf{Sm}/k \rightarrow \mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k)$  sending a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$  to the graph  $\Gamma_f \subset X \times_k Y$ .

(2) We write the morphism corresponding to  $\Gamma_f$  as  $f_*$ , and the object corresponding to  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$  as  $[X]$ .

(3) The operation  $\times_k$  (on smooth  $k$ -schemes and on cycles) makes  $\mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k)$  a tensor category. Thus, the bounded homotopy category  $K^b(\mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k))$  is a triangulated tensor category.

## The category of effective geometric motives

**Definition** The category  $\widehat{DM}_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  is the localization of  $K^b(\text{Cor}_{\text{fin}}(k))$ , as a triangulated tensor category, by

- *Homotopy.* For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ , invert  $p_* : [X \times \mathbb{A}^1] \rightarrow [X]$
- *Mayer-Vietoris.* Let  $X$  be in  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ . Write  $X$  as a union of Zariski open subschemes  $U, V$ :  $X = U \cup V$ .

We have the canonical map

$$\text{Cone}([U \cap V] \xrightarrow{(j_{U,U \cap V*}, -j_{V,U \cap V*})} [U] \oplus [V]) \xrightarrow{(j_{U*} + j_{V*})} [X]$$

since  $(j_{U*} + j_{V*}) \circ (j_{U,U \cap V*}, -j_{V,U \cap V*}) = 0$ . Invert this map.

The category  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  of *effective geometric motives* is the pseudo-abelian hull of  $\widehat{DM}_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  (Balmer-Schlichting).

## The motive of a smooth variety

Let  $M_{\text{gm}}(X)$  be the image of  $[X]$  in  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ . Sending  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to  $M_{\text{gm}}(f) := [\Gamma_f] = f_*$  defines

$$M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}} : \mathbf{Sm}/k \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k).$$

*Note.*  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  is modeled on *homology*, so  $M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}$  is a covariant functor. In fact,  $M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}$  is a symmetric monoidal functor.

## The category of geometric motives

To define the category of geometric motives we invert the Lefschetz motive.

For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ , the *reduced motive* (in  $C^b(\mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k))$ ) is

$$[\widetilde{X}] := \mathrm{Cone}(p_* : [X] \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec} k])[-1].$$

If  $X$  has a  $k$ -point  $0 \in X(k)$ , then  $p_* i_{0*} = \mathrm{id}_{[\mathrm{Spec} k]}$  so

$$[X] = [\widetilde{X}] \oplus [\mathrm{Spec} k]$$

and

$$[\widetilde{X}] \cong \mathrm{Cone}(i_{0*} : [\mathrm{Spec} k] \rightarrow [X])$$

in  $K^b(\mathrm{Cor}_{fin}(k))$ .

Write  $\widetilde{M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(X)}$  for the image of  $\widetilde{[X]}$  in  $M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ .

Set  $\mathbb{Z}(1) := \widetilde{M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbb{P}^1)}[-2]$ , and set  $\mathbb{Z}(n) := \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\otimes n}$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

Thus  $M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1)[2]$ ,  $\mathbb{Z} := M_{\text{gm}}(\text{Spec } k) = \mathbb{Z}(0)$ . So  $\mathbb{Z}(1)[2]$  is like the Lefschetz motive.

**Definition** The category of *geometric motives*,  $DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$ , is defined by inverting the functor  $\otimes \mathbb{Z}(1)$  on  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ , i.e., for  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M, N \in DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{DM_{\text{gm}}(k)}(M(r), N(s)) \\ := \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}_{DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(M \otimes \mathbb{Z}(n+r), N \otimes \mathbb{Z}(n+s)). \end{aligned}$$



**Remark** In order that  $DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$  be again a triangulated category, it suffices that the commutativity involution  $\mathbb{Z}(1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1)$  be the identity, which is in fact the case.

Of course, there arises the question of the behavior of the evident functor  $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k) \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$ . Here we have

**Theorem (Cancellation)** *The functor  $i : DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k) \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$  is a fully faithful embedding.*

Let  $M_{\text{gm}} : \mathbf{Sm}/k \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$  be  $i \circ M_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}$ .  $M_{\text{gm}}(X)$  is the *motive of  $X$* .