



*The Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics*



SMR/1840-31

School and Conference on Algebraic K-Theory and its Applications

14 May - 1 June, 2007

Mixed motives and cycle complexes, II

Marc Levine

Universitaet Duisburg-Essen, Germany

Mixed motives and cycle complexes, II

School on Algebraic K -theory
and its applications
ICTP-May 14-26, 2007

Marc Levine

Outline:

- Properties of bivariant cycle cohomology
- Morphisms and cycles
- Duality

Recall from Lecture 5:

Theorem (Global PST) *Let F^* be a complex of PSTs on \mathbf{Sm}/k : $F \in C^-(PST)$. Suppose that the cohomology presheaves $h^i(F)$ are homotopy invariant. Then*

(1) *For $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, $\mathbb{H}^i(Y_{\text{Nis}}, F_{\text{Nis}}^*) \cong \mathbb{H}^i(Y_{\text{Zar}}, F_{\text{Zar}}^*)$*

(2) *The presheaf $Y \mapsto \mathbb{H}^i(Y_{\text{Nis}}, F_{\text{Nis}}^*)$ is homotopy invariant*

(1) and (2) follows from the PST theorem using the spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Y_\tau, h^q(F)_\tau) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Y_\tau, F_\tau), \tau = \text{Nis}, \text{Zar}.$$

Definition Take $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. The *bivariant cycle cohomology* of Y with coefficients in cycles on X are

$$A_{r,i}(Y, X) := \mathbb{H}^{-i}(Y_{\text{cdh}}, C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))_{\text{cdh}}).$$

$A_{r,i}(Y, X)$ is contravariant in Y and covariant in X (for proper maps).

We have the natural map

$$h_i(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(Y) := H_i(C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(Y)) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, X).$$

The bivariant cycle cohomology $A_{r,i}(Y, X)$ has long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence and a blow-up sequence with respect to Y .

Additional properties of $A_{r,i}$ require some fundamental results on the behavior of homotopy invariant PST's with respect to cdh-sheafification. Additionally, we will need some essentially algebro-geometric results comparing different cycle complexes. These two types of results are:

1. Acyclicity theorems. We have already seen the Nisnevich acyclicity theorem:

Theorem *Let F be a PST with $F_{\text{Nis}} = 0$. Then the Suslin complex $C_*(F)_{\text{Zar}}$ is acyclic.*

We will also need the cdh version:

Theorem (cdh-acyclity) *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities. For F a PST with $F_{\text{cdh}} = 0$, the Suslin complex $C_*(F)_{\text{cdh}}$ is acyclic as on \mathbf{Sch}_k .*

The cdh-acyclicity theorem follows from the Nisnevich version by a hypercovering argument.

Using a hypercovering argument again, and Voevodsky's PST theorem, these results also show that cdh, Nis and Zar cohomology of a homotopy invariant PST all agree on smooth varieties:

Theorem (cdh-Nis-Zar) *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities. For $U \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, $F^* \in C^-(PST)$ such that the cohomology presheaves of F are homotopy invariant,*

$$\mathbb{H}^n(U_{\text{Zar}}, F_{\text{Zar}}^*) \cong \mathbb{H}^n(U_{\text{Nis}}, F_{\text{Nis}}^*) \cong \mathbb{H}^n(Y_{\text{cdh}}, F_{\text{cdh}}^*)$$

2. Moving lemmas. The bivariant cohomology $A_{r,i}$ is defined using cdh-hypercohomology of z_r^{equi} , so comparing z_r^{equi} with other complexes leads to identification of $A_{r,i}$ with cdh-hypercohomology of the other complexes. These comparisons of z_r^{equi} with other complexes is based partly on a number of very interesting geometric constructions, due to Friedlander-Lawson and Suslin. We will not discuss these results here, except to mention where they come in.

Homotopy Bivariant cycle homology is homotopy invariant:

Proposition *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Then the pull-back map*

$$p^* : A_{r,i}(Y, X) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Using hypercovers and resolution of singularities, we reduce to the case of smooth Y .

The cdh-Nis-Zar theorem changes the cdh hypercohomology defining $A_{r,i}$ to Nisnevich hypercohomology:

$$A_{r,i}(Y, X) = \mathbb{H}^i(Y_{\text{Nis}}, C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X)_{\text{Nis}}).$$

By the global PST theorem, the hypercohomology presheaves

$$Y \mapsto \mathbb{H}^i(Y_{\text{Nis}}, C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X)_{\text{Nis}}))$$

are homotopy invariant.

The geometric comparison theorem

Theorem (Geometric comparison) *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Take $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then the natural map $z^{\text{equi}}(X, *) \rightarrow z_r(X, *)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

This is based on *Suslin's moving lemma*, a purely algebro-geometric construction, in case X is affine. In addition, one needs to use the cdh techniques to prove a Meyer-Vietoris property for the complexes $z^{\text{equi}}(X, *)$ (we'll see how this works a bit later).

The geometric duality theorem

Let $z_r^{\text{equi}}(Z, X) := \mathcal{H}om(L(Z), z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))$. Explicitly:

$$z_r^{\text{equi}}(Z, X)(U) = z_r^{\text{equi}}(X)(Z \times U).$$

We have the inclusion $z_r^{\text{equi}}(Z, X) \rightarrow z_{r+\dim Z}^{\text{equi}}(X \times Z)$.

Theorem (Geometric duality) *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Take $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, $U \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, quasi-projective of dimension n .*

The inclusion $z_r^{\text{equi}}(U, X) \rightarrow z_{r+n}^{\text{equi}}(X \times U)$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of complexes on $\mathbf{Sm}/k_{\text{Zar}}$:

$$C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(U, X))_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow C_*(z_{r+n}^{\text{equi}}(X \times U))_{\text{Zar}}$$

The proof for U and X smooth and projective uses the *Friedlander-Lawson moving lemma* for “moving cycles in a family”. The extension to U smooth quasi-projective, and X general uses the cdh-acyclicity theorem.

The cdh comparison and duality theorems

Theorem (cdh comparison) Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Take $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. Then for U smooth and quasi-projective, the natural map

$$h_i(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(U) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(U, X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem (cdh duality) Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Take $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, $U \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ of dimension n . There is a canonical isomorphism

$$A_{r,i}(Y \times U, X) \rightarrow A_{r+n,i}(Y, X \times U).$$

To prove the cdh comparison theorem, first use the cdh-Nis-Zar theorem to identify

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{-i}(U, C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{\text{cdh}}^{-i}(U, C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))) =: A_{r,i}(U, X)$$

Next, if V_1, V_2 are Zariski open in U , use the geometric duality theorem to identify the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{aligned} C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(V_1 \cup V_2) &\rightarrow C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(V_1) \oplus C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(V_2) \\ &\rightarrow C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))(V_1 \cap V_2) \end{aligned}$$

with what you get by applying $C_*(-)(\text{Spec } k)$ to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times (V_1 \cup V_2)) &\rightarrow z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times V_1) \oplus z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times V_2) \\ &\rightarrow z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times (V_1 \cap V_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$d = \dim U$.

But this presheaf sequence is exact, and $\text{coker}_{\text{cdh}} = 0$. The cdh-acyclicity theorem thus gives us the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} C_*(z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times (V_1 \cup V_2)))_{\text{Zar}} \\ \rightarrow C_*(z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times V_1))_{\text{Zar}} \oplus C_*(z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times V_2))_{\text{Zar}} \\ \rightarrow C_*(z_{r+d}^{\text{equi}}(X \times (V_1 \cap V_2)))_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at $\text{Spec } k$, we find that our original Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))$ was in fact a distinguished triangle.

The Mayer-Vietoris property for $C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))$ then formally implies that

$$h_i(C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X)))(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{-i}(U, C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))) = A_{r,i}(U, X)$$

is an isomorphism.

The proof of the cdh-duality theorem is similar, using the geometric duality theorem.

cdh-descent theorem

Theorem (cdh-descent) Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Take $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$.

(1) Let $U \cup V = X$ be a Zariski open cover of $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$. There is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, U \cap V) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, U) \oplus A_{r,i}(Y, V) \\ \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, X) \rightarrow A_{r,i-1}(Y, U \cap V) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset. There is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, Z) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, X) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, X \setminus Z) \rightarrow A_{r,i-1}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \dots$$

(3) Let $p \amalg i : X' \amalg F \rightarrow X$ be an abstract blow-up. There is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, p^{-1}(F)) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, X') \oplus A_{r,i}(Y, F) \\ \rightarrow A_{r,i}(Y, X) \rightarrow A_{r,i-1}(Y, p^{-1}(F)) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For (1) and (3), the analogous properties are obvious in the “first variable”, so the theorem follows from duality.

For (2), the presheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow z_r^{\text{equi}}(Z) \rightarrow z_r^{\text{equi}}(X) \rightarrow z_r^{\text{equi}}(X \setminus U)$$

is exact and $\text{coker}_{\text{cdh}} = 0$. The cdh-acyclicity theorem says that applying $C_*(-)_{\text{cdh}}$ to the above sequence yields a distinguished triangle.

Localization for M_{gm}^c

Continuing the argument for (2), the cdh-Nis-Zar theorem shows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(Z))_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X))_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow C_*(z_r^{\text{equi}}(X \setminus U))_{\text{Nis}}$$

canonically defines a distinguished triangle in $DM_-^{\text{eff}}(k)$. Taking $r = 0$ gives

Theorem (Localization) *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion in Sch_k with complement $j : U \rightarrow X$. Then there is a canonical distinguished triangle in $DM_-^{\text{eff}}(k)$*

$$M_{\text{gm}}^c(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} M_{\text{gm}}^c(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} M_{\text{gm}}^c(U) \rightarrow M_{\text{gm}}^c(Z)[1]$$

Corollary *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. For each $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, $M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(X)$ is in $DM_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k) \subset DM_-^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\dim X$. First assume $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. By resolution of singularities, we can find a smooth projective \bar{X} containing X as a dense open subscheme. Since the complement $D := \bar{X} \setminus X$ has $\dim D < \dim X$, $M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(D)$ is in $DM_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$.

$M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(\bar{X}) = M_{\mathrm{gm}}(\bar{X})$ since $\bar{X} \rightarrow k$ is proper. The localization distinguished triangle shows $M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(X)$ is in $DM_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$.

For arbitrary X , take a stratification X_* of X by closed subschemes with $X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ smooth. The localization triangle and the case of smooth X gives the result.

A computation

Proposition $M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(\mathbb{A}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$

Proof. For Z projective $M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(Z) = M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Z)$. The localization sequence gives the distinguished triangle

$$M_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{P}^n) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(\mathbb{A}^n) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})[1]$$

Then use the projective bundle formula:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{P}^n) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z}(i)[2i] \\ M_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}(i)[2i]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (Duality) For $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, $n = \dim Y$ we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_{r+n}(X \times Y, i) \cong A_{r,i}(Y, X)$$

Proof. For $U \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, quasi-projective, we have the quasi-isomorphisms

$$C_*(z_{r+n}^{\mathrm{equi}}(X \times U))(\mathrm{Spec} k) = z_{r+n}^{\mathrm{equi}}(X \times U, *) \rightarrow z_{r+n}(X \times U, *)$$

$$C_*(z_r^{\mathrm{equi}}(U, X))(\mathrm{Spec} k) \rightarrow C_*(z_{r+n}^{\mathrm{equi}}(X \times U))(\mathrm{Spec} k)$$

and the isomorphisms

$$A_{r,i}(U, X) \rightarrow A_{r+n,i}(\mathrm{Spec} k, X \times U) \leftarrow h_i(z_{r+n}^{\mathrm{equi}}(X \times U))(\mathrm{Spec} k)$$

This gives the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_{r+n}(X \times U, i) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(U, X).$$

One checks this map is natural with respect to the localization sequences for $\mathrm{CH}_{r+n}(X \times -, i)$ and $A_{r,i}(-, X)$.

Given $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, there is a filtration by closed subsets

$$\emptyset = Y_{-1} \subset Y_0 \subset \dots \subset Y_m = Y$$

with $Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1} \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and quasi-projective (k is perfect), so this extends the result from $U \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, quasi-projective, to $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$.

Corollary Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. For $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ we have

(1) **(homotopy)** The projection $p : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $p^* : A_{r,i}(Y, X) \rightarrow A_{r+1,i}(Y, X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$.

(2) **(suspension)** The maps $i_0 : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $p : X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ induce an isomorphism

$$A_{r,i}(Y, X) \oplus A_{r-1,i}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{i_* + p^*} A_{r,i}(Y, X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$$

(3) **(cosuspension)** There is a canonical isomorphism

$$A_{r,i}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, X) \cong A_{r,i}(Y, X) \oplus A_{r+1,i}(Y, X)$$

(4) **(localization)** Let $i : Z \rightarrow U$ be a codimension n closed embedding in \mathbf{Sm}/k . Then there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow A_{r+n,i}(Z, X) \rightarrow A_{r,i}(U, X) &\xrightarrow{j^*} A_{r,i}(U \setminus Z, X) \\ &\rightarrow A_{r+n,i-1}(Z, X) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These all follow from the corresponding properties of $\mathrm{CH}^*(-, *)$ and the duality corollary:

(1) from homotopy

(2) and (3) from the projective bundle formula

(4) from the localization sequence.

Morphisms and cycles

We describe how morphisms in $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ can be realized as algebraic cycles.

We assume throughout that k admits resolution of singularities.

Bivariant cycle cohomology reappears The cdh-acyclicity theorem relates the bivariant cycle cohomology (and hence higher Chow groups) with the morphisms in $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$.

Theorem *For $X, Y \in \text{Sch}_k$ $r \geq 0$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(M_{\text{gm}}(Y)(r)[2r + i], M_{\text{gm}}^c(X)) \cong A_{r,i}(Y, X).$$

Proof. First use cdh hypercovers to reduce to $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$.

For $r = 0$, the embedding theorem and localization theorem, together with the cdh-Nis-Zar theorem gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)}(C_*(Y)[i], C_*^c(X)) &\cong \mathbb{H}^{-i}(Y_{\mathrm{Nis}}, C_*(z_0^{\mathrm{equi}}(X))_{\mathrm{Nis}}) \\ &\cong \mathbb{H}^{-i}(Y_{\mathrm{cdh}}, C_*(z_0^{\mathrm{equi}}(X))_{\mathrm{cdh}}) = A_{0,i}(Y, X). \end{aligned}$$

To go to $r > 0$, use the case $r = 0$ for $Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^r$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)}(C_*(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^r)[i], C_*^c(X)) \cong A_{0,i}(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^r, X).$$

By the cosuspension isomorphism $A_{r,i}(Y, X)$ is a summand of $A_{0,i}(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^r, X)$; by the definition of $\mathbb{Z}(1)$, $M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Y)(r)[2r]$ is a summand of $M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^r)$. One checks the two summands match up.

Effective Chow motives

Corollary Sending a smooth projective variety X of dimension n to $M_{\text{gm}}(X)$ extends to a full embedding $i : CHM^{\text{eff}}(k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$, $CHM^{\text{eff}}(k) :=$ effective Chow motives,

$$i(\mathfrak{h}(X)(-r)) = M_{\text{gm}}(X)(r)$$

Proof. For X and Y smooth and projective

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(M_{\text{gm}}(Y), M_{\text{gm}}(X)) &= A_{0,0}(Y, X) \\ &\cong A_{\dim Y, 0}(\text{Spec } k, Y \times X) \\ &\cong \text{CH}_{\dim Y}(Y \times X) \\ &\cong \text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times Y) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{CHM^{\text{eff}}(k)}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

One checks that sending $a \in \mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times Y)$ to the corresponding map

$$[{}^t a] : M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Y) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{gm}}(X)$$

satisfies $[{}^t(b \circ a)] = [{}^t a] \circ [{}^t b]$.

The Chow ring reappears

Corollary For $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, equi-dimensional over k , $i \geq 0$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{CH}^i(Y, j) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)}(M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Y), \mathbb{Z}(i)[2i - j])$. That is

$$\mathrm{CH}^i(Y, j) \cong H^{2i-j}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i)).$$

Take $i \geq 0$. Then $M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(\mathbb{A}^i) \cong \mathbb{Z}(i)[2i]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2i-j}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)}(M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Y)[j], M_{\mathrm{gm}}^c(\mathbb{A}^i)) \\ &\cong A_{0,j}(Y, \mathbb{A}^i) \\ &\cong A_{\dim Y, j}(\mathrm{Spec} k, Y \times \mathbb{A}^i) \\ &= \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y}(Y \times \mathbb{A}^i, j) \\ &= \mathrm{CH}^i(Y \times \mathbb{A}^i, j) \\ &\cong \mathrm{CH}^i(Y, j) \end{aligned}$$

Remark Combining the Chern character isomorphism

$$ch : K_j(Y)^{(i)} \cong CH^i(Y, j)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

(for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$) with our isomorphism $CH^i(Y, j) \cong H^{2i-j}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i))$ identifies rational motivic cohomology with weight-graded K -theory:

$$H^{2i-j}(Y, \mathbb{Q}(i)) \cong K_j(Y)^{(i)}.$$

Thus motivic cohomology gives an integral version of weight-graded K -theory, in accordance with conjectures of Beilinson on mixed motives.

Corollary (cancellation) For $A, B \in DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ the map

$$- \otimes \text{id} : \text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A(1), B(1))$$

is an isomorphism. Thus

$$DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k) \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$$

is a full embedding.

Corollary For $Y \in \text{Sch}_k$, $n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i)) := \text{Hom}_{DM_{\text{gm}}(k)}(M_{\text{gm}}(Y), \mathbb{Z}(i)[n]).$$

Then $H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i)) = 0$ for $i < 0$ and for $n > 2i$.

Corollary *The full embedding $CHM^{\text{eff}}(k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ extends to a full embedding*

$$M_{\text{gm}} : CHM(k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}(k).$$

Proof of the cancellation theorem.

The Gysin distinguished triangle for M_{gm} shows that $DM_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ is generated by $M_{\text{gm}}(X)$, X smooth and projective. So, we may assume $A = M_{\text{gm}}(Y)[i]$, $B = M_{\text{gm}}(X)$, X and Y smooth and projective, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $M_{\text{gm}}(X) = M_{\text{gm}}^c(X)$ and $M_{\text{gm}}(X)(1)[2] = M_{\text{gm}}^c(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$.
Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(M_{\text{gm}}(Y)(1)[i], M_{\text{gm}}(X)(1)) &\cong A_{1,i}(Y, X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \\ &\cong A_{0,i}(Y, X) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(M_{\text{gm}}(Y)[i], M_{\text{gm}}(X)) \end{aligned}$$

For the second corollary, supposes $i < 0$. Cancellation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^{2i-j}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i)) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)}(M_{\mathrm{gm}}(Y)(-i)[j-2i], \mathbb{Z}) \\
 &= A_{-i,j}(Y, \mathrm{Spec} k) \\
 &= A_{\dim Y - i, j}(\mathrm{Spec} k, Y) \\
 &= H^{-j}(C_*(z_{\dim Y - i}^{\mathrm{equi}}(Y))(\mathrm{Spec} k)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim Y - i > \dim Y$, $z_{\dim Y - i}^{\mathrm{equi}}(Y) = 0$.

If $i \geq 0$ but $n > 2i$, then $H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}(i)) = \mathrm{CH}^i(Y, 2i - n) = 0$.

Duality

We describe the duality involution

$$^* : DM_{\text{gm}}(k) \rightarrow DM_{\text{gm}}(k)^{\text{op}},$$

assuming k admits resolution of singularities.

A reduction

Proposition *Let \mathcal{D} be a tensor triangulated category, \mathcal{S} a subset of the objects of \mathcal{D} . Suppose*

- 1. Each $M \in \mathcal{S}$ has a dual M^* .*
- 2. \mathcal{D} is equal to the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} containing \mathcal{S} and closed under isomorphisms in \mathcal{D} .*

Then each object in \mathcal{D} has a dual, i.e. \mathcal{D} is a rigid tensor triangulated category.

Idea of proof For $M \in \mathcal{S}$, we have the unit and trace

$$\delta_M : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow M^* \otimes M, \quad \epsilon_M : M \otimes M^* \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

satisfying

$$(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \delta) = \text{id}_M, \quad (\text{id}_{M^*} \otimes \epsilon) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{id}_{M^*}) = \text{id}_{M^*}$$

Show that, if you have such δ, ϵ for M_1, M_2 in a distinguished triangle

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{a} M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow M_1[1]$$

you can construct δ_3, ϵ_3 with M_3^* fitting in a distinguished triangle

$$M_3^* \rightarrow M_2^* \xrightarrow{a^*} M_1^* \rightarrow M_3^*[1]$$

Duality for X projective

Proposition For $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M_{\mathrm{gm}}(X)(r) \in DM_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)$ has a dual $(M_{\mathrm{gm}}(X)(r))^*$.

We use the full embedding $CHM(k)^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow DM_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)$ sending $\mathfrak{h}(X)(-r)$ to $M_{\mathrm{gm}}(X)(r)$, and the fact that $\mathfrak{h}(X)(-r)$ has a dual in $CHM(k)$.

Proposition *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Then $DM_{gm}(k)$ is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $DM_{gm}(k)$ containing the $M_{gm}(Y)(r)$ for $Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and closed under isomorphisms in $DM_{gm}(k)$.*

Proof. Take $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. By resolution of singularities, there is a smooth projective \bar{X} containing X as a dense open subscheme, such that $D := \bar{X} - X$ is a strict normal crossing divisor:

$$D = \cup_{i=1}^m D_i$$

with each D_i smooth codimension one on \bar{X} and each intersection: $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$

$$D_I := D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_r}$$

is smooth of codimension r .

Then \bar{X} and each $D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_r}$ is in \mathbf{SmProj}/k . So $M_{\text{gm}} = M_{\text{gm}}^c$ for all these.

The Gysin triangle for $W \subset Y$ both smooth, $n = \text{codim}_Y W$,

$$M_{\text{gm}}(Y \setminus W) \rightarrow M_{\text{gm}}(Y) \rightarrow M_{\text{gm}}(W)(n)[2n] \rightarrow M_{\text{gm}}(Y \setminus W)[1],$$

and induction on $\dim X$ and descending induction on r shows that

$$M_{\text{gm}}(\bar{X} \setminus \cup_{|I|=r} D_I)$$

is in the category generated by the $M_{\text{gm}}(Y)(r)$, $Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Then $DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$ is a rigid tensor triangulated category.*

Note. In fact, one can show that (after embedding in $DM_{-}^{\text{eff}}(k)$)

$$M_{\text{gm}}(X)^* = M_{\text{gm}}^c(X)(-d_X)[-2d_X]$$

The End

Thank you!