



SMR/1847-2

Summer School on Particle Physics

11 - 22 June 2007

LHC Accelerators and Experiments (part I)

Marzio Nessi CERN, Switzerland

LHC Accelerators and Experiments (part I)

ICTP Marzio Nessi Trieste, 11-13th June 2007



ICTP-2007 The LHC project

- Part I : motivation, the LHC accelerator
- Part II : experimental goals, ATLAS and CMS detectors
- Part III : LHCb and Alice experiments, luminosity measurements, early discovery potential
- These will be a set of experimental lectures, with the goal of giving you an impression of the complexity and challenges of this project
- My deep involvement in the design and construction of the ATLAS detector will bias me towards it as a show case ... sorry!

Table of Content (Part I)

- ✓ The TeV region
- ✓ The LHC program
- Motivation
- ✓ Challenge
- ✓ The LHC accelerator
- ✓ LHC machine construction status
- ✓ LHC machine start up / commissioning plans

The TeV scale

- ✓ Standard Model in perfect agreement with all *confirmed* accelerator data
- Consistency with precision electroweak data (LEP et al) only if there is a Higgs boson
- ✓ Agreement seems to require a relatively light Higgs boson
- Raises many unanswered questions: mass? flavour? unification?

We expect something at the TeV scale:

SM Higgs mass is highly restricted by requirements of theoretical consistency

If SM valid up to Planck scale, only a small range of allowed Higgs masses!

The upper limit for m_H is obtained by requiring that no Landau pole occurs below L $_1$



 $\lambda(\Lambda) > 0$ needed for the vacuum to be stable (i.e.for a state of minimum energy to exist)

$$\begin{split} m_{\rm H} &\leq 180 \; {\rm GeV} \; {\rm for} \; \Lambda \thicksim {\rm M}_{\rm GUT} \\ m_{\rm H} &\leq 600 - 800 \; {\rm GeV} \; {\rm for} \; \Lambda \thicksim {\rm O}({\rm TeV}) \end{split}$$

Accelerators technology



mid '80 the question was raised on how to reach collision constituents energies at the TeV scale

e-e+ colliders with LEPII have reached the limit of their possibilities (Synchrotron radiation) :

 $E_{loss} / turn (KeV) = 88.5 * E^4 (GeV) / R(m)$

~ 0.3 GeV at LEPII (100 GeV) impossible at 1TeV

TeV linear colliders are technologically not ready (ILC & CLIC R&D few years still to go)

TeV muon colliders are still an option, beam intensities and beam lifetime a challenge

Accelerators technology



Hadron colliders are a natural choice for an exploration machine at the TeV scale. It just requires bending magnets working at high B field value

4-5 Tesla technology became available at the Tevatron ('87) and later at HERA. 8-9 Tesla are technologically possible going to superfluid Helium (II): 4.5K to 1.9K

The LEP tunnel was the natural choice. SSC was proposed in the US and then abandoned.

At 7 TeV in the LEP tunnel the energy loss per turn, per p is marginal (8 KeV), but now this energy will go into the superconducting magnets and might become a problem (KW which might quench the magnets or create beam instabilities)

TeV production rates



Whatever accelerator might cover the TeV scale, it must produce a high enough event rate to be statistically significant

 $N_{rate} = \sigma * Luminosity * BR$

Higgs showcase: m=500 GeV, $\sigma \sim pb$, BR(to 4 μ)~10⁻³

N~few/day -> L=10³⁴ cm⁻² sec⁻¹

Beam Energy needed to produce new massive particles such as the Higgs boson --> **TeV**

Beam Intensity needed because some of the processes that one would like to study are very rare (e.g. small $\sigma \cdot B$ for decay modes visible above background) --> $L=10^{34}$ cm⁻² sec⁻¹

TeV production rates



	Alreauy a	$al L=10^{-5}$	
Process	Events/s	Events/year	present facilities (total statistics)
W→ ev Z→ ee $t\bar{t}$ $b\bar{b}$ $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ (m=1 TeV)	15 1.5 0.8 10 ⁵ 0.001	10 ⁸ 10 ⁷ 10 ⁷ 10 ¹² 10 ⁴	0 ⁴ LEP / 10 ⁷ Tev 10 ⁷ LEP 10 ⁵ Tevatron 10 ⁸ Belle/BaBar
H (m=0.8 TeV)	0.001	10 ⁴	
QCD jets p _T > 200 GeV	10 ²	109	107

 $\Lambda l_{\mu \sigma \sigma} d_{\nu} \sigma t = 1.033$

LHC will be a production factory for all known physics, with 3-5 orders of magnitude more rate than in previous facilities

For new physics the mass scale it will cover, might reach 4-5 TeV, if enough integrated luminosity will be collected > 100 fb^{-1}

LHC physics mission

- Discover or exclude the Higgs in the mass region up to 1 TeV. Measure the Higgs properties
- ✓ Discover Supersymmetric particles, if existing, up to 2-3 TeV mass
- ✓ Discover Extra Space Dimensions at the TeV scale, explore black holes
- Search for new phenomena (strong EWSB, new gauge bosons, Little Higgs model, Split Supersymmetry, Compositness,...)
- ✓ Study CP violation in the B sector, high statistics B Physics
- \checkmark Precision measurements on m_{top} , m_{W} , anomalous couplings,...
- ✓ Study new super relativistic Heavy Ions Collision, look for quark gluon plasma
- ✓ QCD and diffractive forward physics in a new regime

Explore new physics at a new energy frontier



The LHC collider

p (7 TeV) + p (7 TeV)

1982 : First studies for the LHC project 1983 : Z0 detected at SPS proton antiproton collider 1989 : Start of LEP operation 1994 : Approval of the LHC by the **CERN** Council 1996 : Final decision construction 1996 : LEP operation at 100 GeV 2000 : End of LEP operation 2002 : LEP equipment removed 2003 : Start of the LHC installation 2005 : Start hardware commissioning 2007 : End of the installation effort

2008 : Commissioning with beams at 7 TeV

The LHC collider (I)



The LHC collider (II)



The LHC collider (III)



The LHC collider basic layout



Beam transport

How to get protons on a circle ? dipole magnets (B vertical)

How to get the final energy? RF cavities (E Field)

Why to focus the beams ?

 Particles with different injection parameters (angle, position) separate over time

Assuming an angle difference of 10⁻⁶ rad, two particles would separate by 1 m after 10⁶ m. At the LHC, with a length of 26860 m, this would be the case after 50 turns (5 ms !)

Particles would "drop" due to gravitation

✓ The beam size must be well controlled

At the collision point the beam size must be tiny to maximize luminosity

✓ Particles with (slightly) different energies should stay together

Beam transport (LHC arcs)



- Dipole- and Quadrupole magnets
 - Particle trajectory stable for particles with nominal momentum
- ✓ Sextupole magnets
 - To correct the trajectories for off-momentum particles
 - Particle trajectories stable for small amplitudes (about 10 mm)
- Multipole-corrector magnets
 - Sextupole and decapole corrector magnets at end of dipoles
 - Particle trajectories can become unstable after many turns (even after 10⁶ turns)

The cryodipoles





Niobium Titanium Rutherford cable



Total superconducting cable required 1200 tons which translate to about 7600 km of cable

The cable is made up of strands which are made out of filaments. The total length of filaments would allow to go 5 times to the sun and back with enough length left over for a few trips to the moon

The cryoplant

The LHC dipoles use niobium-titanium (NbTi) cables, which become superconducting below a temperature of 10 K (-263.2 °C). In fact the LHC will operate at the still lower temperature of 1.9 K. A current of 11 700 A flows in the dipoles, to create the high magnetic field of 8.3 T.

At atmospheric pressure helium gas liquefies at around 4.2 K (-269°C), but when it is cooled further it undergoes a second phase change at about 2.17 K (-271°C) to its 'superfluid' state. Among many remarkable properties, superfluid helium has a very high thermal conductivity.

 sector
 ✓ 120 MW of installed electrical power
 ✓ 144 KW of refrigeration power (He)
 ✓ large cryoplant (He, N₂)
 ✓ 1260 tons of N₂ to cool down a sector

✓ ~12 tons of liquid He /



The vacuum plant

 ✓ requirement < 10¹⁵ H₂/m³ for 100h beam lifetime

 over 27 km
 large amount of isolation vacuum
 6500 m³

The cold bore tubes of the dipole magnets are seamless non-magnetic austenitic steel tubes 15.6 m long. The insulated cold bore tubes are placed in the aperture of the coils and form part of the inner wall of the helium vessel that contains the active part of the magnet.

Permanent pumping is done with a limited number of sputter ion pumps (every 28m) and NEG (TiZrV) coating

The vacuum lifetime is dominated by the nuclear scattering of protons on the on the residual gas



The focusing magnets (392 quadrupoles)



Alternate Gradient Focusing











Nominal current: 11,870 A (corresponds to a field gradient of 223 T/m)

M.Nessi - CERN

The RF accelerating cavities (8 pieces)

The main role of the LHC cavities is to keep the 2808 proton bunches tightly bunched to ensure high luminosity at the collision points. They also deliver radiofrequency (RF) power to the beam during acceleration to the top energy. Superconducting cavities with small energy losses and large stored energy are the best solution. The LHC uses eight cavities per beam, each delivering 2 MV (an accelerating field of 5 MV/m) at 400 MHz. The cavities will operate at 4.5 K. They are grouped in fours in cryomodules, with two cryomodules per beam, and installed in a long straight section of the machine where the interbeam distance is increased from the normal 195 mm to 420 mm

 $\vec{\mathbf{B}}(t)$ orthogonal





LHC collides bunches with a crossing angle

To avoid unwanted parasitic encounters, the LHC beams cross at an angle of 300 microradian (full angle). The spacing between two bunches is 25 ns. Before the two beams enter separate beam pipes, they travel in the same vacuum chamber where parasitic 'long range' collisions can occur



M.Nessi - CERN

Beam size at IP 16 µm, in arcs about 0.3 mm



Interaction Region quadrupoles with gradient of 250 T/m and 70 mm aperture

Production rate proportional to Luminosity



Beams : moving charges

- A beam is a collection of charges
- ✓ It represents an electromagnetic potential for other charges
 - \rightarrow Forces on itself (space charges) and on opposing beam (beam-beam effects)
 - \rightarrow Main limit for present and future colliders
 - \rightarrow Important for high density and small beams = high luminosity
 - \rightarrow Beam induced quenches (when 10⁻⁷ of beam hits magnet at 7 TeV)



Beam - Beam Lens

Beams structure

 ✓ bunch filling not continuous
 ✓ holes for injection, extraction and dump
 ✓ 2808 of 3564 possible bunches -> 1756 holes
 ✓ holes meet holes at the IP
 ✓ but not always ... the one misses some long range interaction (PACMAN bunches)



LHC parameters

Beam energy:	7 TeV
Iimited by maximum dipole field	
extension by factor 7 beyond TEVATRON	
Bunch intensity:	1.15 × 10 ¹¹ p
Imited by beam-beam effects	
Normalized emittance:	3.75 μm
Iimited by injectors and main dipole aperture (keep smaller or equal)	
Beam size at IP ($\beta^* = 0.5$ m):	16 μm
Iimited by triplet magnet aperture	
Crossing angle:	300 µrad
Imited by triplet magnet aperture	
Number of bunches:	2808
Imited by stored beam energy	
→ extension in stored energy by factor ~200	
Nominal luminosity:	10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹
extension by factor 100 beyond TEVATRO	N

Stored beam energy



Beam stored energy (350 MJ/beam)



British aircraft carrier at 12 knots

Electron clouds

Synchrotron radiation from proton bunches creates photoelectrons at the beam screen wall. These photoelectrons are pulled toward the positively charged proton bunch. When they hit the opposite wall, they generate secondary electrons which can in turn be accelerated by the next bunch.

Depending on several assumptions about surface reflectivity, photoelectron and secondary electron yield, this mechanism can lead to the fast build-up of an electron cloud with potential implications for beam stability and heat load on the beam screen.



Electron clouds strategy



1) warm sections (20% of circumference) **coated by** <u>**TiZrV getter**</u> developed at CERN; low secondary emission; if cloud occurs, ionization by electrons (high cross section ~400 Mbarn) aids in pumping & pressure will even improve

2) outer wall of beam screen (at 4-20 K, inside 1.9-K cold bore) will have a **sawtooth surface** (30 mm over 500 mm) to reduce photon reflectivity to ~2% so that photoelectrons are only emitted from outer wall & confined by dipole field

3) pumping slots in beam screen are **shielded** to prevent electron impact on cold magnet bore

4) rely on *surface conditioning* ('scrubbing'); commissioning strategy; as a last resort doubling or tripling bunch spacing suppresses e-cloud heat load



Beam protection (IR3 and IR7)







Beam protection

A tiny fraction of the beam is sufficient to quench a magnet

Very efficient beam cleaning is required

- Sophisticated beam cleaning with about 50 collimators, each with two jaws, in total about 90 collimators and beam absorbers
- Collimators are close to the beam (full gap as small as 2.2 mm, for 7 TeV with fully squeezed beams), particles will always touch collimators

first !

Designed for maximum robustness:

Advanced Carbon Composite material for the jaws with water cooling!



Many successes



Dipoles constructionsome problems....

- In January 2002, declared a case of "force majeure", flooding of the premises of a subcontractor.
 Billet assembly dropped to half speed, concerdrating on inner cable on CERN's demand. This desulted in no delivery of outer cable from the months June August. The situation will now improve.
- delivery of outer cable from in the months June – August. The situation will now improve.
 In June, the cabling machine at had a major breakdown will machine is being repaired and should become fully operational early November. A second cabling machine is being manufactured and will become fully operational early next year. In the meantime we are accumulating strands.





- Coil production and collaring is now fully industrial.
 Additional winding and curing tooling is being commissioned.
- Production startup for cold mass a sembly has been slower than foreseen (compressioning of automatic welding presses, training of additional staff ~50 per firm, motivation
- Production will now ramp up in all 3 firms.
 - ~40 dipoles by end of year
- Nessi CER

Dipoles installation





Transport in the tunnel with an optical guided vehicle, about 1600 magnets transported for up to 20 km at 3 km/hour

Transfer on jacks





Dipoles interconnection



Preparation of interconnect

Vacuum, bellows, RF contacts plus leak checks Cryogenics, thermal shield, heat exchanger Bus bars

superconducting splices x 10,000 (induction welding)
 Corrector circuits

splices x 50,000 (ultrasonic welding)



Interconnection of beam tubes

Electrical tests



Transfer line tests (October 2004)

LHC Transfer Line TI 8

First beam test 23 October 2004



Low beta triplets (today on the critical path)





ogress ard Accelerator Technology Department

Accelerator

Technology

Department





LHC Progress

Dashboard

Insertion quadrupole cold masses







Dipole cold masses





Cryogenics transfer lines



First sector cooldown (April 2007)



Main control room operational (CCC)

The LHC installation schedule (last 15 months)

The LHC installation schedule (last 15 months)

The LHC installation schedule (new draft)

Staged commissioning plan for 7TeV

Commissioning with beams

		Total [days]	
1	Injection and first turn	6	
2	Circulating beam	3	
3	450 GeV - initial	5	
4	450 GeV - detailed	12	
5	450 GeV - two beams	2	
6	Snapback - single beam	4	Given reasonable
7	Ramp - single beam	8	machine availability
8	Ramp - both beams	3	Tal collisions in
9	7 TeV - setup for physics	2	around 2 months
10	Physics un-squeezed	_	
	TOTAL to first collisions	45	
11	Commission squeeze	6	
12	Increase Intensity	6	
13	Set-up physics - partially squeezed.	2	RHIC 2000:
14	Pilot physics run	30	- First beam April 3 rd
			- First collisions June 12th

A typical LHC year

Daily operational cycle

Heavy lons beam	IS	Luminosity
✓ energy:		Annual integ
◦ E _{beam} = 7 x Z/A [TeV] :	=> √s = 5.5 TeV/A	CM Energy
	or 1.14 PeV (Pb-Pb	$\sigma_{\text{inelastic}}$
✓ beams:		Interactions/
o possible combinations: p	op, pA, AA	Tracks/unit
~ 4 weeks/year(10 ⁶ s ef	fective); typically	Beam diame
after pp running (like at	SPS)	Bunch lengt
 1 dedicate detector ALICE 	Parameter	
+ ATLAS and CMS	Initial Luminosity	
✓ luminosity:	Energy/nucleon	
integrated luminosity	Number of bunches	
0.5 nb ⁻¹ /year (Pb-Pb)	Bunch spacing	
	b [*]	
	Transverse normalized emittances	rms
	Transverse rms beam s	ize
	Luminosity half-life wi	ith 2/3
	experiments	iui 2/3

			p-	•p	Pb-Pb
	Luminosity		10) ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻²	10 ²⁷ cm ⁻² s ⁻²
	Annual integrated L		5x1040 cm-2		
TeV/A	CM Energy		14 TeV		5.5 TeV/N
/ (Pb-Pb	$\sigma_{inelastic}$		~7	70mb	~6.5b
	Interactions/bunch		~2	20	0.001
	Tracks/unit ra	apidity	pidity ~140		3000-8000
pically	Beam diamet	er	20)μm	20µm
	Bunch length	ı 75r		ōmm	75mm
		unit		nominal operation	early operation
inosity		cm ⁻² s ⁻¹		1027	5 x 10 ²⁵
cleon		TeV/u		2.76	2.76
bunches				592	60
cing		ns		100	
		m		0.5	1.0
normalized rms		μm		1.5	1.5
rms beam size		μm		16	16
v half-life with 2/3		hrs		4.7/3.1	9.4/6.2