





SMR/1882-7

Seismic Hazard in Asia

4 - 8 December 2006

Seismicity, seismic input and site effects in the Sahel— Algiers region (North Algeria)

G.F. Panza^{1, 2}

¹ Department of Earth Sciences University of Trieste

² ICTP SAND Group, Trieste





Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering I (IIII) III-III

www.elsevier.com/locate/soildyn

Seismicity, seismic input and site effects in the Sahel—Algiers region (North Algeria)

A. Harbi^{a,b,*}, S. Maouche^a, F. Vaccari^c, A. Aoudia^b, F. Oussadou^a, G.F. Panza^{b,c}, D. Benouar^d

^aCentre de Recherche en Astronomie, Astrophysique et Géophysique, BP. 63, Bouzaréah, 16340, Algiers, Algeria

^bThe Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Earth System Physics Section, Strada Costiera 11, 34014, Trieste, Italy

^cDipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Universita di Trieste, Via Weiss, 4, I-34127, Trieste, Italy

^dFaculty of Civil Engineering, Built Environment Research Laboratory, University of Bab Ezzouar (USTHB), BP 32 El-Alia/Bab Ezzouar, Algiers 16111, Algeria

Received 19 October 2005; received in revised form 30 September 2006; accepted 8 October 2006

Abstract

Algiers city is located in a seismogenic zone. To reduce the impact of seismic risk in this Capital city, a realistic modelling of the seismic ground motion (SGM) is conducted by using the hybrid method that combines the finite differences method and the modal summation. For this purpose, a complete database of geological, geophysical and earthquake data is constructed. A critical re-appraisal of the seismicity of the zone $[2.25^{\circ}E-3.50^{\circ}E, 36.50^{\circ}N-37.00^{\circ}N]$ is performed and an earthquake list, for the period 1359–2002, is compiled. The analysis of existing and newly retrieved macroseismic information allowed the definition of earthquake parameters of macroseismic events for which a degree of reliability is assigned. Geological cross sections have been built up to model the SGM in the city, caused by the 1989 Mont-Chenoua and the 1924 Douéra earthquakes. Synthetic seismograms and response spectral ratio is produced for Algiers, and they show that the soft sediments in Algiers centre are responsible of the noticed amplification of the SGM. \mathbb{C} 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Algiers; Earthquakes; Local geology; Site effects; Synthetic seismograms

1. Introduction

Algiers, the Capital of Algeria, stands up as a vast amphitheatre of hills and plateaus, the *Sahel Massif* where houses rise in tiers (Fig. 1), and is enclosed by the *Mitidja Plain*. It is the intellectual, social, political and economic centre of Algeria. Greater Algiers (the district, Fig. 2) which has a population of approximately four million, represents the most important concentration of investment, government institutions and population in the whole country. The population growth as well as its specific topography and the characteristics of its subsoil increase the vulnerability of this capital city. Algiers has always had to face up to seismic risk being located in a seismogenic

E-mail addresses: aharbi@ictp.it, a.harbi@craag.dz (A. Harbi).

zone and closely surrounded by important districts (Fig. 2) also seismogenic [1,2] and which experienced destructive earthquakes during recent times. After the strongest earthquake which occurred in 1716 causing the loss of 20,000 lives (the number of inhabitants was estimated at 100,000 at that time), Algiers was completely rebuilt. Many history books recount for the seismic risk in the city. Baraudon [3] described the dwellings of Algiers

as houses constructed with stones and whitewashed bricks; most of them are single-storeyed with a salient part sustained by flying buttress in wood. Many houses are shored up in order to preserve them from earthquakes which are quite frequent.

In recent years, disaster risks have increased due to overcrowding, faulty use of land planning and construction, inadequate infrastructure and services, and environmental degradation. The high population density, the

^{*}Corresponding author. CRAAG, BP. 63, Bouzaréah, 16340, Algiers, Algeria. Fax: +21321903267.

^{0267-7261/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.soildyn.2006.10.002

waterfront location, the acropolis (Casbah, the old city), the topography and the nature of the subsoil make it difficult to affect radical solutions to most of its problems. For all these reasons, it is of crucial interest to build-up a data bank blending information from historical seismicity, local geology along with geotechnical and tectonic data leading to a realistic modelling of the expected strong ground motion (SGM) in the Algiers area.



Algiers in the XVIII century /



Algiers now a days

Fig. 1. Algiers city, the arrow indicates the ancient city.

In order to carry out modelling of seismic ground motion (SGM) for such a vulnerable site as Algiers, we used the deterministic method developed by Fäh et al. [4] and Panza et al. [5] and whose mathematics are presented in [6]. The main advantage of this method of simulation, which has been widely used throughout the world [7], lies in the possibility of computing synthetic seismograms with no need to wait for a strong earthquake to occur and then to measure the SGM with an extremely dense set of accelerograms. The SGM can be computed from theoretical considerations which take into account the physical process generating the earthquakes and wave propagation in a realistic media. As a preliminary investigation, we reviewed the tectonics and seismicity of Algiers and surroundings [2°E-4°E, 36°N-37.75°N] and provided the state of the art at the scale of the plate-boundary zone within the Central part of Algeria covering a number of active Plio-Quaternary basins namely: Mitidia, Chellif, Soummam and Hodna (Fig. 3) [2]. In this study, we investigate a single basin, the Mitidja [2.25°E-3.50°E, 36.50°N-37.00°N], for which we re-assess the historical seismicity as far back in time as possible and focus on a single active structure, the Sahel anticline (Fig. 3). At the scale of the Sahel, extending over 80 km from Tipasa to Algiers [8], we construct the most valuable databank that would stand behind the most representative seismic input. In this paper, we proceed as follows: (1) a thorough and critical re-appraisal of past seismicity is performed, each seismic event is meticulously examined by confronting all available sources and the collecting of any available macroseismic information is carried out in order to characterize, at least, the most significant ones; (2) the collection of all available data concerning the shallow geology in terms of lithology, thickness and velocity, and the construction of cross-sections along which the ground motion is modelled; (3) using the developed databank, we compute a set of synthetic seismograms and provide therefore an example of seismic input; (4) we calculate



Fig. 2. The largest seismic events which occurred in recent times in the districts of Central Algeria.



Fig. 3. (a) Schematic distribution of the plio-quaternary tectonic structures in the basins of the Tellian Atlas [8]; and (b) synthetic geological map showing the plioquaternary deposits of the Algiers Sahel [56].

3

the response spectra ratio to assess site effects for the first time in the Algiers area.

2. Seismic history of Algiers area

A number of moderate to strong earthquakes that took place in the Algiers province during the last century have been subject to detailed studies. These earthquakes are: The Douéra-Ben Chaabane, 5 November 1924 ($M_s = 4.8 - I_0 = VIII$ MSK; [9]) revised by Benouar [10]); the Chenoua-Mount, 29 October 1989 ($M_s = 6.0 - I_0 = VIII$ MSK; [10–12]) and the Ain Benian, 4 September 1996 ($M_s = 5.3 - I_0 = VII$ MSK; [13,14]).

For historical seismicity, Ambraseys and Vogt [15] were the first to compile a starting catalogue for the Algiers province. Their compilation (Appendix A) is based on original documentary sources that allowed allocating a macroseismic epicentre for some of the events but without assigning any intensity damage. Oussadou [16] confirmed some known seismic events and found out others through Arabic history documents (Appendix A).

Here we provide a more elaborate research based on previous works and extend to additional documentary sources (history books and newspapers) that allow us to compile the most exhaustive possible list of the seismic events that struck Algiers city and its close surroundings. Practical reasons connected to the availability and type of sources led us to subdivide this list into two time windows: before and after 1900 (the number of sources increases from this date).

2.1. Pre-1900 period

For this period, the most recent Algerian catalogue [17], based essentially on [18,19] reported 16 seismic events. Taking into account all the available sources, we increased the number of compiled seismic events to 149. These data have been the subject of painstaking critic using the same method duly described in [20]. The used references are previous works, parametric catalogues and listings [15,17–19,21–24]. Additionally we use documentary sources to find out and crosscheck the seismic events of this period. These sources consist of: (1) historical documents reporting written accounts on some earthquakes [25-29]; (2) scientific reports (Reports of Academy of Sciences, Paris and the Annual Register of Earth Physics of Strasbourg); (3) press reports which significantly contributed to survival of macroseismic information during the French colonization (Le Moniteur Universel, Le Moniteur de l'Algérie, L'Atlas, Akhbar, Le Courrier de l'Algérie, La Vigie Algérienne, L'Algérie française, La Solidarité). It is worthwhile to note that, with the exception of the catalogue of Hée [22], that compiles seismic events in a continuous way from 1850 to 1899, all the other works report earthquakes sporadically. Besides, the structure of the existing catalogues and listings are different. Throughout the critical review of the compiled events, we

encountered some problems related to the determination of the parameters characterizing each seismic event. We think that it is quite hazardous to take into account the parameters reported in classical catalogues without a systematic appraisal of the reliability of the information. This would mislead any subsequent interpretation. The parameterization of historical seismic events, with a certain degree of reliability, is possible only on the basis of a wealth of macroseismic information and this is often rare. The deletion of the related earthquakes is neither suitable because of the importance of these data from the seismic hazard point of view. Thus, each event of our listing is classified according to the significance of macroseismic information. It is important to differentiate well-estimated from poorly estimated parameters of historical events since different users have to be aware of their accuracy. Earthquakes with abundant and fairly sufficient macroseismic information were classified as having quality I and quality II, respectively, quality III is attributed to earthquakes with very poor information or single observation; events with no information are quoted as doubtful events.

The comparison of the available sources enables us to correct some errors of localization (as that of Algiers earthquake of 1839) and to eliminate duplicates and mislocated events (occurred events located outside the study area). Even though the retrieved macroseismic information was not quite profuse to construct retrospectively the earthquakes of Algiers area, it allowed intensity assessment (following EMS-98 scale) for 10 earthquakes, epicentre estimation and re-estimation for 63 and 24 events, respectively, and drawing up of the intensity distribution map of one earthquake (Appendix B). Accordingly, we present in the section *case histories* the most significant events of Algiers area (most of them were not studied before) and some interesting remarks concerning particular cases. All the compiled and checked seismic events are listed in Appendix A.

2.2. Post 1900 period

This period was investigated by Benouar [10] who reported 139 seismic events within the studied region from 1902 to 1990 and by Mokrane et al. [17] who mentioned 48 earthquakes from 1911 to 1989. The compilation of data from all the existing catalogues, listings and previous works [9,10,13,17-19,22,30-39] as well as those provided by national and international centres and agencies allowed us to get 142 additional seismic events from 1901 to 1990 and to produce in all an earthquake list of 352 events from 1901 to 2002. In this work, we are interested in three aspects: (1) verification of seismic events reported only by one source and not taken into account in the most recent Algerian catalogues [10,17]; (2) use of the available macroseismic information, in form of questionnaires and press reports, in order to verify the reliability of (a) the parameters (location and magnitude) previously attributed to non-instrumental earthquakes; (b) the intensity and

related maps of events with intensity equal or above IV and to reconstruct the related events retrospectively or at least to assign the adequate grade of reliability; (3) verification of the validity of intensity equal or above than V MSK given in previous works for some events without indication attesting the damage caused by these earthquakes.

The destructive earthquakes of this period were studied by the authors cited above and summarized in [2]. However, the experience from the Algerian instrumental seismicity urges us to extend the earthquake catalogue to low-size magnitudes that seem to cluster in time and space before the occurrence of a larger event. As a result of the research performed on the retrieval of macroseismic information based on unpublished works, press reports (Dépêche Algérienne, Journal d'Alger, Dépêche Quotidienne, Echo d'Alger, Echo d'Oran, Dépêche de l'Est, Dépêche de Constantine, Dernière Heure, Alger Républicain, El Moud*jahid*) and guestionnaires, we estimate the macroseismic epicentre of 116 earthquakes and re-assess the epicentral intensity, using EMS scale, for 15 seismic events. Whenever possible, we draw up maps (14) in terms of isoseismals, intensity or area of perceptibility (Appendix B). From some of these maps, we re-estimate the macroseismic epicentre of 6 events. It is noteworthy that often with the available questionnaires, one can only estimate an interval of intensities since there is no differentiation between the weak effect and the strong one in these questionnaires.

In the compiled catalogue (pre and post 1900 periods), we differentiate the macroseismic epicentres (M in the

column of remarks) from the instrumental ones. The code *lc*, which we adopt whenever the macroseismic information is lacking or insufficient, corresponds to the coordinates of the locality where the earthquake was felt or caused the most significant effects. Regarding the non-instrumental seismic events, the catalogue gives an idea on the shaken places whatever the size of the earthquake: Algiers (usually strongly felt at Bab El Oued and Mustapha), Bouzaréah, Boufarik, Alma (now Boudouaou, very close to Boumerdes) and Castiglione (now Bousmail, in the vicinity of Tipasa). Until now, no large earthquake took place in these localities and a strong one has to be expected.

The catalogue we obtained for the Algiers area encompasses 17 earthquakes which induced effects greater or equal to V EMS; we consider here only the seismic events for which the intensity was estimated on the basis of reliable macroseismic information. All the seismic events contained in the catalogue are plotted in Fig. 4 which emphasizes the seismic potential of the Algiers area particularly its offshore part.

2.3. Case histories

2.3.1. 3 March 1359, Algiers

The first ever known historical earthquake that affected Northern Algeria, is dated January 3, 1365 [15]. We found out in the book "General history of Algeria" by Al Djillali [27] evidences of a strong earthquake which occurred on



Fig. 4. The spatial distribution of earthquakes (only main seismic events, doubtful events are not plotted) from 1359 to 2002 (square: macroseismic location, circle: instrumental location, u for unknown magnitude or intensity). The most studied earthquakes are pointed up.

March 3, 1359. Al Djillali [27] in his book (p. 181, vol. 2) reported the following:

a very strong earthquake destroyed the city of Algiers on 3 Rabie Al Tani 760 AH (Hegira)/3 March 1359 AD.

2.3.2. 3 January 1365, Algiers

The macroseismic information of this earthquake is summarized in [15]. However, it is important to report some additional details cited in the history books we consulted. Al Djillali [27] reported

the collapse of houses and Palaces of the city, desolation and destruction, and a large number of people killed under the ruins. In Delphin [26] who translated the chronicle of Abdallah Mohammed Ben El Hadj Youssef Echouihat reported that

Algiers was devastated once by the ants and another time by the plague. El Brechki relates that it was destroyed by an earthquake in 766 (inc. 23 September 1364). It was felt in the night of 10 Rebia El Tani 766 (4 January 1365) after the prayer of sunset. [...] El Brechki reports: "In the night of the occurrence of this earthquake, I was in a house located in Haret El Djenane, near Bab El Oued. I was a witness to terrifying things nobody related before me. I heard a woman questioned on the danger she incurred and she replied: I was with my sister bearing my daughter and I run in the house from place to place when a part of it collapsed". [...] I (El Brechki) also remembered the woman who escaped with her child during the deluge. The water still rose. Both were submerged by the flood. I was reported that an educated man, trustworthy counted 496 shocks occurring this night.

The time origin of this seismic event is still surrounded by controversy. The 23 September 1364 cited above by El Brechki corresponds (according to available programs of calendars conversion) to 25 Dhu El Hijja 1364 while 10 Rabia Al Tani corresponds to 3 January 1365 and not to 4 January 1365 as stated by Delphin [26]. The contemporary accounts mentioned that the event occurred after the prayer of sunset (called *Maghrib*) then before the last prayer (called *Ishaa*) and at that time maghrib time was at about 17 h and ishaa time at about 18 h 10 min (local time) therefore the time given in [15] (19 h) may be just approximate.

The available information [15,26,27] suggests an intensity damage of X (EMS) for this seismic event which seems to have occurred either on the coast or off Algiers.

2.3.3. 23 December 1585, Algiers

This seismic event, not cited in previous works, is mentioned in [26] as an earthquake occurring in Algiers in 994 H (23 December 1585).

2.3.4. 3 February 1716, Algiers

This is the most cited historical earthquake in previous works and catalogues [15,17–19,23,24,40–43] and it is important to remind its effects since it was known as the strongest one which occurred in Algiers during historical times. This earthquake is also mentioned in: (1) press reports of *Le Moniteur de l'Algérie*, which accounted for the most destructive earthquakes of Algeria after the seismic event of Mouzaïa (to the south of the studied region) of 2 January 1867; (2) in historical documents (the copyist of *Histoire des Premiers Rois de Tlemcen* of Ibn Khaldoun, witness of this event [44]; [25–29]. According to the contemporary witness the copyist cited above,

a large number of buildings were cracked, the great Mosque and particularly the gardens buildings were strongly damaged, all these buildings were more or less shattered and half-destroyed.

Subsequent historical studies, which related this event, agree with this statement:

a frightening earthquake caused the destruction of several houses and palaces, the walls of the Mosque and those of the majority of forts of the city [27], the earthquake overturned 2/3 of Algiers houses and damaged the remaining ones [25].

However the collected information does not allow the delineation of the area of maximum damage nor that of perceptibility. Very few details report that the old city

Casbah was shattered and part of it had to be rebuilt [15].

The earthquake also caused the collapse of several districts of Algiers [29]. Damage spread out in the

suburbs of Algiers and for a distance of about 2 km of the city; roofs caved in the lower part of Algiers [15]; a large number of country houses in the vicinity of Algiers collapsed (Le Moniteur de l'Algérie).

In fact we do not know with enough precision which zone surrounding Algiers suffered the most. According to Al Djillali [27], *the earthquake caused 20,000 victims at Blida*¹ (there were no major towns apart from Blida and Algiers at that time). For [18] which referred to [42]

the event was also destructive at Blida.

Reporting some geological and hydrological effects observed after the earthquake, Ambraseys and Vogt [15] circumscribe the affected zone between the upper reaches of the Chellif River and Algiers. The 3 February earthquake of 1716 was followed by a long sequence of continuous aftershocks until July. It is generally reported in previous studies and documentary sources that shocks, more or less strong, occurred during the first 24 days and the aftershock of 26 February (the night of 3 Rabia Al

¹The other sources mention 20,000 victims at Algiers.

Aouel 1128H/27 February 1365AD in [26]; at midnight on the 25 in [15]), also felt on board ships, was strong enough to dismay the population and add damage and destruction to the city. Anonymous [44] and Ambraseys and Vogt [15] cited also the shock of 16 July (at 15 h) causing damage and

collapse of three houses, killing nine people.

According to Grammont (De) [25],

...the shocks stopped at the beginning of December" (footnote on p. 131) and "...the shocks lasted during nine successive month followed by 10 years of drought (p. 133).

One also noticed that pillage, fires and the wet weather prevailing at that time added to the sinister [27].

This earthquake raises several questions. First on its origin time. According to Rothé [18] and Grammont (De) [25] this earthquake occurred at 2h while [15] report 9h 45 min and this is almost confirmed by the information given in Anonymous [44] who mentioned: "in the morning of..." and Delphin [26] who related "in the middle of the morning..." Moreover, out of all the cited sources, historical documents of Al Djillali [27], Saidani [28] and Al Madani [29] date this event on 2 and 3 February 1715 as reported in [16]; this confusion is certainly due to the conversion of the Hegira calendar to the Gregorian one. Delphin [26] who referred to a native chronicle (see above) reported "Monday 9 Safar 1128H" which corresponds to 3 February 1716. Second on the maximum intensity. The intensity given in [43] and SSIS data files is X MM while Rothé [18] assigned an intensity of IX MM. However the careful analysis of the collected information suggests instead an intensity of IX (EMS) to Algiers city and its vicinity where maximum damage such large cracks to walls, collapse of structures (half or totally shattered), as well as loss of life (20,000) were observed. Some interesting information attests that intensity X MM could not have been reached since

non public or religious buildings were seriously affected,...there is no evidence that the fortifications and harbour towers, including the 35 metre high lighthouse and tall minarets in the city sustained any damages [15].

The last point which raises a question is the *epicentral location*. For Rothé [18] and Benhallou [19], the epicentre could be situated in the Mitidjian Atlas; Roussel [43] located the event to the south in the Blidean Atlas probably because the contemporary accounts reported damages and ground deformation in Blida. Ambraseys and Vogt [15] lean rather towards a location near Ouamri (73 km southwest of Algiers) where landslides and landslips were observed. But as these authors [15] stated, these geological effects could be caused by the wet weather prevailing at that time. The same phenomena were observed during the most recent earthquake which occurred on the 21 May 2003 at Zemmouri 50 km east of Algiers and which triggered landslides as far as Benchicao, 93 km south-west

Algiers (Heddar, private communication). It is clearly difficult to allocate a reliable epicentre to this important event because of the lack of an appreciable amount of intensity observation points for drawing, with a certain degree of reliability, the isoseismal map or at least an intensity map. Nevertheless, this does not prevent to define tentatively the macroseismic epicentre at Douéra (36.67°N, 2.95°E).² This epicentre is located in the vicinity of Algiers, precisely along the line Douéra–Birkhadem–Algiers where

twelve to fifteen thousand of country houses beautify the surroundings of Algiers at three leagues distance, they are constructed in the middle of vines and gardens planted with olive, fig, pomegranate, orange trees [45]

and corresponds to the place where maximum damage was observed as cited above and confirmed by the native chronicle [26],

Most of Algiers dwellings collapsed, the great Mosque cracked, but the damage was very important in the surroundings villas" and the contemporary witness [44] "...Villas in the vicinity and the buildings of gardens...

The most significant earthquakes which occurred in the region during the instrumental era: the Douéra earthquake of 5 November 1924 (36.60°N, 3.00°E, $M_s = 4.8$, $I_0 = VIII$ MSK [10]); the Oued Djer earthquake of 31 October 1988 (36.42°N, 2.57°E in the Blidean Atlas, $M_s = 5.6$, $I_0 = VII$ MSK [13]); the Chenoua-Mount earthquake of 29 October 1989 (36.62°N, 2.33°E, $M_s = 6.0$, $I_0 = VIII$ MSK [10]); the earthquake of Ain Benian of 4 September 1996 (36.90°N, 2.81°E, $M_s = 5.3$, $I_0 = VII$ MSK [14]) and very recently the Zemmouri earthquake of 21 May 2003 (36.91°N, 3.58°E, $M_s = 6.7$, $I_0 = X$ EMS [46]), had no similar macroseismic effects and triggered to Algiers city the respective intensity of V, IV, V, V and VII on EMS scale. This is to bring into focus the most documented historical earthquake of Algiers area.

2.3.5. The earthquakes of 1724

Referring to Shaw a contemporary account, Ambraseys and Vogt [15] and the newspaper *Le Moniteur de l'Algérie* of 9 January 1867 cited a strong earthquake causing large damages at Algiers. Dr. Shaw, who felt three successive shocks at sea, reported that the seismic event extended from Miliana to Bône, i.e. (about 600 km distant). This earthquake seems to be an offshore event.

2.3.6. The earthquake of 1755

It is worth to draw attention to this event reported for the first time in [16], which refers to historical documents. According to Al Djillali [27]

a frightful earthquake struck Algiers causing the destruction of the majority of buildings of the city, but we do not know its effects on the population.

²SSIS data file adopt an epicentre in Algiers bay at 3.10°E, 36.70°N.

8

For Saidani [28] this event, which caused damage to Algiers dwellings and drying up of springs, could be which occurred in Lisbon in November 1755. However, even if the earthquake of Lisbon was felt in Northwest Africa [47], it could not cause such effects and induce aftershocks during 2 months (November and December) as cited by the contemporary witness Ez-Zahar [29].

2.3.7. 17 March 1756, Tipasa

This earthquake is cited by Ambraseys and Vogt [15] who referred to unpublished archives and Playfair [48] and Grammont (De) [49]. According to these authors [15], this event felt at Algiers lasted about 45 s, and caused the collapse of old houses built on the sloping part of the city destroying all houses about 50 km to the west of Algiers with casualties. The authors suggest an epicentral area near Hadjout in the region of Tipasa where the Lake of Halloula was damaged. On 29 October 1989, this area experienced a destructive event and the earthquake of 1756 could have its source near Tipasa.

2.3.8. 6 May 1773

In the Annual Register, vol. XVI, p. 105, one can read the following passage:

Algiers, Tangiers and the north coast of Africa. About twenty shocks. The tremulous motion between the shocks lasted from six to seven seconds to half minute. At Algiers the sea rose 5 feet 10 inches in every 14minutes, and then fell so low as to leave the boats aground. This decreased from noon until for the next morning. At Tangiers the sea rose 30 feet perpendicularly. The earthquake consisted of succession of trembling and violent shocks. At Tangiers the fountains stopped.

No other consulted source quotes this seismic event. Probably the similarity between the names of both cities induced mistakes concerning this earthquake. Tangiers, a Morrocan city, is about 800 km west to Algiers.

2.3.9. 14 April 1839, Algiers

Mokrane et al. [17] place this event in Constantine 400 km east to Algiers without assigning coordinates. According to Rothé [18], this earthquake was strongly felt in Constantine and Algiers where ramshackle buildings collapsed; and its epicentre could be located at half-way between both cities in Babor or Biban region (at 150 km of both cities). An analysis of the new retrieved information from Le Moniteur Universel (of 30 April and 1 May 1839) and Guyon [50] rather suggests a macroseismic epicentre at Algiers. Preceded by a slight foreshock, the main shock lasting 12 s and accompanied by an underground rumbling, hit the town of Algiers and surroundings 5 min later at 14 h 5m dismaying the population. Serious cracks to several houses were observed and one of them at Bab El Oued threatened to collapse; its inhabitants fled by doors and windows. The main shock was also slightly felt on ships at

sea. There is no indication on the macroseismic effects in Constantine where the earthquake was strongly felt, particularly downtown [50] but one reported a storm on 11 April which lasted 3 days and caused disaster to ships in Bône, 120 km east of Constantine. On the basis of this sole information, the maximum intensity we may attribute is $I_0 = \text{VII EMS}$ and we locate the macroseismic epicentre at 36.77°N, 3.05°E.

2.3.10. 18 June 1847, Douéra

This earthquake is clearly described in [15,18,21,41] and reported in Moniteur de l'Algérie (of 9 January 1867), [17,24]. From the information collected, on some significant damage observed and on the localities where the shock was felt, a schematic intensity map showing the area of perceptibility was drawn and intensities have been reestimated (Appendix B). Besides, we had to decide which epicentre we should attribute Rothé [18]), repeated later by Mokrane et al. [17], located it near Douéra while Ambraseys and Vogt [15] placed the epicentre at 36.53°N. 2.44°E near Cherchell which was struck by a long sequence of shocks 1 year earlier (3 November 1846). It is worthwhile to remind a stronger event which occurred later on 5 November 1924 known as the Douéra earthquake (or Ben Chaabane according to Benouar [10]. Thus we believe that this event has a similar epicentre in the Douéra area.

2.3.11. 30 August 1850, Algiers

This earthquake, which was mentioned only in [22], has been the subject of several reports by the contemporary press particularly by L'Atlas which gave, every 2 days, from the 2 September to September 14, a detailed report of the event and its aftershocks. According to these reports and those of Akhbar, the main shock accompanied by an underground rumbling occurred at Algiers on 30 August 1850 at 19h 42 min. The shaking was so strong that the people of Bouzaréah frightened and fled their homes but no casualties were reported in the city. The oscillations were in SE-NW and the shock was not felt at Douéra, Blida and all the localities surrounding Algiers city. What characterizes this seismic event is less its strength than the aftershocks that followed the main shock (Appendix A). All these aftershocks were felt at Algiers except that of 10 September felt at Douéra 15 km south of Algiers. On the basis of the retrieved information, we think that the macroseismic epicentre is located at Bouzaréah where the earthquake was more strongly felt than anywhere else. Maximum intensity of IV^+ (EMS) is assigned mainly on felt effects and on the evidence of lack of damage to poorquality constructions.

2.3.12. 20 July 1851, Algiers

This earthquake which occurred on 2 July at 18 h 10 min, almost 1 year after the previous one, was more violent and also strongly felt at Bouzaréah according to the contemporary press (*Akhbar of 22 July*). No damage or casualties

among the population nor premonitory and aftershocks were communicated. The macroseismic epicentre is reestimated from 36.7°N, 3.1°E given in USGS/NEIC data files to 36.78°N, 3.01°E (at Bouzaréah) and an epicentral intensity of IV EMS is assigned.

2.3.13. 16 April 1857, Algiers

On 17 April 1857 at 15 h 17 min, an earthquake lasting 5 s was felt at Algiers and particularly at the bay, according to a fishermen, but not in Blida nor Medea (*Akhbar* of 17 and 19 April). It is maybe an offshore seismic event and this is not unusual in this zone.

2.3.14. 16 January 1865, Arba

This earthquake cited only in [22] occurred at 3 h 57 min after the press reports (*Akhbar*, *Courrier de l'Algérie*, *Le Moniteur de l'Algérie*). The main shock was slightly felt, only by people awake, in Algiers but not at Bouzaréah. Three successive shocks have been felt in El Harrach, Rovigo, Arba and more slightly in Boufarik and Blida. In the locality of Arba 25 km south of Algiers, it caused fine cracks only to one house but no reports of damage in the surroundings farms were found.

2.3.15. 16 October 1873

The catalogue [17] referring to SSIS locates this earthquake at 36.6°N, 2.9°E in Boufarik near Blida with an intensity VI (Rossi Forel). The macroseismic information retrieved from newspapers (*Le Moniteur de l'Algérie, La Vigie Algérienne, Le Tell, Akhbar, L'Algérie française*) do not justify this intensity since they mention only one shock lasting 2–3 s accompanied by an underground rumbling felt at Blida without any casualty, moving of furniture nor broken glasses. The oscillations recorded by the seismograph of Algiers were in the NNE–SSW direction. The earthquake felt at Algiers Médéa, Boufarik, Oued El Alleug, Mouzaia and Cherchell area, did not cause any significant damage or fear among the population. The intensity is re-estimated at IV EMS.

2.3.16. 11 July 1911

This shock was largely observed and rather slightly felt in Algiers and its surroundings. According to *La Dépêche Algérienne*, it caused more frightening than hurt among the population without casualty or important damage. According to this newspaper, the earthquake was felt in several localities (Appendix B) within an area of 80 km radius. The previous catalogues referring to SSI assigned to this event an intensity of 6 MM which seems to be exaggerated.

2.3.17. 18 October 1916, Algiers

This earthquake is cited in Rothé [18], who referring to press report without quoting any information, assign an intensity of VI (MM) at many places (Appendix B). According to the newspaper *l'Echo d'Algérie* of Tuesday 19 October:

Yesterday in the morning at 3h 15min, a strong earthquake accompanied by an underground rumbling awoke most of the inhabitants of Algiers city. Glasses and beds were violently shaken and stacks of plates collapsed with crash. The shaking was so strong that most of the inhabitants fled their homes and took refuge in open spaces and along the seaside, particularly in the suburbs. No damage or casualties, among the population were reported only at Bab El Oued where walls of one house were cracked.

Epicental intensity V is assigned with a rigid interpretation of the EMS scale.

2.3.18. 25 October 1949, Ain Taya

The newspapers of Algiers Le Journal d'Alger and Dernière Heure report:

on 25 October at about 8 h 30 min, Algiers was shaken for 6 min by an earthquake which awoke people still sleeping. No damage or casualties among the population were reported even if the shock was widely felt along the coastal localities, from Cherchell in the west to Port-Gueydon in the east, where it caused a certain emotion and insignificant damage.

This seismic event was strong, but we do not think that the intensity really reached VI (MM) [35], we rather lean to V (EMS). On the basis of the retrieved information from press reports, we draw a figure showing the localities where this offshore seismic event was felt (Appendix B).

2.3.19. 13 March 1960, Cap Matifou

This earthquake accompanied, by an underground rumbling, which occurred in the morning awakening most of the inhabitants of Algiers, is also an offshore event. According to press reports (*Dernière Heure*), it was followed by five aftershocks with two quite strong. No damages were reported in the Greater Algiers nor in the surrounding cities and villages within a radius of 100 km. From the analysis of the macroseismic information retrieved, intensities have been re-estimated in some sites. Intensity V EMS (Intensity V MM is estimated in previous catalogues)was assigned on the effects of awakening, intensity IV has been confined to Dellys where the shock was less strong than in Courbet (now Zemmouri) and intensity III is allocated on slight effects (Appendix B).

2.3.20. 14 August 1960, Boufarik

This earthquake, located in previous catalogues at Boufarik, with intensity VI–VII MM has been the subject of a detailed report in the newspaper *La Dernière Heure* of the following day.

Two successive strong shocks accompanied by a violent but brief underground rumbling and lasting 8 s were so

9

seriously felt in the city of Algiers and its surroundings that most of the inhabitants fled their homes. The earthquake was stronger in the upper part of Algiers where houses are less shored up. At Boufarik, significant damage like broken glasses, falling of frames and cracking of walls of buildings even in the recent ones, are reported. Also in Blida in the old part of the city. Both shocks were felt at Birkhadem, Douéra, Guyotville and slightly at Tizi Ouzou.

The epicentral intensity is re-estimated from VI–VII MM given in the previous catalogue to VI EMS (Appendix B).

3. Local geology and geological cross-sections

Algiers is situated in the Sahel which constitutes the northern part of the Mitidja basin (Fig. 3). The geological and tectonic setting of this morphological unit was presented and discussed at length in a previous paper [2]. The lack of a geotechnical map of the city of Algiers constituted an obstacle at the beginning of the study. Therefore, on the basis of previous works [51–55] and field observations controlled by borehole data-set as described in [56], we compiled a geological map (Fig. 3) showing the distribution of the Quaternary deposits in the Mitidja basin as well as their thicknesses. The local geology shows that, beside the islets of basement that outcrops in Bouzaréah and Chenoua Mount, the whole Algiers Area is covered by Plioquaternary deposits. The recent Quaternary includes the alluvial deposits: clay, silt and gravel within the basin area, marine terraces on the northern side of the Sahel fold and alluvial terraces within and nearby river beds. The recent tectonics is highlighted by the structural geology of the different units and the morphology of the most recent deposits, as revealed by the tilted marine terraces and the deformed alluvial deposits. Additionally, the analysis of the aerial photos and satellite images supported by direct field investigations enabled us to map newly recognized lineaments almost perpendicular to the Sahel active fold (Fig. 3).

The availability of an appreciable amount of seismic refraction, boreholes, drilling well data and geological maps allowed the construction of a number of crosssections within the study area [56]. The cross-sections along with the physical parameters reveal the geometry of the shallow deposits and the soil conditions. In the western part of the Algiers city (Fig. 5a), the local geology is principally constituted of: bedrock formed by schist, micaschist, gneiss outcropping at Bouzaréah, Astian molasses at Ben Aknoun and Plaisancian marls from Sidi Fredj and beyond Mahelma. While in the eastern part (from Algiers to Ain Taya, Fig. 5a), much of the local geology consists in incompetent material such as marsh deposits, sandy dunes, alluvial and marine deposits. The geotechnical units of this part consist of: the Recent dunes spreading out in an east-west direction from Hussein-Dey to Le Lido; the Holocene alluvial deposits are present along the Algiers bay as well as in the river beds; the *Pleistocene dunes and alluvial deposits* consisting of a mixture of sandstone and clay, the marshy deposits extending all over the most recent suburbs such as Bab Ezzouar (BEZ)—Dar El Beida (DEB, airport district) and Alger Plage. Two other units are common to all the zone under study, in both the western and eastern parts: the *Villafranchian-recent alluvial deposit* consisting of solely sand or sand with other components (silt, clay, gravel, sandstone) and the *recent landfill* represented by sandy, greyish, silty or argillous deposits.

4. Seismic input modelling and site effects

The detailed prediction of SGM with the knowledge of accurate, three-dimensional (3D) structures and complex source mechanisms is performed by using the hybrid method (numerical-analytical simulation). This method allows to take into consideration the realistic source, path and local soil effect whatever the complexity and size of the structural model. This hybrid approach, which combines the finite-differences method and the modal summation, allows to calculate the local wavefield from a seismic event, both for small (a few kilometers) and large (a few hundreds of kilometres) epicentral distance.

The most important task in performing the simulation is the construction of the structural model which is essential for seismic hazard computations based on a deterministic approach using complete synthetic seismograms [5]. The role of the upper crustal layers is especially important. For that purpose velocity models obtained from body wave give rather poor results; seismological stations are as a rule situated on rock sites with high velocities and density, and seismic waves (longitudinal and transversal) sample only faster layers. Slower structures in the upper crust are only poorly sampled or not sampled at all. As a consequence, the velocity models resulting from body wave data inversions are faster than the average structure and in waveform modelling usually result in unrealistically small amplitudes. For this reason, we construct the structural model of Algiers area by carefully analysing the geotechnical data collected in order to select the most appropriate ones. The density values of the different geotechnical units are obtained from local geophysical surveys. The P-wave velocities assigned to the soil within the Algiers area are based on seismic refraction surveys carried out in several sites of the region (Fig. 5a). The shear-wave velocities are calculated using the formula: $V_s^2 = V_p^2/3$.

The correlation of all the available geological, boreholes and seismic refraction data, added to a 10-km deep geological cross-section of the sedimentary basin of Algiers (Fig. 5d) adapted to the velocity model available for the Maghreb countries [1], enabled us to define a structural model for Algiers area. Each part of the hybrid method is applied in that part of the structural model where it works most efficiently: (1) The analytical method (mode summation) which allows the treatment of several layers, is

A. Harbi et al. / Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering I (IIII) III-III



Fig. 5. (a) Map representing the sites where seismic refraction surveys were carried out (triangle), three geological cross sections and the two seismic sources used in the simulation; (b) a 10 km length, 200 m deep cross-section representing the local geological information of the soil of Algiers; (c) a 400 m deep geological cross section; (d) a 10 km deep geological cross section of the sedimentary basin of Algiers.

A. Harbi et al. / Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering & (****)

applied to simulate the wave propagation from the seismic source at Chenoua Mount to Algiers, along a 400 m deep geological cross section (Fig. 5c) (64 km distant). In order to allow the simulation of a realistic rupture process on the fault, the extended source is modelled by a sum of point sources. The path from source position to the sedimentary basin is approximated by a structure of flat homogeneous layers used for the construction of the 1-D model. (2) The numerical method (finite differences) is applied along a 10 km length, 200 m deep cross-section representing the local geological information of the soil of Algiers and pointing up the lateral heterogeneities (Fig. 5b). The structural model proposed in this study is shown in Fig. 6 and the geophysical properties of the sediments are summarized in Table 1.

The SGM in Algiers was simulated, at first, for the Mont-Chenoua earthquake of the 29 October 1989 with the following parameters: Longitude: 2.33°E, Latitude: 36.62° N, magnitude $m_{\rm b} = 5.7$, $M_{\rm s} = 6.0$, seismic moment: 3.15×10^{24} dyn cm, depth: 6 km, strike 1: 242, dip1: 55,



Fig. 6. The structural model and the synthetic seismograms along the Cheraga–Algiers cross-section simulated for the far source, October 29, 1989 (a) and the near source, November 5, 1924 (b).

Table 1				
Geophysical properties	s of	the	lithological	units

Lithological unit	Geophysical parameters												
	Density (g/cm ³)	V _p (km/s)	$V_{\rm s}~({\rm km/s})$	$Q_{ m p}$	Q_s								
Quaternary recent deposits	1.75	2.10	1.21	220	100								
River deposits made of clay, sand and gravel	1.80	2.24	1.29	220	100								
Astian limestone, clay and marls	1.83	3.00	1.70	220	100								
Plaisancian marls	1.90	3.50	2.00	220	100								
Miocene sandstone and marls	2.67	5.85	3.40	660	300								
Metamorphic bedrock	2.89	6.71	3.90	660	300								

slip1: 87, strike2: 71, dip2: 34, slip2: 94 (Fig. 5a). The coupling of the respective analytical and numerical methods is carried out by introducing the resulting time series obtained with modal summation into the finitedifferences computations. The three-component synthetic seismograms have been originally computed for a seismic source of 1 dyn.cm. The amplitudes were then scaled according to the seismic moment of the source considered using the "wide-band spectrum scaling" proposed by Gusev [57]. The corner frequency considered in the numerical simulation for Algiers city is of 5 Hz; the grid spacing used in the finite-differences is of 6m. Since we noticed an amplification of the signal on the synthetic seismograms (Fig. 6a), we simulate the SGM for another source 20-km distant from the centre of Algiers city. This source, for which we assume a similar focal mechanism, corresponds to the Ben Chaabane earthquake of 5 November 1924 with latitude: 36.64°N, longitude: 2.91°E, depth: 3 km, magnitude: $m_b = 5.2$, $M_s = 4.8$, $I_0 = VIII$ MSK. The same amplification, certainly due to the presence of soft sediments near the city centre, is evident from the computations (Fig. 6b). Fig. 7 shows the relative response spectra, as a function of frequency along the structural model obtained, respectively, for the 64 km distant source (a) and for the 20 km distant source (b).

5. Discussion and conclusions

This work is of paramount importance since it enabled us to produce an important and invaluable data bank to be used in future research works, for multiple purposes, regarding the Algerian Capital city.

5.1. Concerning the compiled catalogue

This study significantly improves our knowledge on the seismicity of Algiers. The revision of the seismicity presented here is part of the upgrading of the Earthquake Catalogue of Algeria. Among the 475 events studied, 48 are quoted as doubtful. These events, reported in [24] which referred to IGNE and EC data files, are sometimes mislocated (located offshore) and surprisingly of high intensity as already noticed for the seismicity of Eastern Algeria [20]. Some of them could sometimes be confirmed from history books such as the Algiers earthquake of October 1541 mentioned in USGS/NEIC catalogue [24]. In [58], one can read:

In 1541, during the pontificate of Paul III, Charles V resolved with his council to restore the Algiers matters. [...] Algiers city still had only a simple wall, without any



Fig. 7. The response spectral ratio along the Cheraga-Algiers cross-sections simulated for the October 29, 1989 (a) and November 5, 1924 (b) events.

advanced workmanship. On the 28th October, a North wind got up; it was accompanied with a howling hailstorm and earthquakes, likely that nature was going to overturn. During the following night, 90 vessels and 15 galleys perished with their crew and all the provisions of the army.

The retrieval of macroseismic information related to these events should be pursued by a thorough research in Ottoman, Spanish and French archives. The earthquakes mentioned by Hée for both periods as well as those cited in [35] and unreported in subsequent studies and/or compilations, are integrated in the Algerian earthquake catalogue since, despite the weakness of their impact, they inform on the permanent seismic activity of the Algiers area.

5.2. Concerning the produced macroseismic data bank

The availability of an amount of macroseismic data allowed us to re-appraise some earthquakes in terms of estimated intensity or at least delineating the area of perceptibility. The re-assessment of the related intensities according to the EMS 98 scale adopted in Algeria since the last destructive earthquake of 21 May 2003, will permit to set up a relationship of the intensity ranges between the Modified Mercalli scale (used before) and EMS and then to homogenise the Algerian catalogue. The drawn maps (Appendix B) show that (a) Algiers experienced local events at Cheraga, Staouéli, Douéra and Boufarik; (b) the occurrence of widely felt seismic events on the south boundary of the Mitidia basin at Boufarik, Larbaa and Hamam Melouane and (c) the occurrence of coastal and offshore seismic events along the Algiers area at Bousmail (Castiglione), Tipasa, Ain Benian and Algiers bay (in the vicinity of Cap Matifou). Concerning this last point, we must bring to mind that, before 1900, Algiers experienced five offshore earthquakes one of which was followed by a small tsunami. The sea-wave triggered by the earthquake of 3 January 1365 (X EMS), which caused the "deluge submerging the inhabitants of Bab El Oued", could reach more than 2 m high.

5.3. Concerning the seismic input modelling

The method used here for the seismic input modelling has given good results in Rome, Naples, Zagreb, Debrecen, Bucharest, Thessaloniki, Sofia and Russe in Europe; Delhi and Beijing in Asia; Cairo in Africa and Santiago de Cuba in South America [7]. Algiers also gives it an appropriate domain of application. As mentioned above, the hybrid method is convenient for the estimation of SGM in sedimentary basins whatever the geological complexity, and the geology of Algiers Sahel is very complex. The hybrid method takes into account the realistic source, site effects and path whatever the distance source-basin and we applied it by considering two sources (64 and 20 km). This method is more suitable than the probabilistic approach which does not take, with enough precision, the important aspects characterising the motion as rupture process, directivity and site effects. The results obtained in terms of synthetic seismograms (Fig. 6) show that the maximum acceleration reached a value of 20 cm/s^2 near the city centre triggered by the Mont-Chenoua seismic source. The amplification noticed in Fig. 7 for both sources (64 and 20 km), from Mustapha to Hussein Dey, is certainly due to the presence of soft sediments in this part of the city. This may explain why the small earthquakes were often more strongly felt at Bab El Oued and Mustapha and the effects of the damaging seismic events were more spectacular in these places. One should also keep in mind that Bab El Oued is built on a marine terrace.

The lack of reliable recordings did not enable us to compare the results obtained with the real values but it is worthwhile to note that the last destructive Zemmouri earthquake of 21 May 2003 (Fig. 2) generated 27 cm/s² maximum acceleration recorded at Hussein Dey [59], about 52 km from the epicentre. Besides the magnitude of the respective earthquakes (Mont Chenoua, $M_s = 6.0$; Zemmouri earthquake, $M_s = 6.7$), the characteristics of the soil of Algiers are different from west to east as cited in the section *local geology*. To the east of Algiers centre, from Mustapha onwards, the soil is mainly constituted by thick marine deposits while to the west it is formed by bedrock. Thus, it is important to perform a simulation with a seismic source to the east of Algiers and to compare the results with the strong motions recorded (and calibrated) after the Zemmouri earthquake of 21 May 2003.

Acknowledgments

This research, partly supported by CRAAG, was conducted within the framework of the UNESCO/IUGS/ IGCP projects (414 and 457). We express our gratitude to A. Zighemi, K. Zenini, and A. Ayadi for providing some useful data and precious documents. Prof. Mustafa Erdik and an anonymous reviewer are gratefully acknowledged for critically reading the manuscript and helpful suggestions for its improvement.

Appendix A

See Table A1.

	H	Σ	I S	Lat°N	$\mathrm{Lon}^\circ\mathrm{E}$	INT	Au	QG	RMK	Site	References
				- 36.77	3.05			Π	lc, de, <i>i</i>	Alger	BH
18 0	0		0	36.77	3.05	XEMS	HAR	Π	lc, de, I, o ?	Alger	BH, AV, MOK, OUS
2		1		- 36.91	2.5	IXMM	EC	op	lc, o	N. Tipasa	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
8		1		- 36.7	3.1			III	lc, <i>i</i>	Alger	BH, EC, USGS/NEIC
6		1		- 36.83	2.4	VIIMM	EC	op	lc, o	N. Mt. Chenoua	EC, USGS/NEIC
3		1		- 36.77	3.05			H	lc, <i>i</i>	Alger	BH
		1		- 36.77	5.05 20 5			III -	2	Alger	BH, AV
	<	1	<	- 30.11	5.05 6	TA AN A	C	qo		Alger M. M. Channel	BH, UUS, AV
				60.06 16 26	4. ¢	V IVITNANA VITTNANA		00 7	IC, 0 12 A 3 2	N. Mt. Chenoua	EC, USGS/NEIC
				C0.0C	4. ₹			00 7	Ic, A/ 0	N. MI. Chenoua	EC, USGS/NEIC
4 Z 0			Ο	CO.0C	4.7 2.05) I	on E	16, 0	N. ML. Chenoua	EC, USUS/NEIC BH ATIS:
c 7	<		<	11.00 -	20.0		GUIG		1. d.	Alger	BH,UU3I SSIE ICAE MOV A
0 17 0	0		0	11.00	CU.C 20.C		cicc		lc, de	Alger	DDI AV OTIC: DH AV OTIC:
				1.00 -	cu.c			Ξ.	IС, Ца,	Alger	
		1 4		- 30.7	3.1 2.02			do	- IC	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC BH, Fress, FEK, CHE, K1, KS
, ۲	4	· ·	0	30.07	C6.7	IXEMS	HAK	= ;	Ic, <i>i</i>	Alger	SSIS, USGS/NEIC, AV, HB, MUK, UUS
 0				- 36.67	2.95			II ·	lc, S, A, <i>i</i>	Alger	Press, BH, RT, AV
				- 36.7	3.1	VIIIMM	SISS	op	Α	Alger	SSIS,BAAS,MOK
6 15		0	0	36.67	2.95			Π	A, lc	Alger	Avi
				- 36.7	3.1	VIIIMM	SISS	op	lc	Alger	IGNE, SSIS, MOK, USGS/NEIC SSIS, IGNE, PERi, USGS/NEIC, RTi
23		30	0	36.7	3.1	VIIMM	SISS	op	lc	Alger	MOK
93		0	0	36.77	3.05	VIIMM	SISS	III	lc	Alger	SSIS, IGNE, PERi, RTi, AVi, MOK
				- 36.77	3.05			III	o, i	Alger	BH, Press, AV
				- 36.77	3.05			do?	lc, de, i	Alger	BH,OUS
9 7		30	0	36.62	2.33			III	M. o, de, <i>i</i>	Tipasa	AV
9 12		12		- 36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	PRESS,AV
 0				- 36.77	3.05			op	S, lc	Alger or Blida?	Avi
8 14		30	_	36.77	3.05			III	S, lc	Alger	Pressi, USGS/NEIC, IGNE, AV
8 16		4	10	36.77	3.05			III	lc, A	Alger	Press
8 17		0		36.77	3.05			III	A, lc	Alger	Press
4		Ж	_	36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	AV
0 13		5,	-	36.77	3.05			III	S, lc	Alger	AV
1 23		48	~	36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	AV
1				36.77	3.05			III	S, lc	Alger	AV
0		0	0	36.78	ŝ			III	S, lc	Bab El Oued	BH, AV, IGNE, USGS/NEIC
8 23				36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	Avi
5 1				36.77	3.05			op	lc	Alger	AV
1 5		4	5	36.77	3.05			op	lc	Alger	AV
9 20				36.77	3.05			op	lc	Alger	AV
2 0		0		36.77	3.05			III	S, lc	Alger	AV
9 2		4	5	36.77	3.05			III	S, lc	Alger	AV
18		30	~	36.77	3.05			III	S, lc	Alger	AV
3 17		4	0	36.83	2.8			op	M.0	N. Sidi Fredi	EC, USGS/NEIC
1		30	0	36.7	ю	VIIIMM	EC	op	W	Alger	EC, USGS/NEIC
5 20		0		36.77	3.05			do	lc	Alger	AV
3 15		Ж	0 (36.8	2.5	VIMM	EC	op	M,o	N. Tipasa	EC, USGS/NEIC
5 8		ž	0	36.8	2.8	IXMM	EC	op	M,o	N. Sidi Fredj	EC, USGS/NEIC

ARTICLE IN PRESS A. Harbi et al. / Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 1 (1111) 111–111

15

Please cite this article as: Harbi A, et al. Seismicity, seismic input and site effects in the Sahel—Algiers region (North Algeria). Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (2006), doi:10.1016/j.soildyn.2006.10.002

Table A1

Table A	vl (conti	inued											
Year	Mon	D	Η	Μ	S	$Lat^{\circ}N$	$Lon^\circ E$	INT	Чu	QG	RMK	Site	References
1806^{a}	Jul	12	12	10	24	36.77	3.05			op	lc	Alger	AV
1806^{a}	Jul	13	e	30		36.77	3.05			op	lc	Alger	AV
1807^{a}	Nov	13	17	0		36.77	3.05			III	lc, de	Alger	BAAS, AVi
1807^{a}	Nov	18				36.77	3.05	VIIMM	SISS	III	lc, de	Alger	PERi, RTi, BAAS, EC, USGS/NEIC, MOK
1810^{a}	Dec	б	0	0	0	36.77	3.05			do?	S, i, lc	Alger	Avi
1810^{a}	Dec	16	23	30		36.77	3.05			do?	lc	Alger	AV
1811 ^a	Jul	11	14	30		36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	BH,AV
1830^{a}						36.77	3.05			op	lc	Alger	BH,OUS
1833	Apr	9				36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC CHE, RT, IGNE, USGS/NEIC, Press, Rti
1839^{a}	Apr	14	14	5	0	36.77	3.05	VIIEMS	HAR	Π	lc, <i>i</i>	Alger	MOK, HAR
1841	Jan	15	12	0	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1842	Apr	6	0	0	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1842	Oct	24	×	S	0	36.7	3.1			III	lc, S	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, Pressi
1842	Nov	-	19	15	0	36.7	3.1			III	A?, lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, Press
1842^{a}	Dec	4	Э	0	0	36.77	3.05	VIIIMM	SISS	III	lc	Alger	BAAS, Press, SSIS, MOK
1843	Jan	13	0	0	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1843	Jan	18				36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1843	Jun	28	23	30	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1845	Apr	27	0	0	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1845	Aug	21	0	30	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC PERi, IGNE, BAAS, RTi, HB, Avi
1847^{a}	Jun	18	S	40	0	36.67	2.95	VIEMS	HAR	Π	M, <i>i</i>	Douéra	MOK, Press
1850^{a}	Aug	30	19	42	0	36.78	3.01	IV + EMS	HAR	Π	lc, <i>i</i>	Bouzaréah	HEE, Press
1850^{a}	Sep	S	15	50	0	36.78	3.01			Π	lc, A	Bouzaréah	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE,
1850^{a}	Sep	8	e	50	0	36.78	3.01			Ш	lc, A	Bouzaréah	HEE
1850^{a}	Sep	10	22	25	0	36.78	3.01			Π	lc, A, S	Bouzaréah	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE, Press
1851 ^a	Jul	20	18	10	0	36.78	3.01	IVEMS	HAR	Π	lc, <i>i</i>	Bouzaréah	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE, Press
1851 ^a	Aug	8				36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	HEE
1852 ^a	Jun	29	18	0	0	36.77	3.05			Π	S, lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE, Pressi
1854 ^a	Feb	6				36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE
1855 ^a	Feb	-	e	0	0	36.77	3.05			Ξ	S, lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE
1855 ^a	Mar	29	-	30	0	36.77	3.05			Π	S, lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE, Pressi
1856^{a}	Oct	З	12	0	0	36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	HEE
1856^{a}	Oct	e	15	0	0	36.77	3.05		A,lc	Ш	lc	Alger	HEE
1857^{a}	Apr	16	15	17	0	36.77	3.05			Π	S, o?	Alger	HEE, Pressi
1858^{a}	Mar	10	5	30	0	36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	HEE
1859	Mar	28	9	30	0	36.7	3.1			op	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC
1859	Jun	23	12	30	0	36.6	2.89	VIMM	IGNE	III	lc, da	N. Boufarik	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEEi
1859	Oct	З	6	0	0					III		Alger	HEE
1860	Sep	52	0	0	0	36.8	2.5	VIIIMM	EC	op	М, о	N. Tipasa	EC, USGS/NEIC
1860	Oct	٢	12	50	0	36.83	2.4			op	М, о	N. Mt. Chenoua	EC, USGS/NEIC
1860^{a}	Oct	20	0	35	0	36.77	3.05			III	lc	Alger	IGNE, USGS/NEIC, HEE

c c, HEE <i>i</i> c		/NEIC, IGNE S/NEIC		g, HEE		, IGNE, SSIS, MOK			C, HEE <i>i</i>										0			U				E ^ BT; HEF MOV	naging, o: offshore event, <i>i</i> : availability of macroseismic e event in this reference (even note of very few lines). pean Catalogue; CHE: Chesneau [41]; IGNE: Spanish T: [18]; RS: Roussel [43]; SSIS: Seccion de Sismologia e
IGNE, USGS/NEI IGNE, USGS/NEI IGNE, USGS/NEI	HEE EC, USGS/NEIC	HEE, Press, USGS, HEE, IGNE, USG	HEE, Pressi	USGS/NEIC IGNE	HEE HEE <i>i</i>	Press, USGS/NEIC	HEE, Pressi	HEE	IGNE, USGS/NEI	HEE UEE	HEE	HEE, Pressi	HEE	HEE HEE	HEE	HEE	HEE, Pressi upp	HEE	IGNE, USGS/NEI	HEE	EC, USGS/NEIC HEF	IGNE, USGS/NEI	HEE, Pressi	HEE	IGNE, USGS/NEI	USGS/NEIC, IGN	de: destructive, da: dan of information on the se the text); EC: Euro 3]; PER: Perrey [40]; R
Alger N. Alger Alger	Alger Dar El Beida	Arba Staouéli	Alger	Alger	Alger Aløer	Boufarik	Alger	B.E.O. (Alger)	Alger	Boufarik Alece	Alger	Alger	Caxine	Alger Alger	Alger	Staouéli	Alger	Alger	N. Alger	Castiglione	N. Tipasa Alœr	Alger	Alger	Alger	Alger	Alger	 S: strong carthquake, S: strong carthquake, ndicate the availability H: Book of History (set 7), OUS: Oussadou [16]
M lc, o lc	M	lc, <i>i</i> lc	lc	lc	<u>ی</u> ا ا	i	lc	lc	lc, i	اد, ۱ ام	lo lo	S, lc	lc '	<u></u> 2 4	2 2 2	lc	lc 1	lc, i	lc, o	lc	ه. م اد	1	lc	lc	lc		coordinates, haracter <i>i</i> ir Sciences; BH ane et al. [1
op III op	u ob	пΠ	Π	Π	ΠH	П	Π	III	Ξi	ΠH	Η	Π	III	ΗH	Η	III	Ш	Η	op	Ξ	op	op	П	Π	op	op L	cality of the cality and the cality of the cality model.
		AR				К	R										R						К			~	:: lo dv: JK:
	EO	H				HΑ	ΗA										ΗA			1	EC		HΑ			1VH	tion, lc f refere n for A 22]; MG
	IVMM EC	VEMS H.				IVEMS HA	IIIEMS HA										IIIEMS HA				VMM EC		IVEMS HA			IV + EMS H VI	croseismic location, le the column of refere sh Association for A ou [19]; HEE [22]; MG
3.1 3.05 3.1	3.2 IVMM EC	3.16 VEMS H ₁ 2.89	3.05	3.05	3.05 3.05	2.9 IVEMS HA	3.05 IIIEMS HA	3.04	3.05	2.89	3.05	3.05	2.96	3.05 3.05	3.05	2.89	3.05 IIIEMS HA	3.05	3.05	2.7	2.5 VMM EC 3.05	3.1	3.05 IVEMS HA	3.05	3.1	3.2 3.05 IV ⁺ EMS HAI	M: macroseismic location, lc tful. In the column of refere S: British Association for A 3enhallou [19]; HEE [22]; MO
36.7 3.1 36.77 3.05 36.7 3.1 36.7 3.1	36.7 3.2 IVMM EC	36.56 3.16 VEMS H ₁ 36.75 2.89	36.77 3.05	36.77 3.05	36.77 3.05 36.77 3.05	36.6 2.9 IVEMS HA	36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	36.8 3.04	36.77 3.05	36.58 2.89 36.77 3.05	36.77 3.05	36.75 3.05	36.81 2.96	30.7 2.05 36.77 2.05	36.77 3.05	36.75 2.89	36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	36.77 3.05	36.8 3.05	36.64 2.7	36.8 2.5 VMM EC	36.7 3.1	36.77 3.05 IVEMS HA	36.77 3.05	36.7 3.1	36.5 3.2 36.7 3.05 IV ⁺ EMS HAI	= remarks, M: macroseismic location, lc , do: doubtful. In the column of refere t [15]; BAAS: British Association for A udy], HB: Benhallou [19]; HEE [22]; MO
- 36.7 3.1 0 36.77 3.1 0 36.77 3.05 0 36.7 3.1	0 36.7 3.2 IVMM EC	0 36.56 3.16 VEMS H ₁ 0 36.75 2.89	0 36.77 3.05	0 36.77 3.05	0 36.77 3.05 0 36.77 3.05	0 36.6 2.9 IVEMS HA	0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	— 36.8 3.04	0 36.77 3.05	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 36.77 3.05	0 36.75 3.05	0 36.81 2.96	0 36.77 3.05	0 36.77 3.05	0 36.75 2.89	0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	36.77 3.05	0 36.8 3.05	0 36.64 2.7	0 36.8 2.5 VMM EC	0 36.7 3.1	0 36.77 3.05 IVEMS HA	0 36.77 3.05	0 36.7 3.1	0 56.5 5.2 0 36.77 3.05 IV ⁺ EMS HAI	XMK = remarks, M: macroseismic location, lc study, do: doubtful. In the column of refere Vogt [15]; BAAS: British Association for A this study], HB: Benhallou [19]; HEE [22]; M(logue).
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 36.7 3.2 IVMM EC	57 0 36.56 3.16 VEMS HL 30 0 36.75 2.89	5 0 36.77 3.05	0 0 36.77 3.05	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	42 0 36.6 2.9 IVEMS HA	25 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	— — 36.8 3.04	0 0 36.77 3.05	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 0 36.77 3.05	30 0 36.75 3.05	40 0 36.81 2.96	2015 1/105 0 2 2015 1/105 0 2		10 0 36.75 2.89	0 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	36.77 3.05	0 0 36.8 3.05	15 0 36.64 2.7	0 0 36.8 2.5 VMM EC 30 0 36.77 3.05	11 0 36.7 3.1	30 0 36.77 3.05 IVEMS HA	20 0 36.77 3.05	20 0 36.7 3.1	0 0 36.5 3.2 0 0 36.7 3.05 1V ⁺ EMS HAI	ade; RMK = remarks, M: macroseismic location, lc in this study, do: doubtful. In the column of refere /s and Vogt [15]; BAAS: British Association for A) and this study], HB: Benhallou [19]; HEE [22]; M(t catalogue).
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 0 0 36.7 3.2 IVMM EC	3 57 0 36.56 3.16 VEMS HL 3 30 0 36.75 2.89	1 5 0 36.77 3.05	0 0 0 36.77 3.05	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 0 & 10 & 0 & 36.77 & 3.05 \\ 21 & 0 & 0 & 36.77 & 3.05 \end{array}$	22 42 0 36.6 2.9 IVEMS HA	23 25 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	- $ 36.8$ 3.04	11 0 0 36.77 3.05	13 0 0 36.58 2.89 17 20 0 26.77 2.05	17 30 0 36.77 3.05	16 30 0 36.75 3.05		19 5 0 36.77 3.05 10 5 0 36.77 3.05	17 0 0 36.77 3.05	19 10 0 36.75 2.89	16 0 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	36.77 3.05	0 0 0 36.8 3.05	2 15 0 36.64 2.7	2 0 0 36.8 2.5 VMM EC 4 30 0 36.77 3.05	22 11 0 36.7 3.1	16 30 0 36.77 3.05 IVEMS HA	0 20 0 36.77 3.05		12 20 0 36.5 3.2 23 0 0 36.7 3.05 IV ⁺ EMS HAI	lity grade; RMK = remarks, M: macroseismic location, le vent in this study, do: doubtful. In the column of refere braseys and Vogt [15]; BAAS: British Association for A rbi [20 and this study], HB: Benhallou [19]; HEE [22]; MO 2anish catalogue).
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 3 0 0 36.7 3.2 IVMM EC	16 3 57 0 36.56 3.16 VEMS H. 10 3 30 0 36.75 2.89	7 1 5 0 36.77 3.05	25 0 0 0 36.77 3.05	29 0 10 0 36.77 3.05 25 21 0 0 36.77 3.05	16 22 42 0 36.6 2.9 IVEMS HA	7 23 25 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	11 36.8 3.04		17 13 0 0 36.58 2.89 24 17 20 0 36.77 2.65	27 17 30 0 36.77 3.05	22 16 30 0 36.75 3.05		20.5 // 0 2 6// 14 14 15 10 20 17 17 20 17 17 20 17 17 20 17 17 20 17 17 20 17 17 20 17 17 17 20 17 17 17 17 17	21 17 0 0 36.77 3.05	19 19 10 0 36.75 2.89	20 16 0 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	1 36.77 3.05	18 0 0 0 36.8 3.05	21 2 15 0 36.64 2.7	25 2 0 0 36.8 2.5 VMM EC 25 4 30 0 36.7 3.05	8 22 11 0 36.7 3.1	8 16 30 0 36.77 3.05 IVEMS HA	20 0 20 0 36.77 3.05		21 12 20 0 36.2 3.2 18 23 0 0 36.77 3.05 1V ⁺ EMS HAI	3: quality grade; RMK = remarks, M: macroseismic location, le t the event in this study, do: doubtful. In the column of refere <i>:</i> Ambraseys and Vogt [15]; BAAS: British Association for A R: Harbi [20 and this study], HB: Benhallou [19]; HEE [22]; MG ica (Spanish catalogue).
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Mar 1 20 0 30.1/ 5.02 Aug 8 3 0 0 36.7 3.2 IVMM EC	Jan 16 3 57 0 36.56 3.16 VEMS H. Sep 10 3 30 0 36.75 2.89	Jul 7 1 5 0 36.77 3.05	Mar 25 0 0 0 36.77 3.05	Oct 29 0 10 0 36.77 3.05 Feb 25 21 0 0 36.77 3.05	Oct 16 22 42 0 36.6 2.9 IVEMS HA	Jan 7 23 25 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA	Apr 11 36.8 3.04	Apr 13 11 0 0 36.77 3.05	Dec 17 13 0 0 36.58 2.89	Jan 27 17 30 0 36.77 3.05	Jun 22 16 30 0 36.75 3.05	Apr 13 1 40 0 36.81 2.96	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nov 19 19 10 0 36.75 2.89	Jun 20 16 0 0 36.77 3.05 IIIEMS HA Son 22 26.77 2.05	Nov I 36.77 3.05	Feb 18 0 0 0 36.8 3.05	Jan 21 2 15 0 36.64 2.7	May 25 2 0 0 36.8 2.5 VMM EC Ian 25 4 30 0 3677 3.05	Nov 8 22 11 0 36.7 3.1	May 8 16 30 0 36.77 3.05 IVEMS HA	Jun 20 0 20 0 36.77 3.05	Jun 29 0 20 0 36.7 3.1	May 21 12 20 0 56.5 5.2 1.4 18 23 0 0 26.77 3.05 1V ⁺ EMS HAT	thor; QG: quality grade; RMK = remarks, M: macroseismic location, le ation on the event in this study, do: doubtful. In the column of references: AV: Ambraseys and Vogt [15]; BAAS: British Association for A ue; HAR: Harbi [20 and this study], HB: Benhallou [19]; HEE [22]; MC ara Sismica (Spanish catalogue).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Harbi et al. / Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering I (IIII) III-III



Please cite this article as: Harbi A, et al. Seismicity, seismic input and site effects in the Sahel—Algiers region (North Algeria). Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (2006), doi:10.1016/j.soildyn.2006.10.002

ARTICLE IN PRESS



3°E

20

A. Harbi et al. / Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering I (IIII) III-III

References

- Aoudia A, Vaccari F, Suhadolc P, Meghraoui M. Seismogenic potential and earthquake hazard assessment in the Tell Atlas of Algeria. J Seismol 2000;4:79–88.
- [2] Harbi A, Maouche S, Ayadi A, Benouar D, Panza GF, Benhallou H. Seismicity and tectonic structures in the site of Algiers and its surroundings: a step towards microzonation. Pure Appl Geophys 2004;161:949–67.
- [3] Baraudon A. Algérie et Tunisie, récits de voyage et études. Plon 1893:327.
- [4] Fäh D, Iodice C, Suhadolc P, Panza GF. A new method for the realistic estimation of seismic ground motion in megacities: the case of Rome. Earthquake Spectra 1993;9:643–68.
- [5] Panza GF, Vaccari F, Costa G, Suhadolc P, Fäh D. Seismic input modelling for zoning and microzoning. Earthquake Spectra 1996;12:529–66.
- [6] Panza GF, Romanelli F, Vaccari F. Seismic wave propagation in laterally heterogeneous anelastic media: theory and applications to the seismic zonation. Adv Geophys 2000;43:1–95.
- [7] Panza GF, Alvarez L, Aoudia A, Ayadi A, Benhallou H, Benouar D, et al. Realistic modelling of seismic input for megacities and large urban areas, the UNESCO-IUGS-IGCP project 414. Episodes, vol. 25(3), 2002. p. 160–84.
- [8] Meghraoui M. Géologie des zones sismiques du nord de l'Algérie: Paléosismologie, Tectonique Active et Synthèse Sismotectonique. Thèse de Doctorat es Sciences, Paris sud, Centre d'Orsay, 1988. 356pp.
- [9] Hée A. Note sur le tremblement de terre du 05 novembre. Annuaire Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, 2^e partie, séismologie 1924;95–8.
- [10] Benouar D. Materials for the investigation of the seismicity of Algeria and adjacent regions during the twentieth century. Ann Geofis 1994;XXXVII(4):459–860.
- [11] Meghraoui M. Blind reverse faulting system associated with the Mont Chenoua-Tipaza earthquake of 29 October 1989 (north-central Algeria). Terra Nova 1991;3:84–93.
- [12] Bounif A, Bezzeghoud M, Dorbath L, Legrand D, Deschamps A, Rivera L, et al. Seismic source study of the 1989, October 29, Chenoua (Algeria) earthquake from aftershocks, broad-band and strong ground motion records. Ann Geophys 2003;46(4):625–46.
- [13] Sebaï A. Analyse sismologique des séismes récents du Sahel d'Alger. Magister thesis, IST-USTHB, Alger, 1997. 178pp.
- [14] Maouche S, Benouar D, Harbi A, Benhallou H. The Algiers (Algeria) earthquake of 4 September 1996. Eur Earth Eng 1998;XII(1):51–5.
- [15] Ambraseys NN, Vogt J. Material for the investigation of the seismicity of the region of Algiers. Eur Earthquake Eng 1988;3:16–29.
- [16] Oussadou F. Contribution à la sismotectonique de l'Algérie occidentale par la sismicité, les mécanismes au foyer, les mesures de déformation et la tectonique générale. Magister thesis, FSTGAT/ USTHB, Alger, 2002. 133pp.
- [17] Mokrane A, Ait Messaoud A, Sebai A, Ayadi A, Bezzeghoud M, Benhallou H. Les séismes en Algérie de 1365 à 1992. Alger: Publication du Centre de Recherche en Astronomie, Astrophysique et Géophysique, Département: Etudes et Surveillance Sismique, ESS, C.R.A.A.G, 1994. 277pp.
- [18] Rothé JP. Les séismes de Kherrata et la séismicité de l'Algérie. Bull. Serv. Cart. Geol. Algérie, 1950;4ème série, Géophysique, N. 3.
- [19] Benhallou H. Les Catastrophes Séismiques de la Région d'Echéliff dans le contexte de la Séismicité Historique de l'Algérie. Thèse de Doctorat Es-Sciences, IST-USTHB, Alger, 1985. 294pp.
- [20] Harbi A, Benouar D, Benhallou H. Re-appraisal of seismicity and seismotectonics in the north-eastern Algeria Part I: review of historical seismicity. J Seismol 2003;7:115–36.
- [21] Milne J. Catalogue of destructive earthquakes. In: Report of the 18th meeting of BAAS, Portsmouth, London, UK, 1911. p. 649–740.
- [22] Hée A. Catalogue des séismes algériens de 1850 à 1911. Ann Inst Phys 1950:41–9.

- [23] Vogt J. Mouvements de terrain associés aux séismes en Afrique du Nord. Méditerranée 1984;1(2):43–8.
- [24] USGS/NEIC. Epic User's Guide, Retrieval Software for the Global Hypocenter Data Base 1994. CD-ROM, Version 3.0.
- [25] Grammont (De) HD. Correspondance des Consuls d'Alger, Paris: Edition Adolphe Jourdan; 1890. 294pp.
- [26] Delphin G. Histoire des Pachas d'Alger de 1515 à 1745, Extrait d'une chronique indigène de Abdallah Mohammed Ben El Hadj Youssef Echouihat (History of Algiers Pacha from 1515 to 1745, extract of native chronicle of Abdallah Mohammed Ben El Hadj Youssef Echouihat). J Asiatique 1922;onzième série, tome XIX:162–233.
- [27] Al Djillali A. Tarikh El Djazair el Aam (the General History of Algeria). 7th ed. Alger: OPU; 1995.
- [28] Saidani NE. Dirassat wa Abhat fi Tarikh El Djazair (studies and research in the history of Algeria), vol. 2. Alger: ENAL; 1988.
- [29] Al Madani T. Mémoires de Hadj Cherif Ez-Zahar. Alger: SNED; 1986.
- [30] Hée A. La fréquence des tremblements de Terre en Algérie 1911–1924. Monogr Bur Centr Seismol Int 1925;série B (2):111–54.
- [31] Hée A. La séismicité de l'Afrique du Nord, 1911–1931. Matér l'Etude Calamités 1932;4(28):291–6.
- [32] Hée A. La fréquence des tremblements de terre en Algérie, 1911–1932. Monogr Bur Cent Seism Int 1933:99.
- [33] Hée A. Tremblements de Terre en Algérie de 1919 à 1935. Annuaire de l'Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg 1935; 2^e partie, Séismologie.
- [34] Hée A. Tremblements de Terre en Algérie de 1936 à 1939. Annales de l'Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, 1939; Nouvelle série, 2^e partie, Séismologie, t, I,..., IV.
- [35] Grandjean A. Séismes d'Algérie de 1940 à 1950 inclus. Ann. Inst. Phys. Globe de Strasbourg 1954; 3ème partie. Géophysique, VII, 83.
- [36] Gutenberg B, Richter CF. Seismicity of the earth and associated phenomena. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1954 310pp.
- [37] Karnik V. Seismicity of the European area Part 1. Prague and Dordrecht-Holland; 1969. 364pp.
- [38] Mezcua J, Martinez JM. Sismidad Del Area Ibero Mogrebi. Madrid, Spain: Seccion de Sismologia, Inst. Geogr. Nacional; 1983.
- [39] Yelles AK, Deramchi A, Ferkoul A, Aoulaiche K. Les séismes en Algérie de 1992 à 2001. Alger: C.R.A.AG Publication; 2002 216pp.
- [40] Perrey A. Note sur les tremblements de terre en Algérie et dans l'Afrique septentrionale. Mém Acad Sci B-L Dijon 1847:299–323.
- [41] Chesneau M. Note sur les tremblements de terre en Algérie. Mém Ann Des Mines 1892;Série 9, tome I.
- [42] Sieberg, A. Erdbebengeographie. Handbuch der Geophysik, Band IV, Berlin: 1932. p. 864–971.
- [43] Roussel J. Les zones actives et la fréquence des séismes en Algérie 1716–1970. Bull Soc Hist Nat Afr Nord 1973;64(3):11–227.
- [44] Anonymous. Notes sur les divers événements qui se sont passes dans l'ancienne régence d'Alger, à partir de l'année 1757 jusqu'à l'année 1802, Année 1122 de l'hégire (1716 de JC).
- [45] Anonymous. Voyage à Alger, description de cette ville, de ses environs et du royaume d'Alger. Paris: Edition Lecointe, 1830. 142pp.
- [46] Ayadi A, Maouche S, Harbi A, Meghraoui M, Beldjoudi H, Oussadou F, et al. Strong Algerian earthquake strikes near capital city. EOS Trans Am Geophys Union 2003;84(50):561–8.
- [47] Martinez Solares JM, Lopez Arroyo A. The great historical 1755 earthquake: effects and damage in Spain. J Seismol 2004;8:275–94.
- [48] Playfair A. Annales of British relations with Algiers prior to the French conquest. London: Smith; 1891.
- [49] Grammont (De) HD. Histoire d'Alger sous la domination turque. Paris, 1887.
- [50] Guyon. Lettres sur le tremblement de terre du 14 avril 1839. Comptes Rendus des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, 1839; janvier-juin, p. 763–64.
- [51] Gleangeaud L. Etude géologique de la région littorale de la province d'Alger. Alger: Bull. serv. Carte géol., 1932; 2^e série, strat, no. 8.
- [52] Aymé A. Le Quaternaire littoral des environs d'Alger. In: Actes du Congrès Panafricain de Préhistoire, IIe session, Alger, 1952. p. 242–6.

- [53] Aymé A. Modifications récentes survenues dans le réseau hydrographique du Sahel pendant le Quaternaire, t. XLVII. B.S.H.N. Afr. Du Nord, 1956. p. 50–6.
- [54] Saoudi N. Pliocene et Pleistocene inférieur et moyen du Sahel occidental d'Alger. Alger: ENAG; 1989 174pp.
- [55] Betrouni M. Le pleistocène supérieur du littoral Ouest Algérois. Aix, Marseille II: Th. 3eme Cycle, Fac. Des sciences de Luminy, 1983.
- [56] Maouche S. Etude sismotectonique dans l'Algérois et les zones limitrophes de Cherchell-Gouraya. Magister thesis, FSTGAT/ USTHB, Alger; 2002. 130pp.
- [57] Gusev AA. Descriptive statistical model of earthquake source radiation and its application to an estimation of short period strong motion. Geophys J R Astron Soc 1983;74:787–800.
- [58] Tassy (De) Laugier. Histoire du Royaume d'Alger, vol. XXV. Amsterdam: chez Henri du Sauzet M. DCC. p. 348.
- [59] Laouami N, Slimani A, Bouhadad Y, Nour A, Larbes S. Analysis of strong ground motions registered by the Algerian accelerograph network during the 21st May 2003 main shock of the Boumerdes, Algeria, earthquake. Mém Serv Géol Alg 2004;12: 93–102.