



1986-14

WCRP and ICTP Interpreting Climate Change Simulations: Capacity Building for Developing Nations Seminar

26 - 30 November 2007

Changes in variability and extremes from the CMIP3 ensemble.

Jouni Raisanen

Department of Physical Sciences Division of Atmospheric Scinces University of Helsinki Finland Changes in variability and extremes from the CMIP3 ensemble

Jouni Räisänen

Department of Physical Sciences, Division of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland

Outline

- Mean climate, variability and extremes: some statistical issues
- Changes in interannual variability from CMIP3
- Changes in extremes of daily temperature and precipitation
- Brief remarks on wind extremes

Changes in extremes depend on both changes in mean climate and variability



IPCC WG1 (2001) Fig. 2.32

In some cases, changes in the shape of the distribution might also matter (but information on them is very limited)

Hypothetical example 1 (1)

- Assume that present-day monthly mean temperature at location X in month Y is normally distributed with mean = 10°C and standard deviation = 2°C.
- The 90th percentile of the distribution is then 12.56°C and the 99th percentile is 14.66°C



Hypothetical example 1 (2)

- Now assume that the mean temperature increases by 2°C and the standard deviation by 20% (=0.4°C)
- The 90th percentile of the distribution increases from 12.56°C to 15.07°C (2.51°C) and the 99th percentile from 14.66°C to 17.59°C (2.93°C)
- Without the change in variability, both the 90th and 99th percentiles would have increased by **2°C**.
- Changes in variability are most important in the extreme tail of the distribution (but even there, they may be less important than the change in the mean).



Hypothetical example 2

- As Example 1, but for daily temperatures with presentday mean = 10°C and standard deviation = 4°C
- The **90th** and the **99th** percentiles in different cases:

	90 th percentile	99 th percentile			
Present-day	15.13ºC	19.31°C			
Mean +2°C	17.13ºC	21.31°C			
	(∆ = 2.00°C)	(∆ =2.00°C)			
Mean +2°C, StDev +20%	18.15°C	23.17ºC			
	(∆ = 3.02°C)	(∆ =3.86°C)			

 Changes in variability are potentially more important for extremes on short (daily) than long (monthly-to-annual) time scales – provided that relative changes in variability do not depend strongly on time scale.

Probability of exceeding present-day extremes

 How frequently would temperature exceed the presentday 99th percentiles (monthly: 14.66°C daily: 19.31°C) in the previous examples?

	$T_{mon} > T_{mon}(99\%)$	$T_{day} > T_{day}(99\%)$
Mean +2°C	P = 9.2%	P = 3.4%
Mean +2°C, StDev +20%	P = 13.4%	P = 6.4%

- Relatively small changes in mean climate and variability can lead to large changes in the frequency of extremes
- Changes in the frequency of extremes are potentially larger on long (monthly-to-annual) than short (daily) time scales, because the same change in the mean produces a larger relative shift in a narrower distribution

Probability of exceeding present-day extremes (2)



Changes in the magnitude versus in the frequency of extremes: precipitation

- Assume that present-day precipitation in location X in month Y is normally distributed with mean = 100 mm and StDev= 50 mm → 90th percentile = 100 + 1.2816 × 50 mm = 164 mm.
- Assume that **both the mean and the standard deviation increase by 20%.** After these changes:
 - 90th percentile = 120 + 1.2816 × 60 mm = 197 mm (relative change = 20%)
 - probability of exceeding the old 90th percentile of 164 mm:
 23%, i.e., relative change = (23-10)/10 x 100% = 130%!
- Changes in the magnitude and frequency of extremes must not be mixed (even when they may be expressed in similar units)!

Changes in interannual climate variability

Ways to characterize changes in interannual variability

- Changes in modes of variability: ENSO, NAO, etc.
 - process-level insight (hopefully?)
 - in most parts of the world, a single mode explains only a limited part of all variability
- Changes in the magnitude of local variability
 - simple to do \rightarrow <u>approach adopted in this talk</u>
 - net effect of all phenomena
 - physical interpretation often difficult

Changes in interannual variability of temperature and precipitation in CMIP3

- Analysis using data from 22 models, for the period 1901-2098 (A1B scenario)
- Regression-based approach magnitude of interannual variability of monthly T and monthly P regressed against global mean T
- Results shown in normalized form: per cent change in standard deviation per 1°C global mean warming
- **3-month averaging** over DJF and JJA seasons

Change in interannual StDev of monthly mean temperature per 1°C global warming: Northern Hemisphere winter (DJF)



Colours: 22-model mean change Stippling: at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of the change

- Multi-model mean changes below 5% / °C in most land areas
- Decrease in variability where ice and snow retreat
- Increase in variability in many low-latitude / Southern Hemisphere land areas (decrease of soil moisture, increase in land-sea T contrast?)

Changes in temperature variability: a few possible mechanisms

- Decrease in sea ice
 - Air temperature over open water dictated by SST

Decrease in snow cover

 Stronger ground-air heat exchange over snow-free than snowcovered ground

• Decrease in soil moisture

- soil moisture abundant → evaporation tends to increase with increasing temperature, (i) cooling the surface, and possibly (ii) increasing cloudiness
- soil moisture limited → evaporation unable to limit temperature variability
- Changes in time-mean temperature gradient
- Others?

Change in interannual StDev of monthly mean temperature per 1°C global warming: Northern Hemisphere summer (JJA)



Colours: 22-model mean change **Stippling:** at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of the change

- Increase in variability over most of the Northern Hemisphere continents (note central Europe)
- Few land areas with good agreement between models, partly because the signal-to-noise ratio is low in many areas

Changes in mean precipitation and interannual StDev of precipitation per 1°C global warming

Mean precipitation

Standard deviation



First approximation: changes in StDev follow changes in mean!

Change in the coefficient of variation (standard deviation / mean) of monthly precipitation per 1°C global warming

Dec-Jan-Feb

Jun-Jul-Aug



- 1) Changes generally small
- 2) Tendency to increase in most areas where mean precipitation decreases (connection to decreasing number of precipitation days? – Räisänen (2002), J. Clim, 15, 2395-2411)
- 3) Changes of varying sign where mean precipitation increases

Changes in extremes and variability on the daily time scale

This part is mostly based on

Kharin, V.V., et al. (2007): Changes in temperature and precipitation extremes in the IPCC ensemble of global coupled model simulations. Journal of Climate, 20, 1419-1444.

Some figures are also shown from

Sun, Y., et al. (2007): How often will it rain? Journal of Climate, 20, 4801-4818.

Kharin et al. (2007)

- 12-14 CMIP3 models for T, 14-16 for P
- **B1**, **A1B** and **A2** scenarios (focus on **A1B**)
- Near past: 1981-2000
- Mid-century: 2046-2065
- End-of-century: 2081-2100
- 20-year return values of
 - minimum temperature
 - maximum temperature
 - maximum one-day precipitaiton
- Generalised extreme value theory (GEV) for estimating extremes

How well can models simulate extremes in the present climate?

20-year warm and cold extremes, zonal means over land, 1981-2000

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 3



 Large inter-model variability in cold extremes in the extratropics
 Large differences in cold extremes between the NCEP2 (---) and ERA40 (- -) reanalyses (→ station data badly needed for real verification!)

20-year return values of one-day precipitation, zonal means, 1981-2000



- 1) Not too bad in extratropical latitudes, although a slight tendency to underestimation of extremes
- 2) Explosion of inter-model differences in the tropics (factor of five range in zonal mean!)
- 3) General underestimation of extremes in the tropics, compared with the most recent reanalysis products

How are temperature extremes simulated to change in the future?

Multi-model mean changes in 20-yr warm and cold extremes: A1B, 1981-2000 \rightarrow 2046-2065



- 1) Both warm and cold extremes become warmer
- 2) In high latitudes, cold extremes rise more than warm extremes
- 3) No systematic W/C-difference in low latitudes

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 9

Changes in 20-year temperature extremes versus changes in mean temperature

Change in 20-year maximum minus Change in 20-year minimum minus average change in warmest month average change in coldest month



Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 9

- 1) Warming of warm extremes broadly follows the average summer warming (or, even if there are differences, they are not very consistent between models).
- 2) Warming of cold extremes exceeds the winter mean warming where snow and ice retreat

How often will 20-year T maxima from 1981-2000 be exceeded in 2046-2065?



Once in 2 3 5 10 15 20 years

Largest increase in frequency in low latitudes, where interannual temperature variability is small

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 9

How rare will temperatures below the 20-year minima from 1981-2000 be in 2046-2065?

Waiting times for $T_{min,20}^{(1990)}$ in 2046–2065, med=+ ∞ yrs

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 9

Once in 20 30 50 100 200 500 years

In most parts of the world, cold extremes (as we know them today) are projected to become almost non-existent by the mid-21st century

Example of variation between models and forcing scenarios: Change in T_{min20} from 1981-2000 to 2081-2100



Intermodel range = factor of 2-3 in many regions

Substantial overlap between scenarios in the extratropics

GLB = Global mean, LND = Global land mean,..., AFR = Africa, ASI = Asia,...,etc.

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 12

What about extremes of seasonal mean temperature?

Frequency of 'record warm'* winter and summer seasons in 2050-2099: a simple analysis of SRES A1B simulations by 22 models

Fredune, July-August extremes JJA (%)



- If the warming proceeds as simulated, <u>almost all</u> seasons in the late 21st century will exceed the 20th century records in the tropics where the interannual variability is smallest, and a considerable fraction of them will also exceed these records in extratropical latitudes
- * Warmer than any simulated winter/summer in 1901-2007

Simulated changes in extreme one-day precipitation

Multi-model median changes in annual mean precipitation: A1B, 1981-2000 \rightarrow 2081-2100

 $\Delta \overline{P}$, %, 2081–2100, SRES A1B, avg=+3.4%



-10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 30 %

Areas with no significant change (at the 10% level, using a Wilcoxon test) are left blank

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 13

Multi-model median changes in 20-year return value of one-day precipitation: A1B, 1981-2000 \rightarrow 2081-2100

 ΔP_{20} , %, 2081–2100, SRES A1B; avg=+12.3%

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 13

- -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 30 %
- 1. Widespread increase
- 2. Areas of decrease coincide with decreasing mean precipitation
- 3. Where mean precipitation increases, the change in 20-year return value may be %-wise larger (e.g., Tropics) or slightly smaller (Arctic) than the change in the mean

How often will 20-year precipitation maxima from 1981-2000 be exceeded in 2081-2100?

Waiting time for $P_{20}^{(1990)}$ in 2081–2100, med=8.6 yrs



Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 13



- 1. Changes in frequency %-wise much larger than changes in return value (as expected)
- 2. Frequency of precipitation extremes changes much less than that of temperature extremes

Example of variation between models and forcing scenarios: Change in P_{max,20} from 1981-2000 to 2081-2100



Very large intermodel range particularly in low-latitude regions, but few cases of decrease.

GLB = Global mean, LND = Global land mean,..., AFR = Africa, ASI = Asia,...,etc.

Kharin et al. (2007), Fig. 15

How do changes in extreme precipitation relate to global mean warming?



Vertical axis: global-mean changes in 20-year one-day precipitation (logarithmic scale)
Horizontal axis: global mean temperature change
Symbols: 14 models, two periods, three forcing scenarios

How do changes in extreme precipitation relate to global mean warming? (2)



In most models, the <u>global average magnitude of extremes</u> increases by **4-11%** for each 1°C global warming. Outliers on the low side: GISS ER (8), INM CM3.0 (9) Outliers on the high side: GFDL CM2.0 (5), GFDL CM2.1 (6) Changes in other aspects of precipitation variability (Sun et al. 2007)

Change in the average number of precipitation days (Sun et al. 2007)



colours = decrease

* B1 scenario, 14 models, 1980-1999 \rightarrow 2080-2099 * All days with P > 0.1 mm counted as precipitation days

Change in average precipitation intensity (Sun et al. 2007)



colours = increase

Precipitation intensity = mean precipitation of all 'wet' days with P > 0.1 mm.

Changes in intensity vs. frequency vs. total precipitation (Sun et al. 2007)

Intensity (>0 in colour)

Frequency (< 0 in colour)

Total precip (>0 in colour)



- Where total precipitation increases, more precipitation falls in an average precipitation day
- Where total precipitation decreases, the number of precipitation days decreases
- Where the change in total precipitation is small, both may happen.

Change in the maximum length of dry spells (1980-1999 \rightarrow 2080-2099), A1B, 9 models



Where the total number of precipitation days decreases, the longest dry spells generally become longer.

Changes in precipitation variability and extremes: summary

	Fraction of global area (schematic only) →						
Number of precipitation days	+	+	+				
Total annual precipitation	+	+	+	+	-	1	
Average precipitation intensity	+	+	+	+	+		
Extreme precipitation	+	+	+	+	+	+	

- <u>avoid over-generalization</u>: changes not the same in all areas (or all models)
- <u>resolution of current models</u> might still be a problem in some areas: (i) geography, (ii) convective storms, (iii) tropical cyclones, etc.

What about wind extremes?

- Changes in surface wind speeds
 - we don't really know what the models are doing
 - <u>scalar</u> wind speed not included in CMIP3
- Phenomenological view from IPCC AR4
 - poleward shift in extratropical cyclone activity
 - 'a number of modeling studies have projected a general tendency for more intense but fewer* storms outside the tropics...'
 - stronger but possibly fewer* tropical cyclones

* Stronger but fewer... Perhaps the balance conditions of the general circulation "do not need" as many storms when a few stronger storms suffice to do whatever storms are supposed to do ...?