



1986-9

WCRP and ICTP Interpreting Climate Change Simulations: Capacity Building for Developing Nations Seminar

26 - 30 November 2007

IPCC WGI Radiative forcing of climate change.

V. Ramaswamy

Princeton University - U.S. Department of Commerce/N.O.A.A. Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Forrestal Campus P.O. Box 308, 08542 N.J. Princeton UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



Radiative forcing of climate change

V. Ramaswamy

NOAA/ Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory

Presentation at ICTP, Trieste; November 27, 2007



Figure 1: Major components needed to understand the climate system and climate change.



Components of the Climate Change Process



Global Mean Radiative Forcings

Best estimate and range for individual terms; ranges given by 90% confidence interval.

Note differences in spatial scale

Time-scale: varies between mechanisms; difficult to characterize CO₂'s lifetime by a single value.

Figure TS-5 (Panel A)



Molecule	Spectral Range cm ⁻¹	Band Strength cm atm at 296K
CO2	550-800	220
0 ₃	950-1200	312
N ₂ O	1200-1350	218
CH4	950-1650	134
CFCI ₃ (CFC11)	800-900	1828
CF ₂ Cl ₂ (CFC12)	875-950	1446
CF ₃ CI (CFC13)	1075-1125	1758

Glacial-Interglacial Ice Core Data



Change in carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide concentrations over last 650,000 years, from Antarctic ice cores, and recent atmospheric measurements. Two temperature proxy timeseries are also shown. [Figure 6.3]

<u>Points</u>

Long-term record, esp. CO_2 , CH_4 N_2O record not as continuous

Long-lived greenhouse gas records approximately equivalent to global mixing ratio values

Temperature record is more local to Antarctica



Change in carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide concentrations and radiative forcing over last 10,000 years, and (inset) from 1750-2005 [Figure SPM-1].

Increase since 1750 is unprecedented in record CO_2 radiative forcing has increased by 20% in last 10 years

Carbon dioxide increases are due to anthropogenic emissions



IPCC AR4 Chapter 7











Some species (CFC-11, CFC-12) flattening or going down because of Protocols Some species (HCFC-22, SF6) increasing Overall slight increase in halocarbon radiative forcing since the time of the TAR Not elucidated on in SPM, as recently evaluated in IPCC/TEAP (2005) report







Figure 7.18





Back Scattering (Cooling)

Absorption (Atmospheric Warming) Absorption (Column Warming)

+ Forward Scattering

> Dimming of Surface Surface Cooling

Cloud Evaporation (Warming)

Cloud Seeding (Cooling)

Suppression of Rain; increase of life time Cooling

MODIS AOD: April 2003





FORCING - RESPONSE RELATION

{at equilibrium}

$$\Delta T_{\rm s} = \lambda * (\Delta F)$$

- $T_s = global-mean$, annual-mean surface temperature
- ΔF = global-mean, annual-mean radiative forcing evaluated at tropopause after equilibration of stratosphere
- λ = global-mean climate sensitivity factor (parameter)



Radiative Forcing of Tropospheric Ozone Increases



- Long-Lived Greenhouse Gases (LLGHGs): Use the observed record, together with radiative transfer calculations, to determine the Radiative Forcing.
- For other species e.g., aerosols, tropospheric ozone, observations are less extensive, there is more spatial inhomogeneity.

Other methods e.g., three-dimensional chemistrytransport models, together with relevant observations, used to determine the Radiative Forcing.

Since the TAR, improved understanding and better quantification of the forcing mechanisms

> Computing Radiative Forcing [1750-2005]

Total aerosol optical depth (natural+anthropogenic components) at mid-visible wavelength, from satellite instruments, and complemented by two different kinds of ground-based measurements [Figure TS-4 (top)]



Observations reveal the presence and provide quantitative aspects.
Aerosol transport-forcing models better tested and constrained.
More improved estimate of the Aerosol Direct Radiative Forcing.

- Global observations available only over the past approximately 25 years.
- Models used that describe the transport and distribution of aerosols based on natural and anthropogenic emissions.

Aerosol species:

Sulphate, nitrate, fossil fuel organic carbon, fossil fuel black carbon, biomass burning, mineral dust, sea salt

('red' = significant anthropogenic component)





Estimates of the Aerosol Direct Radiative Forcing (sulphate, fossil fuel black and organic carbon, biomass burning, dust and nitrate) from different models [Fig. 2.13]



More models that contain aerosol species beyond sulphate

Observations used to apply constraints to combined aerosol direct radiative forcing



Estimates of the Cloud Albedo radiative forcing due to aerosols from different models [Figure 2.14]



More model studies since the TAR, many include more species

Those with more aerosol species or constrained by satellite observations have a weaker radiative forcing









Figure 7.23





Reconstruction of the Total Solar Irradiance [Figure 2.17]



New reconstruction yields smaller solar radiative forcing estimate than in the TAR - based on: a) solar magnetic flux model rather than proxy data; b) better understanding of recent variations; c) re-evaluation of variations in Sun-like stars

Revised solar radiative forcing much smaller than long-lived greenhouse gas forcing since pre-industrial times

Solar indirect effects on stratospheric ozone not included

Visible optical depth from stratospheric sulphate aerosols in the aftermath of explosive volcanic eruptions [Fig. 2.18]



Explosive volcanic eruptions are episodic.
Aerosols from an explosive volcanic eruption are transitory (lasting ~1-2 years).

- Galactic cosmic rays: Not-evaluated no proven physical mechanism, and studies comparing with changes in global cloud cover are inconsistent.
- Aviation: Linear contrails radiative forcing only evaluated. Aviation induced cirrus too uncertain to quantify. Other aviation effects implicitly included in other radiative forcing terms.
- Water vapour is a powerful greenhouse gas, but changes are associated with the climate response/feedback and not included on the *forcing "barplot"* [Fig. SPM-2]. Climate models include this feedback in their evaluation of temperature changes



Figure 2.19



Figure 2.20







NETF_TOA inst chg (V/m²) total 2000-1860 gbl mean = 1.859



NETF_TOA inst chg (W/m²) wmggo3 2000-1860 gbl mean = -1.719

16

Longitude

65

120

19

-2 -1

-5

-0.5 0

30

-

25

192

Lothude

NETF_TOA inst chg (W/m²) anthro 2000-1860 gbl mean - 1.410



NETF_TOA inst chg (W/m²) natural 2000-1860 gbl mean - 0.449



Figure 2.24



Key Issues

.....Urgent progress needed.....

- Causes of recent changes in methane growth rates
- Roles of different factors in tropospheric ozone increase
- Aerosol distributions
- Aerosol-cloud interactions
- Water vapor increases in the stratosphere
- Land-surface properties and land-atmosphere interactions.
- Solar irradiance changes on decadal-to-centuries scales.
- Emissions, concentrations and forcings in future → GHGs and aerosols

Observed Variability of Dust for the last 50 Years

Dust concentration at Barbados (Prospero and Lamb, 2003)



Aerosol Indirect Effects (1st and 2nd)







Fig. 5. Aircraft data illustrating the increase in cloud drops with aerosol number concentration. References for the data are as follows: North Sea (28), Nova Scotia and North Atlantic (29), ACE-2 (30), Astex (31), the thick red line is obtained from a composite theoretical parameterization that fits the INDOEX aircraft data for the Arabian Sea (23). The gray-shaded region is the INDOEX aircraft data for the Arabian Sea (32).

Ramanathan et al. (2001)



Figure 7.24

A "WIN-LOSE" CASE: Global decreases in sulfate aerosol contribute to warmer U.S. summers

Change in Summer Temperature from 2000 to 2090 (°C) resulting from projected changes in air pollutants



Warming over U.S. is due in part to decreases in sulfate driven by pollution control efforts (better air quality; not so for climate)

in GFDL Climate Model [Levy et al., JGR 2007, in press]



FAQ 2.1, Figure 1



Figure 2.7





Figure 2.11

Comparison of Clear-Sky SW @ TOA









Cloud albedo and lifetime effect (negative radiative effect for warm clouds at TOA; less precipitation and less solar radiation at the surface)



Semi-direct effect (positive radiative effect at TOA for soot inside clouds, negative for soot above clouds)



Glaciation effect (positive radiative effect at TOA and more precipitation), thermodynamic effect (sign of radiative effect and change in precipitation not vet known)



Only the change of cloud albedo induced by aerosols in the context of liquid water clouds, is considered to be radiative forcing

Other processes are not considered as radiative forcings. However, they are included in climate models that explicitly consider the relevant processes

Aerosol effects on ice clouds are poorly understood, and are not quantified.

Aerosol cloud interactions [Figure 7.20]





Radiative Forcing from Cloud Albedo Effect

Figure 2.14

Combining anthropogenic forcing estimates



Panel B.

Combined anthropogenic forcing is not straight sum of individual terms.

Tropospheric ozone, cloud-albedo, contrails \rightarrow asymmetric range about the central estimate

Uncertainties for the agents represented by normal distributions except: contrail (lognormal); discrete values \rightarrow trop. ozone, direct aerosol (sulphate, fossil fuel black and organic carbon, biomass burning), cloud albedo

Monte Carlo calculations to derive probability density functions for the combined effect

Only derived for the global-mean



Radiative forcing of climate between 1750 and 2005

FAQ 2.1, Figure 2



Figure 2.17



Figure 2.18



Components of radiative forcing for principal emissions

Figure 2.21







NETF_SFC inst chg (W/m') total 2000-1860 gbl mean = -1.009



NETF_SFC inst chg (W/m') wmggo3 2000-1860 gbl mean = 1.047

10

Longitude

-0.5

-3

0.5

BOW.

-

34

16

10

100

Latitude

NETF_SFC inst chg (W/m²) anthra 2000-1880 gbl mean = -1.332







-10. -6.0 -3.0 -2.0 -1.0 -0.50 0.0 0.50 1.0 2.0 3.0 6.0 10.



