



1934-1

Fourth ICTP Workshop on the Theory and Use of Regional Climate Models: Applying RCMs to Developing Nations in Support of Climate Change Assessment and Extended-Range Prediction

3 - 14 March 2008

Regional Climate Modelling in the Climate Change Problem: Recent Advances and future direction

CHRISTENSEN Jens Hesselbjerg Danish Climate Centre Danish Meteorological Institute Lyngbyvej 100 DK-2100 Copenhagen DENMARK Regional Climate Modelling in the Climate Change Problem: Recent Advances and future

directions

Jens Hesselbjerg Christensen Danish Climate Centre

Danish Meteorological Institute

http://www.dmi.dk

4th ICTP Workshop on the Theory & Use of REGional Climate Models Applying RCMs to Developing Nations in Support of Climate Change Assessment & Extended-Range Prediction March 3 - 14, 2008

Outline

- Regional climate change the IPCC approach
- Europe as an example
 - Issues
 - Dynamical downscaling
- Research needs
- Summary and conclusions





(Anomalies relative to 1980-99)

Unprecedented coordinated climate change experiments from 16 groups (11 countries) and 23 models collected at PCMDI (31 terabytes of model data), openly available, accessed by over 950 scientists; nearly 200 papers

Committed warming averages 0.1°C per decade for the first two decades of the 21st century; across all scenarios, the average warming is 0.2°C per decade for that time period (recent observed trend 0.2°C per decade)

DIA

Future climate



DIN



Multi-model average precipitation % change, medium scenario (A1B), representing seasonal precipitation regimes, total differences 2090-99 minus 1980-99

DIN



White areas are where less than two thirds of the models agree in the sign of the change

DI



Stippled areas are where more than 90% of the models agree in the sign of the change

Precipitation increases very likely in high latitudes Decreases likely in most subtropical land regions This continues the observed patterns in recent trends

D II



Agreement amongst AR4 GCMs (21 models) in the A1B scenario







Regions defined in the TAR/AR4

Temperature change relative to global mean



(Giorgi et al. GRL, 2001)



The RCM approach



PRUDENCE special issue in Climatic Change, 2007, **81 Supl. 1**



The RCM



With acknowledgement to Ole B. Christensen



Regions defined in the TAR/AR4

Temperature change relative to global mean



Classifying Climate Regimes



P II

PRUDENCE GCM-RCM

	CNRM	DM I	ETHZ	GKSS	Η	ICT C P	KNMI	MP I	SMHI	UCM
A2+HadAM3H		3	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1
A2+ECHAM4		1							1	
A2+ARPEGE3	1									
B2+HadAM3H					1	1			1	1
B2+ECHAM4		1							1	
B2+ARPEGE3	3									

30 I B



Classifying Climate Regimes



Castro et al. 2007



PRUDENCE domains







Model bias



CHRM

CLM

Jacob et al. 2007

RCAO-44 **RCAO-22** PROMES

REMO

RegCM



Change in mean temperature





Change in mean temperature



Change in precipitation (%)

Change in JAS mean precip (2071-2100 minus 1961-1990)



EU PRUDENCE project

Christensen & Christensen Nature 2003

Change in precipitation (%)

Mean

>99% percentile





Christensen & Christensen Nature 2003



Summer Temperatures 1864-2003



Schär, ETH Zürich

- June, August, and JJA have the characteristics of outliers
- There is no other event (other months, cold and warm events) of this kind in the whole data series

Schär et al. Nature 2004

Summer Temperatures



DI

Assessing uncertainty of regional changes

- Construct a probability distribution function (*PDF*) of climate change
- Combine PDF from
 - global annual mean temperature increase
 - change in regional temperature/precipitation
 - per degree of global temperature increase (Jones, 2000)
- (Uniform distributions from within a range)
- Normal distribution* of *PDF* for the scaling variables, log normal for global increase
- Full range of uncertainty

*(estimated from ANalysis Of VAriance (ANOVA))



(2071-2100) wrt. (1961-1990)



Ekström et al. (2005)





Example: Denmark Change /°C global warming





Temperature[°C] (DJF)	(MAM)	(JJA)	(SON)
1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2
1.4(1.3,1.6)	1.4(1.3,1.5)	1.4(1.3,1.5)	1.6(1.5,1.7)
1.0(1.0,1.1)	1.0(0.9,1.1)	1.1(1.0,1.1)	1.2(1.2,1.3)
0.6(0.5,0.8)	0.6(0.5,0.8)	0.7(0.6,0.8)	0.9(0.7,1.0)





Precipitation [%] (DJF)	(MAM)	(JJA)	(SON)
9.8	3.6	-6.4	1.5
3.7	2.2	3.5	4.1
10.1	3.3	-7	1.7
15.9(13.8,17.9)	7.3(6.1,8.4)	-0.6(-2.3,1.2)	8.3(6.1,10.5)
9.8(8.5,11.1)	3.6(2.9,4.4)	-6.4(-7.5,-5.2)	1.5(0.0,2.9)
3.7(1.7,5.7)	-0.0(-1.2,1.1)	-12.2(-14.1,-10.3)	-5.3(-7.5,-3.0)

-5.0 0.0 5.0



Copenhagen



Precip skill



50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100

DIA

50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 Total skill



50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100



Annual Mean Surface Air Temp Response (°C)



Some research questions

- How do we best assess the quality of models?
- Can we use an ensembles approach that allows us to produce pdf's based on many models weighted according to some metric?
- How can we define appropriate metrics?
- ENSEMBLES addresses this



ENSEMBLES GCM-RCM Matrix

Global model							Total
Regional	METO-HC	MPIMET	IPSL	CNRM NERSC CGC		CGCM3	number
model							
METO-HC	1950-2100 [£]	1950-2100					2 (4)
MPIMET		1950-2100	1950-2050*				2
CNRM				1950-2050			2
DMI		1950-2100		1950-2050*			2
ETH	1950-2050						1
KNMI		1950-2050					1
ICTP		1950-2050					1
SMHI	1950-2050				1950-2050*		2
UCLM	1950-2050						1
C4I		1950-2050					1
GKSS**			1950-2050*				1
Met.No**					1950-2050*		1
CHMI**				1950-2050*			1
OURANOS**						1950-2050*	1
Total (1950-2050)	4 (6)	6	2	3	2	1	18 (20)

EU FP6 project









Summary

- The ensembles approach seems to offer new options for scientific achievements
 - RCMs must be used better in connection with GCMs
- We have large data sets for diagnostic works and training of ensemble approaches
- There is still room for the single model approach for in depth process studies and understanding of 'the case'



Thank you for your attention!

