



1934-2

Fourth ICTP Workshop on the Theory and Use of Regional Climate Models: Applying RCMs to Developing Nations in Support of Climate Change Assessment and Extended-Range Prediction

3 - 14 March 2008

Regional Climate Modeling in Seasonal Climate Prediction: Advances and Future Directions

SUN Liqiang

International Research Institute for Climate and Society 61 Route 9W, Monell Building Columbia University, Lamont Campus Palisades 10964-8000 NY UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Regional Climate Modeling in Seasonal Climate Prediction: Advances and Future Directions

Liqiang Sun

International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI)

4th ICTP Workshop on the Theory & Use of REGional Climate Models 'Applying RCMs to Developing Nations in Support of Climate Change Assessment & Extended-Range Prediction'

March 3-14, 2008



Regional Climate Modeling

LAMs for climate studies

- Giorgi and Bates (1989) one-month simulation
- Jones *et al.* (1995) continuous multiyear simulations

RCM Reviews

- McGregor (1997)
- Giorgi and Mearns (1999)
- Giorgi et al. (IPCC 2001)
- Leung *et al.* (2003)
- Laprise (2006)



History of Climate Prediction

Predictions based on scientific schemes:

• Prediction for Indian Monsoon Rainfall (Blanford 1884)

<u>Predictions based, at least in part, on Dynamical Climate</u> <u>Models:</u>

- U.K. Met Office since 1988
- Climate Prediction Center since 1994
- Canadian Met Centre since 1995
- CPTEC since 1995
- Australia's Bureau of Met since 1997
- IRI since 1997



History of Climate Prediction

Predictions based, at least in part, on Regional Climate Models:

- IRI since 1997
- ECPC since 1997
- NR&M (Queensland)/IRI 1998
- FUNCEME/IRI since 2001
- NCEP since 2002
- CWB/IRI since 2003
- ICPAC/IRI since 2005
- SAWS/IRI since 2006
- ECPC/NTU,HKO, BIU since 2003
- Downscaling DEMETER Hindcasts

<u>Challenges</u>

- Scientific issues related to predictability at smaller scales
- Technical issues for regional climate modeling
- Computational constrains



Outline

- Motivation
- Values added by RCMs
- Seasonal climate forecasts using RCMs
- Future Directions



1. Motivation

RCMs for Seasonal Prediction (Dynamical Downscaling Prediction)

- Enhance the scale and relevance of seasonal climate forecasts and creating information to better support decisions
- Advance our understanding of physical processes that contain predictability at smaller spatial and temporal scales
- Advance our understanding of interactions between large and small scales and provide the physical evidence for statistical downscaling
- Parameterization testbed for GCMs



2. Values Added by RCMs

On Seasonal Time Scale

- Improvement of Spatial Patterns and Temporal Distribution
- Predictability at Smaller Spatial and Temporal Scales
- Representation of Climate Uncertainty



It is widely accepted that dynamical downscaling improves spatial patterns and climatologies as compared to the coarse resolution GCMs.





A Typical Tropical Cyclone Simulated by Climate Models





Carmago, Li and Sun (2007)

Climatological precipitation PDF for the period February-March-April 1971-2000 in Ceará. The unit for zonal axis is one standard deviation, and the unit for the vertical axis is percentage.







Predictability at smaller spatial and temporal scales

Diagnosis of the added benefit of dynamical downscaling

Is the local information *skillful*? Or is it just noise on top of the large-scale signal?

Is the *temporal* character improved?





Qian et al. (2006)





Predictability of "weather within the climate"

At **seasonal** lead times, there is **no usable skill** in forecasting on **which day** a locality will have precipitation, storms, temperature extremes, frontal passages, and so forth. However, there is nonetheless some skill in predicting **weather statistics** in the season.



RSM Hindcast Validation



FMAM Flooding Index **FMAM Weather Index** 20 3 – OBS RSM OBS 15 r=0.84 r=0.69 · O-2 10 a 5 1 0 0 -5 -1 -10 -2 -15 -20 -3 1970 1980 1990 2000 1970 1980 1990 2(Sun *et al.* (2007)

Climate Forecast: Signal + Uncertainty

The **SIGNAL** represents the 'most likely' outcome.

The **NOISE** represents internal atmospheric chaos, *uncertainties* in the boundary conditions, and random errors in the models.

Scaling Factor for Ensemble Spread

Model spread is too small in tropics too large in parts of the extra-tropics

FMA Precipitation anomaly distribution over Ceara. Unit is mm/day.

Seasonal Climate Forecasts Using RCMs - Examples from Northeast Brazil

CLIMATE DYNAMICAL DOWNSCALING FORECAST SYSTEM FOR NORDESTE

IRI

FUNCEME

Network of Rainfall Stations

Rainfall Anomalies (mm/day)

Geographical distribution of RPSS (%) for the *hindcasts* averaged over the period of 1971-2000

RCM Forecast

FEB-MAR-APR 2004 RSM97-ECHAM4.5 Rainfall Probability Forecast Made in Jan 2004 and Forecast Validation

http://www.funceme.br/DEMET/index.htm

Averaged r-RPSS(%) over 2002-04 1-Month Lead Rainfall Forecast

A Major Goal of Probabilistic Forecasts - *Reliability!* Forecasts should "mean what they say"

Confidence Level

40%

50%

60%

	B _o	N _o	A _o		B _o	N _o	A _o		A B _o	N _o	
D	46	41	13	B _f	49	41	10	B _f	45	48	15
N _f	48	36	16	N _f				N _f			
A _f	37	27	36	A _f	25	27	48	A _f	31	24	45

Skill comparison between the driving ECHAM forecasts and the nested RSM forecasts. The RPSS (%) was aggregated for the whole Nordeste region.

	JFM ECHAM PSM		FMA FCHAM RSM		MAM ECHAM RSM		AMJ ECHAM RSM	
	LCIIAW	NSM	LCIIAM	КЫМ	LCIIAM	KSIM	LCIIAM	КЭМ
2002	7.1	4.5	5.2	10.1	14.9	23.5	1.2	14.1
2003	-6.1	-3.2	-2.6	7.2	9.4	15.3	5.4	12.1
2004	25.7	-7.4	0.8	0.4	-5.7	28.6	5.8	16.4

Summary

- RCMs are capable of producing observed local climate variability over many regions. The possibility exists to enhance information to higher spatial and temporal scales
 - requires research! Results are often region and season specific.
- Downscaled forecasts using RCMs demonstrate good skill over the Nordeste. Predictability varies with seasons and geographical regions. Downscaling prediction system has been developed for other regions and forecast evaluations are in the process.

Future Directions

- Atmosphere-ocean coupling and parameterizations
- Land physics and initialization
- *Nesting strategy*
- Multi-Model Ensembling
- Changing climate
- Linking prediction and application

Air-Sea Coupling and Parameterization

Coupled RCMs – better representation of the **feedbacks** between the SST and convection (case studies over the Indian Ocean, Southern Atlantic Ocean, Mediterranean Sea, etc.)

Parameterizations are based on a spectral **gap** between the scales being parameterized and those being resolved on the grid. Therefore, parameterizations are model resolution dependent.

Case Study: Rainfall Diff:1983-1971

Sensitivity Tests: Model resolution & Model physics

Hu and Sun (2002)

Land Process

Treatment of Groundwater Reservoir in climate models

• Soil water reaching the soil-model base through gravitational flow freely drains out

 That water is no longer available for evapotranspiration even during times of water stress

ALL DOWN MISTARCH HITTER

Miguez-Macho et al. (2007)

RSM MAM PCP Difference between 1979 and 1988

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

-0.5

-1

-1.5

-2

New Nesting Strategy

Anomaly Nesting Misra and Kanamitsu (2004)

Multi-Model Ensembling

Climate Forecasts

- be probabilistic
- be reliable
- address relevant scales and quantities

JAS Temperature

Benefit of Increasing Number of AGCMs in Multi-Model Combination

Changing Climate

Precipitação Anual do Ceará

Os parâmetros calculados neste modelo tem um nível de significancia de 0,1% no teste t de student.

Linking prediction and application -Climate Risk Management (CRM)

Sun et al. (2007)

(a)amip run (b)run with soil moisture forcing only 40N 40N 30N 30N · 20N 20N10N 10N ΕQ EQ -10S10S205 20S305 30S · 20W 10W 0 10E 20E 30E 40E 50E 60E 70E 20W 10W 0 10E 20E 30E 40E 50E 60E 70E (c)run with SST forcing only (d)run with both forcings 40N 40N SON 30N 20N 20N 10N · 10N EQ EQ -10S · 108-20S20S30S308 -20W 10W 0 10E 20E 30E 40E 50E 60E 70E 20W 10W 0 10E 20E 30E 40E 50E 60E 70T 0.2 -0.6-0.4-0.20 0.4 0.6

 $200\,mb$ zonal wind correlation between observations and ECHAM4.5 AGCM runs: MAM 1979-1998

Land initialization

Sun *et al.* (2008)

Spatial scale separation $P = P_{LS} + P_{RS}$

S

OBS Coast В Ν А 5 3 2 В R Ν 3 4 3 Μ 2 А 3 5

Contingency tables for 3 subregions of Ceara State at local scales (FMA 1971-2000)

	Central	В	Ν	А
R S M	В	5	2	3
	Ν	4	5	1
	А	1	3	6

	Southern	В	Ν	А
R S M	В	4	3	3
	Ν	3	5	2
	А	3	2	5

Sun and Ward (2007)