



1936-48

#### Advanced School on Synchrotron and Free Electron Laser Sources and their Multidisciplinary Applications

7 - 25 April 2008

Lensless Imaging

J. Kirz State University of New York at Stony Brook United States of America

# Lensless Imaging

# Why go lensless?

- A technique for 3D imaging of 0.5 20  $\mu$ m isolated objects
- Too thick for EM (0.5  $\mu$ m is practical upper limit)
- Too thick for tomographic X-ray microscopy (depth of focus < 1 μm at 10 nm resolution for soft X-rays even if lenses become available)

## Goals

- 10 nm resolution (3D) in 1 10µm size biological specimens (small frozen hydrated cell, organelle; see macromolecular aggregates) Limitation: radiation damage!
- <4 nm resolution in less sensitive nanostructures
   (Inclusions, porosity, clusters, composite nanostructures, aerosols...)
   eg: molecular sieves, catalysts, crack propagation</li>

- For many specimens, radiation damage sets the ultimate limit on achievable resolution
- Lenses phase the signal, but lose the signal.
   Example: 20 nm zone plate with 10% efficiency, 50% window transmission, 20% MTF for 15 nm half-period:

 $\rightarrow$  net transfer of 1% for high spatial frequencies

 Can we avoid this ~100x signal loss, and also go beyond numerical aperture limit of available optics?



### Many biological samples of the interest are...

- Non-crystalline : conventional crystallography not applicable
- Micron size scale : Electron microscopy can give sub nm resolution, but limited to 0.5 micron thick specimens.
   Often needs heavy metal staining
- Desire to see the living state, or as close as..... : light microscopy: image live cells at about 200 nm resolution

Looking for a method that works with minimal sample preparation at a resolution better than light microscopy

# Image reconstruction from the diffraction pattern

Lenses do it, mirrors do it

but they use the full complex amplitude!

Recording the diffraction *intensity* leads to the

"phase problem"!

•Holographers do it - but they mix in a reference wave, need very high resolution detector or similar precision apparatus

•Crystallographers do it - but they use MAD, isomorphous replacement, or other tricks (plus the amplification of many repeats)

# History

- Sayre 1952: Shannon sampling theorem in crystallography
- Gerchberg & Saxton, 1971: iterative phase retrieval algorithm in EM
- Sayre 1980: pattern stronger with soft X-rays; use SR to work without xtals!
- Fienup 1982: Hybrid Input-Output, support
- Bates 1982: 2x Bragg sampling gives unique answer for ≥ 2 dimensions
- Yun, Kirz & Sayre 1984-87: first experimental attempts

# Diffraction pattern of a single diatom, 1987



Yun, Kirz & Sayre, Acta A.

#### Miao, Charalambous, Kirz, Sayre, Nature 400, 342 (1999).

 $\lambda$ =1.8 nm soft x-ray diffractio n pattern





#### Low angle data From optical micrograph

Scanning electron micrograph of object



Image reconstructed from diffraction pattern ( $\theta_{max}$  corresponds to 80 nm). Assumed positivity

# **Basic principles**

- Single object, plane wave incident, scattered amplitude is Fourier transform of (complex) electron density f(r)
   F(k) = ∫ f(r) e<sup>-2πi k · r</sup> dr
- Assume: Born Approximation
- Assume coherent illumination



"Oversampling":

Non-crystals: pattern continuous, can do finer sampling of intensity

Finer sampling; larger array; smaller transform: "finite support"

(area around specimen must be clear!)



4/25/2008

## Experimental setup

#### Advanced Light Source beamline 9.0.1



Yeast samples : 3 - 7 micron Lateral coherence length : 15 micron Oversampling ratio : 3 to 5



### Inside vacuum chamber



# Removing scatter from pinholes

- Pinholes have scatter; can overwhelm weak diffraction.
- Use a "soft," refractive corner to limit to one quadrant (idea due to H. Chapman, then at Stony Brook)



#### Bottom half image



### Top half image



### Gatan 630 cryo holder



#### Diffraction data and its reconstruction of freeze-dried yeast cell

Yeast cell: 2.5 micron thick, unstained freezedried, at 750 eV Total dose ~  $10^8$  Gray (room temperature) Oversampling is about 5 in each dimension

We do *not* claim that achieved resolution is given by maximum angle of data recording



David Shapiro, Stony Brook, now at UC Davis

# The reconstruction process



Enju Lima thesis

# Summary of reconstruction details

• Final reconstruction was obtained by averaging iterates



# Summary of reconstruction details

- Final reconstruction was obtained by averaging iterates
- Support was calculated from the autocorrelation



# Summary of reconstruction details

- Final reconstruction was obtained by averaging iterates
- Support was calculated from the autocorrelation
- Missing data was recovered by the algorithm



## Reconstruction of complex image of FD yeast cell



- algorithm: Difference map, beta=1
- 10,000 iterations
- Brightness amplitude, hue phase
- averaged over 100 iterates

Is the solution unique and faithful?

# With averaging, reproducible reconstructions!



Stony Brook group: average of 100 Iterates, 40 iterations apart



Cornell group: average of 980 iterates, 50 iterations apart

- Individual reconstruction programs with different starting random phases yield reproducible reconstruction!
- Hue difference from low mode?

## Comparison with a microscope



Diffraction reconstruction (data taken at 750 eV; absorption as brightness, phase as hue). Stony Brook/NSLS STXM image with 45 nm Rayleigh resolution zone plate at 520 eV (absorption as brightness)

# Two separate iterations with different random starts gives same reconstruction





# How to handle missing data in the center:

Patching from STXM image : not successful

- stxm gives absorption contrast
- xdm gives absorption and phase contrast

## Better to find it via algorithm, but need to be careful:

- if missing data unconstrained during the iteration, one starts to see unwanted effects, for example: Gaussian blurring effect
- solution: apply upper limit in the magnitude

# Reconstructions from data 1 degree apart show similar 30 nm structure



# Stability of frozen hydrated specimens

• D. Shapiro, PhD thesis



## Reconstruction of frozen-hydrated yeast spores

#### Frozen-hydrated state:

- gives less structural artifact
- radiation hardy 3D reconstruction
- vitrified ice state required tricky business
- cooling rate > 10,000 K/s
- Temperature < -140 ° C

#### XDM apart from Cryo-Em

- larger sample, 3 7 micron
- ice thickness at 1 micron
- vitrification possible without high pressure freezing?



Commercial plunger By FEI company

#### Diffraction magnitude of frozen-hydrated spores



#### -5 degree data set



- specimen size  $\approx$  8  $\mu$  \* 5  $\mu$
- scattering grid bar not negligible
- # of missing speckles impose challenge on phasing

#### Initial reconstruction Shows a clump of 5 yeast spores, $8\mu * 5\mu$



### Is it reproducible?

#### Reconstruction from two different random starts





# Challenges

- Biological samples are weak scatterers
- Reducing background
- Finding the support
- Missing data
- Born Approximation violated
- Radiation damage, contamination, ...



Howells et al., JESRP (submitted)

# Laser alignment of molecules will allow the imaging of smaller molecules



Equipartition of rotational potential energy with thermal energy gives

$$\left< \Delta \theta^2 \right> = \frac{T}{3 \times 10^{-8} I \Delta \alpha}$$

*T* - temperature in K *I* - laser power in W/cm<sup>2</sup>  $\Delta \alpha$  - polarizability anisotropy in nm<sup>3</sup>

Resolution is limited by the degree of alignment:

 $d = (L/2) \Delta \theta$ 

J.C.H. Spence and R.B. Doak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 198102 (2004)

**IR CW Laser** 

J.C.H. Spence et al., Acta Cryst. A **61**, 237 (2005)





# Conclusion

Diffraction microscopy gives

- the complex valued image of a unstained freeze-dried yeast cell
- Frozen-hydrated yeast spores reconstruction in process

Work is sponsored by NIH and DOE And many thanks to ALS staff, Bruce Futcher, Alison Coluccio, Agustin Avila-Sakar and Keith Lima