



**The Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics**



1942-59

Sixth International Conference on Perspectives in Hadronic Physics

12 - 16 May 2008

Studies of parton propagation and hadron formation in the space-time domain

W. Brooks

Santa Maria University, Valparaiso, Chile

Parton propagation and hadron formation in the space-time domain

Will Brooks
Santa Maria University
Valparaiso, Chile

OUTLINE

- Physical picture - reminder
- P_T broadening and space-time confinement parameters
- Hadron attenuation and hadron formation times
- Future prospects

PHYSICS FOCUS

PHYSICS FOCUS

- Quark propagation
 - measure characteristic times for confinement
 - important for nuclear DIS (e^{\pm}/ν), Drell-Yan, and RHI

PHYSICS FOCUS


- Quark propagation
 - measure characteristic times for confinement
 - important for nuclear DIS (e^{\pm}/ν), Drell-Yan, and RHI
- Hadron formation
 - hadron formation times
 - mechanisms of confinement restoration

PHYSICAL PICTURE


PHYSICAL PICTURE (DIS IN VACUUM)




PHYSICAL PICTURE (DIS IN VACUUM)



PHYSICAL PICTURE (DIS IN VACUUM)




PHYSICAL PICTURE (DIS IN VACUUM)




- **production time** τ_p is time required to form color singlet pre-hadron; 'lifetime of deconfined quark'; universal(?)

PHYSICAL PICTURE (DIS IN VACUUM)



- **production time** τ_p is time required to form color singlet pre-hadron; 'lifetime of deconfined quark'; universal(?)

PHYSICAL PICTURE (DIS IN VACUUM)



- **production time** τ_p is time required to form color singlet pre-hadron; 'lifetime of deconfined quark'; universal(?)
- **formation time** $h\tau_f$ is time required to form full-sized hadron

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - τ_p

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - τ_p

$$t_p = \frac{v}{\left. \frac{dE}{dx} \right|_{\text{vacuum}}} (1 - z_h)$$

Energy
conservation,
time dialation

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - τ_p

$$t_p = \frac{v}{\left. \frac{dE}{dx} \right|_{\text{vacuum}}} (1 - z_h)$$

Energy
conservation,
time dialation

String model

$$\left. \frac{dE}{dx} \right|_{\text{vacuum}} \approx \kappa \approx 1 \text{ GeV} / \text{fm}$$

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - τ_p

$$t_p = \frac{v}{\left. \frac{dE}{dx} \right|_{\text{vacuum}}} (1 - z_h)$$

Energy
conservation,
time dialation

String model

$$\left. \frac{dE}{dx} \right|_{\text{vacuum}} \approx \kappa \approx 1 \text{ GeV} / \text{fm}$$

If take, e.g., $z = E_{\text{hadron}}/V = 0.6$, $v = 5 \text{ GeV}$, then $t_p \sim 2 \text{ fm}/c$

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - $h\tau_f$

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - $h\tau_f$

Given hadron of size R_h , can build color field of hadron in its rest frame in time no less than $t_0 \sim R_h/c$.
In lab frame this is boosted:

$$t_f \geq \frac{E}{m} R_h$$

BACK-OF-ENVELOPE - $\hbar\tau_f$


Given hadron of size R_h , can build color field of hadron in its rest frame in time no less than $t_0 \sim R_h/c$. In lab frame this is boosted:

$$t_f \geq \frac{E}{m} R_h$$

If take, e.g., the pion mass, radius 0.66 fm,
 $E = 4$ GeV, then $\tau_f \sim 20 \text{ fm}/c$.

MEDIUM - DIS


Partonic multiple scattering:
medium-stimulated
gluon emission,
broadened p_T



Hadronization occurs
outside the medium; or....


MEDIUM - DIS

Hadronization occurs
inside the medium; then also have
prehadron/hadron interaction




MEDIUM - DRELL-YAN

e.g., 800 GeV protons - no in-medium hadronization -
have p_T broadening



MEDIUM - RHIC/LHC



CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

Deconfined quarks multiple scatter, emit gluons

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

Deconfined quarks multiple scatter, emit gluons

Measure τ_p via medium-stimulated p_T broadening

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

Deconfined quarks multiple scatter, emit gluons

Measure τ_p via medium-stimulated p_T broadening

How long does it take to form the color field of a hadron?

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

Deconfined quarks multiple scatter, emit gluons

Measure τ_p via medium-stimulated p_T broadening

How long does it take to form the color field of a hadron?

The formation time $^h\tau_f$ measures this

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

Deconfined quarks multiple scatter, emit gluons

Measure τ_p via medium-stimulated p_T broadening

How long does it take to form the color field of a hadron?

The formation time $^h\tau_f$ measures this

Hadrons interact strongly with nuclear medium

CONNECTION TO OBSERVABLES

How long can a light quark remain deconfined?

The production time τ_p measures this

Deconfined quarks multiple scatter, emit gluons

Measure τ_p via medium-stimulated p_T broadening

How long does it take to form the color field of a hadron?

The formation time ${}^h\tau_f$ measures this

Hadrons interact strongly with nuclear medium


Measure ${}^h\tau_f$ via hadron attenuation in nuclei

EXPERIMENTS

- SLAC: 20 GeV e^- -beam on Be, C, Cu Sn, PRL 40 (1978) 1624
- EMC: 100-200 GeV μ -beam on Cu, Z.Phys. C52 (1991) 1.
- WA21/59: 4-64 GeV ν -beam on Ne, Z.Phys. C70 (1996) 47.
- Drell-Yan: Fermilab E772, E866, 1990's (**J.C. Peng talk, Friday**)
- HERMES: 27.6 GeV $e^+(e^-)$ on He, N, Ne, Kr, Xe; five pub's
- CLAS: 5 GeV e^- -beam on C, Fe, Pb
- FNAL E906(future) Drell-Yan at 120 GeV
- JLAB12(future): 11 GeV e^- (CLAS12), 9 GeV γ (Hall D)

ASSUMPTIONS - DIS

- $x_{Bj} > 0.1$ to avoid quark pair production
- $z_h > \sim 0.4-0.5$, struck quark most likely in hadron
- factorization at nucleon level not manifestly broken ($z=0.4-0.7$)
- contamination (rho, baryon resonance decays) limited
- adequate Q^2, W, W' to define DIS conditions



OBSERVABLES

OBSERVABLES

$$R_M^h(z, \nu, p_T^2, Q^2, \phi) = \frac{\left\{ \frac{N_h^{DIS}(z, \nu, p_T^2, Q^2, \phi)}{N_e^{DIS}(\nu, Q^2)} \right\}_A}{\left\{ \frac{N_h^{DIS}(z, \nu, p_T^2, Q^2, \phi)}{N_e^{DIS}(\nu, Q^2)} \right\}_D}$$

Hadronic multiplicity ratio


OBSERVABLES

$$R_M^h(z, \nu, p_T^2, Q^2, \phi) = \frac{\left\{ \frac{N_h^{DIS}(z, \nu, p_T^2, Q^2, \phi)}{N_e^{DIS}(\nu, Q^2)} \right\}_A}{\left\{ \frac{N_h^{DIS}(z, \nu, p_T^2, Q^2, \phi)}{N_e^{DIS}(\nu, Q^2)} \right\}_D}$$

Hadronic multiplicity ratio


Transverse momentum broadening

$$p_T \text{ broadening: } \Delta p_T^2 \equiv \langle p_T^2 \rangle_A^{DIS} - \langle p_T^2 \rangle_D^{DIS}$$




Comparison of p_T broadening data - Drell-Yan and DIS

$$\Delta \langle p_T^2 \rangle = D \left[(A/2)^{1/3} - 1 \right]$$




PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION

PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION



PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION




Postulate:

- pT broadening (δp^2_T) only accumulates during production time phase
- **Shape and magnitude of δp^2_T vs. A is a direct signature of production time - enables extraction of τ_p**


PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION: GEOMETRICAL EFFECTS

Length * Density




PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION: GEOMETRICAL EFFECTS


Length * Density




PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION: GEOMETRICAL EFFECTS



PRODUCTION TIME EXTRACTION: GEOMETRICAL EFFECTS



Length * Density



P_T BROADENING - THEORETICAL DESCRIPTIONS

- Color dipole formalism: Kopeliovich
- pQCD: Qiu, Guo, BDMPS, Wang, Majumder
- Jet quenching in hot matter: HT, GRV, AMY, ASW, and alternatives. See:


A. Majumder, [arXiv:nucl-th/0702066v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0702066)

B.Z.Kopeliovich, I.K.Potashnikova, I. Schmidt, [arXiv:0707.4302v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0707.4302) [nucl-th]

COLOR DIPOLE FORMALISM

- Total cross section, color dipole with nucleon:

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}}(r_T, s) = C(r, s) r_T^2$$



- At small r_T , C is related to the *proton gluon density*:

$$C(r_T, s) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} G(x, Q^2)$$

- p_T broadening can be expressed in terms of $C(r_T, s)$:

$$\Delta \langle k_T^2 \rangle = 2C \rho_A L = \hat{q} L = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} G(x, Q^2) \rho_A L$$

M. B. Johnson, B. Z. Kopeliovich, and A. V. Tarasov, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 035203 (2001)

$$\Delta \langle k_T^2 \rangle = 2C\rho_A L = \hat{q}L$$


COLOR DIPOLE FORMALISM

- Energy dependence of $C(r_T=0,s)$ is expected to be small:

$$\Delta \langle k_T^2 \rangle = 2C\rho_A L = \hat{q}L$$

COLOR DIPOLE FORMALISM



- Energy dependence of $C(r_T=0,s)$ is expected to be small:



$$\Delta \langle k_T^2 \rangle = 2C\rho_A L = \hat{q}L$$

COLOR DIPOLE FORMALISM



- Energy dependence of $C(r_T=0,s)$ is expected to be small:
- Can extract production length estimate if assume value of $C(0,s)$:




$$\Delta \langle k_T^2 \rangle = 2C\rho_A L = \hat{q}L$$

COLOR DIPOLE FORMALISM

- Energy dependence of $C(r_T=0,s)$ is expected to be small:
- Can extract production length estimate if assume value of $C(0,s)$:



- Or, can assume production length is $\gg R_{\text{nucleus}}$, get transport coefficient



QIU AND GUO, pQCD 2000

XIAOFENG GUO AND JIANWEI QIU





FIG. 8. Transverse momentum broadening of pions, $\Delta\langle l_T^2 \rangle_{1/3} / A^{1/3}$, at $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $x_B = 0.2$ with different $D_{q \rightarrow \pi}(z)$. The solid lines are obtained by using the fragmentation functions of Ref. [26], and the dashed lines are obtained by using the fragmentation functions of Ref. [27].


- pQCD with a model for quark-gluon correlation function assuming $\lambda^2 = 0.05 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Predicts slow decrease with increasing z, Q^2, ν
- Assumes infinite production time

$$T_{qF}^A(x, Q^2) = \lambda^2 A^{1/3} q^A(x, Q^2)$$


pT broadening vs. nu and Q2 for z=0.65 for Carbon, Iron, and Lead



pT broadening vs. nu and Q2 for z=0.55 for Carbon, Iron, and Lead



pT broadening vs. nu and Q2 for z=0.45 for Carbon, Iron, and Lead







JLAB/CLAS 3-DIMENSIONAL VARIABLE DEPENDENCES p_T Broadening

E.g.,

$$\tau \approx \frac{\nu z(1-z)}{Q^2}$$

27 bins in ν , Q^2 , z each for 3 nuclei!



Hermes
I-D
distributions

ASSOCIATED SLOW PROTONS: TARGET FRAGMENTATION






FIG. 1: The Feynman diagrams of the process $D(e, e'p)X$ corresponding to the spectator (left panel) and to target fragmentation (right panel) mechanisms.

Distinguish between
spectator mechanism &
target fragmentation
via slow protons in DIS
on nuclei



M. Alvioli, C. Ciofi degli Atti, V. Palli, L.P. Kaptari, arXiv:0705.3617v2 [nucl-th]

SEE ALSO: C. Ciofi degli Atti, B.Z. Kopeliovich, Phys.Lett. B606 (2005) 281-287

SEE ALSO: L. L. Frankfurt and M. I. Strikman, Phys. Rep. 76 (1981) 216; 160 (1988) 235

PHOTON BREMSTRAHLUNG FROM PROPAGATING QUARK

- QED bremsstrahlung from propagating quark

Majumder et al., with no gluon radiation:

- Re-sum higher twist: 2-D diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial \phi(L^-, \vec{l}_\perp)}{\partial L^-} = D \nabla_{l_\perp}^2 \phi(L^-, \vec{l}_\perp),$$

- Average p_T^2 agrees with classical limit
- Relationship between transport coefficient and diffusion constant:

$$\hat{q} = \frac{2 \langle l_\perp^2 \rangle_{L^-}}{L^-} = 8D = \frac{2\pi^2 \alpha_s}{N_c} \rho x_T G(x_T, m^2) |_{x \rightarrow 0},$$

A. Majumder, R. J. Fries, and B. Müller, arXiv:0711.2475v2 [nucl-th]



A. Majumder, B. Müller, arXiv:0705.1147v4 [nucl-th]

V. Del Duca, S. J. Brodsky, P. Hoyer, Phys. Rev. D46, 931 (1992)

PHOTON BREMSTRAHLUNG FROM PROPAGATING QUARK

- Photon spectrum has two undetermined parameters:
- When photon emitted, two interfering amplitudes:
- Connection with off-forward parton distributions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW^{A\mu\nu}}{dy dl_{\perp}^2 d^2 l_{q\perp}} &= C_p^A 2\pi \sum_q Q_q^4 (-g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}) \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \frac{P_{q \rightarrow q\gamma}}{l_{\perp}^2} \\ &\times \int \frac{dy_0^-}{2\pi} e^{-i(x_B+x_L)p^+ y_0^-} F_q(y_0^-) \\ &\times \left[1 + y c_p \frac{\{E^+(x_L) + E^-(x_L)\}}{2DL^-} \right. \\ &\times \left. \frac{l_{\perp}^2 + \vec{l}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{l}_{q\perp}}{l_{\perp}^2} \right] \phi(L^-, l_{q\perp}). \end{aligned}$$




A. Majumder, R. J. Fries, and B. Müller, arXiv:0711.2475v2 [nucl-th]

A. Majumder, B. Müller, arXiv:0705.1147v4 [nucl-th]

V. Del Duca, S. J. Brodsky, P. Hoyer, Phys. Rev. D46, 931 (1992)

PHOTON BREMSTRAHLUNG FROM PROPAGATING QUARK





from
Abhijit
Majumder

P_T BROADENING SUMMARY

- Basic consistency of data, JLab and HERMES
- Some systematic dependences on kinematic variables observed: good test for models
- Color dipole analysis: picture not clear yet
 - Transport coefficient for cold nuclear matter is much smaller than expected?
 - Energy dependence greater than expected?
- Quantitative theoretical calculations needed

HADRON ATTENUATION



HERMES data for He, Ne, Kr, Xe: π^{+-} , K^{+-} , p , antiproton
 pions act similarly, K^+ vs. K^- , proton vs. antiproton

MODELS ADDRESSING HERMES DATA - *2 PICTURES*

Models based on partonic energy loss

X.N. Wang et al. (PRL 89, 162301 (2002))

F.Arleo et al. (EPJ C 30, 213 (2003))

Models based on (pre)-hadronic interaction

B. Z. Kopeliovich, J. Nemchik, et al. (e.g., NPA 740, 211 (2004))

T. Falter et al. (e.g., PLB 594 (2004) 61)

A. Accardi et al. (e.g., NPA 720, 131 (2003); NPA 761, 67 (2005))



N. Akopov et al. (Eur.Phys.J 44(2005) 219)

H.J. Pirner et al. (e.g., NPA761 (2005), NPA720 (2003) 131-156)



K.Gallmeister, U. Mosel (nucl-th/0701064; nucl-th/07122200)

NO conclusive resolution from the HERMES I-D data


Examples of multi-variable slices of preliminary CLAS 5 GeV data




Four out of ~50 similar plots for π^+ !
 $K^0, \pi^0, \pi^-, \Lambda$ underway




JLab/CLAS data for C, Fe, Pb




JLab/CLAS data for C, Fe, Pb



JLab/CLAS data for C, Fe, Pb



JLab/CLAS data for C, Fe, Pb



HADRON ATTENUATION SUMMARY


- Good consistency of data from HERMES and CLAS
- Hermes data: landmark study
- Models do not discriminate between two basic proposed mechanisms from HERMES data; need better data (higher luminosity, more channels)
- Exploratory 3-variable study performed with JLab/CLAS data, provides quite stringent test for models



FUTURE

FNAL E906

- Drell-Yan at 120 GeV
- Remove analysis ambiguity between shadowing and energy loss
- See J.C. Peng's talk on Friday




Examples of Experimental Data and Theoretical Predictions




CLAS12 Multiplicity Ratio vs. Z_h, π^+

hadron	$c\tau$	mass (GeV)	flavor content	detection channel	Production rate per 1k DIS events
π^0	25 nm	0.13	$u\bar{u}d\bar{d}$	$\gamma\gamma$	1100
π^+	7.8 m	0.14	$u\bar{d}$	direct	1000
π^-	7.8 m	0.14	$d\bar{u}$	direct	1000
η	0.17 nm	0.55	$u\bar{u}d\bar{d}s\bar{s}$	$\gamma\gamma$	120
ω	23 fm	0.78	$u\bar{u}d\bar{d}s\bar{s}$	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	170
η'	0.98 pm	0.96	$u\bar{u}d\bar{d}s\bar{s}$	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	27
ϕ	44 fm	1.0	$u\bar{u}d\bar{d}s\bar{s}$	K^+K^-	0.8
f_1	8 fm	1.3	$u\bar{u}d\bar{d}s\bar{s}$	$\pi\pi\pi\pi$	-
K^+	3.7 m	0.49	$u\bar{s}$	direct	75
K^-	3.7 m	0.49	$\bar{u}s$	direct	25
K^0	27 mm	0.50	$d\bar{s}$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	42
p	stable	0.94	ud	direct	530
\bar{p}	stable	0.94	$\bar{u}\bar{d}$	direct	3
Λ	79 mm	1.1	uds	$p\pi^-$	72
$\Lambda(1520)$	13 fm	1.5	uds	$p\pi^-$	-
Σ^+	24 mm	1.2	us	$p\pi^0$	6
Σ^0	22 pm	1.2	uds	$\Lambda\gamma$	11
Ξ^0	87 mm	1.3	us	$\Lambda\pi^0$	0.6
Ξ^-	49 mm	1.3	ds	$\Lambda\pi^-$	0.9

CLAS12 Geometric Acceptances for Mesons and Baryons




CLAS12 Acceptance for Mesons





CLAS12 Acceptance for Baryons

HALL D: INVESTIGATE PREHADRONS SEPARATELY

Vacuum process:




Lifetime of fluctuation: coherence length $l_c = 2E_\gamma/M_{qq}^2$



Nucleus provides precise distance/time scale

HALL D PT BROADENING MEASUREMENTS

Processes in-medium:



Color-neutral
2-gluon exchange

In-medium **broadening**
of (transverse)
dipole momentum
($\rho, \omega, \phi, \pi, K$)


Nucleus not excited: coherent

Nucleus excited/breaks up: incoherent

HALL D ABSORPTION MEASUREMENTS

Processes in-medium:

Inelastic interaction of dipole/prehadron/meson - 'attenuation'



Nucleus excited/breaks up: incoherent

CONCLUSIONS

- Good consistency among diverse data sets
- 3D analysis of huge JLab data sample
- Controversies remain, wide potential impact
- Need theoretical framework for τ_p , $^h\tau_f$ extraction
- Future: FNAL: E906 Drell-Yan at 120 GeV
- Future: JLab@12 GeV - CLAS12 and Hall D

ADDITIONAL SLIDES


COMPARISON: HERMES AND 6 GEV CLAS

- HERMES

- More hadrons: all pions, protons, antiprotons, K^+ , K^-
- More ν (8-20 GeV vs. 2-4 GeV) and W_{\max} (3 vs. 7)

- JLab

- More luminosity ($\times 100$): 3D vs. 1D distributions
- Heaviest targets (not limited to gas targets)



Drift Chambers

35,000 wires
 $\sigma_R = 350 \mu\text{m}$

Superconducting Toroidal Magnet

$$\int Bdl \cong 1.7 \text{ T}\cdot\text{m}$$

Cerenkov Counters

216 channels
99.5% efficient
over 50 m² area


electron beam direction

Electromagnetic Shower Calorimeters



1700+ channels
 $\sigma/E = 10\%/E^{0.5}$

Time of Flight Counters

500+ channels, 145 ps resolution



- Charged particle angles $8^\circ - 144^\circ$
- Neutral particle angles $8^\circ - 70^\circ$
- Momentum resolution $\sim 0.5\%$ (charged)
- Angular resolution ~ 0.5 mr (charged)
- Identification of p , π^+/π^- , K^+/\bar{K}^- , e^-/e^+





CLAS
EG2
Targets



HERMES, CLAS6, CLAS12

- HERMES took data 1997-2005, 7 nuclear targets, most of data with RICH.
 - 231 pb⁻¹ on He+Ne+Kr+Xe at 27 GeV
- CLAS took data 2003, 4 primary nuclear targets
 - ~25,000 pb⁻¹ on C+Fe+Pb, at 5.0 GeV
- CLAS12: approved experiment, ~10x CLAS luminosity



HERMES

Krypton
Target
(mostly)